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Exploring the Therapeutic Ability of Fenugreek against Type 2 Diabetes and Breast Cancer Employing Molecular Docking and Molecular Dynamics Simulations
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Fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum) is used as a spice throughout the world. It is known for its medicinal properties such as antidiabetic, anticarcinogenic, and immunological activities. The present study shows the properties and the nutritional quality of fenugreek seed extract and focuses on screening of active compounds in drug designing for type 2 diabetes and breast cancer. Quantitative analysis was used to calculate the percentages of protein, carbohydrates moisture, fatty acid, galactomannan, oil, and amino acid. Phytochemical analysis revealed the presence of flavonoids, terpenoids, phenols, proteins, saponins, and tannins in fenugreek seed extracts. Molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulation-based computational drug discovery methods were employed to address the role of fenugreek seed constituents against type 2 diabetes and breast cancer. The computational results reveal that the compound galactomannan can be ascribed as potential drug candidate against breast cancer and type 2 diabetes rendered by higher molecular dock scores, stable molecular dynamics (MD) simulations results, and lower binding energy calculations.

1. Introduction

The legume fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum) is a short annual plant from the Fabaceae family [1, 2]. The name Trigonella foenum-graecum is a Latin-Greek name as it bears a typical triangular shaped flowers and is employed as a common fodder for animals in Greece [1]. It is found in various parts of the globe and is often used as spice, condiment, and medication [3, 4]. Largely, fenugreek leaves and seeds have been used as spices in different parts of the globe. In Africa, fenugreek is used as supplement during bread preparation and the seed components of fenugreek are known to enhance the nutritional quality of the bread. In India, the leaves and seeds are utilized as favouring and seasoning agents [1]. In China, it is used as cure edema, while the ancient Egyptians employed fenugreek to incense the mummies [1, 5, 6]. Additionally, fenugreek is used as a medicine to treat several diseases besides being used as antioxidant [7], against inflammation [8, 9], as anticancer [10], as hepatoprotective agent [11, 12], as antibacterial [13–15], and as antifungal [16]. Additionally, fenugreek is also used as off-season fodder and animal food supplement [17].

Fenugreek seeds are widely studied part of the plant. The powdered fenugreek is used as condiment and the seed endosperm serves to secure fenugreek gum [1]. The seeds have a strong aroma with bitter taste [18]. The major chemical constituents found in fenugreek seed are galactomannan (fibre), diosgenin (sapogenin), trigonelline (alkaloid), and 4-hydroxyisoleucine that have the antidiabetic properties and are also employed to treat breast cancer [19].
2. Materials and Methods

Fenugreek seeds were used as a sample to test the medicinal properties. Fenugreek seeds were sourced from a local market (Hyderabad, India) and were of high quality grade. They were shade dried, cleaned, and finely powdered and used for chemical analysis.

2.1. Biochemical Analysis. The biochemical studies were carried out to identify the protein content, total soluble carbohydrates, oil content and fatty acids values, free amino acids, and soluble fibres from the collected seed samples.

2.1.1. Estimation of Total Protein. Percentage of proteinaceous nitrogen and proteins was estimated by the Micro-kjeldahl method [40]. Proteinaceous nitrogen was calculated by the following formula.

\[
\% \text{ of Nitrogen} = \frac{(T - B) \times N \times 10 \times \frac{1.4}{28} \times S}{28} \times 10 \times 1.4
\]

\(T\) is titration reading of the sample, 
\(B\) is blank reading of the sample, 
\(S\) is the amount of sample taken in grams, 
\(N\) is normality of hydrochloric acid (N/28).

To calculate the percentage of protein, the nitrogen value was multiplied by the factor 6.25.

2.1.2. Estimation of Total Carbohydrate. Total carbohydrate content of the seed samples was estimated by the procedure suggested by Loewis (1952) [41]. Anthrone reagent was used and the developed colour was read at 620nm in a colorimeter against blank.

2.1.3. Estimation of Oil Content. Total oil content of the said spices was estimated as suggested by Meara (1955) [42]. Percentage of oil was calculated by following formula:

\[
\% \text{ of Oil} = \frac{Wo}{Ws} \times 100
\]

\(Wo\) is the weight of oil extracted, 
\(Ws\) is the weight of seed taken.

2.1.4. Estimation of Fatty Acid Value. Method used to estimate the fatty acid value is suggested by Meara (1955) [42]. Fatty acid value was calculated using the formula

\[
\text{Fatty acid value} = U \times \frac{56.1}{W}
\]

\(U\) is the volume of titration of 0.1 n KOH, 
\(W\) is the grams of oil taken.
2.1.5. Isolation of Amino Acids. Column chromatography was used to isolate free amino acids from fenugreek seeds [43].

To find the concentration of 4-hydroxyisoleucine, first the total amino acid content was determined by using spectrophotometric method. Then the relative concentration of 4-hydroxyisoleucine in the mixture of amino acid was determined by high performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC).

2.1.6. Isolation of Galactomannans. Extraction and isolation of the water-soluble polysaccharides (galactomannans) from endosperm of fenugreek seeds were done using the procedure of Kooiman (1971) [44].

2.1.7. Estimation of Moisture Percentage. Moisture content of seeds was estimated by "Dry air oven" method association of official analytical chemists (AOAC) (anonymous, 1947)[45] and the percentage was calculated from the following formula:

\[
\text{% moisture} = \frac{\text{fresh weight of the seed}}{\text{dry wt. of the seed}} \times 100
\]

2.2. Molecular Docking, Simulations, and Free Energy Calculations. To further assess the suitability of the compounds as antidiabetic and potential breast cancer agents, the investigation proceeds employing the computational methods such as molecular docking recruiting CDOCKER available on Discovery Studio (DS) v4.5, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations conducted using GROMACS v5.0, which was followed by MM/PBSA calculations.

2.2.1. Molecular Docking. For the execution of the docking protocol, the proteins for both the diseases were imported from protein data bank (PDB) of high resolution. The protein with the PDB id 3EQM (2.9Å) was chosen for breast cancer and 1GFY (2.1Å) was elected for type 2 diabetes, respectively. These proteins were prepared on DS by initiating the clean protein module embedded with the DS and subsequently heteroatoms together with the water molecules were dislodged and the addition of hydrogens was performed adapting the CHARMM force field accessible on the DS. The active sites were selected in accordance with the co-crystal geometry, thereby, considering the residues around 10 Å radius [46, 47].

Phytochemicals along with the type 2 diabetic and breast cancer drugs, canagliflozin [48] and anastrozole [49], were used to comparatively evaluate the effect of the prospective drug molecules on the diseases labelling the latter as reference drug. These compounds were imported onto the DS to obtain their 3D structures and were subsequently minimized. The prepared proteins and the ligands were subjected to molecular docking studies employing the CDOCKER protocol.

CDOCKER available on the DS happens to be the most reliable method as it employs the CHARMM-based dynamics methods [50]. Subsequently, 30 conformations were allowed to be generated for each ligand, while the other parameters were set at default. The results were evaluated based upon the higher –CDOCKER interaction energy and higher –CDOCKER energy that significantly correspond to the favourable binding. The most appropriate binding mode was judged by the maximum clusters formed and was therefore subjected to MD simulations to understand its dynamic behaviour.

2.2.2. MD Simulations. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed for the favourable systems obtained after docking using GROMACS 5.0 with CHARMM27 force field. Ligand topologies were generated adapting the Swiss-Param [51]. All the parameters were attributed as described earlier [52–56]. Dodecahedron water box was generated and the systems were solvated comprising three-site transferrable intermolecular potential (TIP3P) water model, to which the counter ions were added. The system was energy minimized with steepest descent algorithm with 10000 steps which was then subjected to equilibration using constant number N, volume V, and temperature T (NVT) [57] and constant number N, pressure P, and temperature T (NPT) [58]. During this process, the protein backbone was restrained and the periodic boundary conditions were fostered to avoid bad effects. Thereafter, the MD run was conducted for 10 ns, saving the data for every one picosecond (ps). Visual molecular dynamics (VMD)[59] and DS were utilized to analyse the MD results.

2.2.3. Binding Free Energy Calculations. Molecular Mechanics/Poisson Boltzmann Surface Area (MM/PBSA) was recruited to compute the binding free energy calculations [60, 61]. 10 snapshots were evenly extracted from the MD trajectories of the protein ligand complex. A variety of energetic values were calculated using

\[
\Delta G_{\text{binding}} = G_{\text{complex}} - (G_{\text{protein}} + G_{\text{ligand}})
\]

\[
G = G_{\text{MM}} + G_{\text{solvation}}
\]

\[
E_{\text{MM}} = E_{\text{bonded}} + E_{\text{non-bonded}} = E_{\text{bonded}} + (E_{\text{vdw}} + E_{\text{elec}})
\]

\[
G_{\text{solvation}} = G_{\text{polar}} + G_{\text{non-polar}}
\]

\[
G_{\text{non-polar}} = \gamma SASA + b
\]

3. Results

3.1. Biochemical Analysis. The total seed percentage revealed that galactomannans and 4-hydroxyisoleucine were present in 26.4 and 13 percentages, respectively, as in Table 1.

Further phytochemical screening of acetone seed extract of fenugreek was carried out to test the presence of tannins, phenols, terpenoids, flavonoids, saponins, and alkaloids [62] and are tabulated in Table 2.

Test for flavonoids: 1 ml of extract in a test tube and 5ml of diluted ammonium solution were added followed by few drops of concentrated sulphuric acid. Formation of yellow colour indicated the presence of flavonoids [62].
1\% ferric chloride solution was added to 1 ml of extract of greek seed. This indicated the presence of tannins (ferric chloride test) when concentrated sulphuric acid were added to it. On the inner face, a reddish-brown colour was formed that indicated the presence of terpenoids [62].

**Test for tannins:** Formation of reddish-brown colour indicated the presence of tannins (ferric chloride test) when 1\% ferric chloride solution was added to 1 ml of extract of fenugreek seeds [62].

**Test for terpenoids:** To find out the presence of terpenoids, Salkowski test was conducted. 1 ml of extract was taken and dissolved in chloroform and then a few drops of Dragendorffa's reagent was added (potassium bismuth iodide solution) to 1 ml of extract [62].

**Test for alkaloids:** Dragendorff’s test results indicated the presence of alkaloids by giving orange-red precipitate, when 1 ml of Dragendorff’s reagent was added (potassium bismuth iodide solution) to 1 ml of extract [62].

**Test for saponins:** Frothing test was conducted to test for saponins in the seed extract. 1 ml of extract was vigorously shaken with distilled water and was allowed to stand for 10 min. Stable froth indicated the presence of saponins [62].

### 3.2. Molecular Docking, Simulations, and Free Energy Calculations

**3.2.1. Molecular Docking Studies.** Molecular docking was executed independently for diabetes and breast cancer. The ligands along with their respective proteins were docked to assess their binding affinities. It was interesting to note that 4-hydroxyisoleucine has generated a relatively lower dock score while galactomannan produced higher dock score as compared to their respective reference compounds, as in Table 3. Therefore, 4-hydroxyisoleucine was refrained from further calculations and the other systems were proceeded forward.

**3.2.2. Molecular Dynamics Simulations.** To secure the results obtained from the docking, the MD simulations were performed to establish the most reliable ligand-receptor complex and additionally to understand their behaviour at proteins active site [52, 53]. The MD for 10 ns was initiated and the behaviour of each system was monitored. Accordingly, root mean square deviation (RMSD), root mean square fluctuation (RMSF), and potential energies were calculated for each system. The RMSD for the breast cancer systems were observed to be stable after 4000 ps with no significant variation, thereafter, implying that the system is well converged, as in Figure 1. Moreover, the RMSD values were demonstrated to be less than 0.25 nm. Similar results were noted with RMSF values as well, as in Figure 2. The potential energy further states that there were no abnormal behaviours of the systems which were stable throughout the simulations, as in Figure 3. The last 5ns trajectories were retrieved to study the binding mode analysis. Upon superimposition, it was conceived that the binding mode pattern of the reference and the galactomannan were similar, as in Figure 4. The interactions of the ligand with the protein were evaluated with the key residues located at the active site. The reference compound anastrozole was seen to form a hydrogen bond with the NH atom of Met374 residue, joined by N5 atom with the O1 atom of the ligand with a bond distance of 2.9 Å. Phel134 was found to form the $\pi - \pi$ with the ligand molecule. Galactomannan was found to interact with the protein by forming 7 hydrogen bonds. The O13 atom of the ligand has interacted with the hydrogen bond of Arg115 with a bond length of 2.8 Å. The HH21 atom of Arg115 has interacted with the O14 atom of the ligand with a bond length of 2.5 Å. The O atom of Ile132 has joined with H62 of the ligand displaying a bond length of 2.6 Å. Another hydrogen bond was observed between the HH11 atom of Arg145 and the O14 atom of the ligand with a length of 2.0 Å. The OD2 atom of the residue Asp309 has interacted with the H57 of the ligand with a bond distance of 2.8 Å. The O atom of the Cys437 residue has interacted with the H63 atom of the ligand with a distance of 2.5 Å. The details of the interaction are represented in Figure 5 and Table 4. Furthermore, the intermolecular hydrogen bond interactions were recorded during the simulations to elucidate deposition of the ligand within the active site. It was observed that the reference molecule displayed an average of 0.3 hydrogen bonds, while those within 0.35 nm were observed to be 0.7, as in Figure 6.
Table 4: The molecular interactions between the compounds and the protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no.</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Ligand Atom</th>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Amino acid atom</th>
<th>Bond length (Å)</th>
<th>Hydrophobic interactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>anastrozole</td>
<td>N5</td>
<td>Met374</td>
<td>HN</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Ile133, Asp309, Val370, Leu372, Val373, Pro429, Phe430, Cys437, Leu477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>galactomannan</td>
<td>O13</td>
<td>Arg115</td>
<td>HH22</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Ala306, Asp309, Phe430.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O15</td>
<td>Arg115</td>
<td>HH21</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H62</td>
<td>Ile132</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O14</td>
<td>Arg145</td>
<td>HH11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H57</td>
<td>Asp309</td>
<td>OD2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H53</td>
<td>Met374</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H63</td>
<td>Cys437</td>
<td>SG</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While the candidate molecule demonstrated an average of 1.3 hydrogen bonds and the bonds within 0.35 nm were 4.4, as in Figure 7.

Similar types of calculations were determined for the type 2 diabetes disease target and its respective ligands. The RMSD was recorded to be stable after 7000 ps for both the reference and galactomannan. Further, it was noted that the RMSD of the reference was established to be within 0.2 nm while the drug-like molecule demonstrated a RMSD within 0.15 nm, as in Figure 8. However, no major fluctuations were noticed during the simulations referring to the stability of the systems. The same results were depicted through the RMSF, as in Figure 9, and the potential energy calculations, as in Figure 10. Therefore, to examine the binding mode of the ligand molecules, the last 5 ns trajectories were extracted and were superimposed. The results represented a similar binding mode between the reference and the galactomannan, as in Figure 11. Furthermore, intermolecular interactions were inspected with the key residues residing at the active site. It revealed that the reference molecule has formed three hydrogen bonds with the active site residues. The F2 of the ligand has interacted with the HG atom of Cys215 with bond length of 2.6 Å. The other two hydrogen bonds are formed with HN and HE atoms of Arg221 and 2.1 Å each. Tyr46 and Phe182 have been involved with the π – π interactions. On the contrary, Galactomannan on the other hand generated eight hydrogen bonds, two hydrogen bonds with Lys120 and Asp181 amino acid residues and one hydrogen bond with Arg221, Ser216, Gln262, and Gln266, respectively. The details of the interactions are tabulated in Figure 12 and Table 5. Furthermore, the intermolecular hydrogen bonds were evaluated throughout the simulations. The average hydrogen bonds were computed to be 0.09 and those within 0.35 nm were...
found to be 0.7, as in Figure 13. The prospective drug molecule however has represented average hydrogen bonds of 3.9 while the bonds within 0.35 nm were enumerated to be 4.4 projecting the superiority of galactomannan, as in Figure 14.

3.3. Binding Free Energy Analysis. Binding free energies are computed after the MD simulations that inspect protein fluctuations and ligand conformations thereby ensuring a suitable positioning of the ligand within the binding site. The MM/PBSA calculations have produced a favourable ΔG that ranged between -10 to 100 kJ/mol for breast cancer target, as in Figure 15. Furthermore, the average binding energy produced by reference was -42.45 kJ/mol while that generated by galactomannan was -47.95 kJ/mol, respectively, as in Table 6.

The binding free energies were subsequently calculated for canagliflozin-protein and galactomannan-protein systems for type 2 diabetes. 10 snapshots were evenly extracted and the binding energies were computed accordingly. The binding energies ranged between -15 kJ/mol and -100 kJ/mol, as in Figure 16. Additionally, it was observed that the average binding energy was calculated as -51.75 kJ/mol for the reference and -68.11 kJ/mol for galactomannan, as in Table 7.

From the results, it is evident that galactomannan has represented higher –CDOCKER interaction energy values and lower binding free energies than their respective reference compounds. These results demonstrate that galactomannan has stronger binding affinities than the reference inhibitors.
Table 5: The molecular interactions between the compounds and the protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Ligand Atom</th>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Amino acid atom</th>
<th>Bond length Å</th>
<th>Hydrophobic interactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>canagliflozin</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>Cys215</td>
<td>HG</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Lys120, Lys116, Ser216, Gly218, Ile219, Gly220, Ala217, Gln262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>Arg221</td>
<td>HN</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>Arg221</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>galactomannan</td>
<td>O9</td>
<td>Lys120</td>
<td>HZ2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O3</td>
<td>Lys120</td>
<td>HZ1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H66</td>
<td>Asp181</td>
<td>OD1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Tyr46, Lys116, Phe182, Gly183, Cys215, Ser216, Gly218, Ile219, Gly220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H64</td>
<td>Asp181</td>
<td>OD1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O14</td>
<td>Arg221</td>
<td>HN</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O16</td>
<td>Ser216</td>
<td>HN</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H62</td>
<td>Gln262</td>
<td>OEl</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O13</td>
<td>Gln266</td>
<td>HE22</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Comparative assessment between dock scores and the binding energies of breast cancer systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no.</th>
<th>Name of the compound</th>
<th>-CDOCKER interaction energy</th>
<th>Average binding energy (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>anastrozole</td>
<td>34.05</td>
<td>-42.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>galactomannan</td>
<td>58.15</td>
<td>-47.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

In the present study, the seed extract showed the presence of proteins, carbohydrates, fatty acids, oils, saponin, flavonoids, tannins, terpenoids, alkaloids, soluble fibre galactomannan, and amino acid 4 hydroxyisoleucine (Tables 1 and 2). Some chemicals screened are similar to the work done by Yadav R. et al. 2014 [63].

Out of these chemicals, the special interest in this investigation is on the percentages of soluble fibre galactomannan 26.4 % and free amino acids 4 hydroxyisoleucine 13% and the presence saponins, as these are linked to human health benefits mainly in the reduction of plasma glucose levels and anticancer activities [64].

In order to further evaluate molecular inhibitory effect of the selected phytochemicals, the investigation proceeds in silico. Computational results have revealed that the phytochemical 4 hydroxyisoleucine could not induce the inhibitory activity against both the diseases. Although reports exist to explain its antidiabetic and antibreast cancer activity, the present finding foretells its inability as an inhibitor [31, 65]. Therefore, this amino acid was not forwarded for further studies. The other compound galactomannan has proved to be potential against both the diseases. This was represented by the RMSD, RMSF, and the potential energy values. The results were found to be unaltered as compared with the reference throughout the simulations. Moreover, the binding energies of the prospective drug molecules are found to be less, while rendering the highest –CDOCKER interaction energies. It is documented from the previous reports regarding the role of breast cancer inhibitors on diabetes mellitus as there exists a linkage between them [66, 67]. All the above results conclude that galactomannan could be considered as a potential drug for both the diseases.
Chemically, galactomannan is a polysaccharide molecule comprising a mannose backbone and the galactose side groups, hence, the name. More precisely they exist with 1-6 alpha-D-galactopyranose linkage. However, in fenugreek, mannose and galactose are linked by 1:1 linkage. Upon observing the docking conformations, it can be elucidated that the galactose side groups have involved in forming the hydrogen bond interaction with the active side residues, with the ring structures of the mannose involved in the formation of the $\pi$ bond interactions.

In conclusion, the present study has examined the active components of fenugreek seeds against two common but different diseases, viz-a-viz: type-2 diabetes and breast cancer, using a well-established computational drug discovery method. The chemical composition of fenugreek seeds was assessed, and galactomannan and 4-hydroxyisoleucine were identified as major components and are similar to previous studies [68]. The therapeutic potential of these two identified active components was further assessed using molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulations. Our results
identify galactomannan as a potential active component of fenugreek seeds, with a docking score compared to established drugs such as canagliflozin and anastrozole in binding simulations of therapeutics against type-2 diabetes and breast cancer, respectively. These results establish galactomannan, derived from fenugreek seeds, as a potential candidate for further drug discovery experiments in establishing their value as therapeutics against type-2 diabetes and breast cancer.
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Table 7: Comparative assessment between dock scores and the binding energies type 2 diabetes systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. no.</th>
<th>Name of the compound</th>
<th>-CDOCKER interaction energy</th>
<th>Average binding energy (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>canagliflozin</td>
<td>36.55</td>
<td>-51.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>galactomannan</td>
<td>43.19</td>
<td>-68.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13: Graphical depiction of number of intermolecular hydrogen bond interactions between the protein and the reference compound.

Figure 14: Graphical depiction of number of intermolecular hydrogen bond interactions between the protein and the candidate compound.

Figure 15: MM/PBSA binding energy representation of the reference and the candidate compound.

Figure 16: MM/PBSA binding energy representation of the reference and the candidate compound.

References


Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning.


