Performance of the Syva Direct Fluorescent Antibody Assay for Chlamydia in a Low-Prevalence Population
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ABSTRACT

*Chlamydia trachomatis* is the most common reportable sexually transmitted disease (STD) in the United States. In the 1980s, rapid diagnostic tests for chlamydia began to replace more cumbersome tissue culture methods. Current data on rapid antigen detection assays demonstrate acceptable sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values in populations with a high prevalence of chlamydia. Few studies report the performance of these assays in a low-prevalence obstetric and gynecologic (Ob/Gyn) population. This study compares the most commonly used direct fluorescent antibody (DFA) assay (Syva Microtrak) with tissue culture (TC) in a low-prevalence population. Endocervical specimens (775) were tested from women at risk for chlamydia infection, and the prevalence was found to be 7.7%. The DFA assay demonstrated a sensitivity of 80% and a specificity of 97% compared with TC. The positive and negative predictive values were 72% and 98%, respectively. The results of this study indicate that the Syva DFA assay lacks the sensitivity and positive predictive value for routine use in Ob/Gyn populations with a low prevalence of *C. trachomatis*.
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*Chlamydia trachomatis* is the most common reportable sexually transmitted disease in the United States. Chlamydia is a major public health problem in the United States, with 3–5 million new cases estimated each year and an annual economic burden of more than $1 billion. The Centers for Disease Control recommends chlamydia testing at the first prenatal visit and in the third trimester for high-risk patients. Clinical manifestations of the disease affect men, women, and children. Unfortunately, many genital chlamydia infections are asymptomatic in women and can result in pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy, and infertility. The gold standard for detecting chlamydia is tissue culture (TC). Tissue culture is time consuming and expensive, and requires technical expertise. In the 1980s, rapid diagnostic tests for detecting chlamydia began replacing tissue culture methods. These antigen detection assays are simple to perform and offer rapid results. Current data on rapid antigen detection assays demonstrate acceptable sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value for routine use in high-prevalence populations. However, many of these assays are targeted at the private practitioner or clinic with a low prevalence of chlamydia infection. Schachter has stated that nonculture methods for chlamydia antigen detection are best suited for high-prevalence populations or high-risk patients and that extrapolation of these test results to low-
prevalence populations must be made with caution. Recently, the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology Update (Precis IV) stated that the use of rapid antigen detection assays in a low-prevalence population has not been thoroughly investigated.7 This paper describes a prospective randomized study comparing the Syva Microtrak direct fluorescent antibody (DFA) test against tissue culture (TC) in a low prevalence population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population
All specimens were collected at Scott & White Memorial Hospital, Temple, Texas, from women at risk for chlamydia infection. Risk factors were: multiple sex partners, new sex partners, sexually active adolescents, pregnancy, history of other sexually transmitted disease, or signs of cervicitis. Samples were not collected from patients who received antibiotic therapy within 2 weeks of sampling. Previous studies at this hospital demonstrated the prevalence of chlamydia in this female population to be 8%.

Collection of Specimens
All specimens were collected from the endocervix per manufacturer's instructions. Dacron swabs (Medical Wire Equipment Co., Corsham, Wilts, U.K.) were used for TC. DFA Dacron swabs were supplied in the Microtrak DFA kit. Collection order was randomized by the last digit of the medical record number (MRN). If the MRN ended in an odd number, the TC swab was collected first, followed by the DFA swab. If the MRN was even, the order was reversed. If a gonorrhea specimen or a pap smear was requested, they were collected prior to the study swabs. Swabs were placed in their respective transport containers and were tested within 24 hours of collection simultaneously by the Syva DFA and TC isolation. Specimens were stored at 4°C until tested.

Laboratory Methods

Cell Culture Methods
Chlamydia cell culture swabs were used to inoculate McCoy cell monolayers followed by centrifugation for 1 hour at 3,000g. One milliliter of Chlamydia Isolator Medium with cycloheximide (Bartels Immunodiagnostics, Inc., Bellevue, WA) was added to each culture, which was then incubated at 35–37°C for 48–72 hours. A blind second passage was not performed. Cultures were stained with a C. trachomatis-specific fluorescent monoclonal antibody (Chlamydia Culture Confirmation Kit, Syva Co., Palo Alto, CA). Positive results were determined by identifying fluorescent-stained inclusion bodies. Results were entered in the main laboratory computer and retrieved after all testing was completed.

DFA
Slides were processed and stained according to manufacturer's instructions (Microtrak, Syva Co.). Slides were screened with a Zeiss fluorescent microscope at a ×400 magnification and confirmed at ×1,000. Slides were considered positive if ten or more elemental bodies were identified. All slides were processed and read by one of four American Society of Clinical Pathologists (ASCP) Certified Medical Technologists experienced with the Syva DFA system. All technologists are regularly tested against the CAPS (Clinical Association of Pathologists) survey slides. The DFA results were interpreted and recorded before TC results were obtained. DFA specimens were considered inadequate if less than five endocervical cells were present on a smear.

Statistical Methods
Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated for all assays. Statistical significance was determined by chi square analysis.

RESULTS
A total of 775 endocervical specimens was tested by DFA and TC. Less than 3% of the original specimens were considered adequate. These specimens were excluded from our final calculations. The prevalence of chlamydia based on TC results was 7.7%.

The DFA demonstrated a sensitivity of 80% and a specificity of 97%. The positive and negative predictive values were 72% and 98%, respectively (Fig. 1). There were 19 false positives and 12 false negatives.

DISCUSSION
Many rapid diagnostic tests are available for chlamydia detection. Tissue culture is still consid-
Results of DFA vs TC

TC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>+</th>
<th>-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DFA</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>696</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sensitivity (a/a + c) = 80%
specificity (d/d + b) = 97%
PPV (a/a + b) = 72%
NPV (d/c + d) = 98%

Fig. 1. The results of the Syva DFA for chlamydia detection versus tissue culture isolation.

Considered the gold standard by which all other assays are judged. To date, no single rapid diagnostic test for chlamydia has proved ideal for routine screening, especially in a low-prevalence (<9%) population.7-10

The most commonly used and studied DFA assay is the Syva Microtrak C. trachomatis Direct Specimen Test. Therefore, we elected to study this test in our low-prevalence population. Despite published reports8,11,12 that used less than ten elemental bodies per slide to signify a positive DFA test, we elected to follow the manufacturer's instructions and use ten or more elemental bodies per slide for a positive test, since the majority of tests would be conducted in routine clinical laboratories and not under research protocols. Studies have shown that sensitivity can be increased by lowering the number of elemental bodies required for a positive test, but this is at the expense of lowering the specificity and positive predictive value.9

The DFA demonstrated a sensitivity of 80%, specificity of 97%, and PPV of 72%. This is similar to previously published reports (Table 1).9,13-18 Stamm10 summarized 15 Syva DFA studies in high- and intermediate-prevalence populations. In high-prevalence populations with a chlamydia prevalence of 15–26%, the cumulative sensitivity was 90% and specificity was 95%. The PPV was 90%. In populations with a prevalence of 9–11%, the sensitivity and PPV decreased to 77% and 79%, respectively.

Predictive values are influenced by prevalence rates in a population.2,6,11 Grimes19 stated that when screening for disease in a low-prevalence population, even with a high sensitivity and specificity, the PPV would be low. Figure 2 illustrates how prevalence affects PPV.

When interpreting these data, one must be aware that TC is not the perfect gold standard. The sensitivity of isolating chlamydia from a single swab is estimated to be 70–80%.2,5,6,9 The use of immunofluorescent staining of TC increases the sensitivity similar to that of a blind second passage.10 Even with a blind second passage of fluorescent antibody staining, TC will not identify all infected patients.
There were 19 false positives; six of these also tested positive by another antigen detection assay (TestPack Chlamydia Abbott Labs, Chicago, IL). Several authors\textsuperscript{8,11,12,20–22} have used a second antigen detection assay to demonstrate TC false negatives. If both antigen detection methods were positive, they would consider the specimen as a true positive and the TC as a false negative. If these six specimens are considered true positives, the sensitivity of the Syva DFA increases from 80% to 82% and the specificity from 97% to 98%. The PPV increases from 72% to 80%. The original and adjusted statistics are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$).

If TC has a sensitivity of 80% and the Syva DFA assay detects 80% of TC positives, then only 65% of infected patients are identified with the DFA assay. This appears to be an unsatisfactory detection record for a treatable infection that affects a broad spectrum of society.

Also of concern is the low PPV. Between 20% and 30% of the Syva DFA positives will be false positives in this population. A false positive result on an STD test may have grave social implications. Thus, some authors advocate TC confirmation of positive DFA results when used in a low-prevalence population.\textsuperscript{23} Antigen detection assays have demonstrated unequivocal false positive results in patients evaluated for sexual abuse.\textsuperscript{24} The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) recommends only standard tissue culture methods to identify \textit{C. trachomatis} in the evaluation of sexual abuse.\textsuperscript{1} Tissue culture isolation is still the most sensitive and specific diagnostic test for chlamydia.\textsuperscript{9,10,22}

Recent data show that DFA sensitivity may be increased by the use of the cytobrush to sample the endocervix.\textsuperscript{25,26} The cytobrush was not used in this study because the manufacturer did not recommend its use at the initiation of the study. The Syva Company still does not recommend the use of the cytobrush in pregnancy.

The results of our study indicate that the Syva DFA assay lacks the sensitivity and PPV for routine screening in OB/GYN populations with a low prevalence of \textit{C. trachomatis}. With the advent of newer technologies for the detection of chlamydia (i.e., DNA probes and polymerase chain reaction), the private practitioner or clinic should critically analyze the performance of these assays in their targeted patient population before beginning widespread screening.
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