Antidepressant-Like Effect of Lipid Extract of *Channa striatus* in Chronic Unpredictable Mild Stress Model of Depression in Rats
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This study evaluated the antidepressant-like effect of lipid extract of *C. striatus* in chronic unpredictable mild stress (CUMS) model of depression in male rats and its mechanism of action. The animals were subjected to CUMS for six weeks by using variety of stressors. At the end of CUMS protocol, animals were subjected to forced swimming test (FST) and open field test followed by biochemical assay. The CUMS protocol produced depressive-like behavior in rats by decreasing the body weight, decreasing the sucrose preference, and increasing the duration of immobility in FST. The CUMS protocol increased plasma corticosterone and decreased hippocampal and prefrontal cortex levels of monoamines (serotonin, noradrenaline, and dopamine) and brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Further, the CUMS protocol increased interleukin-6 (in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex) and nuclear factor-kappa B (in prefrontal cortex but not in hippocampus). The lipid extract of *C. striatus* (125, 250, and 500 mg/kg) significantly (p < 0.05) reversed all the above parameters in rats subjected to CUMS, thus exhibiting antidepressant-like effect. The mechanism was found to be mediated through decrease in plasma corticosterone, increase in serotonin levels in prefrontal cortex, increase in dopamine and noradrenaline levels in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, increase in BDNF in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, and decrease in IL-6 and NF-κB in prefrontal cortex.

1. Introduction

Acute stress and chronic stress have different effects on human health. Acute stress prepares body for “fight or flight” situation and is beneficial for the survival, while chronic stress may have opposite and deleterious effects [1, 2]. Chronic uncontrolled stress may result in anxiety, depression, and other stress related disorders [3, 4]. Chronic stress in human beings such as psychosocial stress may cause depression in susceptible individuals [5, 6]. External stress factors such as stressful life events and internal stress factors such as chronic inflammation may induce inflammatory, oxidative, and nitrosative stress pathways to precipitate depression in susceptible individuals [7–9]. Chronic stress may suppress the immune system and increases the production of proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 [10]. In animals, the chronic psychosocial stress may induce neuroinflammation and apoptosis and reduced neurogenesis [11].

Chronic stress has an influence on neuroendocrine responses in men [12]. An animal model, chronic unpredictable mild stress (CUMS) model, linking chronic stress and depression was developed by Willner et al. [13, 14]. In the CUMS animal model of depression, the animals are exposed to continuous mild stress such as food deprivation, water deprivation, continuous illumination, tilted cages, and soiled cages in a random unpredictable manner. Usually,
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals. Male Sprague-Dawley rats, approximately 6–9 weeks old, weighing between 150 and 190 g were used. The animals were sourced from Takrif Bistari Enterprise, Seri Kembangan, Selangor, Malaysia. All the animals used in this study were cared for and treated humanely in accordance with the protocols specified by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, UPM, and also with the “Principles of Laboratory Animal Care” (NIH Publication Number 85-23, revised in 1985). The animals were housed for 2 weeks under controlled conditions for acclimatization before the experiments. These conditions were as follows unless otherwise specified: light: 12 h light/dark cycle, lights on at 7:00 am; temperature 25 ± 1°C; free access to food and water. The animals were randomly assigned to different groups for the experiments, namely, no stress (8 animals), CUMS control (7 animals), CUMS + fluoxetine 10 mg/kg (7 animals), CUMS + lipid ext 125 mg/kg (6 animals), CUMS + lipid ext 250 mg/kg (6 animals), CUMS + lipid ext 500 mg/kg (6 animals), and no stress + lipid ext 250 mg/kg (6 animals). All efforts were done to minimize the number of animals used in the experiment. All the experimental protocols were approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, UPM (UPM/IACUC/AUP-R042/2013).

2.2. Chronic Unpredictable Mild Stress (CUMS) Procedure. Chronic unpredictable mild stress was applied in rats for a total duration of 6 weeks based on the previously established protocols [13, 14] with minor modifications as described in previous studies [32, 33]. All animals were subjected to the mild stress protocol in an unpredictable manner for 6 weeks except the animals belonging to no stress + vehicle group and no stress + lipid extract 250 mg/kg group as described in Table 1. The protocol consisted of eight stressors: food deprivation for 24 hr, water deprivation for 24 hr, food and water deprivation for 24 hr, noise for 3 hr (high-pitch medium volume, resembling snake sounds), cage tilting at 45° for 7 hr, overnight illumination for 8 hr, crowded grouped housing (6 rats per cage) for 24 hr, and soiled cage (500 mL water added to 250 g saw dust bedding) for 24 hr. All animals were singly housed except for crowded grouped housing.

The noise and cage tilting stressors were applied randomly at any time of the day. The overnight illumination was carried out from 7:00 pm to 7:00 am the next day. The order of the stressors was randomized in order to avoid any habituation effect. The sucrose preference test was conducted on every Sunday morning between 9 and 10 am. The sucrose preference test requires food and water deprivation 24 hr before the test to avoid any nonspecific influence of diet on sucrose consumption [34]. Hence, food and water deprivation was used as the stressor on all Saturdays. Since food and water deprivation was used on Saturdays, no other stressors were employed on Saturdays. This was done to avoid any effect of the last stressor on the sucrose consumption [34]. The body weight of each animal was noted on every Sunday before the sucrose preference test.

2.3. Preparation of Drugs and Administration. The Channa striatus (2 kg) was procured from local wet market in Selangor, Malaysia. The fish were identified by Dr. Mohd Shafiq Bin Zakeyuddin, Research Assistant, Department of Environmental Management, Faculty of Environmental Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia, by following previously published data [35, 36]. A voucher specimen was kept in Human Anatomy Laboratory, Department of Human Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia. The fish were killed by a blow to their heads and flesh was separated from bones and fins. The lipid extract was prepared by using the previously published method [37]. The flesh was minced to a paste in a blender. No water was added in this step. The minced paste (800 g) was mixed with 1600 mL (1:2 w/v) of chloroform:methanol (2:1 v/v) and stirred continuously for 2 hours and filtered. The residue was extracted again with the same solvent mixture at same conditions for another 2 hr and filtered. The filtrates were combined and allowed to stand for 3 hr for separation of aqueous and organic layer. The two layers were separated and evaporated at 40°C in a Rota vapor (Buchi, Switzerland) to remove the solvents separately. The organic extract was dried using lyophilization. The lyophilized extract of organic layer was 80 mL of oily liquid (63.77 g, 797% w/w, weight referring to the wet weight of minced fillet paste). Chemical analysis of lipid extract by gas chromatography revealed the presence of oleic acid (23.38%), palmitic acid.
Table 1: Schedule of chronic unpredictable mild stress protocol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Stress protocol</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sunday morning sucrose test</td>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>3 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday evening</td>
<td>Cage tilting</td>
<td>7 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Overnight illumination</td>
<td>12 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Water deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>Crowded housing</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Soiled cage</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>Food and water deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sunday morning sucrose test</td>
<td>Overnight illumination</td>
<td>12 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday evening</td>
<td>Soiled cage</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>3 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Food deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>Cage tilting</td>
<td>7 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Crowded housing</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>Food and water deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sunday morning sucrose test</td>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>3 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Cage tilting</td>
<td>7 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Soiled cage</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>Water deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Overnight illumination</td>
<td>12 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>Crowded housing</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>Food and water deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sunday morning sucrose test</td>
<td>Soiled cage</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday evening</td>
<td>Overnight illumination</td>
<td>12 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Food deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Crowded housing</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>Cage tilting</td>
<td>7 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>3 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>Food and water deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sunday morning sucrose test</td>
<td>Crowded housing</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday evening</td>
<td>Cage tilting</td>
<td>7 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Soiled cage</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Water deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>3 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Overnight illumination</td>
<td>12 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>Food and water deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sunday morning sucrose test</td>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>3 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday evening</td>
<td>Crowded housing</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Food deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Soiled cage</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>Overnight illumination</td>
<td>12 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Cage tilting</td>
<td>7 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>Food and water deprivation</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Stress protocol</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sunday morning sucrose test, open field test, and forced swim test trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monday morning forced swim test followed by decapitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(18.55%), caprylic acid (16.73%), linoleic acid (10.82%), stearic acid (7.37%), and docosahexaenoic acid (5.85%) as the major constituents with no detected eicosapentaenoic acid (data not shown). Based on the results of our previous experiments (data not shown), three doses (125, 250, and 500 mg/kg) of lipid extract were selected. The lipid extract was oily in nature at room temperature. An emulsion of lipid extract was prepared in normal saline with 5% Tween 80 (Sigma Aldrich, MO, USA) to produce 125, 250, and 500 mg/kg doses. All the drug solutions were prepared fresh on the day of administration. The prepared doses of lipid extract were administered by intraperitoneal route to separate groups of rats after two weeks of CUMS protocol as depicted in Figure 1. The animals which had undergone CUMS protocol showed significant decrease in sucrose preference at the end of 2 weeks as described in Section 3.2. Hence, drug administration was started from week 3 onwards until the sucrose preference was reversed significantly by lipid extract. Fluoxetine (Sigma Aldrich, MO, USA) was used as the positive control and prepared in normal saline with 5% Tween 80 and administered at a dose of 10 mg/kg via intraperitoneal route [38]. One group of animals served as CUMS control group and administered with appropriate vehicle at 10 mL/kg volume via intraperitoneal route. A group of nonstressed animals served as no stress group and administered with appropriate vehicle at 10 mL/kg volume via intraperitoneal route. A group of nonstressed animals were given lipid extract at 250 mg/kg via intraperitoneal route and served as no stress treatment control to assess the effect of lipid extract per se on all the parameters studied. All drug and vehicle administrations were done at a constant volume of 10 mL/kg.

2.4. Sucrose Preference Test. The sucrose preference test was conducted based on previously published protocols [13, 34] with minor modifications as described in previous studies [32, 39]. The animals were individually housed in a cage and given two bottles of 1% w/v sucrose solution 72 hr before the actual test on first week Sunday morning. After 24 hr, one bottle containing 1% sucrose solution was replaced with a bottle containing tap water for next 24 hr for the animals to adapt to sucrose solution. After adaptation period, the animals were deprived of food and water for 24 hr. Sucrose preference test was conducted by placing two preweighed bottles to each cage, one containing tap water and the other one containing 1% w/v sucrose solution. The animals had free choice to drink from either bottle. The animals were allowed to drink for 1 hr. The weight of both bottles was recorded and the difference in their respective initial and final weights was calculated. The percentage of sucrose preference was calculated based on the following formula [39]:

\[
\text{% Sucrose preference} = \frac{\text{Sucrose consumption}}{\text{Sucrose + Water consumption}} \times 100
\]

(1)

The sucrose preference test was conducted every Sunday morning.

2.5. Forced Swimming Test (FST). The forced swimming test was conducted based on the original method [40] with slight modifications [41]. The apparatus consisted of a plastic cylinder (25 cm diameter × 50 cm height) filled with 30 cm deep water at 24°C ± 2°C. At the end of CUMS protocol, pretest was conducted for 15 min. The animals were individually allowed to swim for 15 min in the swim tank. After the pretest session, the animals were dried with a towel and placed under a heat lamp for 10 min to avoid hypothermia and returned to their respective cages. The water was changed after a trial with each animal to avoid influence to next animal. After 24 hr, same procedure was followed to conduct the test swim session for 5 min. The top view of the activity was recorded with a video camera mounted on the ceiling of the behavior test room. The recorded videos were scored by an observer blind to the treatment regimen and duration of immobility was calculated using a stop watch.

2.6. Open Field Test (OFT). The spontaneous locomotor activity was evaluated by following previously described methods [42]. The apparatus consisted of a square box (75 cm × 75 cm with 42 cm height) made up of plexi glass material. Top of the box was not covered and kept open to observe the movement of the animal. The floor and all sides of the box were covered with white cardboard material. The cardboard at the floor of the box was drawn with black lines dividing the floor into equal squares of 15 cm × 15 cm.

The OFT was conducted at the end of CUMS protocol period on the day of decapitation, before FST. The animals were transferred to OFT test room and acclimatized for 1 hr. The animals were placed onto the center of the box and allowed to explore the box freely for 5 min. After conducting the test on each animal, the box was cleaned with dry tissue paper first and later with 70% alcohol solution and allowed to dry in air to avoid the influence of urine and feces of the previous animal on the next animal. The top view of the box was recorded by a video camera mounted on the ceiling. The video recordings were later scored by an observer blind to
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Figure 1: CUMS study scheme and timeline.

the treatment regimen for number of squares crossed and number of rears. After the OFT, the same animals were tested in FST.

2.7. Blood and Brain Tissue Collection and Preparation. At the end of CUMS protocol, 20 min after the FST [43], the animals were decapitated by the use of guillotine. The blood and brain tissue sample preparations were carried out as per the recommendations given in the ELISA kit protocols, Cusabio, Hubei Province, People Republic of China. The trunk blood was collected in an EDTA coated tube and plasma was separated by centrifuging at 1000 × g for 15 min. The plasma was aspirated and used immediately for ELISA analysis or stored at −80°C until analyzed.

The brain was dissected out quickly and carefully and placed on an ice-cold plate. The prefrontal cortex and hippocampus of both sides of the brain were carefully removed [44, 45]. The brain tissues were washed with ice-cold 1x PBS buffer (1 tablet (BR0014G, Oxoid Ltd., UK) dissolved in 100 mL deionized water), weighed, and homogenized in ice-cold 1x PBS solution (100 mg wet tissue in 1 mL 1x PBS) by using Polytron PT-MR 1600 E (Kinematica AG, Switzerland) homogenizer at 1000 rpm for 3 min.

During the homogenization, the Eppendorf tube containing tissue sample was maintained in an ice-cold environment. The homogenates were stored at −20°C overnight and thawed. After two freeze/thaw cycles to break the cell membranes, the homogenates were centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 × g at 2–8°C. The supernatants were collected and used immediately for ELISA analysis and protein determination or stored at −80°C until analysis. For analysis, either the right or left hippocampus or prefrontal cortex was analyzed. The sample consisted of equal number of right and left hemisphere parts to counterbalance the lateral effects of brain. This procedure was adapted in protein assay as well as in biochemical assays.

2.8. Protein Determination. The protein determination was carried out to standardize the expression of results of marker proteins per g of wet brain tissue. Protein concentration was determined in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex tissues of rats by using a protein assay kit from Bio-Rad, CA, USA, with dye reagent concentrate (catalog number 500-0006) and bovine serum albumin as standard (catalog number 500-0002, Bio-Rad). Microassay standard procedure was used. The principle of protein assay is based on Bradford’s method [46]. The homogenates from brain tissue were used for protein analysis. A calibration curve was constructed and the unknown concentrations were interpolated and expressed as mg/g of wet brain tissue. Each standard and sample were analyzed in triplicate and mean ± SEM was calculated and used for analysis.

2.9. Biochemical Analysis. The plasma was analyzed for corticosterone and oxytocin levels by using ELISA kits as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Cusabio, Hubei Province, China). The homogenates from hippocampus and prefrontal cortex were analyzed for serotonin, dopamine, noradrenaline, interleukin-6 (IL-6), nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB), and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) by using separate enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Cusabio, Hubei Province, People Republic of China). Briefly, a calibration
curve was constructed by using the given standards. Each standard and sample were analyzed in triplicate and mean ± SEM were calculated and used for analysis. The unknown sample concentrations were interpolated from the standard curve and expressed with respect to per gram of protein for IL-6, NFkB, and BDNF.

2.10. Statistical Analysis. All the results were expressed as mean ± SEM. The data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test as the post hoc test. All analyses were performed using the software GraphPad Prism version 6.00 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA, http://www.graphpad.com/. Effects were considered significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

3. Results

3.1. Body Weight. The CUMS control group showed significant reduction in body weight from week 4 onwards when compared to no stress group (Figure 2). At week 5 of CUMS protocol, the body weight of animals increased significantly (\( F = 9.176; df = 6, 39; p < 0.001 \)) in no stress group, no stress group treated with 250 mg/kg of lipid extract, and groups subjected to CUMS protocol and treated with fluoxetine, 125, 250, and 500 mg/kg doses of lipid extract, when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 2). At week 6, the body weight of all other groups of animals increased significantly (\( F = 14.11; df = 6, 39; p < 0.001 \)) when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 2). The no stress group which received lipid extract 250 mg/kg did not show any significant change in body weight when compared to no stress group at any of the weeks tested (Figure 2).

3.2. Sucrose Preference Test. At the end of week 2, all animals which had undergone CUMS protocol showed a significant decline (\( F = 5.397; df = 6, 39; p < 0.001 \)) in their sucrose preference when compared to no stress group (Figure 3). The CUMS control group continued to show significant (\( p < 0.01 \)) decrease in sucrose preference until week 6 (Figure 3). The treatment with lipid extract (125, 250, and 500 mg/kg) significantly (\( F = 5.797; df = 6, 39; p < 0.001 \)) increased the sucrose preference at week 6 when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 3). The nonstressed animal group which received the lipid extract 250 mg/kg for 6 weeks did not show any significant variation in sucrose preference when compared to no stress group (Figure 3). The treatment with fluoxetine and lipid extract which started in week 3 gradually reversed the sucrose preference almost close to their week 0 baseline levels (Figure 3). The treatment with fluoxetine significantly (\( p < 0.05 \)) increased the sucrose preference at week 5 and week 6 when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 3).

3.3. Forced Swimming Test (FST). In FST, one-way ANOVA indicated significant difference between the treated groups (\( F = 11.91; df = 6, 39; p < 0.001 \)). Further post hoc analysis revealed that the CUMS control group had significant (\( p < 0.05 \)) increase in duration of immobility in FST when compared with no stress group (Figure 4(a)).
The lipid extract at 250 and 500 mg/kg doses administered to stressed animals produced significant ($p < 0.001$) decrease in the duration of immobility when compared with CUMS control group (Figure 4(a)). Fluoxetine significantly reduced the duration of immobility ($p < 0.001$) when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 4(a)). The animals which were not stressed and administered with lipid extract 250 mg/kg showed significant decrease ($p < 0.05$) in immobility when compared with no stress group.

3.4. Open Field Test (OFT). In OFT, one-way ANOVA indicated significant difference between the treated groups in number of squares crossed ($F = 3.376$; df = 6, 39; $p < 0.01$) and in number of rearing instances ($F = 3.316$; df = 6, 39; $p < 0.01$). Further post hoc analysis indicated that the CUMS control group had significant ($p < 0.05$) decrease in number of squares crossed (Figure 4(b)) and number of rearing instances (Figure 4(c)) when compared with no stress group. When compared with CUMS control group, the fluoxetine (10 mg/kg) and lipid extract at 125 and 250 mg/kg significantly increased the number of squares crossed (Figure 4(b)) and number of rearing instances (Figure 4(c)). However, when compared to the no stress group, these effects were statistically insignificant.
3.5. Biochemical Analysis

3.5.1. Plasma Corticosterone Levels. The one-way ANOVA indicated significant difference amongst the treated groups ($F = 5.183; \text{df} = 6, 39; p < 0.001$). Further post hoc analysis indicated that the CUMS control group had significant ($p < 0.01$) increase in plasma corticosterone level when compared to no stress group (Figure 5(a)). The fluoxetine significantly ($p < 0.01$) decreased plasma corticosterone level when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 5(a)). The lipid extract (250 and 500 mg/kg) significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased the plasma corticosterone level in stressed rats when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 5(a)). The nonstressed animals which received lipid extract 250 mg/kg showed no significant change in plasma corticosterone level when compared to no stress group (Figure 5(a)).

3.5.2. Plasma Oxytocin Level. The one-way ANOVA test revealed no significant difference ($F = 0.6327; \text{df} = 6, 39; p = 0.7032$) between all the groups (Figure 5(b)).

3.5.3. Brain Serotonin Levels. The one-way ANOVA test revealed significant difference ($F = 3.433; \text{df} = 6, 39; p < 0.01$) in hippocampal serotonin levels and significant difference ($F = 7.808; \text{df} = 6, 39; p < 0.001$) in prefrontal cortex serotonin levels between the treated groups. In further post hoc analysis, the CUMS control group showed significant ($p < 0.01$) decline in hippocampal (Figure 6(a)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 6(b)) serotonin levels when compared to no stress group. Fluoxetine significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased serotonin level in hippocampus (Figure 6(a)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 6(b)) when compared to CUMS control group. The lipid extract produced increase in serotonin level in hippocampus. But, when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 6(a)), the results were statistically insignificant. In contrast, in prefrontal cortex, it significantly ($p < 0.001$) increased serotonin level at 250 and 500 mg/kg when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 6(b)). The nonstressed animals which received lipid extract 250 mg/kg showed no significant change in serotonin level in hippocampus (Figure 6(a)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 6(b)) when compared to no stress group.

3.5.4. Brain Noradrenaline Levels. The one-way ANOVA test revealed significant difference ($F = 11.98; \text{df} = 6, 39; p < 0.001$) in hippocampal noradrenaline levels and significant difference ($F = 11.54; \text{df} = 6, 39; p < 0.001$) in prefrontal cortex noradrenaline levels between the treated groups. In further post hoc analysis, the CUMS control group showed significant ($p < 0.05$) decline in hippocampal (Figure 6(c)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 6(d)) noradrenaline level when compared to no stress group. The lipid extract (250 and 500 mg/kg) produced significant ($p < 0.001$) increase in noradrenaline level in hippocampus when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 6(c)). But, in prefrontal cortex, it significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased noradrenaline level at 250 mg/kg dose only when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 6(d)). The nonstressed animals which received lipid extract 250 mg/kg showed no significant change in noradrenaline level in hippocampus (Figure 6(c)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 6(d)) when compared to no stress group. Fluoxetine significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased noradrenaline level in hippocampus (Figure 6(c)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 6(d)) when compared to CUMS control group.
Figure 6: Effect of lipid extract of *C. striatus* fillets and fluoxetine on serotonin level in hippocampus (a), serotonin level in prefrontal cortex (b), noradrenaline level in hippocampus (c), noradrenaline level in prefrontal cortex (d), dopamine level in hippocampus (e), and dopamine level in prefrontal cortex (f) in rats subjected to chronic unpredictable mild stress model of depression. Data represent mean ± SEM (*n* = 6–8). 

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001 when compared with no stress group; * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001 when compared with CUMS control group. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test.
3.5.5. Brain Dopamine Levels. The one-way ANOVA test revealed significant difference ($F = 8.452; df = 6, 39; p < 0.001$) in hippocampal dopamine levels and significant difference ($F = 5.876; df = 6, 39; p < 0.001$) in prefrontal cortex dopamine levels between the treated groups. In further post hoc analysis, the CUMS control group showed significant ($p < 0.05$) decline in hippocampal (Figure 6(e)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 6(f)) dopamine levels when compared to no stress group. The lipid extract (125 and 250 mg/kg) produced significant ($p < 0.01$) increase in dopamine level in hippocampus when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 6(e)). But, in prefrontal cortex, it significantly increased dopamine level at 125 mg/kg dose only when compared to CUMS control group (Figure 6(f)). The nonstressed animals which received lipid extract 250 mg/kg showed no significant change in dopamine level in hippocampus (Figure 6(e)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 6(f)) when compared to no stress group. The fluoxetine significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased dopamine level in hippocampus (Figure 6(e)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 6(f)) when compared to CUMS control group.

3.5.8. Brain NF-κB Levels. The one-way ANOVA test revealed significant difference ($F = 4.552; df = 6, 39; p < 0.01$) in hippocampal BDNF levels and significant difference ($F = 14.76; df = 6, 39; p < 0.001$) in prefrontal cortex BDNF levels between the treated groups. In further post hoc analysis, the CUMS control group showed significant ($p < 0.05$) decline in hippocampal (Figure 7(a)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 7(b)) BDNF levels when compared to no stress group. The lipid extract (125 mg/kg) produced significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in BDNF level in hippocampus (Figure 7(a)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 7(b)) when compared to CUMS control group. The nonstressed animals which received lipid extract 250 mg/kg showed no significant change in BDNF level in hippocampus (Figure 7(a)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 7(b)) when compared to no stress group. The fluoxetine significantly ($p < 0.001$) increased BDNF level in hippocampus (Figure 7(a)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 7(b)) when compared to CUMS control group.

3.5.7. Brain IL-6 Levels. The one-way ANOVA test revealed significant difference ($F = 9.537; df = 6, 39; p < 0.001$) in hippocampal IL-6 levels and significant difference ($F = 11.48; df = 6, 39; p < 0.001$) in prefrontal cortex IL-6 levels between the treated groups. In further post hoc analysis, the CUMS control group showed significant ($p < 0.001$) increase in hippocampal (Figure 7(c)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 7(d)) IL-6 levels when compared to no stress group. The lipid extract produced significant ($p < 0.05$) decrease in IL-6 levels in hippocampus (Figure 7(c)) at 500 mg/kg and in prefrontal cortex at 250 and 500 mg/kg (Figure 7(d)) when compared to CUMS control group. The nonstressed animals which received lipid extract 250 mg/kg showed no significant change in IL-6 levels in hippocampus (Figure 7(c)) and prefrontal cortex (Figure 7(d)) when compared to no stress group. The fluoxetine did not produce any significant change in IL-6 levels in hippocampus (Figure 7(c)) but significantly ($p < 0.001$) reduced it in prefrontal cortex (Figure 7(d)) when compared to CUMS control group.

4. Discussion

4.1. Body Weight. The CUMS procedure decreased the body weight of animals similar to the previously reported findings [47, 48]. At week 6, the lipid extract at all doses significantly prevented the decrease in body weight of animals which was induced by CUMS procedure. The nonstressed animals which received lipid extract 250 mg/kg for 6 weeks did not show any significant weight variation when compared with no stress group. The treatment with fluoxetine significantly prevented the decrease in body weight induced by CUMS procedure at week 6, similar to previously reported findings [49, 50]. These results indicate that the CUMS procedure had profound effect on body weight of animals and chronic administration of lipid extract at 250 mg/kg for 6 weeks does not have any significant effect on body weight per se. These results collectively suggest that the lipid extract has a countering effect on chronic unpredictable mild stress.

4.2. Sucrose Preference Test. The results of sucrose preference test indicated that the CUMS protocol produced decreased sucrose preference at week 2 in all the rats subjected to CUMS protocol, suggesting anhedonic effect [13, 14]. Anhedonia refers to the decreased ability to carry out or perceive reward-related behaviors [51]. Consumption of sweet solution is a reward-related behavior in animals [15]. The chronic mild stress procedures employed in our study affected that reward-related behavior and produced a depressive-like effect similar to the previously reported findings [13, 15, 17]. Chronic treatment with the antidepressant drug fluoxetine reversed that anhedonic effect, similar to previously reported findings [38, 52]. The chronic treatment with lipid extract (125, 250 and 500 mg/kg) produced gradual and significant reversal of
Figure 7: Effect of lipid extract of *C. striatus* fillets and fluoxetine on BDNF level in hippocampus (a) and BDNF level in prefrontal cortex (b), IL-6 level in hippocampus (c), IL-6 level in prefrontal cortex (d), NF-κB level in hippocampus (e), and NF-κB level in prefrontal cortex (f) in rats subjected to chronic unpredictable mild stress model of depression. Data represent mean ± SEM (*n* = 6–8). *p* < 0.05, **p** < 0.01, and ***p*** < 0.001 when compared with no stress group; *p* < 0.05, **p** < 0.01, and ***p*** < 0.001 when compared with CUMS control group. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test.
decreased sucrose preference induced by CUMS protocol, at week 6, suggesting an antidepressant-like effect [13, 17]. The nonstressed animal group which received the lipid extract 250 mg/kg dose showed no significant variation in sucrose preference when compared to no stress group, suggesting that the lipid extract at 250 mg/kg per se does not have any significant influence on sucrose consumption by rats.

4.3. Forced Swimming Test (FST). In FST, the CUMS control group exhibited significant increase in duration of immobility suggesting a depressive-like behavior in stressed animals. In previous studies, rats subjected to CUMS protocol exhibited increased duration of immobility in FST [43, 53]. Therefore, our results are similar to the results of previously reported studies. The lipid extract showed significant antidepressant-like effect by reducing the duration of immobility at 250 and 500 mg/kg in stressed rats and at 250 mg/kg in the nonstressed rats. The effect does not appear to be dose-dependent. Fluoxetine showed very significant antidepressant-like effect, similar to previous studies [54, 55]. The effects of lipid extract are comparable to the effect of fluoxetine.

4.4. Open Field Test (OFT). Agents that increase the locomotor activity in open field test, including psychomotor stimulants, convulsants, and anticholinergics, tend to produce a false positive result in FST [56]. Therefore, locomotor activity was assessed in rats in the open field test to rule out any psychomotor stimulant activity [57]. The major difference between the antidepressants and the psychomotor stimulants is that the antidepressants would not cause significant increase in motor activity [58]. The results of our study indicated that the CUMS procedure significantly decreased the exploratory activity in the CUMS control group. Previous studies indicated that the CUMS procedure decreased the exploratory activity in open field test [39, 43, 48]. Furthermore, our results indicated that the treatment with fluoxetine (10 mg/kg) for 4 weeks reversed the decreased exploratory activity induced by CUMS procedure in open field test. A previous study indicated that chronic treatment with fluoxetine at 10 mg/kg in rats successfully reversed the decreased exploratory activity induced by chronic mild stress procedure [59]. Therefore, our results are similar to the previously reported findings. No increased exploratory activity was observed in the open field test in animals treated with lipid extract at all doses, suggesting that the decreased immobility in the FST is not due to any psychomotor stimulant activity, thereby confirming the antidepressant-like effect observed in FST.

4.5. Biochemical Analysis

4.5.1. Plasma Corticosterone Levels. The corticosterone is secreted from adrenal cortex in response to adrenocorticotropic hormone released from anterior pituitary gland. Under stressful situations, the secretion of corticosterone is increased. The secretion of corticosterone is highly regulated by its own negative-feedback mechanism [60]. The CUMS control group showed significant increase in plasma corticosterone similar to a previously published study [61].

Our study design included forced swim test at the end of CUMS protocol since chronic mild stress procedure produced depressive-like behavior in FST in a previous study [53]. In our study, after the forced swim test, the animals were sacrificed and blood was collected and analyzed for plasma corticosterone. The forced swim test in rats was reported to produce an increase in corticosterone levels [62]. The CUMS paradigm was also reported to produce increased corticosterone levels in rats [63, 64]. These results suggest that CUMS protocol has significant effect on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis of rats. Hence, our findings are consistent with the previous findings.

The antidepressant drug fluoxetine attenuated the increase in plasma corticosterone level induced by CUMS paradigm in stressed rats consistent with a previous finding [65]. In our study, the lipid extract (250 and 500 mg/kg) attenuated the increase in plasma corticosterone level induced by CUMS paradigm in stressed rats. These results indicate that the lipid extract has significant effect on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, particularly on the plasma level of corticosterone. Further, the results indicated that the effect of lipid extract (250 mg/kg) on plasma corticosterone is comparable to that of fluoxetine.

Previous studies indicate that the ω-3 fatty acids have significant effect on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in stressed rats [41, 66]. The lipid extract was found to contain around 5% of docosahexaenoic acid (data not shown). Hence, the role of docosahexaenoic acid in reducing the plasma corticosterone level may be anticipated. Since corticosterone secretion is the end point of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, further studies are required to explore the effects of lipid extract on other molecular gateways of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis.

4.5.2. Plasma Oxytocin Level. Oxytocin has been linked with depression [67]. A previous study indicated the antidepressant-like effect of oxytocin in animals [68]. Therefore, we evaluated plasma oxytocin level in this study. However, a recent study indicated that exposure to chronic mild stress in male rats did not alter the release of plasma oxytocin induced by 8-OH DPAT ((±)-8-Hydroxy-2-(dipropylamino)tetrinal) [69]. Similarly, our results also indicated no significant change in plasma oxytocin levels between CUMS control group and no stress group suggesting no significant effect of CUMS on plasma oxytocin level. The lipid extract produced no significant change in oxytocin levels, although a slight decrease was observed in no stress group treated with lipid extract 250 mg/kg. Our study did not analyze central oxytocin level. Hence, further studies are required to know the effect of CUMS protocol and lipid extract on the central oxytocin level.

4.5.3. Brain Monoamine Levels. The involvement of monoamines such as serotonin, noradrenaline, and dopamine in the pathogenesis of depression has been described previously [70, 71]. The existing antidepressants work by increasing the
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4.5.4. Brain BDNF Level. BDNF is considered as a critical marker and mediator of mood disorders, particularly major depression and assumed to be involved in the etiology, pathogenesis, and treatment response to antidepressants [77, 78]. Chronic administration of antidepressant drugs increases the expression of BDNF in prefrontal cortex and hippocampus of depressed individuals [79]. Therefore, the BDNF levels in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex of rats were studied. Our study results revealed that CUMS protocol reduced the BDNF expression in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex of rats, consistent with previously reported findings [63, 80]. Fluoxetine increased BDNF level in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex similar to a previous study [81].

Fish oil (12% EPA and 18% DHA) supplementation at 3 g/day was reported to produce increased BDNF expression in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex of rats [82]. In rats administered with krill oil (enriched with ω-3 fatty acids), upregulation of BDNF was observed in hippocampus [83]. Dietary supplementation with DHA increased pro and mature BDNF levels in rat hippocampus [84]. Similar results were obtained in our study with lipid extract at 125 mg/kg dose which was found to contain 5.85% DHA and 23.38% oleic acid (data not shown). The maximum effect was observed at the lowest dose used in our study. Therefore, our results are consistent with previously reported findings about ω-3 fatty acids and supporting the antidepressant-like effect observed in sucrose preference test and FST.

4.5.5. Brain IL-6 Levels. Elevated plasma proinflammatory IL-6 levels were reported in patients with major depression [85, 86]. Evidence indicates that chronic mild stress for 4 weeks in rats produced elevated IL-6 level in hippocampus and cortex of rats [32, 87]. Hence, in this study, we chose to study IL-6 level in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex of rats. In line with the previous reports [32, 87], in our study, CUMS protocol increased the proinflammatory IL-6 in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. This inflammatory response was effectively countered by the lipid extract of C. striatus, especially at 500 mg/kg in both hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, suggesting a potential anti-inflammatory effect of the lipid extract of C. striatus. The lipid extract at 125 mg/kg was ineffective in reducing the IL-6 level both in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. The anti-inflammatory effect of lipid extract appears to be dose-dependent with maximum effect that occurred at maximum dose.

4.5.6. Brain NF-κB Levels. NF-κB is a critical mediator of inflammatory processes [88] and upregulation of NF-κB activity has been observed in chronic stress [89, 90]. Cytokines such as IL-1β activate NF-κB signaling to constitute an inflammatory response [91]. NF-κB was found to be involved in the activation of the IL-6 gene [92]. Munhoz et al. reported that chronic unpredictable stress potentiated the increase in NF-κB in the frontal cortex of rats [90]. Hence, it is expected that CUMS paradigm may increase NF-κB level and subsequently IL-6 level. Similar results were obtained in our study. The CUMS protocol increased the NF-κB level in both hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. This suggests that the CUMS protocol induced an inflammatory response in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex of rats. Fluoxetine decreased NF-κB level in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex of rats consistent with previous findings [93, 94]. The lipid extract markedly decreased the NF-κB activation in prefrontal cortex but not significantly in hippocampus. The decrease in the levels of NF-κB in the prefrontal cortex is very striking. Previous studies showed that ω-3 fatty acids
suppressed the NF-κB activation [95, 96]. Hence, our results are consistent with previously reported findings and suggest an anti-inflammatory effect of lipid extract of *C. striatus*. Furthermore, our results indicated that similar pattern of response was observed in IL-6 and NF-κB protein levels in prefrontal cortex of rats, suggesting a link between IL-6 and NF-κB, as reported previously [92]. Further studies are required to assess the role of IL-6 and NF-κB in rat prefrontal cortex in chronic stress and depression.

Collectively, the mechanisms of action appear to stem from the ability of lipid extract of *C. striatus* to inhibit the activation of NF-κB. Inhibition of NFκB activity might have reduced IL-6 synthesis [92], subsequently leading to decrease in the plasma corticosterone level since IL-6 was reported to activate hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and increase corticosterone release [97]. Cytokines, and their signaling pathways, were reported to reduce the availability of monoamine neurotransmitters at synaptic cleft by increasing the reuptake of monoamine neurotransmitters in the brain [98, 99]. A recent study by Kong et al. indicated that IL-6 decreased serotonin transporter in JAR cell line and mouse hippocampus [100]. Therefore, with reduction in IL-6 levels, increase in serotonin content at the synaptic cleft (extracellular serotonin) may be expected. Similar effect was observed in our study. The lipid extract at 500 mg/kg inhibited NF-κB in rat prefrontal cortex and subsequently reduced IL-6 in prefrontal cortex and increased serotonin level in prefrontal cortex, thus suggesting that the action of lipid extract may stem from its ability to inhibit NF-κB and IL-6. However, this cannot be concluded from this study. Further studies are required to explore the effect of unsaturated fatty acids in the lipid extract of *C. striatus* on the molecular pathways interconnecting serotonin, NF-κB, and IL-6 in prefrontal cortex of rats.

5. Conclusion

This study demonstrated the antidepressant-like effect of lipid extract of *C. striatus* in chronic unpredictable mild stress model of depression in male rats through sucrose preference test and forced swimming test. Furthermore, the mechanism of the observed antidepressant-like effect was found to be mediated through decrease in plasma corticosterone, increase in serotonin levels in prefrontal cortex, increase in dopamine and noradrenaline levels in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, increase in BDNF in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, and decrease in IL-6 and NF-κB in prefrontal cortex.
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