Initial and Repeat Screening for Chlamydia trachomatis During Pregnancy
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The objective of this study is to determine the prevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis and risk factors for positive repeat tests in a high-risk population presenting for early prenatal care.

Methods: We completed a retrospective cohort study of 2,484 women who initiated prenatal care prior to 20 weeks gestation, delivered, and received testing for cervical C. trachomatis at Grady Memorial Hospital or a Grady-affiliated clinic between July 1, 1993 and December 31, 1994. We calculated adjusted odds ratios (OR) for selected risk factors for a positive initial test and for a positive subsequent test after an initial negative test.

Results: The prevalence of C. trachomatis was 14.8%. At initial testing, 10.4% of the women were positive. If the initial test was negative, 5.7% had a positive subsequent test; but if the initial test was positive, 32.0% had a positive subsequent test (P<0.001). The variables significantly and independently associated with a positive initial test were black race/ethnicity, age less than 25, unmarried, and less than a high-school education (adjusted OR of 1.66, 3.53, 2.18, and 1.81, respectively). Variables significantly and independently associated with a positive subsequent test after a negative initial test were white race/ethnicity, black race/ethnicity, age less than 25, and less than a high-school education (adjusted OR 8.69, 7.77, 4.12, and 2.27, respectively).
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Chlamydia trachomatis is the most common, reportable sexually transmitted disease in the United States.1 It is estimated that of sexually active women, 4%–5% are currently infected with this organism.2 In pregnant women, the prevalence of C. trachomatis ranges from 2%–30%.3–5 Risk factors for acquiring C. trachomatis include multiple sex partners, a new sexual partner in the preceding three months, young age (<25 years), unmarried status, low parity, nonbarrier contraception, low socioeconomic status, receiving care at public health clinics, nonwhite race, and past history or presence of other sexually transmitted diseases.3–6

The obstetric significance of C. trachomatis cervical infection has been well-studied. The presence of this organism has been associated with the
perinatal complications of premature rupture of membranes (PROM), preterm labor and birth, low birth weight, intrauterine growth retardation, stillbirth, and postpartum and postabortal endometritis. Following passage through an infected birth canal, an infant has considerable risk of acquiring C. trachomatis. An infant delivered to a woman infected with this organism present in the cervix risks acquiring pneumonitis, conjunctivitis, and nasopharyngeal infection. Treatment of C. trachomatis infection during pregnancy can decrease substantially the development of these maternal and perinatal complications. It is, therefore, important to identify women with C. trachomatis infection during pregnancy.

Because of these maternal and perinatal risks, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) recommends screening for C. trachomatis cervical infection at the first prenatal visit for all pregnant women, whereas the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) does not recommend universal screening. Both the CDC and ACOG recommend screening in the third trimester for patients at high risk, although no data are cited to support this recommendation.

At Grady Memorial Hospital in Atlanta, Georgia, the current obstetric policy regarding C. trachomatis is to screen all women routinely for cervical infection at their first prenatal visit and to repeat testing at 34 to 36 weeks gestation. A woman is also retested if she presents with preterm labor, preterm PROM, or signs and symptoms of cervical infection. This study investigates the prevalence of positive C. trachomatis tests and rate of positive repeat tests throughout gestation in a high-risk population presenting for early prenatal care. In addition, the researchers sought to identify specific risk factors that would suggest a benefit for repeat screening among those gravidas with negative initial screening.

Subjects and Methods

Grady Memorial Hospital cares primarily for indigent patients of Fulton and DeKalb counties, which encompass most of the Atlanta metropolitan area. It also serves as a referral center for patients with complications in metropolitan Atlanta and eight surrounding counties.

Women considered for this study were all those who initiated prenatal care, delivered, and received testing for cervical C. trachomatis infection at Grady Memorial Hospital or a Grady-affiliated clinic during the 18-month period from July 1, 1993, through December 31, 1994. Since we were attempting to determine the utility of repeat testing, only patients presenting for prenatal care at less than 20 weeks gestation were included. After study of this initial group, subsequent analysis was confined to the women who actually had follow-up C. trachomatis tests later in pregnancy. Cervical C. trachomatis screens were performed exclusively with Gen-Probe. Patients were treated without confirmatory C. trachomatis cultures. At the time of the study, the majority of patients were treated, according to the current CDC recommendations, with oral erythromycin in split doses (1 gram/day for 2 weeks or 2 grams/day for 1 week). If allergy to erythromycin was claimed or if intolerance was demonstrated, patients were treated with amoxicillin (500mg, three times daily for one week). All screening tests were performed in Grady Memorial Hospital’s laboratory and were entered into a mainframe computer database. Evaluation of that database allowed identification of all women tested for cervical C. trachomatis infection during the study, the date of each test, and the result of each test.

A separate obstetric database is maintained, into which is entered an extensive demographic and medical review of all patients delivered at Grady Memorial Hospital. This obstetric database, which is completed at delivery, includes date of delivery, gestational age at delivery, and selected historical information. Cross-referencing these two distinct databases allowed evaluation of the gestational age at which each test was performed, the number of tests performed on each patient, the result of each test, and the presence of specific demographic and historical variables. If more than one subsequent C. trachomatis test was done, any subsequent positive was considered a positive repeat test, so long as that test was performed more than two weeks after the first; this interval was to allow for success of initial drug therapy. Although it was the policy to
treat the sexual partners of those who tested positive or to refer them for treatment, compliance with this recommendation is not recorded on the database. The relative risk (RR) and adjusted ORs of each variable were determined, with 95% confidence intervals (CI), for a positive initial test and a positive subsequent test after an negative initial test, by the use of the EPI INFO (CDC, Atlanta, GA) statistical program for univariate and the SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) statistical program for multivariate analysis. Patients who had repeat testing after an negative initial test were compared with patients who did not have repeat testing for the same, previously mentioned variables.

RESULTS

During the study period, 2,484 women presented for prenatal care prior to 20 weeks gestation and had at least one C. trachomatis screening test. On these 2,484 prenatal patients, 5,745 C. trachomatis tests were performed (1 to 11 tests per patient, with a mean of 2.5). Of these patients, 259 (10.4%) had a positive initial test, 2,225 (89.6%) had a negative initial test, and 2,173 (87.5%) had at least one subsequent test. Of the 2,484 patients meeting criteria for initial inclusion, 368 had at least one positive test during pregnancy, for a prevalence of 14.8% during pregnancy.

Of the 2,225 patients who initially tested negative for C. trachomatis, 296 (13.3%) had no further testing, whereas 1,929 (86.7%) had follow-up testing. Of these 1,929 patients, 109 (5.7%) subsequently had a positive test, and 1,820 (94.3%) had negative follow-up tests. Of the 259 patients who had a positive initial test, 15 (5.8%) were tested only once, but 244 (94.2%) had follow-up testing. Of these 244 patients, 78 (32.0%) had a recurrent positive test during that pregnancy. The percentage of patients who had a subsequent positive test after an initial positive test is significantly higher than the percentage of patients who had a subsequent positive test after an initial negative test (32.0% vs. 5.7%, $P < 0.001$; RR 5.66, 95% CI 4.37–7.33).

Selected risk factors for a positive initial test were assessed. Risk factors independently associated with a positive initial test for C. trachomatis during pregnancy were found to be black race (compared to “other,” which was primarily Hispanic), age less than 25, unmarried status, and less than a high-school education (Table 1).

To determine if health care providers were selectively retesting patients based on demographic or historical risk factors, patients who initially tested negative for C. trachomatis and had only one test prior to 20 weeks gestation were compared to patients who initially had a negative test and had repeat screening. Patients who were significantly more likely to have had repeat screening included those who were less than 25 years old (RR 1.05, 95% CI 1.01–1.08), nulligravid (RR 1.04, 95% CI 1.01–1.08), and had no prior abortions (RR 1.04, 95% CI 1.01–1.08).

Patients who initially tested negative and had only negative repeat testing were then compared to those who had at least one positive subsequent test. Risk factors independently associated with a positive subsequent test after a negative initial test were of white or black race (compared to “other”), were younger than 25 years, and had less than a high-school education (Table 2).

COMMENT

To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the clinical utility of repeated C. trachomatis testing in pregnancy. The CDC and ACOG recommend follow-up testing in the third trimester for patients who are at high risk; however, no data are cited. We designed our study to determine whether we could target a high-risk segment of our population for whom subsequent testing would be most efficient. As a cost-saving measure, we had hoped to identify patients for whom subsequent testing could be safely omitted.

The prevalence of a positive, nonculture C. trachomatis test at the first prenatal visit was 10.4%, and the overall prevalence during pregnancy was 14.8% in our population. This prevalence is similar to previously published reports. Patients with a positive initial test were at significantly higher risk for a positive subsequent test (32.0%) than patients who initially tested negative (5.7%). This finding supports restesting patients who are positive initially, because they are at high risk for reinfection or failed treatment. A weakness of this study’s design is that in patients with positive subsequent tests, we were unable to distinguish between reinfection and failed treatment. Although we ex-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor*</th>
<th>Positive test</th>
<th>Percent positive</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity (n = 2484)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (n = 1827)</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>2.14 (1.49-3.06)</td>
<td>1.66 (1.01-2.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (n = 91)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.17 (0.50-2.71)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.35-2.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (n = 566)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (n = 2484)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25 (n = 1455)</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>3.87 (2.79-5.37)</td>
<td>3.53 (2.44-5.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25 (n = 1029)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (n = 2484)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not currently married (n = 1805)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>2.98 (2.05-4.35)</td>
<td>2.18 (1.32-3.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married (n = 679)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (n = 2461)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; High school (n = 689)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>1.53 (1.21-1.94)</td>
<td>1.81 (1.34-2.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ High school (n = 1722)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity (n = 2484)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulligravid (n = 820)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.67 (1.33-2.10)</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one pregnancy (n = 1664)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of preterm (n = 2484)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior preterm (n = 253)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.94 (0.64-1.39)</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No preterm (n = 2231)</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of abortion (n = 2484)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No prior abortion (n = 1600)</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>1.55 (1.21-2.20)</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior abortion (n = 884)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use (n = 2484)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use (n = 99)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.87 (0.46-1.63)</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No drug use (n = 2385)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use (n = 2483)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use (n = 2246)</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.88 (0.58-1.33)</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No alcohol use (n = 2237)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraception (n = 2442)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of nonbarrier (n = 2083)</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.66 (0.50-0.87)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.51-1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condom (n = 359)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RR, relative risk; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

* n = 2484 unless specific item on obstetric data base left blank.
** Variable excluded from model using multivariate logistic regression with stepwise backward elimination.

cluded tests repeated within two weeks of an initial test in this analysis, tests of cures may have been performed outside this window of time. There was no specific policy in place recommending a test of cure. Therefore, this practice was likely to vary by provider.

Only 87.5% of our patients had repeat screening during pregnancy, although such screening is the official policy at our institution. There are several possible explanations for this discrepancy. Patients may have delivered prior to the recommended gestational age of repeat testing (34–36 weeks) or may have been noncompliant with clinic visits and may have delivered before returning to the clinic. Finally, individual practitioners may have independently not retested patients whom they considered to be at low risk. There does not seem to be a clinically significant trend among our practitioners to target certain groups for repeat testing.

We found that there exist in our population cer-
TABLE 2. Risk factors associated with a positive subsequent C. trachomatis test during pregnancy after a negative initial test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Positive test</th>
<th>Percent positive</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity (n = 1929)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (n = 1397)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>7.77 (2.71-16.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (n = 70)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>8.69 (2.51-24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (n = 462)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (n = 1929)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25 (n = 1093)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>4.12 (2.83-9.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25 (n = 836)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (n = 1929)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not currently married (n = 1370)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>2.08 (2.13-8.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married (n = 559)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (n = 1911)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ High school (n = 519)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.27 (1.11-2.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ High school (n = 1392)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity (n = 1929)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulligravid (n = 627)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one pregnancy (n = 1302)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of preterm (n = 1929)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior preterm (n = 192)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No preterm (n = 1737)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of abortion (n = 1929)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No prior abortion (n = 1241)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior abortion (n = 688)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use (n = 1929)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use (n = 71)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No drug use (n = 1858)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use (n = 1929)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use (n = 180)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No alcohol use (n = 1749)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraception (n = 1897)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None or nonbarrier (n = 1626)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condom (n = 271)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RR, relative risk; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

*a n = 1929 unless specific item on obstetric data base left blank.
**Variable excluded from model using multivariate logistic regression with stepwise backward elimination.

Tain groups that are at high risk for having a positive subsequent C. trachomatis test after a negative initial test. This may be secondary to new-onset infection in pregnancy or due to a false-negative initial test, since the sensitivity of the screening tests used is less than 100%. Factors that were found to be independently associated with a positive subsequent test after a negative initial test were similar to the risk factors for a positive initial test and include black race or ethnicity, age less than 25, unmarried status, and less than a high-school education. In our population, we found that white patients were significantly more likely to have a positive repeat test than those designated nonblack and nonwhite (primarily Hispanic), although whites were no more likely to have a positive initial
test. Although often cited as a risk factor for *C. trachomatis*, a history of sexually transmitted disease prior to pregnancy was unable to be determined from the database.

There were several limitations to this study. The true incidence of *C. trachomatis* infection in our population is unknown because tissue culture for *C. trachomatis* (which was considered the gold standard) was not available at Grady Memorial Hospital. Although having a positive *C. trachomatis* test makes an individual highly likely to have a *C. trachomatis* infection, the positive predictive value of a test will vary among populations. Another limitation to this study is the inability to extrapolate these results to other populations. The demographic and historical risk factors identified in this study may not be valid in a nonindigent population or in women who do not present for prenatal care.

In conclusion, this study suggests that in a high-risk, indigent, obstetric population there exist subgroups of patients who are at increased risk for *C. trachomatis* infection. It is important to identify these patients, because many of the potentially adverse maternal and fetal outcomes associated with *C. trachomatis* can be prevented by antepartum screening and treatment. Women in our population who should be retested for *C. trachomatis* in pregnancy include at least those who initially test positive, are less than 25 years old, are black or white, and have less than a high school education. The testing of women without any of the above risk factors should be based on clinical judgment. Further studies are necessary to identify women who do not need to be retested, to determine the optimal frequency and gestational age for testing, to determine the cost and efficacy of repeat screening, and to determine whether repeat screening improves maternal or fetal outcome.
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