

## **Review** Article

# Effects of Cardiac Rehabilitation Training in Patients with Heart Failure Based on Traditional Chinese Exercise: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Fang Yao<sup>1</sup>, Yang Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaohong Kuang<sup>1</sup>, Qi Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Lihua Huang<sup>1</sup>, Jiazhu Peng<sup>1</sup>, Kun Hou<sup>1</sup>, and Shizheng Du<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nursing, Zhangjiagang TCM Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Nursing, Nanjing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xiaohong Kuang; 381635345@qq.com

Received 3 June 2021; Revised 1 October 2021; Accepted 28 October 2021; Published 15 November 2021

Academic Editor: Jing-Yu (Benjamin) Tan

Copyright © 2021 Fang Yao et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background and Objective. Traditional Chinese exercise (TCE) includes a variety of training methods. At present, more researchers focus the TCE as an alternative therapy of exercise rehabilitation in patients with heart failure (HF). Although studies show that TCE is considered a new approach in cardiac rehabilitation, the method has not yet been included in the domestic and international guidelines for managing HF, suggesting that the efficacy of TCE needs to be further explored. Currently, no systematic review or meta-analysis has been conducted to assess the effects of TCE-based cardiac rehabilitation training in patients with HF. In order to address this knowledge gap, this meta-analysis aimed to systematically evaluate the effects of cardiac rehabilitation training using the TCE on motor function, heart function, and quality of life in patients with HF. Methods. PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and three Chinese databases, namely, China National Knowledge Network, Wanfang, and China Biomedical Network, were searched from the time of their inceptions through August, 2021. We retrieved the studies on the application of TCE-based cardiac rehabilitation in patients with HF. Based on the standard evaluation methods of Cochrane Reviewer's Handbook 5.1.0, two authors independently assessed the risk of bias and evaluated the methodological quality of the studies included. The RevMan 5.3 software was used for further meta-analysis. Additionally, the GRADEpro GDT web version was used to assess the quality of the evidence in these studies. Results. Nine randomized controlled trials involving 721 patients were included in this analysis. The meta-analysis revealed that the TCE (experimental group) effectively improved the patient's motor function and endurance compared to walking or other activities (control group) (mean difference, MD = 68.23, 95% CI [54.55,  $\{81.91\}$ ; P < 0.00001). From each subgroup analysis, the exercising ability of the experimental group was higher than that of the control group. The quality of life's score in the experimental group was lower than that of the control group (MD = -9.51, 95%CI [-17.84, -1.18]; P = 0.03). The plasma B-type natriuretic peptide content in the experimental group was lower than that in the control group (MD = -59.77, 95%CI [-82.85, -36.7]; P < 0.00001). The number of hospitalizations (MD = -0.83, 95%CI [-0.98, -0.68]; P < 0.00001) and hospital costs in the experimental group (MD = -1.6, 95%CI [-1.89, -1.31]; P < 0.00001) were lower than those in the control group. However, no significant differences were observed in the left ventricular ejection fraction and maximal oxygen consumption between the two groups (MD = 1.38, 95%CI [-3.08, 5.84] and P = 0.54; MD = -0.04, 95%CI [-1.62, 1.54] and P = 0.96, respectively). From the current analysis, TCE can be considered a relatively safe exercise method. According to the GRADE evaluation results on the evidence level, the studies included were of moderate quality, low quality, or very low quality. Conclusions. Our systematic review showed that TCE had potential benefits in improving patients' cardiac function, motor function, and quality of life. Therefore, TCE might be an effective adjuvant therapy in patients with HF. However, given the inclusion of the low-quality elucidations, further rigorous studies are urgently needed to confirm these results.

## 1. Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is mainly manifested by breathing difficulties during daily activities, generalized weakness, and liquid retention in the feet, legs, ankles, or stomach; it is a consequence of several cardiovascular diseases [1]. Considering the high morbidity, fatality rate, and rehospitalization rate, HF not only causes serious damage to the physical and psychological health and the living quality of the patients but also results in a serious economic burden to the families and society [2-4]. The prevention, treatment, and management of HF have become challenges to present and future research [5]. Based on the present studies [6, 7], specific exercise training and cardiac rehabilitation are important means to improve cardiac functions in patients with HF. This training constitutes a comprehensive medical treatment that integrates drug prescription, exercise plan, psychological scheme, diet plan, and risk factor control (including smoking cessation). Such an integrated approach is conducive to disease management and rehabilitation in patients with cardiovascular diseases at different stages. As a core therapy, cardiac rehabilitation and exercise rehabilitation have been proven safe and effective [8, 9], and this blended approach has advantages in improving cardiac function, strengthening exercise tolerance, and improving the quality of life in patients with HF. Chinese guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of heart failure 2018 included exercise rehabilitation (aerobic exercises) as a recommended intervention approach for chronic HF management [10].

Traditional Chinese exercise (TCE) is practiced as a widespread therapy for exercise rehabilitation; the people practicing these exercises can benefit from its long-term clinical effect [11]. The TCE generally includes Taiji, Baduanjin, Wuqinxi, Yijinjing, other life cultivation and health preservation techniques, and other relevant practices [12]. According to TCE theories, this therapy not only requires respondents to perform physical exercises but also emphasizes the accommodation of psychology. The TCE adjusts the whole body's motion of "qi," promoting blood circulation by constantly stimulating the acupoints and meridians, which can enhance the cardiac physiological function and promote cardiac disease recovery [13]. At the same time, TCE has the advantages of being simple and easy to learn with unlimited space and time and good compliance compared to the modern exercise rehabilitation regimen. Moreover, it can also meet the daily exercise needs in patients with HF. An earlier systematic review [14] confirmed that Taiji was an effective cardiac rehabilitation practice for patients with HF. Chen et al. [15] reported that Taiji and Qigong practices were promising rehabilitation therapies; however, some of their conclusions were based on a large heterogeneity, which was not confirmative. Therefore, the effects of TCE on heart failure remain unclear. Considering the growing number of randomized control trials on the TCE-based cardiac rehabilitation training used in HF patients, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis on the applications of TCE-based cardiac rehabilitation training in patients with HF. The research questions were as follows: (1) does the TCE-based cardiac rehabilitation

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

training have a better clinical effect on the HF when compared to aerobic exercises or daily activities? (2) How are the evidence levels of relevant studies' results?

### 2. Data and Methods

#### 2.1. Inclusion Criteria

2.1.1. Populations. Patients diagnosed with heart failure [16] and in a stable phase of the disease with no acute manifestations without considering the ejection fraction heart failure measurements were included in the study.

#### 2.1.2. Intervention Group

- Cardiac rehabilitation care: patients who were taking diuretics, vascular dilators, and digitalis correctly and undergoing disease observation, oxygen uptake monitoring, diet nursing, and mental nursing promptly were included in this analysis
- (2) Practicing TCE alone or in combination with Taiji, Baduanjin, Yijinjing, Wuqinxi, and Liuzijue was considered for this analysis
- (3) Intervention time of ≥3 months was included in this study

#### 2.1.3. Control Group

- Cardiac rehabilitation care: the patients who were taking diuretics, vascular dilators, and digitalis accurately and undergoing disease observation, oxygen uptake monitoring, diet nursing, and mental nursing promptly were considered for this meta-analysis
- (2) Performing aerobic exercises or maintaining daily activities with the conventional medication and guidance of health education was considered for this analysis

2.1.4. Research Type. Randomized controlled trial (RCT) studies analyzing the effects of traditional Chinese exercise regimens in patients with HF and publicly available were included.

#### 2.1.5. Outcome Indexes

*Primary Outcome Indexes.* The walking ability using a six-minute walk test (6MWT) and the quality of the patient's life using the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire (MLHFQ) were used

Secondary Outcome Indexes. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), maximal oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2</sub>max), and safety evaluation were used as secondary outcome variables

2.2. Exclusion Criteria. Exclusion criteria were defined as follows: (1) the patients with disrupted mobility, restricted independent body movement, and the structural valvular

disease or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were excluded; (2) the patients with the cognitive disorder or severe depression were omitted from the analysis; (3) repeated publications were removed; and (4) case reports, review articles, and animal experiments were removed from the analysis.

2.3. Literature Resources and Search Strategies. This review was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement. Chinese and foreign databases such as CNKI, Wanfang, Chinese Biological Medicine Network, PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Embase were used for the comprehensive online search. A combination of subject words and free words was used. The following search terms were used for the TCE: Taiji OR Tai chi OR Taijiquan OR Qigong OR Baduanjin OR Baduanjin exercise OR Eight Section Brocades OR Wuqinxi OR Five-animal exercises OR Liuzijue OR Yijinjing OR traditional exercises OR traditional exercise therapy. The following search terms were used for the HF: heart OR heart failure OR chronic cardiac insufficiency OR cardiac failure OR cardiac rehabilitation. Moreover, the references included in the studies retrieved primarily were searched again from the inception through August, 2021.

2.4. Literature Screening and Data Extraction. Two evaluators (LHH and JZP) screened the studies and extracted the data independently based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The titles and abstracts were examined first, and the unrelated articles were removed. Subsequently, the full texts were scrutinized, and more irrelevant records were removed. Then, a data extraction table was formatted. If any disagreement was present, the two evaluators negotiated to solve the disagreement. If an agreement could not be reached after the negotiation, a third researcher (QZ) was consulted to solve the disagreement. The data extraction contents were collated into a table following the Consort Statement and corresponding standards of the traditional medicine reports [17]; the contents mainly included (1) general data: titles of the studies, the first author, and the year of publishing; (2) research features: subjects involved, sample size, intervention measures, and the frequency of the intervention; and (3) primary and secondary outcome indexes.

2.5. Evaluation of the Risk of Bias in the Studies Included. This review followed the standards of Cochrane Reviewer's Handbook version 5.1.0, and the quality of the included methodologies was assessed [18]. The standards mainly included the following conditions: (1) whether the random method is appropriate; (2) whether it is hidden by the allocation plans; (3) whether the blind treatment is applied to the patient and the researchers; (4) whether the blinding is applied to the evaluators of outcome measures; (5) whether the bias is caused by the missing data; (6) whether the bias is caused by selective information; (7) whether other types of bias exist. On this basis, each index was assessed by the low and high bias risks or ambiguity (relative information missing or inexplicit bias). Two literature evaluators (KH and YZ) were invited for the independent determination, and the result data were checked repeatedly by crossing out. For comparing the results, a third researcher (QZ) was further referred to any disagreement, and the secondary analysis and assessment were performed to reach the final decision.

2.6. GRADE Classification. The GRADEpro GDT web page was employed to evaluate the evidence for the quality of research outcome used in the analysis. The evaluation standards included the limitations of the studies; inconsistencies of the results; and indirectness, precision, and publishing biases. Four recommendation grades were involved: "high," "moderate," "low," and "very low."

2.7. Data Analysis. Statistical analysis of data was carried out using the RevMan 5.3 software. Firstly, the existence of the clinical heterogeneities among different studies was assessed by the  $\chi^2$ -test and  $I^2$ -judgment. If a small heterogeneity was present (P > 0.1,  $I^2 < 50\%$ ), the fixed effects model (FEM) was used for the analysis. If clinical heterogeneity was present  $(P \le 0.1, I^2 \ge 50\%)$ , the heterogeneity source was discussed and the subgroup analysis was performed under the necessary conditions [19]. For various test results of the evident clinical heterogeneity, a random-effects model (REM) was employed for the analysis. The continuous variables used the mean difference (MD) or weight mean difference (WMD) as the effect analysis. The odds risk was determined for the classified variables, and a 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated by the effect analysis. The test standard was  $\alpha = 0.05$ . A funnel graph was drawn to evaluate the potential publication bias.

## 3. Results

3.1. Literature Review. A total of 1056 publications, including 149 publications in English and 907 publications in Chinese, were obtained through the preliminary database search and other means. We also checked the https:// ClinicalTrials.gov database, and four studies that are currently recruiting and analyzing were unable to be incorporated in this meta-analysis. After reading the titles and abstracts, the studies that were published repeatedly and showed disagreement with their topics were excluded. A total of 218 publications required full-text reading. Finally, nine publications were included in the study (Figure 1).

3.2. Basic Features of the Studies Included. Nine randomized control studies [20–28] were included in this analysis. Three studies [21–23] were performed in America, and one [20] was conducted in Taiwan, China. The remaining five studies [24–28] were performed in Mainland China. These studies consisted of 721 patients, including 359 in the experimental groups and 362 in the control groups (Table 1).

3.3. Assessing the Risk of Bias in the Studies Included. Figures 2 and 3 show the specific evaluation of the results and risk bias assessment.



FIGURE 1: Flow diagram of the selection of studies.

#### 3.4. Meta-Analysis Results

#### 3.4.1. Main Outcome Indexes

(1) The 6MWT. In the nine studies included, seven studies evaluated the changes in the exercise tolerance before and after the treatment of patients using the 6MWT. According to the  $\chi^2$ -test, no statistical heterogeneity existed among the studies (P = 0.65,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ). Therefore, the FEM was employed. The results showed that the traditional exercise rehabilitation prolonged the distance of the 6MWT significantly compared to the control group showing a statistically significant difference (MD = 68.23, 95% CI [54.55, 81.91], P < 0.00001; Figure 4).

(2) Subgroup Analysis. Although no statistical heterogeneity was observed among the studies, certain clinical heterogeneities might have been present due to the variation in the intervention measures and the HF characteristics. Therefore, the influences of the intervention period, intervention measures, and the HF type on the 6MWT in the three subgroups were compared.

(1) Firstly, the studies demonstrated that after the intervention for 3 and 12 months, the experimental group achieved better therapeutic effects than the control group, and a relatively long treatment period (MD = 78.97, 95% CI [56.67, 101.28]; P < 0.00001) showed a better intervention effect than a short treatment period (MD = 61.75, 95% CI [44.43, 79.07], P < 0.00001) (Figure 5)

- (2) Based on the different intervention measures, the combination of several traditional exercises and Taiji achieved better effect than the control groups showing statistically significant differences (MD = 78.97, 95% CI [56.67, 101.28]; P < 0.00001; MD = 55.31, 95% CI [4.58, 106.05]; P = 0.03, respectively, Figure 6)
- (3) Finally, this study confirmed that TCE showed a beneficial effect for managing HF with the preserved or no-ejection fraction (MD = 68.37, 95% CI [54.78, 81.96]; *P* < 0.00001, Figure 7)</li>

(3) The MLHFQ. Four studies evaluated the quality of life in 205 patients using the MLHFQ score. According to the  $\chi^2$ -test, heterogeneity existed among the studies (P = 0.11,  $l^2 = 51\%$ ), and the REM was applied. The results demonstrated that the TCE improved the quality of life of the patients effectively compared to the control group, and the difference showed a statistical significance (MD = -9.51, 95% CI [-17.84, -1.18]; P = 0.03; Figure 8).

#### 3.4.2. Secondary Outcome Indexes

(1) The BNP. Four studies used the BNP to evaluate the HF degree in 328 patients. According to the  $\chi^2$ -test, no statistical heterogeneity was observed among these studies (P = 0.34,  $I^2 = 11\%$ ), and the FEM was applied. The results indicated that the TCE decreased the plasma BNP level effectively compared to the control group showing a statistically

			Heart	Sample	size	Intervention		Frequency	Duration	Treatment	
Study	LVEF	Year	function class	Experiment	Control	Experiment	Control	(times/week)	(min/each)	(month)	Outcome
Qi et al.	CHF	2020	II-III	50	50	CR care + Baduanjin	CR care + daily activities	No	According to bullseye heart rate	ŝ	(2), (3)
Li et al.	HFPEF	2018	III–III	50	50	CR caret + Baduanjin	CR care + walking	>5	30	ŝ	(1), (3)
Li et al.	CHF	2015	II-IV	06	06	CR care + Yıjinjing + Wuqinxi + Liuzijue + Baduanjin	CR care + daily activities	7	No	12	(1), (4), (6), (7)
Yang et al.	CHF	2015	I-IV	30	30	CR care + Liuzijue + Baduanjin	CR care + daily activities	5 - 10	20-30	12	(1), (4), (6), (7)
Yan	CHF	2016	I–IV	36	36	CR care + Liuzijue + Baduanjin	CR care + daily activities	No	No	12	(1), (4)
Yeh et al.	HFREF	2004	I–IV	15	15	CR care + Taiji	CR care + daily activities	2	60	б	(1), (3), (5)
Yeh et al.	HFREF	2011	III-I	50	50	CR care + Taiji	CR care + daily activities	2	60	ŝ	(1), (3), (5)
Yeh et al.	HFPEF	2013	III-I	ø	8	CR care + Taiji	CR care + aerobic	2	60	б	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5)
Chen et al.	CHF	2017	II-II	30	33	CR care+Baduanjin	CR care + daily activities	3	35	б	(3)
Note: (1	) 6MWT; (	(2) LVEI	F; (3) MLHF	Q; (4) BNP; (5)	VO <sub>2</sub> max;	(6) number of rehospitalization; (7) hospital costs.					

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine



FIGURE 2: Bar graph showing the risk of bias: the authors' judgments on the risk of each category of the bias that are presented as percentages across all included studies.



FIGURE 3: Risk of the bias summary.

	E	xperime	ntal	Со	ntrol	M	Jeight (%)	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(cigiii (70)	IV,Fixed, 95% CI	IV,Fixed, 95% CI
1.1.1 6MWT									
Li2015	409.6	89.2	90	334.8	84.5	90	29.1	74.80 [49.42, 100.18]	
Li2018	505.1	52.08	50	442.5	41.35	50	55.1	62.60 [44.17, 81.03]	
Yan2016	413.58	153.54	36	322.12	128.36	36	4.4	91.46 [26.09, 156.83]	
Yang2015	409.67	139.22	30	314.83	124.52	30	4.2	94.84 [28.00, 161.68]	
Yeh2004	412	116	15	289	165	15	1.8	123.00 [20.93, 225.07]	
Yeh2011	426	164.44	47	394	136.3	46	5.0	32.00 [-29.33, 93.33]	<b>—</b>
Yeh2013	404.2	190	8	360.1	205	8	0.5	44.10 [-149.59, 237.79]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			276			275	100.0	67.23 [54.55, 81.91]	•
Heterogeneity: Ch Test for overall effe <i>Total (95% CI)</i>	$i^2 = 4.22$ ect: $Z = 9$	, df = 6 ( 9.77 (P <	P = 0.6 0.0000 276	(55); $I^2 =$ (01)	0%	275	100.0	68.23 [54.55, 81.91]	•
Heterogeneity: Ch. Test for overall effe Test fot subgroup o	$i^2 = 4.22$ ect: $Z = 9$ lifferenc	, df = 6 ( 9.77 ( <i>P</i> < 9.8: Not a	P = 0.6 0.0000 applical	(55); $I^2 = 0$ (1) (ble)	0%			-200	-100 0 100 200 control experimental

FIGURE 4: Meta-analysis of the 6MWT.

	E	xperime	ntal	Co	ontrol		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV,Fixed, 95% CI	IV,Fixed, 95% CI
1.2.2 3 months									
Li2018	505.1	52.08	50	442.5	41.35	50	55.1	62.60 [44.17, 81.03]	-
Yeh2004	412	116	15	289	165	15	1.8	123.00 [20.93, 225.07]	
Yeh2011	426	164.44	47	394	136.3	46	5.0	32.00 [-29.33, 93.33]	_ <b>_</b>
Yeh2013	404.2	190	8	360.1	205	8	0.5	44.10 [-149.59, 237.79]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			120			119	62.4	61.75 [44.43, 79.07]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi	$i^2 = 2.33$	, df = 3 (	P = 0.5	51); $I^2 =$	0%				
Test for overall effe	ect: $Z = 6$	5.99 (P <	0.0000	)1)					
1.2.4 12 months									
Li2015	409.6	89.2	90	334.8	84.5	90	29.1	74.80 [49.42, 100.18]	
Yah2016	413.58	153.54	36	322.12	128.36	36	4.4	91.46 [26.09, 156.83]	
Yang2015	409.67	139.22	30	314.83	124.52	30	4.2	94.84 [28.00, 161.68]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			156			156	37.6	78.97 [56.67, 101.28]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi Test for overall effe	$i^2 = 0.46$ ect: $Z = 6$	, df = 2 ( 5.94 ( <i>P</i> <	P = 0.7 0.0000	79); $I^2 =$ 01)	0%				
Subtotal (95% CI)			276			275	100.0	68.23 [54.55, 81.91]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi Test for overall effe	$i^2 = 4.22$ ect: $Z = 9$	df = 6 (	P = 0.6 0.0000	55); $I^2 =$ 01)	0%	2			200 -100 0 100 200
Test for subgroup of	lifferenc	es: Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 1.43	, df = 1 (	P = 0.23	$S); I^2 = 3$	30.0%		control experimental

FIGURE 5: The subgroup analysis for different treatment periods.

	Ex	perimer	ntal		Control		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV,Fixed, 95% CI	IV,Fixed, 95% CI
1.3.1 Baduanjin									
Li2018	505.1	52.08	50	442.5	41.35	50	55.1	62.60 [44.17, 81.03]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			50			50	55.1	62.60 [44.17, 81.03]	•
Heterogeneity: Not Test for overall effe	applica applica ct: $Z = \epsilon$	ble 5.66 ( <i>P</i> <	0.0000	)1)					
1.3.3 Taiji									
Yeh2004	412	116	15	289	165	15	1.8	123.00 [20.93, 225.07]	
Yeh2011	426	164.44	47	394	136.3	46	5.0	32.00 [-29.33, 93.33]	
Yeh2013	404.2	190	8	360.1	205	8	0.5	44.10 [-149.59, 237.79] -	e
Subtotal (95% CI)			70			69	7.3	55.31 [4.58, 106.05]	-
Heterogeneity: Chi Test for overall effe	$z^2 = 2.26$ ct: $Z = 2$	, df = 2 ( 2.14 ( <i>P</i> =	(P = 0.3) = 0.03)	32); <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> =	11%				
1.3.4 Multiple									
Li2015	409.6	89.2	90	334.8	84.5	90	29.1	74.80 [49.42, 100.18]	-
Yan2016	413.58	153.54	36	322.12	128.36	36	4.4	91.46 [26.09, 156.83]	
Yang2015	409.67	139.22	30	314.83	124.52	30	4.2	94.84 [28.00, 161.68]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			156			156	37.6	78.97 [56.97, 101.28]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi Test for overall effe	$z^{2} = 0.46$ ct: Z = 6	, df = 2 ( 5.94 ( <i>P</i> <	P = 0.7	79); $I^2 =$ 01)	0%				
Subtotal (95% CI)			276			275	100.0	68.23 [54.55, 81.91]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi Test for overall effer	$2^{2} = 4.22$ ct: $Z = 9$	df = 6	P = 0.6	(55); $I^2 =$ (1)	0%	1). 12	00/	-200	-100 0 100 200

FIGURE 6: The subgroup analysis for different interventions.

	E	xperime	ental	Сс	ontrol		Weight	Mean Difference	Mean Differe	nce
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV,Fixed, 95% CI	IV,Fixed, 95%	CI
1.5.1 HFREF										
Yeh2004	412	116	15	289	165	15	1.8	123.00 [20.93, 225.07]	——	
Yeh2011	426	164.44	47	394	140.45	46	4.8	32.00 [-30.11, 94.11]		-
Subtotal (95% CI)			62			61	6.6	56.59 [3.53, 109.65]	-	•
Heterogeneity: Chi	$i^2 = 2.23$	df = 1 (	P = 0.1	4); $I^2 =$	55%					
Test for overall effe	ect: $Z = 2$	.09 (P =	0.04)							
1.5.2 HFPEF										
Li2018	505.1	52.08	50	442.5	41.35	50	54.3	62.60 [44.17, 81.03]		
Yeh2013	404.2	190	8	360.1	205	8	0.5	44.10 [-149.59, 237.79]	<b>-</b>	
Subtotal (95% CI)			58			58	54.8	62.43 [44.08, 80.78]		
Heterogeneity: Chi	$i^2 = 0.03$	df = 1 (	P = 0.8	$(55); I^2 =$	0%				•	
Test for overall effe	ect: $Z = 6$	6.67 (P <	0.0000	)1)						
1.5.3 CHF	400 C	94.0	00	224.0	04 E	00	20.1	74.90 [50.05,00.55]	-	_
L12015	409.6	04.9 152.54	90	334.8 222.12	84.5	90	50.1	74.80 [50.05, 99.55]	—	
Yan2016	413.58	155.54	36	322.12	128.36	36	4.5	91.46 [26.09, 156.85]		•
Yan 2015	409.67	139.22	30	314.83	124.52	30	4.1	94.84 [28.00, 161.68]		•
Subtotal (95% CI)			156			156	38.6	78.81 [56.94, 100.68]		
Heterogeneity: Chi	$i^2 = 0.47$	, df = 2 (	(P = 0.7)	$(9); I^2 =$	0%					
Test for overall effe	ect: $Z = 7$	'.06 (P <	0.0000	)1)						
Total (95% CI)			276			275	100.0	68.37 [54.78, 81.96]	•	
Heterogeneity: Chi	$i^2 = 4.20$	, df = 6 (	P = 0.6	(5); $I^2 =$	0%			_200	-100 0	100 200
Test for overall effe	ect: $Z = 9$	.86 (P <	0.0000	)1)				200		
Test for subgroup of	lifferenc	es: Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 1.47	df = 7 (	P = 0.48	): $I^2 =$	0%		control exp	erimental

FIGURE 7: The subgroup analysis for different types of HF.

	Exp	perime	ntal		Contro	1	Weight	Mean Difference	Mean D	ifference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(%)	IV,Random, 95% CI	IV,Rando	m, 95% CI	
2.1.1 MLFQ-Overal	11										
Chen2017	15.4	11.74	30	20.33	12.35	33	41.2	-4.93 [-10.88, 1.02]	-	-	
Yeh2004	26	23	15	52	25	15	16.2	-26.00 [-43.19, 8.81]	_		
Yeh2011	12.19	17.56	49	23.06	29.77	47	30.2	-10.87 [-20.70, -1.04]			
Yeh2013	28.7	16	8	28.6	25	8	12.5	0.10 [-20.47, 20.67]			
Total (95% CI)			102			103	100.0	-9.51 [-17.84, -1.18]	•		
Heterogeneity: <i>Tau</i> Test for overall effect <i>Total (95% CI)</i>	$z^2 = 34.6$ ct: $Z = 2$	58; Chi <sup>2</sup> 2.24 (P	$e^2 = 6.14$ = 0.03 102	4, df = 3 ) ?	( <i>P</i> = 0	.11); I <sup>2</sup> 1	e 51%	9.0 -9.51 [-17.84, -	1.18]		
Heterogeneity: <i>Tau</i> Test for overall effec Test for subgroup d	$z^2 = 34.6$ ct: $Z = 2$ lifference	58; Chi <sup>2</sup> 2.24 (P ces: No	$e^2 = 6.14$ = 0.03 t applie	4, df = 3 ) cable	( <i>P</i> = 0	.11); I <sup>2</sup>	= 51%	-100	–50 ( experimental	0 50 control	100

FIGURE 8: The meta-analysis for the MLHFQ scores.

significant difference (MD = -59.77, 95% CI [-82.85, -36.7]; *P* < 0.00001, Figure 9).

(2) The LVEF. Two studies tested the LVEF values in 116 patients. According to the  $\chi^2$ -test, no statistical heterogeneity existed among these studies (P = 0.31,  $I^2 = 3\%$ ), and the FEM was employed. According to the results, no evidence suggested that the TCE improved the LVEF effectively compared to the control group, showing no statistically significant difference (MD = 1.38, 95%CI [-3.08, 5.84]; P = 0.54; Figure 10).

(3) The  $VO_2max$ . Three studies evaluated the maximum oxygen uptake in 137 patients using the variable  $VO_2max$ .

According to the  $\chi$ -test, no statistical heterogeneity existed among these studies (P = 0.45,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), and the FEM was used. The results from the model revealed that the traditional exercise rehabilitation did not increase the maximum oxygen uptake in the patients effectively compared to the control group showing no statistically significant difference (MD = -0.04, 95% CI [-1.62, 1.54]; P = 0.96; Figure 11).

(4) The Number of Hospitalizations. Two studies evaluated the number of hospitalizations in 240 patients. According to the  $\chi^2$ -test, no statistical heterogeneity was identified among these studies (P = 1.00,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), and the FEM was used for the analysis. As shown by the results, the TCE decreased the

	Ex	perimer	ntal		Control	v	Veight (%	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	o Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	0	IV,Fixed, 95% CI	IV,Fixed, 95% CI
Li2015	215.3	79.9	90	272.4	87.9	90	88.4	-57.10 [-81.64, -32.56]	
Yan2016	249.51	229.34	36	382.16	279.52	36	3.8	-132.65 [-250.76, -14.54]	
Yang2015	245.33	249.91	30	372.4	277.94	30	3.0	-1.27.07 [-260.82, 6.68]	
Yeh2013	98	116	8	107	99	8	4.8	-9.00 [-114.68, 96.68]	
Total (95% CI)			164			164	100.0	-59.77 [-82.85, -36.70]	•
Heterogeneity: Ch Test for overall eff	$i^2 = 3.37$ ect: $Z = 5$	, df = 3 5.08 ( <i>P</i> <	(P = 0.3)	34); I <sup>2</sup> = 01)	11%				-200 -100 0 100 200
									experimental control

FIGURE 9: The meta-analysis of the BNP.

	Exp	erime	ntal	(	Contro	ol v	Neight (%)	Mean Difference		Mea	n Diffei	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	(in the second sec	IV,Fixed, 95% CI		IV,Fi	xed, 95	% CI	
Qi2020	48.35	12.87	50	45.39	14.65	50	68.1	2.96 [-2.45, 8.37]					
Yeh2013	62	9	8	64	7	8	31.9	-2.00 [-9.90, 5.90]			╸┼╴		
Total (95% CI)			58			58	100.0	1.38 [-3.08, 5.84]		-	$\triangleleft$		
Heterogeneity: Ch	$i^2 = 1.03$	3, df =	1 (P =	0.31);	$I^2 = 3\%$	6			1	1		,	
Test for overall eff	ect: $Z =$	0.61 (1	P = 0.5	4)					-10	-5	0	5	10
										con	trol ex	perime	ental

FIGURE 10: The meta-analysis of the LVEF.

	Exp	erime	ental	(	Contro	ol w	Veight (%	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total		IV,Fixed, 95% CI	IV,Fixed, 95% CI
Yeh2004	11.4	3	15	10.4	6	15	21.7	1.00 [-2.39, 4.39]	
Yeh2011	12.65	3.82	46	13.35	5.34	45	68.3	-0.70 [-2.61, 1.21]	
Yeh2013	15.2	6	8	13	4	8	10.0	-2.20 [-2.80, 7.20]	
Total (95% CI)			69			68	100.0	-0.04 [-1.62, 1.54]	<b>•</b>
Heterogeneity: Chi	$^{2} = 1.59$	), df =	2 (P =	0.45); 1	$^{2} = 0$ %	6			
Test for overall effe	ct: $Z = 0$	0.05 (1	P = 0.9	6)					-4 $-2$ $0$ $2$ $4$
									experimental control

FIGURE 11: The meta-analysis for the VO<sub>2</sub>max.

number of hospitalizations compared with the control group showing a statistically significant difference (MD = -0.83, 95% CI [-0.98, -0.68]; P < 0.00001; Figure 12).

(5) The Hospital Costs. Two studies evaluated the hospital costs in 240 patients. According to the  $\chi^2$ -test, no statistical heterogeneity was present among these studies (P = 1.00,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), and the FEM was used for further analysis. The results showed that the traditional exercise rehabilitation decreased the hospital costs of the patients compared to the control group showing a statistically significant difference (MD = -1.6, 95% CI [-1.89, -1.31]; P < 0.00001; Figure 13).

3.5. Evaluation of the GRADE Evidence Quality. Using the web version of the Cochrane collaboration network GRA-DEpro GDT, the evidence quality of these studies was evaluated. According to the evaluation, one study result showed a "moderate quality," four studies revealed a "low quality," and two studies exhibited a "very low quality" as shown in Table 2.

3.6. Safety Evaluation. Among the nine included studies, five studies failed to mention the occurrence of the adverse events, and four publications reported no serious adverse

	Exp	erime	ental	(	Contro	ol v	Veight (%	6) Mean Difference		Mean I	Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total		IV,Fixed, 95% CI		IV,Fixed	d, 95% CI		
Li2015	1.28	0.44	90	2.11	0.7	90	75.6	-0.83 [-1.00, -0.66]		_			
Yang2015	1.27	0.45	30	2.1	0.71	30	24.4	-0.83 [-1.13, -0.53]					
Total (95% CI)			120			120	100.0	-0.83 [-0.98, -0.68]	•	•			
Heterogeneity: Chi	$^{2} = 0.00$	), df =	1 (P =	1.00); 1	$x^2 = 0$	6			1	0.5		1	
Test for overall effe	ct: 7 -	10.05	(D < 0	00001)					-1	-0.5	0 0.5	1	
	ci. 2 –	10.95	(1 < 0.	00001)					expe	erimental	control		

FIGURE 12: The meta-analysis for the number of hospitalizations.

	Exp	erime	ental	(	Contro	ol v	Veight (%	) Mean Difference		Mean I	Differ	ence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	U X	IV,Fixed, 95% CI		IV,Fixe	d, 959	% CI	
Li2015	1.96	0.88	90	3.56	1.34	90	75.5	-1.60 [-1.93, -1.27]		_			
Yang2015	1.95	1.89	30	3.55	1.36	30	24.5	-1.60 [-2.18, -1.02]					
Total (95% CI)			120			120	100.0	-1.60 [-1.89, -1.31]	•	•			
Heterogeneity: Chi	$^{2} = 0.00$	), df =	1 ( <i>P</i> =	1.00); 1	$l^2 = 0$ %	6							
Test for overall effe	ct: Z =	10.90	(P < 0.	00001)					-2	-1	0	1	2
									expe	erimental	CC	ontrol	

FIGURE 13: The meta-analysis of the hospital costs.

			Certainty as	ssessment			No.	of patients	
No. of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	TCE	Other activities	Certainty
6MWT									
7	Randomized trials	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Not serious	Publication bias strongly suspected <sup>b</sup>	276	275	⊕⊕⊕O Moderate
MLHFQ									
4	Randomized trials	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Serious <sup>c</sup>	Not serious	Serious <sup>d</sup>	None	102	103	⊕000 Very low
LEVF									
2	Randomized trials	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>d</sup>	None	58	58	⊕⊕OO Low
BNP									
4	Randomized trials	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Serious <sup>c</sup>	Not serious	Serious <sup>d</sup>	None	164	164	⊕000 Very low
$VO_2max$									
3	Randomized trials	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>d</sup>	None	69	68	⊕⊕OO Low
Number of	rehospitalisation	!							
2	Randomized trials	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>d</sup>	None	120	120	⊕⊕OO Low
Number of	hospitalisations	and costs							
2	Randomized trials	Serious <sup>a</sup>	Not serious	Not serious	Serious <sup>d</sup>	None	120	120	⊕⊕OO Low

TABLE 2: GRADE evidence quality results.

CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference. <sup>a</sup>None of the included articles used blinding approach, and a high risk of bias was present; <sup>b</sup>publication bias may be present; <sup>c</sup>the heterogeneity was substantial ( $l^2 \ge 50\%$ ); <sup>d</sup>the total number of participants in both groups was less than 400.

events at the end of the exercise period. A meta-analysis on the safety of these studies cannot be performed, but the TCE is considered safe from the description. 3.7. The Publication Biases. This study included nine randomized control trials in total including seven studies that evaluated the 6MWT. These seven studies were visualized Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

using a funnel graph [29], showing publication bias to a certain extent (Figure 14).

#### 4. Discussions

4.1. The Quality of the Methodologies in the Publications Analyzed. Several methodologies in the included studies showed poor quality, thus resulting in the high risk of bias. This outcome may influence the accuracy of this conclusion to a certain extent. In the included nine studies, seven publications explained the random grouping method comprehensively, and two studies had random grouping; however, the random grouping method was not explained thoroughly. Two studies had the hidden allocation. One literature had the survey data missing, and no intentional analysis was performed. Two studies implemented a blind approach to the outcome evaluators. Given the difficulty of keeping patients and facilitators blind to the TCE, none of the nine studies adopted the blinded treatment approach to the patients and executors, which led to certain risks.

4.2. Effects of Cardiac Rehabilitation Therapy Based on the TCE on Motor Function of the Patients. Kinetotherapy is the core therapy in cardiac rehabilitation; it has become one of the methods used to control cardiac failure in recent years. As an effective objective index that evaluates exercise tolerance and judges the prognosis of the patients with HF, 6WMT is considered noninvasive, simple, and safe. It has high repeatability and is easily accepted by the patients [30, 31]. Currently, 6WMT is widely employed in various diseases, such as HF and chronic lung obstructive pulmonary disease [32]. A high score from the 6WMT indicates a high exercise tolerance in the patients. According to the meta-analysis results, TCE prolonged the 6 min walking distance compared to the control group. According to the subgroup analysis, the patients showed an improved exercise tolerance with the prolonged intervention time and combined exercise methods. HF exhibits the symptoms of edema, palpitation, and gasping. Based on the qi deficiency, HF shows cardiac weakness, circulatory disorder, and respiratory tract obstruction with phlegm, resulting in blood stagnation and water retention, which further aggravates heart failure [33]. TCE is a unique combination of physical and psychological interventions that focus on postures, breathing processes, and meditation [34]. This exercise modulates qi deficiency by the flexion and extension of the joints, prostration of the body, activation of muscles and tendons, promotion of the blood circulation, and enhancing the organ functions [35], thus improving control of the body, enhancing limb movements, and promoting physical and psychological coordinated health. Another key benefit of the TCE is regular breathing by coordinated respirations with physical movement and self-imagination. This process can decrease the tension of cardiac amphotony and ventricular loads, relieve the activity burden, and strengthen the exercise tolerance in the patients [36]. According to recent studies [37], TCE can promote muscle fiber strength and improve muscle perfusion and metabolism, thus



FIGURE 14: The funnel plot for the publication bias.

strengthening muscle strength and increasing exercise tolerance in patients with HF.

4.3. Effects of Cardiac Rehabilitation Based on TCE on the Quality of Life in Patients. As a result of fatigue, palpitation, and breathing difficulties, the patients with HF are restricted to the performance of daily activities and they easily develop negative emotions such as anxiety and depression. Consequently, they suffer from the disorder of the nervous system, and their cardiac functions further worsen, thus decreasing their quality of life. In addition, patients with HF easily have other complications [38], which can prolong the hospitalization time, thus negatively affecting the quality of life. BNP is a cardiac neurohormone excreted by the cardiomyocytes, and an excessive pressure load can stimulate the ventricle to secrete the BNP, whose level is positively related to the patient's cardiac functional classification. According to the results of this meta-analysis, TCE decreased the level of BNP effectively and was positively related to mitigating HF. The LVEF is positively related to myocardial contractility. In a study, when the myocardial contractility was strong, the LVEF was high, but this study lacked the evidence to prove that the TCE improved the LVEF and increased the cardiac ejection in the patients with HF. The VO<sub>2</sub>max was closely related to the effective ventilation and normal cardiac output [39], and in this study, the TCE could not improve the VO<sub>2</sub>max effectively compared to the control group. Moreover, TCE decreased the number of hospitalizations and hospital costs of patients. MLHFQ is sensitive and effective, and it is appropriate to evaluate the quality of life in patients with HF in China. A high score indicates the poor quality of life of patients. This study revealed that the TCE reduced the MLHFQ score of patients with HF and improved their quality of life, which agrees with a previous report by Pan et al. [40] As a unique nonpharmaceutical therapy, TCE can develop cardiovascular protection through multiple pathways and several target points to prevent the myocardial fibrosis, inhibit the myocardial degeneration, and improve the myocardial function in China [41, 42]. Based on the meridian qi and blood theory of the TCM, TCE requires gentle movements and regular and relaxed

breathing, which can "motivate spirit and strengthen soul" and "rest the mind and stabilize the qi." The combination of the active movements and breathing processes can enhance the joint and muscular activities systematically, improve the respiratory functions, and relieve the pressure. Additionally, previous studies [43, 44] proved that TCE also had antianxiety and antidepression effects. With the improvement of exercise tolerance, cardiac function and psychological state, reduced number of hospitalizations and hospital costs, decreased limitation over daily activities, and relief of the pressure and burden, the quality of life in the patients can improve.

4.4. Research Limitations and Suggestions. This analysis also had several limitations. (1) Although all nine studies used random grouping, two elucidations provided incomplete descriptions regarding random grouping. Two studies reported allocation concealment, and none of the nine studies adopted a blind approach to the patients. Some studies lacked reports about the objective outcome indicators such as blood pressure, heart rate, cholesterol level, and triglycerides content, which made the meta-analysis impossible to combine them for evaluation. (2) Some studies showed heterogeneity after being combined, which might have been caused by differences in the included studies specific to the intervention frequency, duration, types of the intervention measures, and the underlying diseases. (3) The argumentation strength of this study may have been influenced by the small sample size of the included studies, imperfect research outcome indexes and design, and biases to a certain degree. For specific indexes such as the LVEF, the number of hospitalizations, and the hospital costs, only two studies were available for the analysis, which may have led to the inadequate extrapolation of the results.

Based on the above limitations, the suggestions were made for the clinically relevant future studies. (1) Researchers should design a scientific and reasonable research design and use random grouping method, allocation hidden and blinded method to further improve the methodological quality of researches. The objective indicators should be used to increase the credibility of the results. (2) The TCE training program should be further standardized to have detailed explanations and standards for the training duration, training intensity, and frequency. (3) The patients should be followed up as long as possible to observe their long-term therapeutic effects, given the longterm benefit of TCE. (4) Multicenter, large-sample-sized, and high-quality clinical randomized control studies should be implemented.

## 5. Conclusions

This study aimed to discuss the influences of cardiac rehabilitation using TCE in patients with HF. This metaanalysis showed that TCE had beneficial effects on motor function, cardiac function, and the quality of life in patients with HF. Moreover, this form of therapy is beyond limitations because of its low-cost requirement. TCE may be an efficient substitute for exercise rehabilitation in patients with HF.

## Disclosure

Yang Zhang is co-first author.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this study.

## **Authors' Contributions**

FY, YZ, and XHK designed the study; FY and YZ conducted literature search; LHH, JZP, and QZ selected documents and extracted data; KH and YZ conducted literature quality evaluation; FY and YZ analysed the data; XHK and SZD supervised the study; FY wrote the manuscript; FY and SZD critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Teacher Du for the modification and the translation assistance provided by CrossEdit and Medsci in the preparation of this manuscript. This research was supported by Suzhou Minsheng Technology—Basic Research on Medical and Health Applications Project (Fifth Batch) (no. SYSD2020222).

#### References

- Chinese Medical Association Cardiovascular Diseases Branch, "Chinese heart failure diagnosis and treatment guidelines 2014," *Chinese Journal of Cardiovascular Diseases*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 98–122, 2014.
- [2] S. S. Hu, R. L. Gao, L. S. Liu et al., "Summary of "Chinese cardiovascular disease report 2018"," *Chinese Journal of Circulation*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 209–220, 2019.
- [3] H. O. Ventura and M. A. Silver, "Observations and reflections on the burden of hospitalizations for heart failure," *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, vol. 92, no. 2, pp. 175–178, 2017.
- [4] S. Von Haehling, "Co-morbidities in heart failure beginning to sprout-and no end in sight?" *European Journal of Heart Failure*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 1566–1568, 2017.
- [5] A. S. Go, D. Mozaffarian, V. L. Roger et al., "Heart disease and stroke statistics—2013 update: a report from the American heart association," *Circulation*, vol. 127, no. 1, pp. e6–245, 2013.
- [6] C. J. Lavie, R. Arena, D. L. Swift et al., "Exercise and the cardiovascular system clinical science and cardiovascular outcomes," *Circulation Research*, vol. 117, no. 2, pp. 207–219, 2015.
- [7] D. W. Schopfer and D. E. Forman, "Growing relevance of cardiac rehabilitation for an older population with heart failure," *Journal of Cardiac Failure*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1015–1022, 2016.
- [8] J. A. Ezekowitz, E. O'meara, M. A. McDonald et al., "Comprehensive update of the Canadian cardiovascular society guidelines for the management of heart failure,"

Canadian Journal of Cardiology, vol. 33, no. 11, pp. 1342-1433, 2017.

- [9] NHFA CSANZ Heart Failure Working Group, J. J. Atherton, A. Sindone et al., "National heart foundation of Australia and cardiac society of Australia and New Zealand: guidelines for the prevention, detection, and management of heart failure in Australia 2018," *Heart Lung & Circulation*, vol. 27, no. 10, pp. 1123–1208, 2018.
- [10] H. Wang and Y. C. Liang, "Chinese guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of heart failure 2018," *Chinese Journal* of Cardiovascular Diseases, vol. 46, no. 10, pp. 760–789, 2018.
- [11] B. Elena Salmoirgo, W. Peter, D. SHIRA et al., "Tai Chi is a promising exercise option for patients with coronary heart disease declining cardiac rehabilitation," *Journal of the American Heart Association*, vol. 6, no. 10, Article ID e006603, 2017.
- [12] X. Hong, L. Chen, C. Wang, and X. Xu, "Research progress on the role of Baduanjin in the rehabilitation of patients with coronary heart disease and cardiac insufficiency," *Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine*, vol. 1, pp. 121–124, 2020.
- [13] K. Yiwen, "Clinical efficacy observation of Yijinjin on patients with chronic heart failure," *Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, 2021.
- [14] Q. Gu, S. J. Wu, Y. Zheng et al., "Tai Chi exercise for patients with chronic heart failure: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, vol. 96, no. 10, pp. 706–716, 2017.
- [15] X. Chen, G. Savarese, Y. Cai et al., "Tai Chi and Qigong practices for chronic heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2020, Article ID 2034625, 15 pages, 2020.
- [16] C. W. Yancy, M. Jessup, B. Bozkurt et al., "2017 ACC/AHA/ HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association task force on clinical practice guidelines and the heart failure society of America," *Circulation*, vol. 136, no. 6, p. e137, 2017.
- [17] J. J. Gagnier, H. Boon, P. Rochon et al., "Reporting randomized controlled trials of herbal interventions: an elaborated CONSORT statement," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 144, no. 5, pp. 364–367, 2006.
- [18] J. P. T. Higgins and S. Green, Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0, The Cochrane Collaboration, London, UK, 2011.
- [19] Y. Xu, W. L. Zhao, T. Li et al., "Effects of acupoint-stimulation for the treatment of primary dysmenorrhoea compared with NSAIDs: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 19 RCTs," *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 436, 2017.
- [20] D.-M. Chen, W.-C. Yu, H.-F. Hung, J.-C. Tsai, H.-Y. Wu, and A.-F. Chiou, "The effects of Baduanjin exercise on fatigue and quality of life in patients with heart failure: a randomized controlled trial," *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 456–466, 2018.
- [21] G. Y. Yeh, M. J. Wood, B. H. Lorell et al., "Effects of Tai chi mind-body movement therapy on functional status and exercise capacity in patients with chronic heart failure: a randomized controlled trial," *Americas Journal of Medicine*, vol. 117, no. 8, pp. 541–548, 2004.
- [22] G. Y. Yeh, E. P. McCarthy, P. M. Wayne et al., "Tai chi exercise in patients with chronic heart failure: a randomized clinical

trial," Archives of Internal Medicine, vol. 171, no. 8, pp. 750-757, 2011.

- [23] G. Y. Yeh, M. J. Wood, P. M. Wayne et al., "Tai chi in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction," *Congestive Heart Failure*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 77–84, 2013.
- [24] X. J. Yang, F. X. Huang, Y. C. Shi, and Y. X. Wang, "The effect of aerobics training on exercise tolerance of patients with chronic heart failure," *Chinese Journal of Nursing*, vol. 2, pp. 193–197, 2015.
- [25] C. Li, Y. Yu, and Y. Fang, "The effect of aerobics training on exercise tolerance of patients with chronic heart failure," *Nursing Practice and Research*, vol. 12, pp. 36-37, 2015.
- [26] X. Y. Li, R. H. Zhou, H. Y. Gan, X. Jiang, and H. Y. Wen, "The effect of Baduanjin combined with conventional western medicine therapy and health education on cardiac function in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction," *International Journal of Chinese Medicine and Materia Medica*, vol. 8, pp. 698–701, 2018.
- [27] W. Qi, L. P. Song, Z. T. Liu et al., "The effect of Baduanjin on cardiac function and quality of life in patients with chronic heart failure," *Beijing Medical Journal*, vol. 3, pp. 263–265, 2020.
- [28] X. Yan, "The effect of Liuzi Jue and Baduanjin training on exercise tolerance in patients with chronic heart failure," *Modern Distance Education of Chinese Medicine*, vol. 16, pp. 126–128, 2016.
- [29] B. Wang, Z. Zhao, and C. Bao, "Meta analysis of intervention effect of sports exercise on constipation," *International Journal of Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 3, pp. 207–212, 2021.
- [30] X. L. Qi, H. Y. Xu, Z. K. Yu et al., "The guiding value of the sixminute walk test in cardiac rehabilitation after transcatheter aortic valve replacement," *Zhonghua Cardiovascular and Cerebrovascular Diseases in the Elderly*, vol. 7, pp. 695–698, 2019.
- [31] S. Giannitsi, M. Bougiakli, A. Bechlioulis, A. Kotsia, L. K. Michalis, and K. K. Naka, "6-minute walking test: a useful tool in the management of heart failure patients," *Therapeutic Advances in Cardiovascular Disease*, vol. 13, pp. 1–10, 2019.
- [32] H. Du, P. Wonggom, J. Tongpeth, and R. A. Clark, "Sixminute walk test for assessing physical functional capacity in chronic heart failure," *Current Heart Failure Reports*, vol. 14, pp. 158–166, 2017.
- [33] R. Z. Huang, R. Zhu, Y. Y. Luo, and H. P. Mo, "Baduanjin health Qigong therapy for adjuvant treatment of chronic heart failure," *Shanxi Medical Journal*, vol. 13, pp. 1666–1668, 2020.
- [34] X. Q. Wang, Y. L. Pi, P. J. Chen et al., "Traditional Chinese exercise for cardiovascular diseases: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *Journal of the American Heart Association*, vol. 5, no. 3, Article ID e002562, 2016.
- [35] L. Gea, Q.-X. Zhenga, Y.-T. Liao, J.-Y. Tan, Q.-L. Xie, and M. Rask, "Effects of traditional Chinese exercises on the rehabilitation of limb function among stroke patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice*, vol. 29, pp. 35–47, 2017.
- [36] X. Chen, G. Marrone, T. P. Olson et al., "Intensity level and cardiorespiratory responses to Baduanjin exercise in patients with chronic heart failure," *ESC Heart Failure*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 3782–3791, 2020.
- [37] M. Zhou, N. Peng, and C. Li, "An analysis of trends on effect of taijiquan training for lower- extremity strength among older adults," *Chinese Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 1050–1054, 2014.

- [38] J. Comín-Colet, T. M. Lorenzo, A. González-Domínguez, J. Oliva, and S. J. Merino, "Impact of non-cardiovascular comorbidities on the quality of life of patients with chronic heart failure: a scoping review," *Health Qual Life Outcomes*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 329, 2020.
- [39] S. Hussain, A. M. Kayani, and R. Munir, "Clinical safety and parameters of maximum oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>Max) testing in Pakistani patients with heart failure," *Journal of College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 634–639, 2015.
- [40] L. Pan, J. Yan, Y. Guo, and J. Yan, "Effects of Tai Chi training on exercise capacity and quality of life in patients with chronic heart failure: a meta-analysis," *European Journal of Heart Failure*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 316–323, 2013.
- [41] A. R. Cole, K. Wijarnpreecha, S. C. Chattipakorn, and N. Chattipakorn, "Effects of Tai Chi exercise on heart rate variability," *Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice*, vol. 23, pp. 59–63, 2016.
- [42] X. M. Ren, Y. Li, X. Y. Yang et al., "The effects of Tai chi training in patients with heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 8, p. 989, 2017.
- [43] S. Jin, The Effect of Simple Tai Chi Training on Cardiopulmonary Function, Anxiety and Depression in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure in Stable Stage, North China University of Technology, Beijing, China, 2020.
- [44] L. Zou, A. Yeung, X. F. Quan et al., "Mindfulness-based baduanjin exercise for depression and anxiety in people with physical or mental illnesses: a systematic review and metaanalysis," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 321, 2018.