Persistence of Human Papillomavirus DNA in Cervical Lesions After Treatment With Diathermic Large Loop Excision
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to identify human papillomavirus (HPV) in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) lesions and to evaluate the persistence of viral DNA after diathermic large loop excision (DLLE) treatment.

Study Design: Biopsies from 36 patients with low- and high-grade CIN lesions were studied before and after DLLE treatment looking for HPV sequences. DNA was extracted to perform a radioactive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using GP 5,6 generic primers. PCR products were analyzed by the single-stranded conformational polymorphism (SSCP) which is a simultaneous detection and typing method. Dot-blot hybridization with generic and type-specific biotinylated oligonucleotide probes was applied in some cases.

Results: HPV DNA was found in all pretreatment samples, and the viral type was identified in 80% of them, HPV 16 being the most prevalent. The viral type coincided with that detected in the first biopsy in all except one case. Seventy five percent of the patients (27 cases) were negative for CIN at follow up, but 50% of them remained HPV DNA positive.

Conclusion: DLLE treatment was effective in removing the CIN lesion but not the HPV. This fact points out the need to assess the presence of HPV in DNA during the follow-up, since viral persistence has been considered a high risk factor for recurrence and/or malignant transformation.
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The notion that a sexually transmitted agent is involved in the pathogenesis of cervical cancer has been considered since the end of the past century. Several epidemiological studies have strongly supported this assumption, while molecular techniques have indicated that certain human papillomavirus (HPV) types are present in the majority of cervical carcinomas, highlighting the contribution...
of these viruses to the carcinogenesis of the uterine cervix.1–4

Among HPV types that infect the genital tract, types 16, 18, 31 and 33 are usually associated with cervical carcinomas ("high-risk" types). A different subset of HPV types, such as 6 and 11, are related to benign cervical lesions ("low-risk" types).

Different techniques are currently available for the treatment of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) lesions. These methods are either destructive or excisional, and the application generally depends on the location, the severity of the lesion, and the age of the patient.5 The diathermic large loop excision (DLLE) treatment applied to CIN and HPV lesions is a local and ambulatory method that, like the cone biopsy, allows histologic confirmation of the diagnosis. This method is more conservative than conization, a fact that can be very important, especially if lesions are found in women of reproductive age.

Persistence of viral DNA after treatment of CIN lesions is an issue that has not yet been fully studied, especially when high-risk HPV types like HPV 16 and 18 are present.

The presence of HPV DNA in clinical specimens has been determined mainly by Southern blot,6 dot blot,7 in situ hybridization,8 polymerase chain reaction (PCR),9–11 and hybrid capture system.12,13 The use of PCR has enhanced the sensitivity of detection and has contributed to the increased identification of HPV in cervical lesions.4,14,15

One of the most sensitive methods for detecting minor structural alterations of DNA, including point mutations, is single-stranded conformational polymorphism analysis (SSCP)16 with previous amplification by PCR. Single base substitutions that produce conformational changes of denatured DNA chains are detected as a mobility shift in polyacrylamide gels under nondenaturing conditions. This method has been recently applied to HPV detection, providing information about the viral type amplified.17

In this study, we analyzed the presence of HPV DNA by SSCP-PCR in different cervical lesions, before and after DLLE treatment, and its correlation with the clinical evolution of the cervical lesions.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Study Group**

Thirty-six patients with ages ranging from 17 to 45 years (mean, 31 years) were included in the study. Five cases of CIN I, five of CIN II and 26 of CIN III showing colposcopic signs of HPV infection18 were selected for DLLE treatment.

**Inclusion Criteria for DLLE Treatment**

Women with cervical condylomatous and CIN lesions, noted with colposcopic visualization of the whole atypical lesion, both negative cytobrush and endocervical curettage in CIN II to CIN III. Patients with large ectocervical lesions (more than 1/3 external), pregnant women, and those with difficulties in the follow up were excluded.

**Surgical and Histopathological Criteria for DLLE**

It was performed a conservative resection with safety margins employing the therapeutic sufficient criterion in the same way as in the bistoury cone.19

Two biopsies were taken from each patient, one before and the other 6–12 months after therapy. The second biopsy was taken from the DLLE site in the areas of irregular or atypical reepithelialization. Specimens were fixed and paraffin-embedded for histopathologic and virologic studies.

**Lesion Evolution Criteria**

- Negative follow-up: Disappearance of the CIN lesion or presence of minor histologic signs (dys trophy, dyskeratosis, hyper- and parakeratosis, squamous metaplasia, etc.) after therapy.
- Persistence: Presence of lesion of the same grade.
- Progression: Presence of a CIN lesion of a higher grade than that found at the first histologic examination.

**DNA Isolation and PCR Amplification**

DNA was extracted and purified from paraffin-embedded biopsies as previously described.17 Specimens were tested by PCR for β-globin gene to confirm the presence of adequate human DNA in the sample.20

We used PCR reactions with GP5,6 generic primers that amplify a fragment of approximately
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140 bp from the L1 region. As positive controls, cloned DNA from HPV types 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, and 33 (kindly provided by H. zur Hausen, A. Lörincz, and G. Orth) were included in all assays. The PCR reactions were carried out in 10 µl of solution containing 100–250 ng of DNA, 10 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 100 µM dATP, dCTP, and dGTP, and 0.1 µM of each primer, and 5 U of AmpliTaq (Perkin Elmer). Forty cycles of amplifications were performed in a Perkin Elmer GeneAmp 9600 thermocycler, (94°C, 30 sec; 45°C, 30 sec; 72°C, 30 sec).

For dot-blot analysis, 50 µl of final volume of PCR was performed as described above. Nonradioactive dNTPs and MY09,11 consensus primers that generate a 450-bp fragment of the L1 region were used.

SSCP Analysis

Aliquots from PCR were diluted 1:25 with 0.1% SDS and 10 mM EDTA; then 2 µl of them were mixed with equal volumes of stop solution (95% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, 0.05% bromophenol blue, 0.05% xylene cyanol) and boiled for 5 min. Another aliquot from PCR was diluted 1:25 in TE and mixed with equal volume of blue dye in glycerol. One microliter of diluted samples was loaded on 6% polyacrylamide gels containing 10% glycerol and run at 4 W for 20 hr at room temperature. The gels were fixed with 10% acetic acid, dried, and autoradiographed using X-OMAT-AR Kodak™ films, with intensifying screen, at −70°C.

Dot-Blot Hybridization

Samples that could not be typed by SSCP-PCR were reanalyzed by dot-blot hybridization. Denaturation and blotting of the PCR products were performed by conventional methods. Generic L1 probes were synthesized, and the hybridization was performed as described previously. MY12, MY13, MY14, WD74 and MY16 biotin-labeled oligonucleotides were applied as type-specific probes for HPV 6, 11, 16, 18, and 33, respectively.

TABLE I. Pretreatment histology and clinical evolution after DLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Negative follow-up</th>
<th>Persistent cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIN I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN II</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN III</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>36(100)</td>
<td>27(75)</td>
<td>9(25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Three cases persisted as lower grade: two were CIN II and one was CIN I.

RESULTS

Negative follow up was observed in 27 cases and persistence in nine cases (Table 1). One case of CIN II was found to be CIN III in the posttreatment sample; this observation was not considered as a progression but rather disease that was not detected at the first biopsy. However, in 30% of negative follow-up cases and 88% of persisting cases, histologic HPV signs were observed in posttreatment biopsies.

A total of 52 colposcopically-directed biopsies of the cervix were examined for the presence of HPV DNA sequences. All extracted DNA was found adequate for PCR assay (positive for β-globin gene). The SSCP-PCR analysis allowed us to establish band patterns for each control type of HPV. The typing was made comparing these migration patterns with those observed in cervical samples (Fig. 1). All pretreatment samples were HPV positive. Sixteen cases were found to contain HPV 16; eight cases revealed the presence of HPV 6; two cases showed HPV 33, and HPV types 11, 18, and 31 were found in one case each. In eight positive cases, the HPV type could not be determined by this method, since their patterns did not correspond to any one of the standard controls. However, all of the undetermined cases hybridized with L1 generic probe, corroborating the presence of the virus. Two cases yielded two extra bands that suggested HPV 16 and 18 mixed infections; this possibility was confirmed by dot-blot hybridization.
Six cases remained undetermined. Further characterization of these samples was not possible due to the limited amount of the specimen.

Fifty-five percent of posttreatment samples (20 cases) remained HPV-positive; they exhibited the same viral type found in pretreatment samples, except in one case (HPV 6 in the first biopsy and HPV 16 in the second). In 16 posttreatment samples, HPV DNA was not detected; however, eight of these biopsies exhibited persistent histologic signs of viral infection.

Tables 2 and 3 show the HPV distribution in negative follow-up and persistent cases after DLLE treatment.

**TABLE 2. HPV in negative follow-up cases after DLLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretreatment histology</th>
<th>HPV types&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN I (n = 4)</td>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN II (n = 3)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN III (n = 20)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Pre- and posttreatment DNA HPV positive cases. The difference between both values corresponds to negative HPV cases after treatment.

<sup>b</sup>Undetermined cases by SSCP-PCR and dot-blot hybridization.

In one case, HPV 6 was detected in the first biopsy and HPV 16 in the second.

**DISCUSSION**

Much effort has been devoted to the early detection and prevention of cervical cancer, and different conservative methods for the treatment of preinvasive lesions have been developed and extensively applied. However, the persistence of HPV infection after treatment and its relationship with the lesion evolution has only recently become an important area of research.  

In the present study, we verify the efficacy of DLLE treatment, no matter what viral type was involved. A favorable clinical evolution and a high rate of histologic remission was observed in most of the patients during follow-up.

We employed a sensitive SSCP-PCR assay to detect and type HPV DNA and to evaluate the persistence of the virus after DLLE treatment. This methodology allowed us to identify, in a single reaction, several anogenital HPV types, since the pattern obtained for each one was very specific and highly reproducible. This assay let us avoid, in most cases, later restriction enzyme digestion and/or hybridization.

Some atypical patterns were observed in a limited number of cases that could correspond either to mixed infections, variants of known types, or...
TABLE 3. HPV in persistent cases after DLLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretreatment histology</th>
<th>HPV types*</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>UDb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CINI (n=1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINII (n=2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (1)c</td>
<td>1 (1)c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINIII (n=6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (2)c</td>
<td>1 (1)c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pre- and posttreatment DNA HPV positive cases. The difference between both values corresponds to negative HPV cases after treatment.

bUndetermined cases by SSCP-PCR and dot-blot hybridization.

cOne case showed HPV 16 + 18 mixed infection.

The DLLE treatment proved effective in the eradication of CIN lesions, but seems to fail in eliminating the viral infection. In spite of the negative follow up observed, in half of treated patients, HPV DNA was demonstrated. Several epidemiological studies have indicated that persistently infected women with oncogenic HPV types are more likely to develop cervical neoplastic lesions. In our treated patients, viral persistence with previous history of severe cervical disease would be considered a greater risk factor of relapse.

These findings highlight the importance of performing follow-up studies over prolonged periods due to the possibility of recurrence and/or malignant conversion of premalignant lesions. Our results emphasize the importance of the virological test to complement the clinical study, improving the diagnostic accuracy and efficiency.
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