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ABSTRACT

The pharmacokinetics of penicillin G and ampicillin are reviewed as they pertain to their potential
use in in vitro therapy.
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The molecular structure of the penicillins consists of a thiazolidine ring connected to a
β-lactam ring attached to a side chain. The side chain determines many of the pharmacologic character-
istics of a given penicillin. The presence of an amino group on the benzyl side chain distinguishes
ampicillin from benzylpenicillin (penicillin G), which is one example of the many chemical variants
of penicillin resulting from alterations of this side chain attached to the basic 6 amino-penicillinoic
acid molecule.

Recently, the issue of the relative superiority of penicillin G vs. ampicillin for in utero therapy
has been raised. Amstey and Gibbs1 wrote an editorial opinion stating that the “favorable pharmacoki-
netics of penicillin G and its narrower and specific spectrum make this a better choice for
group B streptococcal (GBS) prophylaxis than ampicillin . . . .” This editorial opinion has stimu-
lated a growing ambiguity about the peripartal use of ampicillin. The purpose of this review is to exam-
ine the key points of these contentions and, in so doing, clarify the issue of ampicillin vs. penicillin
G for in utero therapy and GBS prophylaxis.

LITERATURE REVIEW

A review of the literature on penicillin G and ampi-
cillin reveals a dearth of pharmacokinetic data on
penicillin G in pregnant subjects and extensive data
on ampicillin in such patients.2-4 A partial explana-
tion emanates from the fact that penicillin G was
widely used prior to the evolution of pharmacoki-
netics into a distinct discipline. When ampicillin
was introduced in the early 1960s, pharmacokinetics
was the “new kid on the block,” thus having the
benefit of investigation through these newly
evolved scientific methods. Being the focus of atten-
tion in the literature, ampicillin came to be con-
sidered the β-lactam of choice and the utilization
of penicillin G gradually faded.

THEORETICAL FACTORS GOVERNING
TRANSPORT OF ANTIBIOTICS ACROSS
THE PLACENTAL BARRIER

Anatomically, the placenta is composed of ectoder-
mal cells, a basement membrane, mesodermally de-
Fished tissue, another basement membrane, and fetal
endothelial cells.5 The only other embryologic
structure in the human body derived from ectoderm
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and mesoderm as opposed to mesoderm and endoderm is the choroid plexus. Not surprisingly, the antibiotic transports across these 2 membranes strongly parallel each other. The factors governing antibiotic transport across the placental are 1) molecular size, 2) degree of protein binding, 3) degree of ionization, and 4) lipid solubility.

Molecular Size
The comparability of the size of penicillin G and ampicillin is such that this factor per se is not a significant determinant for differential transport of these 2 antibiotics.

Degree of Protein Binding
Penicillin G is moderately bound to albumin in the sera of normal and pregnant subjects (65% bound compared with ampicillin’s 20%). To be pharmacologically active, an antibiotic must exist in its unbound state. The amount of antibiotic available for transport in a given time is a direct function of the concentration of free drug. Once the antibiotic is removed by transport, the equilibrium between bound and unbound drug is reestablished. The free drug entering the fetal vascular compartment and amniotic fluid is once again subjected to similar protein binding interactions which reduce the amount of antibiotic available for biologic activity. One of the keys to effective in utero therapy concerns how much pharmacologically active antibiotic appropriate to the bacteria in question can be delivered in a relatively limited time.

Degree of Ionization
Both penicillin G (pKa = 2.8 for its free carboxylic-acid group) and ampicillin (pKa = 2.5 for its free carboxylic-acid group and pKa = 7.2 for its free amino group) are highly ionized (>99.9%) under physiologic conditions. Thus, this factor has little impact on defining the relative rate of placental transfer.

Lipid Solubility
Both penicillin and ampicillin antibiotics exhibit only moderate lipophilicity. The oil/water partition coefficients (K_o/w) are 0.55 and 0.16 for penicillin G and ampicillin, respectively (isobutanol vs. pH = 7.4 aqueous buffer). However, in general, a difference of a factor of 3–4 in K_o/w will have only moderate effects on drug permeability. Fundamentally, these characteristics simply slow the rate of placental transfer but do not prevent such transfer.

COMPARATIVE PHARMACOKINETICS OF AMPICILLIN AND PENICILLIN G IN PREGNANCY

Intravenous Administration
A review of the literature failed to identify any comparative pharmacokinetic studies of ampicillin and penicillin G in pregnant subjects receiving both drugs intravenously. Hence, direct intraindividual comparison of parameters such as volume of distribution, clearance, and half-life has not been made. Indeed, a recent review of this topic suggested that much of our knowledge of penicillin G pharmacokinetics in pregnancy is based on a number of very early and semiquantitative reports.

Intramuscular Administration
In what is perhaps the most comprehensive comparative study of these 2 drugs, identical intramuscular doses were given at various times during pregnancy with maternal, fetal, and amniotic-fluid sampling. Ampicillin appears to yield consistently higher (approximately 50%) maternal and fetal serum concentrations than penicillin G. However, its level in amniotic fluid is substantially lower than that of penicillin G during the first 2 h following administration. After 3 h, superior and ultimately therapeutic concentrations of ampicillin appear in the amniotic fluid.

Ampicillin Pharmacokinetics
In contrast to penicillin G, the pharmacokinetics of ampicillin have been studied thoroughly. It has been established that pregnant women exhibit renal clearances and total body clearances that are about 50% greater than those under control conditions. These control studies were performed 3–12 months after delivery when normal menstruation had resumed and breast-feeding had ceased. The oral bioavailability was very similar during pregnancy and 3–12 months postpartum (approximately 50%), and the recovery of the drug in the urine was essentially unaffected by pregnancy. Furthermore, studies of the reproducibility of ampicillin levels following repeated administration of the drug to the same subject have demonstrated the dose proportionality of the area under the plasma-concentration time curve. Studies of the serum concentration in the
mother following the administration of the drug into the amniotic fluid have been performed. Finally, MacAulay et al. studied the transfer-ence of ampicillin within the maternal serum, fetal serum, and amniotic-fluid compartments. A transfer occurs from mother to fetus within minutes, but the antibiotic concentrations in the amniotic fluid are minimal for 60 min and potentially therapeutic levels for susceptible bacteria are not achieved until 90 min has elapsed. Therefore, the amniotic fluid appears to become a depository for the ampicillin.

USE OF PENICILLIN G VS. AMPICILLIN FOR GBS PROPHYLAXIS

Spectrum of Susceptibility

Once pharmacologically significant levels of an antibiotic are attained in the fetal compartment, the key question governing the biologic effectiveness is the spectrum of susceptibility of the organism involved. Both penicillin G and ampicillin share a common spectrum of activity against gram-positive bacteria. However, minor differences exist. For example, the minimum inhibitor concentrations (MIC) for ampicillin are lower for enterococci and Listeria monocytogenes, whereas penicillin G is more effective than ampicillin for hemolytic streptococci. This superior MIC for the β-hemolytic streptococci does not necessarily translate into biologic significance. The clear difference between penicillin G and ampicillin is the latter’s extended spectrum for gram-negative bacteria, specifically Escherichia coli, Proteus mirabilis, Salmonella species, and Haemophilus influenzae.

The concept of ampicillin’s selecting for resistance emanates from a case series published by McDuffie et al. These authors reported 4 cases of Enterobacteriaceae chorioamnionitis in gravidas who had received ampicillin prophylaxis for premature rupture of the fetal membranes and GBS carriage. Three of the isolates were E. coli. The fourth case was due to Klebsiella pneumoniae. Two of the resultant neonates died with fulminant perinatal septicemia. The rationale for publication of their manuscript was the contention that these isolates were examples of “adverse perinatal outcomes due to selection or overgrowth of resistant organisms resulting from the use of ampicillin.”

Induced Resistance

Prolonged use (especially associated with suboptimal dosing) of an antibiotic can select for the emergence of a resistant subpopulation of a previously susceptible strain which then becomes the dominant population. A prime example of this phenomenon was the abuse of kanamycin during the 1970s in newborn intensive care units (NICUs) which resulted in K. pneumoniae isolates that were resistant to virtually all the aminoglycosides available at that time. Another prime example of induced resistance is the change in the avidity of binding of penicillin to the penicillin-binding proteins of selected strains of Streptococcus pneumoniae.

Intrinsic Resistance

If an antibiotic is used against a bacterium whose spectrum of susceptibility is not encompassed by that drug, one cannot anticipate having a true biologic effect. Approximately 35–40% of all current E. coli isolates are resistant to ampicillin. This resistance is mediated primarily by the presence of significant quantities of β-lactamases within the periplasmic space. More than 95% of all K. pneumoniae isolates are similarly inherently resistant to ampicillin. When isolated instances of disease due to Enterobacteriaceae occur in the face of ampicillin therapy, the probability is that the same pattern of disease would have been observed had ampicillin not been given. Unless one is dealing with a phenomenon such as anaerobic progression or the use of antibiotics which has an impact on 30S or 50S ribosomes, ineffective antibiotics will not alter the progress of monoetiologic disease.

Drug chemoprophylaxis with ampicillin alters the incidence of disease by diminishing the denominator, thus magnifying the impact of the numerator. As a consequence, perception of the relative importance of an isolate is changed. McDuffie et al. could not adequately approximate the number of cases of perinatal septicemia adequately treated with ampicillin. Therefore, no rigorous risk/benefit analysis can be performed.

CONCLUSIONS

Because of the limited comparative data available for penicillin G, it is overly assertive to contend that the pharmacokinetic advantages of penicillin G in pregnancy warrant its selection over ampicillin. Similarly, the data regarding the spectrum of susceptibility clearly show that ampicillin is the more versatile antibiotic, while the available data on the selection of resistant strains are best regarded as
tentative such that validation through prospective study is required. Thus, it appears that ampicillin is superior to penicillin G in the clinical settings of in utero therapy.
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