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Calprotectin Is a Useful Tool in Distinguishing Coexisting Irritable Bowel-Like Symptoms from That of Occult Inflammation among Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients in Remission
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1. Introduction

Both ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease are chronic gastrointestinal disorders, which are often referred to as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). IBD is characterised by a pattern of symptoms that most commonly alternate between periods of remission and exacerbation [1]. Since IBD shares many common features with the functional gastrointestinal disorder irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), a usual clinical challenge is to distinguish symptoms of IBD from IBS. The aim of this study was to investigate the levels of calprotectin in IBS-positive IBD patients in remission.

Methods. Remission was defined as a simple clinical colitis activity index (SCCAI) or simple crohn’s disease activity index (SCDAI) score of less than three and less than four, respectively. The Rome II criteria were used to identify cases, and the calprotectin ELISA test was used to quantify calprotectin in stools.

Results. The Rome II criteria were fulfilled in 24.6% of ulcerative colitis (UC) patients, while the comparable number for Crohn’s disease (CD) was 21.4%. There was a tendency for elevated fecal calprotectin levels in IBS-positive patients, regardless of diagnosis. However, these differences were only significant in CD.

Conclusions. Calprotectin levels are elevated in subgroups of IBD patients that are in remission and have coexisting IBS-like symptoms. This study underscores the clinical usefulness of a noninvasive marker to distinguish patients in need of intensified followup from those that do not need further workup.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subjects. Patients who were over the age of 18 years, had IBD that was previously verified clinically, endoscopically, and histologically, and were either in remission or with mild to moderate disease activity, defined as SCCAI (simple clinical colitis activity index) [6] or SCDAI (simple Crohn’s disease activity index) [7] score less than 10, were eligible for inclusion in this study. Patients were excluded if they had cognitive impairment, and they were judged to be unlikely to comply with the study procedures, or if they had already participated in other studies. Clinical remission was defined as an SCCAI or SCDAI score of less than three and less than four in UC and CD, respectively. Patients were consecutively recruited from three outpatient clinics in the southeast of Norway during routine followup. At each of the centres, a senior gastroenterologist was in charge of the study performance.

2.2. Clinical and Sociodemographic Data. Sociodemographic variables were gathered by way of interview. Data regarding clinical status and symptoms were obtained from medical records, laboratory tests, and disease activity indices (SCCAI/SCDAI) [6, 7]. The Rome II criteria for IBS were used to define IBS cases [8] (Table 1).

2.3. Fecal Calprotectin. The calprotectin ELISA test (ALP) (Calpro AS, Oslo, Norway) was used to quantify the level of calprotectin in a stool sample obtained from each patient. The test is previously validated and has also been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a measurement tool to distinguish IBD from IBS. All procedures were followed according to the recommendations made by the manufacturer [9].

2.4. Statistical Analysis. To assess the characteristics of the sample, we used descriptive analysis, frequencies, and the Chi² test. t-tests were used to evaluate the differences in parametric data.

All tests were 2 sided and with a 5% significance level. All statistics were performed by the use of the Predictive Analytics Software, PASW, version 18.0 (IBM Corporation, Route 100, Somers, NY, USA).

3. Results

One hundred and forty-four patients diagnosed with either UC or CD gave written informed consent for participation in the study. When a cutoff of <4 on the SCDAI and <3 on the SCCAI was used to define clinical remission, and patients on current steroid treatment had been excluded, a total of 89 patients, 63.6% of the initial sample, were identified. Out of these 89 patients, 61 were diagnosed with UC and 28 with CD.

3.1. Fecal Calprotectin in Patients with and without IBS-Like Symptoms. The Rome II criteria were fulfilled in 24.6% of UC patients, while the comparable number for CD was 21.4%. Primary characteristics of patients are presented in Table 1. As shown in this table, there was a tendency for elevated fecal calprotectin levels in IBS-positive patients, regardless of diagnosis. However, these differences were only significant in CD.

When using a score of <100 µg/g calprotectin as a cutoff value for an endoscopically inactive disease, as suggested by a Bjørksten et al. [10], 9 IBS-positive patients had calprotectin values >100 µg/g, with a mean value of 808.2 and 872.7 µg/g in UC and CD, respectively. The comparable number in IBS-positive patients with calprotectin values less than 100 µg/g was 40.5 and 5.3 (Table 2).

4. Discussion

In this study, we investigated the prevalence of irritable-bowel-syndrome (IBS-) like symptoms among inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients deemed to be in clinical remission. We found the prevalence of patients fulfilling the Rome II criteria for IBS-like symptoms to be somewhat lower than previously reported [3, 4, 11]. The reason for this is unclear, but potential explanations might be found in factors not taken into account, such as the duration of remission. Minderhoud et al. [11] reported, using the Rome II criteria, that the prevalence of IBS-like symptoms among IBD patients in remission was 31.5% and 41.7% for UC and CD, respectively. However, some differences were noted when the same cohort of patients was reevaluated using the Manning criteria, where the number of cases in CD was nearly halved [11]. Consequently, the prevalence and detection of such symptoms are dependent on which criteria and cutoff value are used.

Since IBS is symptomatically similar to IBD, it is particularly difficult to distinguish the two from each other, without having to investigate patients endoscopically. In addition, there is nothing more frustrating from the patients’ point of view than to be told that they have IBS symptoms when the patients are convinced that it is the active the IBD that is the cause of their problems. From a clinical perspective, it is therefore preferable to “ruleout” the IBS-like symptoms by the use of a noninvasive marker, for example, calprotectin, in order to ensure whether further IBD workup or intensified treatment is justified. Another key aspect is the inability of clinical activity indices, such as the SCCAI and SCDAI, to indicate clinical remission alone. Even though the CDAI indicates remission, endoscopic findings have proven otherwise [10]. Therefore, bowel symptoms in these patients do not need to necessarily be IBS. Even though we defined cutoff values for clinical remission at <3 and <4 on the SCCAI and SCDAI, respectively, some patients had calprotectin values indicating ongoing inflammatory activity. Keohane et al. [3] found a significantly elevated level of calprotectin in both IBS-positive UC and CD patients, and Schoepfer et al. [2] argued that the fecal test was highly accurate in discriminating IBD from IBS. However, we agree with Keohane et al. [3] that—unless otherwise proven—symptoms of IBD should be regarded as IBD and not as IBS-like symptoms. The latter is clearly underscored by the level of calprotectin among IBS-positive patients [3]. Even though we were not able to replicate the findings of Keohane et al. [3] in UC, merely in CD, there was a clear tendency for elevated calprotectin levels in IBS-positive patients, regardless of diagnosis.
Table 1: Sociodemographic and clinical data among IBD patients with or without IBS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IBS negative</th>
<th>IBS positive</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>IBS negative</th>
<th>IBS positive</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age—mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration—mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calprotectin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Mean (SD) levels of calprotectin in IBD patients with or without IBS-like symptoms using a cutoff of <100 μg/g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calprotectin</th>
<th>IBS positive</th>
<th>IBS negative</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>&lt;100 μg/g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 9</td>
<td>40.5 (36.9)</td>
<td>23.7 (26.4)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥100 μg/g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 6</td>
<td>808.3 (353.6)</td>
<td>562 (446.8)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crohn's disease</th>
<th>&lt;100 μg/g</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n = 3</td>
<td>5.3 (4.6)</td>
<td>19.4 (16.0)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥100 μg/g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 3</td>
<td>872.7 (2877)</td>
<td>293.7 (191.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in the present study and the study from Ireland [3], it is important to combine clinical activity indices with a noninvasive marker, such as calprotectin. The use of laboratory markers is, in this sense, not an unnecessary but rather a useful tool [12]. On the other hand, several different cut-off levels of calprotectin have been proposed both in order to distinguish IBD from IBS and to indicate remission. Tibble et al. [13] reported that 30 μg/g had 100% sensitivity in discriminating IBS from IBD. Others like D’lnca et al. [14] and Sipponen et al. [15] have found 130 and 200 μg/g, respectively, to be potential useful cutoff values for remission and activity. In a recently published paper by Sipponen’s group [10], the best cutoff value for endoscopic remission was found to be 94 μg/g. Interestingly, when setting a cutoff level of calprotectin at <100 μg/g—in accordance with the findings of Björksten and colleagues [10]—we found significantly different mean levels for IBD patients with IBS-like symptoms above and under the cutoff limit. The important message is that even though patients are judged to be in clinical remission, they may not be so. Calprotectin cutoff levels may therefore be helpful in distinguishing those patients that most likely have coexisting IBS-like symptoms and those patients that need more workup or intensified treatment.

Therefore, in conclusion, calprotectin levels are elevated in subgroups of IBD patients that are in clinical remission and have coexisting IBS-like symptoms, indicating subclinical activity. This study underscores the clinical usefulness of a noninvasive marker, such as calprotectin, to distinguish IBD patients in need of intensified followup from those that potentially do not need further workup.
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