Randomized Trial of Erythromycin and Azithromycin for Treatment of Chlamydial Infection in Pregnancy
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this study was to compare erythromycin and azithromycin in the treatment of chlamydial cervicitis during pregnancy with regard to efficacy, side effects, and compliance.

Methods: In a prospective manner, 48 pregnant patients with cervical chlamydial infections diagnosed by routine screening tests were randomly assigned to receive either erythromycin, 500 mg q.i.d. for 7 days (N = 24), or azithromycin, 1 g as a one-time dose (N = 24). All sexual partners were given prescriptions for doxycycline, 100 mg b.i.d. for 7 days. The treatment efficacy was assessed by follow-up chlamydia testing 3 weeks after the therapy was completed. The side effects, intolerance to therapy, and overall compliance were evaluated by means of a standardized post-treatment questionnaire.

Results: There was no significant difference in cure rates noted between the erythromycin group and the azithromycin group (77% vs. 91%, respectively; P = 0.24). Gastrointestinal side effects were reported more frequently among patients treated with erythromycin compared with patients treated with azithromycin (45% vs. 17%, respectively; P = 0.004). The patients who received erythromycin reported intolerance to therapy secondary to side effects more frequently than patients who received azithromycin (23% vs. 4%, respectively; P = 0.07). Furthermore, the patients in the azithromycin group were more likely to complete their course of therapy as prescribed than the patients in the erythromycin group (100% vs. 61%, respectively; P = 0.002).

Conclusions: Azithromycin is efficacious and well tolerated for the treatment of chlamydial cervicitis in pregnancy. Erythromycin, though efficacious, is poorly tolerated, as demonstrated by the number of patients reporting significant side effects during the course of therapy. Since the cost of azithromycin is comparable to that of generic erythromycin, the present study supports the use of azithromycin as an alternative to erythromycin for the treatment of chlamydial cervicitis in pregnancy.
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Chlamydia trachomatis is the most common sexually transmitted bacterial infection in the United States. The reported prevalence of this infection among pregnant women ranges from 5% to 26%, depending on the population studied. Chlamydial infections have been associated in some studies with adverse perinatal outcomes such as low birth weight because of preterm rupture of the membranes and subsequent preterm delivery. Neonatal infection is manifested by clinical conjunctivitis in 20–35% and neonatal pneumonia in 10–20% of infants born to mothers with untreated chlamydial cervicitis. The diagnosis and treatment of chlamydia-infected women before delivery...
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have been shown to significantly lower the risk of
these perinatal and neonatal complications.9-11

The current first-line treatment for chlamydial
infection in pregnant women as recommended by
the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
(CDC) is erythromycin base, 500 mg q.i.d. for 7
days.12 Erythromycin, however, is commonly associ-
ated with gastrointestinal side effects which often
prevent patients from completing the prescribed
course of therapy. Consequently, the failure rate of
erthyromycin in pregnant women has been reported
to be as high as 15%.7 Alternative antibiotics, such
as amoxicillin, have been shown to be equally ef-
effective as erythromycin but better tolerated.13,14
Azithromycin, which has been shown to be as effect-
ive as standard therapy for the treatment of chla-
mydia in nonpregnant patients, has the advantage
of being a one-time dose regimen.15,16

This randomized trial was conducted to evaluate
the efficacy of azithromycin as an alternative to
erthyromycin for the treatment of chlamydial infec-
tions during pregnancy. We also sought to compare
the rates of side effects and compliance of the 2
regimens.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS
All pregnant women registering for care at Thomas
Jefferson University Hospital’s prenatal clinic un-
dergo cervical chlamydia screening at their first pre-
natal visit. From August 1994 through April 1995, all
prenatal patients with positive cervical chlamydia
screening tests were offered enrollment in this
study. The exclusion criteria included first prenatal
visit at ≥36 weeks, antibiotic use for any indication
within 14 days of enrollment, diagnosed coinfection
with Neisseria gonorrhoeae, and known allergy or sen-
sitivity to either of the study medications.

All cervical specimens were obtained from the
endocervical canal, after the ectocervix was cleared
of secretions, with a Dacron-tipped swab. The spec-
imens were transported to the virology laboratory
for analysis with a commercially available test kit
for detection of chlamydia-specific DNA sequences
using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifica-
tion (Amplicor STD Specimen Collection and
Transport Kit, Roche Diagnostic Systems, Nutley,
NJ). The swabs were transported and stored in Am-
plicor™ specimen transport media until processing; all specimens not evaluated within 24 h of collection
were stored in a −75°C freezer until analysis. We
have previously demonstrated this assay to have a
sensitivity for chlamydia detection that is superior
to standard McCoy cell culture (97% vs. 88%).17 In
this study, the samples that were positive by PCR
but negative by culture were subsequently culture-
positive with repeat testing or spin-down proce-
dures. In addition, the positive predictive values of
the 2 testing techniques were found to be equiva-
lent (98% for PCR and 100% for culture).

Each eligible patient gave written informed con-
sent in accordance with a protocol approved by the
University’s Institutional Review Board. They were
randomly assigned to 1 of 2 treatment groups: eryth-
romycin base, 500 mg orally, q.i.d. for 7 days, or
azithromycin, 1 g orally as a one-time dose. The
patients in both groups were supplied with medica-
tion at the time of enrollment. Their sexual partners
were all given prescriptions for doxycycline, 100 mg
orally b.i.d. for 7 days. The patients were instructed
to abstain from sexual intercourse until after their
follow-up appointment.

The treatment group assignment was deter-
dined through block-of-six randomization gener-
ated from a random-number table. The treatment
regimens were placed within sequentially num-
bered, sealed opaque envelopes, with the clinic staff
involved in patient enrollment unaware of the ran-
donization block size.

All patients were scheduled for follow-up ap-
pointments for retesting 3 weeks after completing
their assigned therapy. They were instructed to re-
port if they or their sexual partners were unable to
complete the prescribed course of antibiotics and
to record the reason for their noncompliance. Com-
pliance was defined as a completion of the course
of therapy as prescribed. Each patient was given
a 1-page side effect questionnaire at the time of
enrollment which was collected during their return
visit for a follow-up test-of-cure.

Normally distributed continuous variables were
compared between the groups by means of an un-
paired Student’s t-test. Ordinal measurements as
well as non-normally distributed continuous vari-
ables were compared with Wilcoxon’s rank sum
test. P < 0.05 was considered significant. All re-
ported comparisons were 2-tailed.

RESULTS
A total of 48 eligible patients were enrolled in the
study and randomized to 1 of the 2 treatment arms.
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TABLE 1. Patient demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Erythromycin</th>
<th>Azithromycin</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>19.8 ± 3.3</td>
<td>21.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight (lb)</td>
<td>155 ± 29</td>
<td>162 ± 30</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean gestational age at enrollment (weeks)</td>
<td>19.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td>19.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2. Cure rate, side effects, and compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Azithromycin (N = 23)</th>
<th>Erythromycin (N = 22)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cure rate</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effects (all gastrointestinal)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intolerance to therapy</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only 1 patient declined entry. She was treated with erythromycin, but not included in this analysis.

The demographic characteristics of the patients in each treatment group are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in age, parity, weight, or gestational age at the time of enrollment between the 2 groups.

Of the 48 patients, 24 received azithromycin and 24 received erythromycin. Three patients were lost to follow-up, 1 in the azithromycin group and 2 in the erythromycin group. The overall cure rates were 91% for the azithromycin group and 77% for the erythromycin group (Table 2). This difference was not statistically significant.

The treatment groups were compared with regard to side effects, intolerance to therapy, and overall compliance (Table 2). Gastrointestinal side effects were reported by 17% of patients in the azithromycin group compared with 45% of the patients in the erythromycin group (P = 0.004). Intolerance to therapy due to severe gastrointestinal side effects was reported by 4% of the patients in the azithromycin group compared with 23% of the patients in the erythromycin group (P = 0.07). Three patients in the erythromycin group did not take the medication as prescribed, although their noncompliance was unrelated to side effects. These patients acknowledged not always remembering to take their medication at appropriately close intervals and therefore skipped several doses. Consequently, the overall compliance was noted to be 100% in the azithromycin group compared with 61% in the erythromycin group (P = 0.002).

DISCUSSION

The current standard therapy for a pregnant woman with a cervical chlamydial infection is erythromycin base, 500 mg q.i.d, for 7 days. Because of the high rate of gastrointestinal side effects with this treatment, many patients are unable to complete the prescribed course of therapy. This decrease in patient compliance results in a decrease in efficacy and a concomitantly lower impact on the prevention of both perinatal and neonatal effects of untreated maternal chlamydial infections.

Several studies have evaluated alternative treatment regimens for antepartum chlamydia using medications that are equally effective but better tolerated than erythromycin. Amoxicillin has been shown to be equally efficacious to erythromycin in the treatment of chlamydia in pregnancy, with significantly lower rates of side effects. Still, amoxicillin requires treatment over an extended time which may result in noncompliance. It has been demonstrated, in fact, that the level of compliance with prescribed therapies deteriorates with longer courses of tablet taking.

Azithromycin is the prototype of a new group of antibiotics known as the azalides. It is chemically related to erythromycin, but differs in its microbiologic spectrum, tolerability, and unique pharmacokinetics. It is avidly taken up by cells, resulting in high and sustained concentrations in tissues. This unique pharmacokinetic profile allows it to be effective when administered as a one-time dose.

In vitro studies have shown that azithromycin has excellent activity against clinical isolates of Chlamydia trachomatis. Clinical experience with azithromycin in non-pregnant patients has shown it to be as effective as "standard" therapy for the treatment of chlamydial cervicitis. It is FDA approved as a class B medication for use during pregnancy. However, limited experience exists with regard to the efficacy of a single-dose drug such as azithromycin in pregnant patients, who exhibit drug metabolism and plasma volumes of distribution quite different from nonpregnant women. The cost of azithromycin tablets is roughly twice that of generic erythromycin. The new azithromycin powder preparation, however, is comparable in cost with generic erythromycin.
Only 1 series has been published to date comparing azithromycin with erythromycin for the treatment of chlamydial infections in pregnant women. In a randomized study, Bush and Rosa reported a 100% cure rate for azithromycin compared with a 93% cure rate for erythromycin, with significantly fewer side effects among the patients receiving azithromycin. This study, however, had a sample size of 30 patients which limited its ability to detect a meaningful difference in treatment effect.

The results of our study suggest that azithromycin is as efficacious as erythromycin for the treatment of chlamydial infections in pregnancy. It is true that the possibility of a β or type II error in our study exists in view of the small sample size. For example, in order to detect a difference in treatment effect between the groups of 50% (assuming an α error = 0.05, a β error = 0.2, and baseline failure rate in the control group of 10%), we estimated that approximately 472 patients would be required in each group. Therefore, our results provide preliminary evidence that azithromycin may be a reasonable efficacious alternative treatment for infection with *C. trachomatis* during pregnancy.
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