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1. Introduction

Enhanced geothermal system (EGS) is a type of heat exchanger designed to improve the efficiency of geothermal energy plants. EGS is configured to enable convective production or to improve heat production. One of the main goals of EGS is to increase the permeability of reservoir rocks with high temperatures but low permeability. For this purpose, hydraulic fracturing, fluid injection (and/or extraction), and acidification can be used [1].

The correlation between EGS and seismic activity has been proposed for decades [1]. Geysers (USA), Cooper Basin (Australia), Berlin (El Salvador), Soultz-Sous-Forêts (France), and Basel (Switzerland) are well-known examples of EGS-related earthquake activities. In addition to these cases at the EGS site, for other cases such as wastewater injection, carbon capture and storage (CCS), or hydrocarbon (e.g., shale gas and oil) extraction, fluid injection, and hydraulic fracturing are often proposed as triggers of earthquakes (e.g., Keranen et al. [2]). Two mechanisms for triggering earthquakes associated with fluid injection and/or extraction are described by McGarr et al. [3]; (1) direct fluid pressure effects on injection and (2) changes in solid stress due to fluid extraction and/or injection. As we can infer from

An Mw 5.5 earthquake occurred in Pohang, South Korea on November 15, 2017, resulting in a great impact on society. Despite a lot of controversy about the cause of the earthquake in relation to the enhanced geothermal system (EGS), the location of earthquake-related active faults is poorly known. Here, we first report the results of the geochemical and isotopic analyses of dissolved gases in groundwater in the Heunghae, Yeonil, and Sinkwang areas. According to the N2-Ar-He relationship, samples from the Heunghae and Yeonil areas are contributed to the mantle, except for the Sinkwang area, where all samples are atmospheric. The Pohang samples consist mainly of N2 and CO2, and some samples of the Heunghae and Yeonil areas contain substantial CH4. Stable isotope compositions of N2 (δ15N = 2 to 3‰), CO2 (δ13C = −27.3 to −16.0‰), and CH4 (δ13C = −76.1 to −70.0‰) indicate that these components are derived from organic substances in sedimentary layer of Pohang Basin. On the other hand, helium isotope ratios (3He/4He, up to 3.83 Ra) represent the significant mantle contribution in the Heunghae and Yeonil areas. Through the distribution of high 3He/4He ratios, we propose that the Heunghae, Namsong, and Jamyeong faults are the passage of mantle-derived fluids. Computed 3He fluxes of the Heunghae (120 to 3,000 atoms cm−2 sec−1), Namsong (52 to 1,300 atoms cm−2 sec−1), and Jamyeong (83 to 2,100 atoms cm−2 sec−1) faults are comparable to other major active faults around the world, reflecting either high porosity or high helium flow rates. Therefore, our results demonstrate that there are active faults near the EGS facilities, which can provide the basis for future studies.
earthquake-inducing mechanisms of fluid injection, knowing locations of faults around the EGS site is important for society. For both cases, it is necessary to identify not only faults beneath the EGS site but also any potentially unknown faults. In the case of the 2019 Ridgecrest earthquake, for example, it shows how the earthquake swarm can propagate to interlocked faults [4]. This refers to the possibilities that the small induced earthquake can trigger much larger seismicity than expected, which amplifies the seismic hazards and risks around the EGS site.

Since mantle-derived fluids have been identified and quantified from the San Andreas Fault system [5] in the non-volcanic region, noble gas studies have been conducted in several active fault zones to understand the fluid behavior related to seismicity (e.g., Sano et al. [6]). Even in some cases, helium isotope ratio distribution could detect concealed fault zones [7]. Noble gases and their isotope compositions can be used as natural tracers. They are chemically inert, retaining their properties through the water-rock systems. Therefore, the contents and isotopic compositions of noble gases allow us to trace the fluid sources into mantle, crust, and atmosphere. It is also possible to quantify the contribution of each source [8]. Mantle-derived helium and CO$_2$ degassing through faults in the southeastern Korean peninsula has recently been reported [9].

Here, we report new chemical and isotopic compositions of dissolved gases in groundwater, which are rarely been documented in the study area. Then, we will first discuss the general characteristics of gas compositions in this area. Based on the results, the perceptual impact on the composition of the noble gas is assessed to suggest that there are active fault zones near the EGS site, reaching the upper mantle through continental crust. In addition, helium flux through faults was calculated and compared with the characteristics of major fault zones around the world.

1.1. Geological Setting. The Pohang region consists of three subdivisions: Heunghae, Yeonil, and Sinkwang areas (Figure 1). The Heunghae and Yeonil areas are located in Pohang Basin, the Miocene sedimentary basin, and the Sinkwang area is near the Yangsan fault (Figure 1). Pohang Basin is one of the largest sedimentary basins in the Korean Peninsula [10]. The border faults in Pohang Basin are the Yeonil tectonic line, the Ocheon fault system, and segmented faults bounding to the west (Figure 1). These western boundary segment faults are almost parallel to the Yangsan fault, 2-7 km away from the west. During the early Miocene period, Pohang Basin was formed while the East Sea (Sea of Japan) which is a back-arc basin was opened, and the opening was ceased at ~15 Ma [11, 12]. The sedimentation in the Pohang Basin lasted from 17 to 10 Ma [11, 12]. The basin is filled with Paleogene volcanic rocks and granite, followed by Neogene conglomerates, sandstone, and mudstone, with a total thickness of less than 500 m [13, 14]. The basin has been cut by normal faults with the NNE-SSW strike and eastern dip. These normal faults have been formed after 15 Ma, blocked by other normal faults with the ENE-WSW strike [14]. The Sinkwang area is spread over Cretaceous biotite granite cut by the Yangsan fault covered with quaternary sediments (Figure 1, [14]). The Yangsan fault, located at the current Cretaceous sedimentary area, is a strike-slip fault formed in the early Cretaceous period as a result of tension due to the subduction of the Izanagi plate [15, 16]. After the subduction of the Pacific plate in the late Cretaceous period, compressive stresses affected the Yangsan fault. The direction of compressive stress was initially in the NW-SE direction at the end of the Cretaceous period, and the direction of subduction at the end of the Paleogene changed and moved in the NE-SW direction [15, 16].

1.2. 2017 Pohang Earthquake. The Pohang enhanced geothermal system (EGS) project was launched in November 2010 to produce 160°C geothermal water and 1.2 MW geothermal energy in a non-volcanic area [17]. To construct the EGS facility, two boreholes (PX-1 and PX-2) were drilled through the sedimentary basin into the granodiorite basement rock. The measuring depths of the two boreholes (MD, measured along the borehole) are 4,362 m and 4,382 m, respectively. Hydraulic fracturing and fluid injection were performed from January 2016 to September 2017 to increase geothermal productivity [17]. During this period, five hydraulic stimuli were performed through PX-1 and PX-2. First, the third and fifth hydraulic stimuli were performed on the PX-2 with maximum well-head pressures of 89.2 MPa, 88.8 MPa, and 84.6 MPa, respectively. The second and fourth hydraulic stimuli were performed on the PX-1, and the maximum well-head pressures were 27.71 MPa and 25.16 MPa, respectively.

After the third hydraulic stimulation, an earthquake occurred with Mw 3.2. About two months after the cessation of the fifth hydraulic stimulus, on November 15, 2017, an earthquake with Mw 5.5 occurred (Figure 1), followed by more than one hundred aftershocks (≥ Mw 2.0). The earthquake was the second-largest earthquake in the Korean Peninsula since modern earthquake observation has begun in 1978, resulting in physical and economic damage to local residents (135 people were injured and more than 1,700 people were in emergency housing; directly USD 75 M and total economic impact USD 300 M) [17]. Due to this great impact on Korean society, it is necessary to study active faults related to potential seismic crises in this area.

2. Methods

We collected groundwater samples from groundwater wells in the Heunghae, Yeonil, and Sinkwang areas, Pohang, Republic of Korea (Table 1, Figure 1). Ranges of water temperatures and pH are 14.3 to 20.5°C and 6.0 to 9.0, respectively, and well depth ranges between 30 and 230 m from the topographic surface. The samples were stored in copper tubes and sealed with clamps, except for PH-3 that was collected in a preevacuated Giggenbach bottle. Dissolved gases were extracted from water samples by the high vacuum system and analyzed in the Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute (AORI), the University of Tokyo. Concentrations (consist of CO$_2$, N$_2$, O$_2$, CH$_4$, Ar, and He) of dissolved gases were measured by a Pfeiffer QMS 200 quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS).
Stable isotope compositions of nitrogen for $N_2$ and carbon for $CO_2$ and $CH_4$ were measured by an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Isoprime 100 by Elementar). For samples with high $CH_4$ concentration (>4%), coexisting $CO_2$ and $CH_4$ were separated before measurement by liquid nitrogen. To measure $^{3}He/^{4}He$ and $^{4}He/^{20}Ne$ ratios, dissolved gas samples were purified by titanium getters at 400°C and charcoal traps at liquid nitrogen temperature. Neon was trapped by the cryogenic pump at 40 K after measuring $^{4}He/^{20}Ne$ ratios via online QMS (Pfeiffer Prisma 80). Then, purified helium was injected into a noble gas mass spectrometer (Helix SFT by ThermoFisher) to measure $^{3}He/^{4}He$ ratios. Calibration of He isotope ratios was conducted by using the internal He standard of Japan (HESJ) [18]. Measured $^{3}He/^{4}He$ ratios were corrected for atmospheric helium by using measured $^{4}He/^{20}Ne$ ratios, since $^{20}Ne$ is assumed to be mostly atmospheric [19]. From Sano et al. [20]:

$$Rc/Ra = [(^{3}He/^{4}He)_{measured} - r]/(1 - r)$$

where $Rc/Ra$ is the corrected $^{3}He/^{4}He$ ratio, and $(^{3}He/^{20}Ne)_{ASW}$ is the $^{4}He/^{20}Ne$ ratio of air-saturated water (ASW). Analytical errors for and $^{3}He/^{4}He$ and $^{4}He/^{20}Ne$ ratios are about 1% and 5% ($1\sigma$), respectively.
Table 1: Sampling information, gas composition, and ratios of the main components of the Pohang samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Well depth</th>
<th>He</th>
<th>CH₄</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>O₂</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
<th>He/Ar</th>
<th>N₂/Ar</th>
<th>N₂/He</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-11</td>
<td>Heunghae</td>
<td>36.08608</td>
<td>129.321591</td>
<td>2019-02-21</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9,041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-12</td>
<td>36.12613</td>
<td>129.382112</td>
<td>2019-02-20</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4,099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td>36.12574</td>
<td>129.360214</td>
<td>2019-02-20</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1,909</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-1</td>
<td>36.14254</td>
<td>129.319276</td>
<td>2019-02-21</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2,277</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-01</td>
<td>36.11937</td>
<td>129.346867</td>
<td>2019-04-11</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>81,078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-03</td>
<td>36.13743</td>
<td>129.357115</td>
<td>2019-04-12</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>38,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-04</td>
<td>36.13393</td>
<td>129.36331</td>
<td>2019-04-12</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>17,332</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-05</td>
<td>36.13235</td>
<td>129.366165</td>
<td>2019-04-12</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6,114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-06</td>
<td>36.12957</td>
<td>129.349261</td>
<td>2019-04-12</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,414</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-10</td>
<td>36.07346</td>
<td>129.326276</td>
<td>2019-04-13</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>52,442</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-11</td>
<td>36.07565</td>
<td>129.32894</td>
<td>2019-04-13</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>18,063</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-12</td>
<td>36.09678</td>
<td>129.350515</td>
<td>2019-04-13</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>678</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-07</td>
<td>36.08183</td>
<td>129.306846</td>
<td>2019-04-13</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>2744</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-08</td>
<td>36.02889</td>
<td>129.304796</td>
<td>2019-04-13</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>806</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHI9-09</td>
<td>36.02730</td>
<td>129.302284</td>
<td>2019-04-13</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>107,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH-3</td>
<td>36.02572</td>
<td>129.311889</td>
<td>2018-11-17</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>74,988</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-2</td>
<td>36.10668</td>
<td>129.248979</td>
<td>2019-02-20</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>52,631</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-3</td>
<td>36.19378</td>
<td>129.242729</td>
<td>2019-02-20</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46,613</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-4</td>
<td>36.10299</td>
<td>129.245568</td>
<td>2019-02-20</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>63,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-5</td>
<td>36.11097</td>
<td>129.247894</td>
<td>2019-02-20</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>19,009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-7</td>
<td>36.14834</td>
<td>129.244634</td>
<td>2019-02-20</td>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43,921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GeoFfids
3. Results

The measured gas compositions are reported in Table 1. N$_2$ is the most abundant gas component for most samples with the range of 32.5 to 94.0 vol.%. CO$_2$ is also observed in all samples ranging from 0.1 to 45.4 vol.%. CH$_4$ is also one of the main components for samples in the Heunghae and Yeonil areas (for example, P-14, PH19-12, PH19-07, PH19-08, and PH-3) with the range from 18.7 to 42.9 vol.%. Ar and He range from 0.6 to 2.1 vol.% and from 7 to 2744 ppm, respectively. Except for PH-3 ($O_2 = 8.0$ vol.%), almost no $O_2$ is observed in most samples, indicating minimum air contamination during sampling and analysis. Isotopic compositions of N, C, and He are summarized in Table 2. The nitrogen isotope compositions of N$_2$ ($\delta^{15}$N-N$_2$) for all samples range from 0.19 to 3.56‰, all heavier than air (0‰). Carbon isotope values of CO$_2$ ($\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$) for all samples range from -27.33 to -16.01‰. There is no significant regional difference for $\delta^{15}$N-N$_2$ and $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$. The carbon isotope composition of CH$_4$ ($\delta^{13}$C-CH$_4$) is from -76.05 to -70.04‰, implying similar CH$_4$ sources for both Heunghae and Yeonil areas. In these areas, most samples show $^4$He/$^20$Ne ratios higher than ASW ($^4$He/$^{20}$Ne = 0.268, [21]), with $^4$He/$^3$He ratios ranging from 0.18 to 3.83 Ra, where Ra is the $^3$He/$^4$He ratio of air ($1.4 \times 10^{-6}$, [22]). The $^4$He/$^3$He ratios (0.90 to 1.22 Ra) of the Sinkwang groundwater samples are atmospheric because $^4$He/$^{20}$Ne ratios of the samples are similar to air.

4. Discussion

4.1. Geochemistry. Based on the N$_2$-Ar-He ternary diagram (Figure 2), nonreactive gases (N$_2$, Ar, and He) in the Heunghae and Yeonil areas display a two-component mixing relationship between the mantle and atmospheric end members. Lee et al. [9] have shown that fault gases in the southeastern Korean peninsula are continental gases rather than subduction zone gases. Dissolved gases in groundwater from the Sinkwang area are atmospheric because N$_2$ and/or CH$_4$-rich gases are found in alkaline springs [23, 25, 26]. Moreover, CO$_2$/CH$_4$ ratios and helium concentrations show a negative correlation ($R^2 = 0.76$, Figure S1d). Although Lee et al. [9] suggested both CO$_2$ and helium are derived from the mantle source in southeastern Korea, it is plausible that their origins are decoupled in the Pohang region.

4.2. Origins of Nitrogen, Carbon Dioxide, and Methane. $\delta^{15}$N-N$_2$ values of all samples with the range of 0.19 to 3.56‰ are between the air (0‰) and sediment (7‰) end-members. By plotting $\delta^{15}$N with $N_2/3^4$He ratios (Figure 3(a)), we can identify contributions of the air, sediment, and mantle end-members. To quantify the contribution of each end-member, we adopted the three-component mixing model from Sano et al. [27]:

$$\delta^{15}N_{\text{obs}} = f_M \times \delta^{15}N_{\text{mantle}} + f_S \times \delta^{15}N_{\text{sediment}} + f_A \times \delta^{15}N_{\text{air}}$$

where obs is the observed value; $f_M$, $f_S$, and $f_A$ are the contributions of the mantle, sediments, and air; $\delta^{15}N_{\text{mantle}}$, $\delta^{15}N_{\text{sediment}}$, and $\delta^{15}N_{\text{air}}$ are $-5 \pm 2\%$, $7 \pm 4\%$, and $0\%$; $N_2/3^4$He$_{\text{mantle}}$, $N_2/3^4$He$_{\text{sediment}}$, and $N_2/3^4$He$_{\text{air}}$ are $8.9 \times 10^5$, $1.4 \times 10^{15}$, and $1.1 \times 10^{15}$, respectively [21, 27, 28, 29 and references therein]. The results are summarized in Table S1. Air is the most dominant source for N$_2$ in the Pohang region with the $f_A$ range of 49.1 to 97.0%. Also, sediment is another main source for N$_2$ with the $f_S$ range of 2.8 to 50.9%. The mantle contribution is very minor ($f_M = 0$ to 1.0%), indicating N$_2$ is the primarily sedimentary origin and is contributed by air at shallow depths. Moreover, the sediment-derived N$_2$ in the Pohang region is of shallow origin rather than the recycled nitrogen through subduction as discussed in section 4.1.

The Pohang region has no mantle-derived CO$_2$ which has been reported in Gyeongju and Ulsan areas, southeastern Korea (Lee et al., 2019). $\delta^{13}$C values ($-27.33$ to $-16.01$‰) of CO$_2$ in all samples are lighter than the MORB value ($-6.5 \pm 2.5$‰, [30]) and lie approximately between the mean $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ values of C3 ($-27.0$‰) and C4 ($-13.0$‰) plants [31] (Figure 3(b)). The results are similar to those of most fault gases previously reported in southeastern Korea ($\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2 = -14.50$ to $-24.92$‰) as well as $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ values ($-11.9$ to $-24.0$‰) in global fault zones without magma activity, such as San Andreas Fault and North Anatolian Fault [9 and references therein]. On the $\delta^{13}$C-CO$_2$ vs CO$_2$/He plot (Figure S2), a majority of samples are outside the mixing curve between the biogenic and mantle end-members due to their low CO$_2$/He ratios. As discussed in section 4.1, the decrease in CO$_2$/He ratios can be attributed to CO$_2$ loss in this area under the influence of pH. Moreover, the negative correlation ($R^2 = 0.88$) between $^4$He concentrations and CO$_2$/He ratios is displayed well (Figure S1e). Gilfillan et al. [32] have shown the same trend for natural gas fields in North America. They argued that
Table 2: Analysis of stable isotopes and noble gases in the Pohang area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>$^3$He/$^4$He</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>$^3$He/$^4$He</th>
<th>$^4$He/$^{20}$Ne</th>
<th>$^4$He</th>
<th>$^{20}$Ne</th>
<th>$^4$He</th>
<th>$^{15}$N</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>$^{12}$C</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>$^{15}$N</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>$^{13}$C</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>$^{12}$C</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>$^{15}$N</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>$^{13}$C</th>
<th>Error</th>
<th>$^{12}$C</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-11</td>
<td>Heunghae</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.06E-07</td>
<td>2.22E-07</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>3.52E+10</td>
<td>1.53E+09</td>
<td>8.98E+07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.91E-06</td>
<td>2.48E-07</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>7.70E+08</td>
<td>-19.49</td>
<td>6.64E+07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.66E-06</td>
<td>1.24E-07</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>5.11E+08</td>
<td>-19.49</td>
<td>4.72E+08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-11</td>
<td>DS-1</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.65E-07</td>
<td>2.37E-07</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.89E+09</td>
<td>-27.33</td>
<td>1.09E+09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.04E-07</td>
<td>1.75E-07</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.55E+10</td>
<td>-16.01</td>
<td>1.37E+10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>8.59E-08</td>
<td>2.67E-07</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.03E+10</td>
<td>-23.08</td>
<td>1.71E+10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.99E-07</td>
<td>1.38E-07</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>6.21E+09</td>
<td>-25.29</td>
<td>1.79E+09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.32E-06</td>
<td>1.87E-07</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.77E+09</td>
<td>-25.77</td>
<td>1.02E+09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.75E-06</td>
<td>1.98E-07</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.02E+08</td>
<td>-23.14</td>
<td>1.39E+08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.22E-08</td>
<td>2.03E-07</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>4.99E+10</td>
<td>-20.78</td>
<td>2.82E+10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.58E-07</td>
<td>2.01E-07</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.60E+10</td>
<td>-20.66</td>
<td>9.74E+09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6.84E-06</td>
<td>1.11E-07</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>2.17E+08</td>
<td>-25.71</td>
<td>1.51E+08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>145.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.07E-05</td>
<td>7.35E-08</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>4.92E+07</td>
<td>-21.66</td>
<td>5.88E+06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>6.96E-06</td>
<td>1.12E-07</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.50E+08</td>
<td>-23.70</td>
<td>4.32E+07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.83E-08</td>
<td>1.36E-07</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>7.60E+10</td>
<td>-20.09</td>
<td>2.36E+10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.07E+10</td>
<td>0.81E+07</td>
<td>-71.47</td>
<td>1.20E+10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-12</td>
<td>Sinkwang</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.21E-07</td>
<td>4.11E-07</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.21E+10</td>
<td>-26.31</td>
<td>7.35E+09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.35E-08</td>
<td>2.07E-07</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>3.66E+10</td>
<td>-26.69</td>
<td>1.19E+10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8.41E-08</td>
<td>2.37E-07</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>4.54E+10</td>
<td>-25.43</td>
<td>1.87E+10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-14</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>7.74E-08</td>
<td>2.55E-07</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.27E+10</td>
<td>-25.57</td>
<td>8.62E+09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-12</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.35E-07</td>
<td>4.47E-07</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.57E+10</td>
<td>-23.86</td>
<td>1.58E+10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: the standards for $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C are air and Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB), respectively. Concentrations of $^4$He and $^{20}$Ne of PH-3 were not measured because the sampling method was different. The carbon isotope ratios of CO$_2$ in P-11 and PH-3 were not measured due to their low concentrations.
noble gases are unlikely involved in increasing or decreasing \( \text{CO}_2/\text{He} \) ratios. However, the trend between \( \text{He}^3/\text{He} \) and \( \text{CO}_2/\text{He} \) ratios (Figure S1f) is also negatively correlated \( (R^2 = 0.54) \). Thus, in the Pohang region, we argue that not only \( \text{CO}_2 \) loss but also external helium was introduced to reduce \( \text{CO}_2/\text{He} \) ratios in the local groundwater layer (see section 4.3-4.5). This further supports that \( \text{CO}_2 \) source derived from shallower sediments than the mantle.

The origin of \( \text{CH}_4 \) in Pohang is relatively uniform. In the measured samples (Table 2), \( \delta^{13}\text{C} \) values of \( \text{CH}_4 \) range from -76.05 to -70.04‰, indicating a typical microbial origin [33]. Considering \( \delta^{13}\text{C} \) of \( \text{CO}_2 \), the mechanism of production of \( \text{CH}_4 \) is resulted by carbonate reduction with slight oxidation after the process of methanogenesis (Figure S2b, [34]). Therefore, the isotope separation factors \( (\varepsilon_{\text{CO}_2-\text{CH}_4}) \) which are approximately from 54.36 to 58.12 allow us to estimate the growth temperature of \( \text{CH}_4 \) at ~40°C [34].

4.3. Helium Isotope Geochemistry in the Pohang Area. In the Pohang region, higher \( \text{He}^4/\text{He} \) ratios (up to 3.83 Ra) than ASW/air are found in the Heunghae and Yeonil areas. Although elevated \( \text{He}^4/\text{He} \) ratios can be resulted by the \( \text{H}^3/\text{He} \) derived \( \text{He} \), it is unlikely because the samples with high \( \text{He}^4/\text{He} \) ratios also have high \( \text{He}^4/\text{Ne} \) ratios (up to 145.29). Helium is also remobilized from old igneous rocks [35]. It is known that Pohang Basin is filled by sediments on the granodiorite basement rocks with some Tertiary basaltic rocks (Daljeon basalt) which erupted at 13.8 Ma [14 and references therein]. However, the basaltic rocks show a limited distribution (<1 km²) in the Yeonil area [14 and references therein]. Considering the typical \( \text{He}^4/\text{He} \) contents in inclusion bearing olivine \( (10^{-8} \text{ to } 10^{-9} \text{ ccSTP/g}) \) and the \( \text{He}^4/\text{He} \) concentrations of high \( \text{He}^4/\text{He} \) ratio samples from the Yeonil area \( (1.1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ to } 7.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ ccSTP/g}) \), the amounts of trapped \( \text{He}^3 \) in the Daljeon basalt is insufficient to be the source of \( \text{He}^3 \) in the Yeonil area. Furthermore, in consideration of general Li concentration of igneous and sedimentary rock, radiogenic \( \text{He}^3 \) by decay reaction \( ^6\text{Li}(n, \alpha)^3\text{He}(\beta^-)^3\text{He} \) cannot affect \( \text{He}^4/\text{He} \) ratio of groundwater [35 and references therein]. Therefore, the excess \( \text{He}^3 \) in the Pohang region originates from the mantle as well as \( \text{He}^4 \) [23, 36].

The range of \( \text{He}^4/\text{He} \) ratios (0.18 to 3.83 Ra) in the Heunghae area is wide, indicating that both mantle and crustal helium sources are present in a short range, up to 10km (Figures 1 and 4). Even though some samples (PH19-09 and PH-3) in the Yeonil area are atmospheric (Figures 1 and 4), two samples (PH19-07 and PH19-08)

**Figure 2:** N₂-Ar-He ternary plot. Reference data of fault-related gas samples in the southeastern part of the Korean peninsula (white diamond) is shown [9]. All samples are mixed between MORB, air, and air-saturated water (ASW) and displayed as the trend of continental gas.
Figure 3: (a) $N_2$/$^3\text{He}$ versus $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ diagram. Each end-member and mixing lines are described in section 4.2. (b) $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of CO$_2$ versus 1/CO$_2$ diagram (modified from Lee et al. [53]). Mantle value of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ($-6.5 \pm 2.5\%$) is from Sano and Marty [30]. The biogenic CO$_2$ area ranges between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of C3 plant and C4 plant [31]. The air value of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and CO$_2$ concentration are from Lewicki and Brantley [54]. (c) CH$_4$/$^3\text{He}$ versus $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of CH$_4$ diagram (modified from Sano et al. [55]). Reference data from northeastern Asia is shown together (Sano et al. [55]).
have high $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ (3.09 Ra and 2.32 Ra) and $^4\text{He}/^{20}\text{Ne}$ (145.29 and 61.86) ratios, implying the presence of mantle-derived fluids. All samples in the Sinkwang area show atmospheric $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ (0.90-1.34 Ra) and $^4\text{He}/^{20}\text{Ne}$ (0.27-0.36) ratios (Figures 1 and 4). A $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratio of up to 5.69 Ra was reported for the Yangsan fault zones [9]. The absence of mantle signatures for all samples in the Sinkwang area can be explained by the distance from the main fault line (Figure 1), which means the influx of external helium is quite low.

The highest $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratio (3.83 Ra) represents about 50% of the mantle contribution to the fluid (Figure 4). It is known that mantle-derived helium can be actively released to the surface through magmatism [37, 38]. However, the Pohang region is located hundreds of kilometers away from the active volcanoes of the Japanese arc (Figure 1). A low-velocity zone beneath Ulleungdo has been proposed by Chen et al. [39] and references therein, which is also 200 km away from Pohang. Moreover, magma activity in this area has been ceased after 9,300-6,300 BP [40 and references therein].

The appropriate model for the occurrence of mantle-derived helium in this region is that there are permeable fault zones like the release of mantle fluids in the San Andreas Fault zones [5]. According to Song [14], there are some faults in the Pohang region, such as Heunghae, Gokgang, Hyeongsan, and Ocheon faults (Figure 1). Also, Westaway and Burnside [41] named the new fault as the Namsong fault on the basis of the aftershock distribution of the Pohang earthquake (Figure 1) and proposed that the fault has been already critically stressed before the EGS project [41]. In addition, according to the Korea Meteorological Administration (KMA), the depths of the 2017 Pohang earthquake and aftershocks are less than 16 km, which is shallower than the Moho depth (~28 km) of the region [42]. Kennedy and Van Soest [37] suggested that the mantle fluids of the San Andreas Fault penetrate the brittle-ductile boundary based on helium isotope ratios and strain rates measured by GPS. To explain the mantle-derived helium in the Gyeongju and Ulsan areas, southeastern Korea, Lee et al. [9] also proposed that mantle helium migrates along the ductile shear zone underneath the brittle regime.

From the above information, in the Pohang region, we suggest that there have been already tectonically active areas that have developed from the ductile shear zone to the brittle fault zone. This condition enabled the inflow of the mantle-derived fluids through the lower crust into the permeable faults. Furthermore, in this area, relatively high temperatures, heat contents, and heat flows have been reported [43 and references therein], supporting that $^3\text{He}$ came from the mantle through active faults (e.g., Umeda et al. [44]).

4.4. Distribution of Active Faults. As discussed in section 4.3, we identified the existence of permeable faults in the Heunghae and Yeonil areas. The locations of the faults can be constrained based on the geographical distribution of helium isotope ratios [7]. Since the latitude variation in the $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratios is the most prominent to specify fault locations, we can show the relationship between the $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratios and latitude (Figure S3). Although it is known that the location of the Heunghae fault is still ambiguous, we found that the distribution of higher $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratios are well consistent with the fault striking EW at 36.126°N (Figure 1). Also, there is a relationship between higher $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratios and distance from the fault line (Figure 5(a)), which has been observed in the San Andreas, North Anatolian, and Karakoram faults [5, 8, 37, 45]. In Figure 5(a), we could observe a sample (PH19-12) with a high $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratio (2.25 Ra), which is about 3 km away from the Heunghae fault to the south (Figures 1, S3). The sampling location of PH19-12 is still in the area where aftershocks have frequently occurred (Figure 1), suggesting there can be another $^3\text{He}$ discharge in the Heunghae area. To confirm the pathway of mantle-derived helium, we calculated the distance of all samples from the Namsong fault (Figure 5(b)). Based on the mainshock strike (N34°E) and dip (51°NW), we assumed that the easternmost boundary of the aftershock occurrences (from 2017 Annual report of Earthquake) with the N34°E strike is the uppermost line of the fault. By using this uppermost line, the distance from the closest fault and $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratios are displayed (Figure 5(c)), showing a better correlation with exponential distribution ($R^2 = 0.80$) than Figure 5(a). To validate the relationship between the distance from faults and $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratios, we selected six samples at latitudes higher than P12 (Figure 5(a), red circles). These samples are well correlated with distance from the Heunghae fault exponentially ($R^2 = 0.98$), validating the model of the Heunghae-
Namsong fault system as shown in Figure 5(c). Samples with lower $^{3}\text{He}/^{4}\text{He}$ ratios than 1 Ra indicate that the inflow of $^{3}\text{He}$ into the aquifer is less than crustal or atmospheric contributions (Figure 4). Although it is not well known about the exact fault locations in the Yeonil area, previous studies have reported the presence of faults [14 and references therein]. Based on some samples with high $^{3}\text{He}/^{4}\text{He}$ ratios (Figure 1), we suggest that there can be a highly permeable fault zone. In this study, we propose to name the Jamyeong fault considering the name of the village called Jamyeong-ri in this area.

**4.5. Helium Flux from the Faults.** To compare fluid dynamics with other fault systems in the world, helium flow rates and $^{3}\text{He}$ flux were estimated. We calculated helium flow rates from the most reliable mantle helium source from each fault (P-12 for Heunghae fault, PH19-07 for Jamyeong fault, PH19-12 for Namsong fault), following Menzies et al. [46]:

$$q_{\text{He}} = \frac{H_{i} \rho P_{\text{He}}}{\rho_{f} P_{\text{He, F,m}}} \times \frac{R_{m} - R_{x}}{R_{m} - R_{s}} \quad (3)$$

**Figure 5:** (a) $^{3}\text{He}/^{4}\text{He}$ ratios to distance from the Heunghae fault line. Samples at latitudes higher than P-12 are marked with red circles. (b) $^{3}\text{He}/^{4}\text{He}$ ratios to distance from the Namsong fault line, which is not prominent. (c) $^{3}\text{He}/^{4}\text{He}$ ratios to distance from closer fault lines between the Heunghae and Namsong fault lines. Samples closer to the Namsong fault than the Heunghae fault are marked with blue circles.
where $q_{He}$ is the helium flow rate (cm/yr); $Hc$ is the crust thickness; $\rho_c$ and $\rho_f$ are the density of the crust and the fluid, respectively; $P(He)$ is the current production rate of $^{4}$He from crust; $[He]_{F.m}$ is the concentration of helium in the original mantle fluid; $R_s$, $R_c$, and $R_m$ represent helium isotopic ratios ($^{3}$He/$^{4}$He) of the sample, crust, and mantle, respectively. The crust below the Pohang region was assumed to be a double layer with thicknesses for the upper and middle crust of 12.3 and 15.6 km, respectively [42, 47]. We used the average density values of the middle crust (2.72 g/cm$^3$) and the upper crust (2.65 g/cm$^3$) as $\rho_c$ and the water density (1 g/cm$^3$) as $\rho_f$ [48 and references therein]. From Menzies et al. [46], $P(He)$ (ccSTP g$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) can be obtained as:

$$P(He) = \rho_c \times (1.19 \times 10^{-11} \times [U] + 2.88 \times 10^{-14} \times [Th]) \times (1 - \Phi)/(\Phi)$$

where $^{4}$He production rates of $U$ and $Th$ are $1.19 \times 10^{-11}$ ccSTP g$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ and $2.88 \times 10^{-14}$ ccSTP g$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively; $[U]$ and $[Th]$ are concentrations for $U$ and $Th$ in ppm; $\Phi$ is the porosity of the material. By using the average concentration of each layer for $U$ (middle crust: 0.7 ppm and upper crust: 2.7 ppm) and $Th$ (middle crust: 0.63 ppm and upper crust: 10.5 ppm) [48 and references therein], $H_c \rho_c$, $P(He)$ for each crust layer (in equation (3)) was calculated and were added up. The $[He]_{F.m}$ for each sample was calculated using $R_s$ (0.02 Ra), $R_m$ (8 Ra), and $\rho_f$ values of the sample.

Each variable is measured or obtained except $\Phi$, resulting in that the helium flow rate is a function of porosity ($\Phi$). The porosity of Yeongnam Massif granodiorite underneath the study area is 0.48% [49]. The porosity measured by wireless logging along PX2 at depth is 5.2% [49], which includes the void volume of fractures. The porosity of the fault zone itself should be higher than that of the fractured basement rock. Therefore, we assumed four different porosity conditions with $\Phi$ = 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.2 which are about 0.2, 1, 2, and 4 times the porosity of the basement rock.

Calculated helium flow rates ($q_{He}$) for a given porosity range from 26.95 cm/yr to 128 cm/yr (Heunghae fault), 5.34 cm/yr to 25.37 cm/yr (Namsong fault), and 4.00 cm/yr to 19.02 cm/yr (Jamyong fault, Table S2). Compared with other global fault zones (1.7 to 12.7 cm/yr of San Andreas Fault; 0.87 cm/yr of North Anatolian Fault; 55 cm/yr of Alpine Fault, New Zealand) [46, 50], faults in the Pohang region show relatively high helium flow rates. To assume that faults in the Pohang region have helium flows of the approximately same magnitude, the porosity of the faults need to be higher than other fault systems. Thus, in the fault zones of the Pohang region, it is believed that the porosity is high, or the helium flow rate is high. With the calculated helium flow rates and measured helium concentration of each sample, we were able to calculate the $^{3}$He flux for each sample site by using measured $^{3}$He/$^{4}$He ratios. The $^{3}$He flux per unit area ($\Phi$ $^{3}$He) can be calculated as:

$$\Phi^{3}He = q_{He} \times \rho_f \times [^{3}He] \times R$$

where $[^{3}He]$ is measured $^{4}$He concentration of each sample; $R$ is helium isotopic composition ($^{3}$He/$^{4}$He). The calculated $^{3}$He flux values are 120 to 3,000 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$ (Heunghae fault), 52 to 1,300 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$ (Namsong fault), and 83 to 2,100 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$ (Jamyong fault, Table S2).

In Figure 6, samples related to the Heunghae fault show the correlation between $^{3}$He flux and fault. Considering the size of the study area (<10 km from the fault), this trend can be compared with the results near the Futagawa fault (<40 km from the fault) in the Kumamoto area, Kyushu, Japan, where an earthquake of magnitude 7.3 occurred on April 16, 2016 (Sano et al. [6], Table S3, Figure 7). The Heunghae fault zone shows the sharper pattern than the Futagawa fault zone because samples from this area are collected in a smaller area. The maximum flux of the Futagawa fault is 5,600 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$, which is higher than that of the Heunghae area because the sample is close to the fault as well as Mt Aso to supply helium from the nearby magma [6].

Like the helium flow rate, this $^{3}$He flux is relatively high compared to other major fault systems, such as 1.7 to 34 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$ of the San Andreas Fault, 75 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$ of the North Anatolian Fault, and 170 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$ of the Alpine Fault, New Zealand [46, 50], showing high porosity in the fault zone or high $^{3}$He flux per unit area (Figure 7). Also, this $^{3}$He flux is several orders of magnitude higher than the continental $^{3}$He flux in steady-state (3.9 to 7.2 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$, from Sano et al. [51]). Therefore, the helium flux results suggest that the Pohang region may have faults comparable to other active fault zones around the world (Figures 1, 6).

5. Conclusions

We first analyzed dissolved gases in groundwater in the Pohang region, South Korea, where the Mw 5.5 earthquake occurred on November 15, 2017. The $N_2$-Ar-He relationship shows that there is the contribution of the mantle component in the Heunghae and Yeonil area samples, which is similar to that previously reported in fault zones of the southeastern Korean peninsula [9]. However, the dissolved gases in the Sinkwang area are mostly close to atmospheric components. $N_2$ (32.5 to 94.0 vol.%) and $CO_2$ (0.1 to 45.4 vol.%) are present in all areas of the Pohang region, and $CH_4$ (18.7 to 42.9 vol.%) is observed as a major component in some samples of the Heunghae and Yeonil areas. The results of the stable isotope analysis indicate that $N_2$ ($\delta^{15}N = 0.2$ to 3.6‰), $CO_2$ ($\delta^{13}C = -27.3$ to $-16.0$‰), and $CH_4$ ($\delta^{13}C = -76.1$ to $-70.0$‰) in the Pohang region are derived from organic material sources at shallow depths. Helium isotope ratios ($^{4}$He/$^{3}$He) with mantle signatures (up to 3.83 Ra) are observed in the Heunghae and Yeonil areas except in the Sinkwang area, where atmospheric $^{3}$He/$^{4}$He ratios are mainly observed. Helium originates from the mantle, but the Pohang region, a sedimentary basin formed during the Miocene period, is believed to contain a large amount of organic matter that can be the source for $N_2$, $CO_2$, and
CH$_4$. The distribution of the helium isotope ratio seems to be related to the locations of faults which are permeable passage. Based on the observation, we suggest that the Heunghae, Namsong, and Jamyeong faults in the Pohang region are active faults that release the mantle fluids. Although the Heunghae and Namsong faults are close to the EGS facilities, considering the depths (<10 km) of the 2017 earthquake and aftershocks in the area, the Moho depth (~28 km) is far below, which is similar to the Gyeongju and Ulsan areas [9]. Thus, we propose that there were already active faults extending into the ductile shear zone to release the mantle helium. In order to show that the faults in this area are active, we computed $^3$He flux ($\Phi^{3}$He) for the Heunghae (120 to 3,000 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$), Namsong (52 to 1,300 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$), and Jamyeong (83 to 2,100 atoms cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$) faults. These values are comparable to those in the regions known as active faults around the world, which may be due to either high porosity or high helium flow rates. Therefore, our results demonstrate that there are active faults in Pohang, especially around the EGS facilities, and will provide important information for future research.
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Figure S1: (a) relative concentration of CO$_2$ and pH plot. (b) CO$_2$/N$_2$ and pH plot. (c) CO$_2$/CH$_4$ and pH plot. (d)
CO₂/CH₄ and concentration of ⁴He plot. (e) CO₂/³He and concentration of ¹³C/¹²C plot. Figure S2: (a) CO₂/³He and δ¹³C plot. Dashed arrow indicates CO₂/³He and δ¹³C decrease trend due to CO₂ trap, which described in Section 4.2. (b) δ¹³C of CO₂ and δ¹⁵N of CH₄ plot (modified from Whiticar [34]). Figure S3: helium samples from the Heunghae area (diamond symbol) and the helium isotope and latitude plot. Each symbol is colored by its helium isotope ratio (³He/⁴He). The locations of Heunghae (HF) and Namsong (NF) fault lines (dashed line) are described in Section 4.4. The gray triangle indicates the location of the EGS site, and the brown star indicates the location of the Mw 5.5 earthquake. Table S1: δ¹⁵N, N₂/³He, and the contribution of three nitrogen endmembers on the Pohang samples: the mantle, sediment, and the air. The δ¹⁵N and N₂/³He of each endmember and the mixing model are described in Section 4.2. Table S2: the corrected Helium isotope ratio, the concentration of helium in original mantle fluid, the helium flow rate, and the ³He flux of each fault system. Table S3: the ³He concentration, corrected ³He/⁴He ratio, and ²He flux of each sample and their distance from related faults, respectively. The data of the Futagawa fault is from Sano et al. [6]. (Supplementary Materials)
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