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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine voluntary human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) testing rates and factors influencing testing in a private obstetric practice.

Methods: Antepartum patients were offered HIV testing after completing a self-assessment questionnaire. Perceived risks and demographics were correlated with testing rates.

Results: Overall, 348/600 (58%) women consented to HIV testing. In a univariate analysis, patients with “any” perceived risk(s) were more likely to be tested. Single women and those with an at-risk partner(s) or a history of sexually transmitted disease (STD) were more likely to desire testing. These factors remained independently associated with voluntary testing in a multivariate regression model. No patients tested positive for HIV.

Conclusions: In our private obstetric practice, 26% of women perceived themselves at risk for HIV infection, and testing rates depended on the various risks identified. A history of STDs or an at-risk sexual partner were stronger predictors of voluntary testing than was marital status. Focused HIV counseling among pregnant women at relatively low risk for infection may be possible.
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TABLE 1. Patient questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Have you ever had a blood transfusion?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, was it before or after 1985?</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Have you ever been treated for a sexually transmitted disease? (gonorrhea, chlamydia, syphilis)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Have you ever used street drugs by injection (shooting up)?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Have you had sexual relations with a partner who ever had a blood transfusion?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Have you had sexual relations with a partner who ever used drugs by injection?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Have you had sexual relations with a man who ever had sex with other men?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Have you ever had sexual relations with a partner who might have been at high risk for HIV?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Do you think that you are at risk at all for being HIV-infected?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please check one of the following:

- I would like to be HIV tested.
- I need to think more about HIV testing.
- I do not want to be HIV tested.
- I would like to meet formally with an HIV educator before deciding.
- I have chosen not to fill out this questionnaire.

Signature: ____________________________ Date: ________________________

HIV counseling and testing in at-risk populations are essential for overall risk assessment, for targeted patient education directed toward risk reduction and behavior modification, and for the early identification and treatment of newly infected individuals and their partners. The obstetrician’s and pediatrician’s ability to reduce mother-to-child HIV transmission depends on antepartum knowledge of a mother’s serostatus.

The issues of pregnancy-related HIV counseling and testing have been addressed in indigent, inner-city populations; however, few studies have addressed screening and its acceptance in presumably low-risk populations. Therefore, we designed this study in the context of instituting a policy of offering HIV testing to all patients within a city-based, non-Medicaid, private practice population. We asked all patients registering for obstetric care to self-assess their HIV risks by means of a checklist questionnaire, then offered HIV testing to them all. We planned to evaluate the acceptance of HIV testing and to assess the degree of perceived risk. We additionally hoped to identify risk factors in the specific patient population that were most directly associated with a voluntary decision to undergo HIV testing. Until these issues are better understood, calls for global public health policies will continue to be based on presumption rather than on documented results.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Patients were recruited from three private obstetric practices whose patients delivered at a common university hospital. Ninety percent of patients were privately insured. Racial/ethnic demographics of the study population were as follows: 70% Caucasian, 19% African American, 4% Asian, 2% Hispanic or Indian, and 5% for whom information was unreported. Between January 1996 and January 1997, all patients registering for prenatal care were asked to complete a yes-or-no questionnaire that outlined their social and demographic backgrounds in the context of HIV infection risks (Table 1). A cover letter accompanied each questionnaire explaining the project and emphasizing that both completing the survey and consenting to HIV testing were voluntary and in no way linked to the provision of prenatal care. All patients subsequently discussed HIV counseling in light of the results of their individual survey with their physician or nurse practitioner, and HIV testing was then offered to each patient. A separate formal session with an HIV counselor was made available to all patients.

The incidence of individual HIV risk factors was calculated for the population as a whole with comparison of HIV testing acceptance rates made between those with risks and those without them. Comparisons of risk factors as well as testing rates were also made with regards to marital status. Noncontinuous data were analyzed with a corrected chi-square and Fisher exact test; pooled two-tailed Student t tests were employed for continuous data. Univariate as well as logistic regression analyses were employed to determine the sociodemographic
TABLE 2. HIV testing in pregnancy: risk factor results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Any risk for HIV infection (n = 153)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>% of all patients with risks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History of STD</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner risk</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of blood transfusion</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational risk</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of intravenous drug use</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results add up to > 100% due to patients with multiple risk factors for HIV.

characteristics most associated with acceptance of HIV testing. Statistical significance was accepted at a P value < 0.05.

RESULTS

Six hundred women registering for prenatal care completed the questionnaire; only three patients who were approached for participation chose not to complete the survey. The mean age of women in the study group was 30.5 ± 5.5 years; 77% of the women were married. Of the 600 women surveyed, 348 patients consented to HIV testing (58%), with no positive HIV test results identified during the 12-month study period.

Rates of reporting risk factors for HIV infection, abstracted from responses to the questionnaire, are listed in Table 2. As shown, 153 (26%) of the 600 women surveyed in this study identified behaviors or risks that could place them at risk for HIV infection. The risks most commonly identified in this survey were: (1) history of a sexually transmitted disease (STD), (2) an at-risk partner, or (3) a history of blood transfusion.

The relationship of the women's marital status to both the presence of HIV risk factors and to voluntary testing rates is analyzed in Table 3. Single or divorced women were more likely to identify factors associated with an increased risk of HIV infection, particularly a history of STD, and were also more likely than married women to undergo HIV testing (68% vs. 55%; P = .006).

In a univariate analysis, outlined in Table 4, patients with any perceived risk for HIV infection were more likely to undergo voluntary testing than those without self-perceived risks. Specifically, we found that women with a history of STD, occupational exposure, or an at-risk sexual partner(s) were more likely to be tested for HIV. Women with occupational exposure to HIV, though their absolute number was small, had the highest rate of accepting HIV testing (92%) among any of the identified at-risk subgroups. Overall, just over half of women without self-perceived risk for HIV infection underwent voluntary testing, while only 25% of women acknowledging risk factors or behaviors associated with infection chose not to be tested. The results of a multivariate regression analysis factoring risk factors, age, and marital status into the assessment of determinants of voluntary HIV testing revealed that partner risk, occupational exposure, STD history, and marital status remained signifi-
cantly associated with a decision to undergo HIV testing.

**DISCUSSION**

Infection with HIV is an increasing cause of illness and death among women, while perinatally acquired HIV infection in children of women with HIV accounts for the greatest majority of pediatric AIDS cases.\(^2\)\(^7\) Pregnancy may be the only opportunity for medical care for at-risk patients. Modifications of routine obstetric practice aimed to decrease neonatal transmission in addition to maternal and neonatal AZT rely on the early identification of HIV-infected pregnant women.

Pregnancy may provide an impetus for testing among women who would otherwise decline it. Assessment of the acceptability of HIV testing for all women, and not just for those in risk groups, is critical for the development of effective testing policies. Irwin et al. evaluated all published studies of HIV testing rates in a variety of at-risk populations. Factors most associated with voluntary HIV testing included the patients’ perception of HIV risk, acknowledgment of risk behaviors, confidentiality protection, presenting counseling and testing as “routine” rather than optional, and the providers’ belief that HIV testing would benefit the patient.\(^8\)

Antenatal HIV screening policies are extremely variable across the United States. While mandatory testing would have the advantage of identifying all HIV-positive women when they are seeking care, this policy compromises individual autonomy, precludes informed consent, and may discourage highest-risk patients from seeking prenatal care because of fears of either discrimination or denial of health care benefits. A testing method that depends solely on a clinician-patient discussion, particularly at the first medical visit, may not allow assessment of a woman’s true risk, as she may be unwilling to disclose sexual history, drug use, or other behaviors that may be seen as socially unacceptable or stigmatizing. In fact, researchers have shown that, in nonpregnant indigent populations, selective testing based solely on HIV risk factors identified through one-on-one interviewing failed to identify over 50% of seropositive women.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^12\) A prior report from our institution further demonstrated that physically removing the HIV counseling and testing process from the medical care section of a prenatal clinic significantly increased the patients’ acceptance of HIV testing.\(^13\)

The present study was designed to address both the limitations of the previously cited strategies for prenatal HIV testing and the changing nature of HIV infection in women, as heterosexual transmission is increasingly responsible for newly acquired cases.\(^1\) We designed a universally-offered, self-administered questionnaire to be completed by women in a presumably lower-risk setting for HIV infection, i.e., a city-based, non-Medicaid obstetric population. This particular population has traditionally not been widely studied in HIV epidemiology. Attitudes among these women about their own risk for HIV infection, and their acceptance of HIV testing in general, along with identification of demographic factors associated with a decision to undergo testing, needs to be appropriately evaluated. This population may be perceived as “low risk” for HIV infection due to a low prevalence of intravenous drug use; however, heterosexual exposure and occupational risk may be other important HIV risk factors for this particular population. We hoped that the nature of the questionnaire would allow nonconfrontational self-assessment for each woman, along with an introduction to or reinforcement of information about behaviors associated with a risk of HIV infection.

Our study is the largest conducted to date in this type of prenatal population and demonstrates that acceptance of the risk assessment questionnaire among non-indigent pregnant women was almost universal, although over 40% of women completing the survey subsequently declined HIV testing. Approximately one quarter of the women surveyed acknowledged risks for HIV infection, with testing rates significantly higher within this subgroup (75%). Among women without self-identified risk factors, the testing rate was still 52%. Even after controlling for interactions in a multivariate analysis, an STD history for a woman or her partner remained significantly and independently associated with a decision to undergo HIV testing, as did marital status of single or divorced.

Studies conducted in inner-city indigent obstetric settings show testing rates as high as 90%.\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^13\)\(^,\)\(^14\) In contrast low voluntary testing rates (less than 25%) in private obstetric populations have been reported.\(^11\) In our private obstetric population,
57–92% of patients accepted HIV testing, depending on the specific self-perceived risk factor identified.

In summary, our study offers some insight into HIV risk assessment and testing decisions among women in an obstetric setting traditionally perceived as “low-risk” for HIV infection. First, evaluation of HIV risk factors among these women was almost universally accepted, though a decision to undergo testing was significantly associated with a woman’s perception or acknowledgment of HIV-related risk factors. We acknowledge that the study is limited by lack of HIV seroprevalence data among the women who declined testing; this subgroup may have underestimated their risk or wished to simply avoid testing due to increased but concealed risk for infection. It is also notable that, among the 348 women tested during the study period, none were HIV positive. This questionnaire has since been incorporated into the routine patient management framework of the participating practices. One asymptomatic individual who denied risk factors yet consented to HIV testing during pregnancy was found to be seropositive. This questionnaire illustrates the need for incorporating HIV education and testing protocols into all obstetric populations and not limiting efforts to historically high-risk patients.

It is hoped that studies like this one will begin to fill gaps in our approach to providing care and options to all women of reproductive age, in a format that is neither confrontational nor stigmatizing. With demonstrated rates for acceptance of HIV testing that are substantially higher than those reported in other low-risk obstetric settings, our study represents an approach that has shown value for further investigation and refinement. Because there are patients who deny risk factors yet desire HIV testing, because physician–patient interviews may not accurately disclose risk factors, and because some women may not be aware that they have an at-risk heterosexual partner, we encourage universal counseling and voluntary testing for all pregnant women.
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