The Experimental Investigation of the Development Potential of Low-Permeability Reservoirs in the Daqing Oilfield
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It is very risky and difficult to develop low-permeability reservoirs, but reservoir development can be guided by the development potential of different low-permeability reservoirs. In this study, natural cores of the Daqing Oilfield were used as the research objects. The throat radius distributions of the different low-permeability cores were determined by the constant velocity mercury injection method, the movable fluid distribution characteristics were determined by nuclear magnetic resonance, and the nonlinear fluid flow characteristics were analyzed via fluid flow experimentation. From these data, the development potential for low-permeability reservoirs was determined. The results show that when the permeability is $1 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, the average throat radius is only approximately $0.9 \mu m$ and throats with radii less than $0.1 \mu m$ account for approximately 30% of the throats. The throats with an average radius less than $1 \mu m$, especially throats with radii less than $0.1 \mu m$, are the main factor restricting the fluid flow in these cores. The movable fluid is only approximately 20% of the fluid in a core, and the threshold pressure gradient reaches $0.15 \text{MPa/m}$ when the permeability is $1 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, indicating that it is more difficult to develop reservoirs with permeabilities less than $1 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$.

1. Introduction

In petroleum reservoirs, the maximum oil recovery due to natural drive mechanisms is only 20%-60%; thus, nearly $9.8 \times 10^{11} \text{t}$ of crude oil is being targeted by various enhanced oil recovery methods [1]. Chemical flooding is mainly adopted to increase oil production in high-permeability reservoirs [2, 3]. The reserves of low-permeability reservoirs are quite large and the main source of oil production [4, 5]. Using China as an example, the reserves of low-permeability reservoirs account for approximately 46% of the total reserves [6]. However, it is very risky and difficult to develop low-permeability reservoirs [7–9]. Taking the low-permeability reservoirs in the Daqing Oilfield as an example, the average daily oil production of each well is 1.2 t/d, and the predicted recovery efficiency is only approximately 15%. The main factor leading to the poor recovery efficiency of low-permeability reservoirs is the complexity of the corresponding fluid flow characteristics [10]. Due to the very small pores and throats and complex pore structure of low-permeability reservoirs, their fluid flow characteristics are nonlinear [11]. The complex flow characteristics are mainly manifested in the following three aspects: first, low-permeability reservoirs exhibit microscale effects. The reservoir rocks are tight, and the average throat radius distribution ranges from 0.2 to $1 \mu m$ [12, 13] (far less than that of a high-permeability reservoir [14, 15]). The Jiamin effect can be clearly observed in low-permeability reservoirs due to the distribution of small throat radii [16, 17], leading to a considerable resistance to fluid flow [18]. Second, there is a boundary layer of crude oil on the inner surface of rock pores in low-permeability reservoirs [19, 20]. The composition and properties of the crude oil in this boundary layer are very different from those in the center of the pore [21, 22]. When the pore and throat radius is smaller, the fluid requires more pressure to flow, and the reservoir is more difficult to develop [23, 24]. Third, with the decrease in permeability, the threshold pressure gradient...
increases sharply in low-permeability reservoirs [25, 26]. Crude oil requires more pressure to flow into small pores and small throats [27]. Above all, the saturation of movable fluid is quite low in low-permeability reservoirs, ranging from 25% to 35% [28, 29], and oil-water diffusion zones are small. The recovery efficiency of water flooding is quite low in low-permeability reservoirs [30, 31]. Currently, little research has been performed to determine the development potential for low-permeability reservoirs, which limits their development. Some studies have been performed considering fluid mechanics in porous media and reservoir engineering principles [32–34], but no enough experimental data are available to validate the accuracy of the formulas. For the development of low-permeability reservoirs to be less risky and more efficient, it is important to determine the development potential of low-permeability reservoirs. In this paper, the natural cores of the Daqing Oilfield were taken as the research objects. The throat radius distribution, movable fluid distribution characteristics, and threshold pressure gradient were determined for different low-permeability cores. This information, combined with the observed pore and fluid flow characteristics, was used to determine the development potential of low-permeability reservoirs. The results can provide theoretical guidance for decisions that are made during the development of low-permeability reservoirs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Materials. The natural cores were chosen from the Fuyang layer of the Daqing Oilfield. The Daqing oil is in the sandstone reservoir, and fluvial facies and delta plain facies are the main sedimentary facies of the Fuyang layer. One hundred ten natural cores were taken from the Fuyang layer, with porosities ranging from 12.40% to 17.75% and permeabilities ranging from $0.36 \times 10^{-3}$ $\mu m^2$ to $14.82 \times 10^{-3}$ $\mu m^2$. The simulated oil was prepared from wellhead crude oil and aviation kerosene with a viscosity of $14 \times 10^{-6}$ mPa·s at 45°C. The ionic compositions of the injection water and formation water are shown in Table 1.

2.2. Experimental Methods

2.2.1. Throat Radius Measurement. An ASPE730 constant speed mercury injection apparatus was used to measure the throat radius of the different low-permeability cores. The inlet mercury pressure was approximately 6.89 MPa, and the inlet mercury speed was $0.00005$ mL/min. The contact angle of the mercury was $140^\circ$, and the interfacial tension of the mercury was $485$ dyne/cm [35].

2.2.2. Threshold Pressure Gradient Measurement

1. The natural cores were washed with benzene solution to remove the crude oil.
2. The cores were vacuumed with a vacuum pump for at least 24 h and then saturated in simulated formation water.
3. The simulated formation water was injected into the cores at a rate of $0.01$ mL/min, and the flow rate and pressure were recorded.
4. The relationship between flow rate and pressure was obtained by gradually increasing the flow rate of the injected water.

2.2.3. Measurement of Movable Fluid. A Newmyer MINI-NMR instrument was used as the experimental apparatus. In the experiment, the magnet temperature was set to $32^\circ C$, and the field intensity was $0.5T$. The experimental steps are as follows:

1. The natural cores were washed with benzene solution to remove the crude oil.
2. The core permeabilities were measured using a gas permeability tester.
3. The cores were vacuumed with a vacuum pump for at least 24 h, and they were saturated in simulated formation water under a pressure of $10$ MPa. The core weights were measured, and the porosity was calculated.
4. The cores were placed in a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) analyzer with a low magnetic field for the MRI $T_2$ test. The $T_2$ relaxation time spectra were calculated.

3. Throat Radii of the Low-Permeability Reservoirs

3.1. Throat Radius Distribution Characteristics. Forty-six natural cores with different permeabilities were chosen for constant velocity mercury injection. A DM4500P polarizing microscope and a JSM-5600LV scanning electron microscope were used to observe the pore structure, as shown in Figure 1. The throat radius distributions of different low-permeability cores are shown in Figure 2. The proportions of the different types of throats are shown in Figure 3.

The pores and throats are very small in these low-permeability cores, and with decreasing permeability, the
throat radii decrease, making the reservoir more difficult to develop. When the permeability is greater than $10 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, most of the throat radii are larger than $1 \mu m$, accounting for 75.25% of the throats. When the permeability is less than $1 \times 10^{-7} \mu m^2$, the average throat radius increases gradually with increasing permeability. When the permeability is greater than $5 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, most of the throat radii are smaller than $1 \mu m$. When the permeability is between $1 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$ and $2 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, the throat radii are between $0.1 \mu m$ and $1 \mu m$, accounting for 61.05% of the throats, while throats with radii less than $0.1 \mu m$ account for 12.36% of the throats. When the permeability is less than $1 \times 10^{-7} \mu m^2$, the average throat radius rapidly decreases as permeability decreases. This indicates that it is more difficult to develop reservoirs with permeabilities less than $1.13 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$.

4. Movable Fluids in the Low-Permeability Reservoirs

4.1. Movable Fluid Characteristics. Twenty-nine natural cores with different permeabilities were selected from the low-permeability reservoirs, and the magnetic resonance method was used to measure the $T_2$ spectrum curves. The results show that the $T_2$ spectrum curve is generally divided into three types: the left peak value is larger than that of the right peak, the two peak values are almost the same, or the right peak value is larger than that of the left peak, as shown in Figure 5.

As shown, when the permeability is less than $6.9 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, the left peak value increases as permeability decreases, and when
the movable fluid percentage decreases, the development potential of the reservoir significantly decreases. When the permeability is approximately $6.9 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, the left and right peak values are almost the same, and the right peak value exceeds the left peak value as permeability further increases. This indicates that the movable fluid percentage in the reservoir is high and that the reservoir is feasible for development.

4.2. The Movable Fluid Percentage for the Different Low-Permeability Cores. According to the results of the nuclear magnetic resonance tests, the relationship between movable fluid percentage and permeability is shown in Figure 6. The relationship of the movable fluid percentage and the permeability is semilogarithmic and similar to the relationship between the permeability and the average throat radius. When the permeability is approximately $5.43 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, the movable fluid percentage is approximately 39.28%. When the permeability is $1.04 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, the movable fluid percentage is 18.32%. When the permeability is approximately $0.43 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, the movable fluid percentage is smaller than 8.03%. This indicates that reservoirs with permeabilities less than $1 \times 10^{-3} \mu m$ have very low development potential. When the permeability is less than $1 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^2$, throats with radii less than 0.1 $\mu m$ account for approximately 30% of the throats, whereas throats with radii between 0.1 $\mu m$ and 1 $\mu m$ account for approximately 60% of the throats. Throat radii less than 1 $\mu m$, especially throats smaller than 0.1 $\mu m$, are the main factor restricting fluid flow in reservoirs. The flow resistance is greater when more throat radii are smaller than 1 $\mu m$. For reservoirs with permeabilities less than $1 \times 10^{-3} \mu m$, improving the fluid flow via hydraulic fracturing should be considered [36].

5. Threshold Pressure Gradient of the Low-Permeability Reservoirs

Thirty-five natural cores with different permeabilities were selected, and their threshold pressure gradients were tested. The resulting relationship between fluid flow velocity and displacement pressure gradient is shown in Figure 7. The intersection of the fluid flow velocity and pressure gradient axis is the threshold pressure gradient. The oil well pressure
and water well pressure of 16 oil-producing regions within the Daqing low-permeability reservoir were determined, and the reservoir-scale pressure gradient was calculated by taking the pressure difference between the water well and oil well and dividing by well spacing. The relationship between the threshold pressure gradient and permeability is shown in Figure 8.

The threshold pressure gradient increases as permeability decreases. When the permeability is greater than $1 \times 10^{-3} \, \mu m^2$, the threshold pressure gradient decreases rapidly as permeability increases. When the permeability is $10.74 \times 10^{-3} \, \mu m^2$, the threshold pressure gradient is only 0.02 MPa/m. When the permeability is less than $1 \times 10^{-3} \, \mu m^2$, the threshold pressure gradient increases rapidly as permeability decreases. When the permeability is $1.26 \times 10^{-3} \, \mu m^2$, the threshold pressure gradient is 0.15 MPa/m. The main reason for this trend is that throats with radii less than 0.1 $\mu m$ account for 30% of the throats, and the capillary force is large, which restrains fluid flow.

![Figure 5: $T_2$ relaxation time spectra of different low-permeability cores.](image)

![Figure 6: Relationship between movable fluid percentage and permeability.](image)
There is an intersection point of the reservoir pressure gradient curve and the threshold pressure gradient curve. The permeability at this intersection point is approximately $1 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$, which indicates that reservoirs can be successfully developed for water injection when the reservoir permeability is greater than $1 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$. Additionally, the oil recovery with water flooding is very low when the reservoir permeability is less than $1 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$.

### 6. Conclusions

1. The presence of throats with radii less than 1 μm, especially those with radii less than 0.1 μm, is the main factor restricting the fluid flow in cores. When the permeability is less than $1.13 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$, the average throat radius is less than 1 μm, and the number of throats with radii less than 0.1 μm rapidly increases as permeability decreases.

2. There is little development potential for reservoirs with permeabilities less than $1 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$. When the permeability is $1.04 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$, the movable fluid percentage is 18.32%, and when the permeability is approximately $0.43 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$, the movable fluid percentage is less than 8.03%.

3. When the permeability is less than $1 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$, the threshold pressure gradient rapidly increases with decreasing permeability. When the permeability is $1 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$, the threshold pressure gradient increases to 0.15 MPa/m. According to the reservoir pressure gradient and threshold pressure gradient data, the oil recovery with water flooding is very low when the reservoir permeability is less than $1 \times 10^{-3}$ μm$^2$. 

![Figure 7: Nonlinear flow curve of different low-permeability cores.](image)

![Figure 8: Relationship between permeability and threshold pressure gradient.](image)
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