Robust Integral Terminal Sliding Mode Control for Quadrotor UAV with External Disturbances
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1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Motivation. Over the last decade, the robotic field has attracted great attention from researchers, in particular, the unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). The quadrotor is a type of drone, which consists of four rotors. This last has a simple mechanical structure. The quadrotor can land vertically and take off. The quadrotor has been used in many applications such as military missions, journalism, disaster management, archaeology, geographical monitoring, taxi services, search/rescue missions, environmental protection, performing missions in oceans or other planets, mailing and delivery, and other miscellaneous applications [1, 2]. Therefore, the quadrotor is a strongly coupled and highly nonlinear system. The quadrotor is an underactuated system, because the six DOF \((x, y, z, \phi, \theta, \psi)\) should be regulated by the four controls.

In order to control the quadrotor in a closed loop, many techniques have been designed such as the backstepping control, fuzzy logic based on intelligent control, sliding mode control, adaptive control approaches, neural network method, intelligent fuzzy logic control, model predictive control [3], hybrid finite-time control [4], proportional derivative sliding mode control [5], nonlinear PID controller [6], and adaptive fractional order sliding mode control [7].

1.2. Literature Review. In Reference [8], nonlinear control techniques are designed for the quadrotor’s position and attitude. The attitude loop uses the regular sliding mode controller. The backstepping technique is combined with a sliding mode control to design robust controllers for the outer loop and the yaw angle. To estimate the quadrotor UAV fault, an observer is developed. In Reference [9], a second-order sliding mode control technique is developed to control an underactuated quadrotor UAV. In order to select the best coefficient of this proposed controller, the Hurwitz analysis is used. The control approach allows converging the state variables to their reference values and ensuring the stability of the quadrotor system. In Reference [10], a global fast terminal sliding mode control technique is developed for a quadrotor UAV. The controller allows solving the chattering problem, stabilizing the vehicle, and converging all state variables to zero. In Reference [11], a nonsingular fast terminal SMC technique has been established for the stabilization and
control of uncertain and nonlinear dynamical systems based on a disturbance observer. In Reference [12], an adaptive control method based on the sliding mode technique is developed for tracking control and for the stability of an uncertain quadrotor. The quadrotor unknown parameters are estimated at any moment. The proposed control laws guarantee the stability of the quadrotor system and recommend that the state variables converge to their origin values in finite time. A combination of sliding mode control and integral backstepping techniques is presented in Reference [13]. The control strategy allows tracking of the flight trajectory in the presence of the disturbances and stabilizing of the attitude of the UAV. In Reference [14], a nonsingular fast terminal sliding mode (NFTSM) method is designed to obtain the good performance of the quadrotor attitude. The tracking errors of the quadrotor are converged to zero through this controller. In Reference [15], a fast terminal sliding mode approach is proposed for the tracking control problem of a nonlinear mass-spring system in the presence of noise, exterior disturbance, and parametric uncertainty. The proposed controller is confirmed via both experimental and simulation results. In Reference [16], a high-order sliding mode observer is combined with a nonsingular modified super-twisting algorithm to propose a solution for the trajectory-tracking problem of unmanned aerial systems (UAS). The proposed approach techniques offer an estimation for the translational velocities of the quadrotor and improve the robust performance ability of the UAV system against external disturbances. In Reference [17], an adaptive super-twisting based on the terminal sliding mode technique is applied on the fourth-order systems. The experimental results of the proposed control scheme are presented. In Reference [18], a terminal sliding mode controller for the yaw and altitude subsystems is designed. A sliding mode technique is developed to control the quadrotor underactuated subsystem. In Reference [19], two nonlinear control techniques (backstepping and sliding mode controllers) are suggested to solve the tracking trajectory problem in the presence of relatively high perturbations, problems of the quadrotor system affected by input delays, parametric uncertainties, unmolded uncertainties, and time-varying state and external disturbances; a robust nominal control- and a robust compensator are proposed in Reference [20] to solve the process.

1.3. Contribution. In this paper, a robust integral terminal sliding mode control method is proposed for a quadrotor attitude. Then, an integral terminal sliding mode surface is used for the attitude of the quadrotor to ensure tracking errors converge to zero in short finite time. The RITSMC scheme is designed based on the Lyapunov theory. However, an adaptive backstepping is designed to estimate the unknown external disturbance acting in the z-axis and to control the altitude subsystem. The backstepping technique is used to control the horizontal position of the quadrotor in the presence of external perturbations. The contribution of this paper is given by the following points:

(i) A novel hybrid control structure of the quadrotor system subject to underactuated, kinematics-dynamics couplings, and external disturbances is proposed

(ii) An RITSMC is proposed for the quadrotor attitude to eliminate singularities without adding any constraints compared to TSMC and to reduce the chattering effect in the conventional SMC

(iii) An AB control approach commands the altitude of the quadrotor and estimates the disturbances at any moment simultaneously

1.4. Paper Organization. The rest of the paper is structured as follows: the formulation of the quadrotor system is given in Section 2. The new RITSMC and the adaptive backstepping techniques are presented in Section 3. The numerical simulation results are prepared in Section 4. Finally, the conclusions are exposed in Section 5.

2. The System Modeling

The quadrotor is equipped with four rotors as shown in Figure 1. The body-fixed (Ob, Xb, Yb, and Zb) and earth-fixed (Oe, Xe, Ye, and Ze) frames are defined. The absolute position of the quadrotor is defined by the vector $\xi = \ldots$
\[ \begin{align*}
&\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}^T. \text{The vector } \eta = [\phi, \theta, \psi]^T \text{ represents the Euler angles.} \\
&\text{The angular and linear velocities of the quadrotor are defined by the vectors } \Omega = [p, q, r]^T \text{ and } V = [u, v, w]^T, \text{ respectively.} \\
&\text{In this formalism, the Newton-Euler is used to obtain the quadrotor model} \ [19, 21, 22]:
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{\xi} &= V, \\
\dot{m}V &= RF e_3 - mg e_3 - k_j \ (i = 1, 2, 3), \\
\dot{R} &= RS(\Omega), \\
\dot{J} &= -\Omega \times J \Omega + M_\epsilon - M_a + M_b.
\end{align*} \tag{1} \]

R is the rotation matrix:

\[ R = \begin{bmatrix}
C_\theta & S_\theta C_\psi & -S_\theta S_\psi \\
S_\theta & C_\theta C_\psi & S_\theta S_\psi \\
-S_\psi & -C_\psi & C_\theta
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{2} \]

The coordinate transformation is given by the rotation matrix:

\[ T = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & -S_\theta \\
0 & C_\theta & S_\theta C_\psi \\
0 & -S_\theta & C_\theta
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{3} \]

We use \( C(\cdot) \) to cos (\( \cdot \)) and \( S(\cdot) \) to sin (\( \cdot \)). \( m \) represents the total mass of the quadrotor, \( I_{x,y,z} \) is a density matrix, and \( J \) denotes the matrix moment of the inertia. 

\( F \) is the total thrust; this expression is given by \( F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4 \), where \( F_i = b_i \Omega_i^2 \), \( \Omega_i \) is the angular speed and \( b_i \) is a parameter that depends on the air density and blade geometry. S = [p, q, r]^T denotes a skew-symmetric matrix. \( M_a, M_r, \) and \( M_b \) are the resultant of the aerodynamic friction torque, the resultant of the gyroscopic effect torque, and the torque developed by the four rotors of the quadrotor, respectively. These expressions can be given, respectively, as follows:

\[ M_a = \text{diag} \begin{bmatrix} k_4 \phi^2, k_5 \theta^2, k_6 \psi^2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4} \]

where \( k_4, k_5, \) and \( k_6 \) represent the friction aerodynamics parameters.

\[ M_i = \sum_{j=0}^{4} \Omega_j \times J_j [0, 0, (-1)^{i+1} \Omega_j]^T. \tag{5} \]

\( J_j \) denotes the rotor inertia.

\[ M_b = \begin{bmatrix}
l(F_3 - F_1) \\
l(F_4 - F_2) \\
\frac{1}{2}d(-\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 - \Omega_3 + \Omega_4)
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{6} \]

d is the drag coefficient and \( l \) is the distance between a propeller and the center of the quadrotor. The complete quadrotor dynamics in the presence of external disturbances referred to in \([22]\) is as

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{\phi} &= \theta \psi - \frac{1}{I_x} \left( F_1 - F_2 \right), \\
\dot{\theta} &= \phi \psi + \frac{1}{I_y} \left( F_3 - F_4 \right), \\
\dot{\psi} &= \frac{1}{I_z} \left( F_4 - F_2 \right).
\end{align*} \tag{7} \]

The relationship between the quadrotor inputs and the propeller speeds of the quadrotor can be written as follows \([22]\):

\[ \begin{bmatrix}
U_1 \\
U_2 \\
U_3 \\
U_4
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
b & b & b & b \\
0 & lb & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & lb & 0 \\
\theta - \frac{+d}{d} & 0 & d & d
\end{bmatrix} \Omega^2. \tag{8} \]

The dynamic model of the quadrotor position subsystem has three outputs \((z, x, \) and \( y) \) and one control input \( U_1 \). In order to solve the underactuated problem, three virtual control \((v_1, v_2, \text{ and } v_3) \) inputs using adaptive back-stepping and the backstepping technique are designed. The virtual control inputs are given as follows:

\[ \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\
v_2 \\
v_3
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{U_1}{m} (C_\phi S_\psi + S_\phi S_\psi) \\
\frac{U_1}{m} (C_\phi S_\psi - S_\phi S_\psi) \\
\frac{U_1}{m} (C_\phi C_\psi - S_\psi)
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{9} \]

Therefore, the desired roll, pitch, and yaw angles \((\phi_d, \theta_d) \) and the total thrust \( U_1 \) can be obtained as follows:

\[ \begin{align*}
U_1 &= m \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + (v_3 + g)^2}, \\
\phi_d &= \arctan \left( \frac{v_1 C_{\phi_d} - v_2 S_{\phi_d}}{v_3 + g} \right), \\
\theta_d &= \arctan \left( \frac{v_1 C_{\theta_d} + v_2 S_{\theta_d}}{v_3 + g} \right).
\end{align*} \tag{10} \]
3. Controller Design and Stability Analysis

This section presents the robust nonlinear controller for the quadrotor UAV in the presence of external perturbations. The proposed control of the quadrotor is divided into the inner loop and the outer loop. For the outer loop, an adaptive backstepping (AB) controller for the altitude subsystem is designed. The AB method ensures the tracking of the desired altitude $z_d$ and an accurate estimation of the unknown perturbation $d_z$ acting on the altitude subsystem. The backstepping technique is used to obtain the virtual control ($v_1, v_2$).

For the inner loop, a new robust integral terminal sliding mode control (RITSMC) is proposed. The attitude controller generates the rolling, pitching, and yawing torques to control the orientation of the quadrotor in the presence of external disturbances. Finally, the proposed control strategy solves the trajectory problem in short finite time with accuracy of the system performance. The general proposed control scheme is shown in Figure 2.

3.1. Adaptive Backstepping Control for Altitude Subsystem.

Define tracking error of the altitude subsystem as

$$e_{z1} = z - z_d.$$  

Consider the Lyapunov candidate function:

$$V_{z1} = \frac{1}{2} e_{z1}^2.$$  

Taking the time derivative of equation (12)

$$\dot{V}_{z1} = e_{z1} \dot{e}_{z1} = e_{z1} (\dot{z} - \dot{z}_d).$$  

The virtual control law is

$$\alpha_z = -c_{z1} e_{z1} + \dot{z}_d,$$

where $c_{z1} > 0$ is a positive constant.

Define the tracking error of step 2 as

$$e_{z2} = \dot{z} - \alpha_z.$$  

The virtual control and adaptive law of the altitude subsystem are given by equation (16) and equation (17), respectively:

$$v_3 = -\left( e_{z1} + c_{z1} (e_{z2} - cz_1 e_{z1}) + c_{z2} e_{z2} + \frac{k_3}{m} \dot{z} - \dot{z}_d + \dot{d}_z \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$\dot{\hat{d}}_z = y_z e_{z2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $c_{z2}$ and $y_z$ are the positive parameters and $\dot{\hat{d}}_z$ denotes the estimate of $\dot{d}_z$.

**Proof.** The Lyapunov function is considered as follows:

$$V_{z2} = \frac{1}{2} e_{z1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} e_{z2}^2 + \frac{1}{2 y_z} \dot{d}_z^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The time derivative of $V_{z2}$ is given as

$$\dot{V}_{z2} = e_{z1} \dot{e}_{z1} + e_{z2} \dot{e}_{z2} + \frac{1}{y_z} \dot{\hat{d}}_z \dot{d}_z,$$

$$= e_{z1} (e_{z2} - c_{z1} e_{z1}) + e_{z2} (\dot{z} + c_{z1} \dot{e}_{z1} - \dot{z}_d) - \frac{1}{y_z} \dot{d}_z \dot{\hat{d}}_z,$$

$$= -c_{z1} e_{z1}^2 + e_{z2} \left[ -\frac{k_3}{m} \dot{z} + v_3 + c_{z1} (e_{z2} - c_{z1} e_{z1}) - \dot{z}_d \right] + e_{z2} \dot{d}_z + \frac{1}{y_z} \dot{\hat{d}}_z \dot{d}_z,$$

$$+ e_{z2} \dot{d}_z + \frac{1}{y_z} \dot{\hat{d}}_z \dot{d}_z.$$  

Considering the control law equation (16) and adaptive law equation (17), we get

$$\dot{V}_{z2} = -c_{z1} e_{z1}^2 - c_{z2} e_{z2}^2 \leq 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

3.2. Backstepping Control for Horizontal Position Subsystem.

In this part, the backstepping technique is used to control the horizontal position of a quadrotor.

Introduce the tracking errors of the x and y positions:

$$e_{x1} = x - x_d,$$

$$e_{y1} = y - y_d.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)
Define the Lyapunov candidate function as
\[
V_{x1} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{e}_{x1}^2, \tag{22}
\]
\[
V_{y1} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{e}_{y1}^2. \tag{23}
\]
The time derivatives of equations (23) and (24) are given by
\[
\dot{V}_{x1} = e_{x1} \dot{e}_{x1} = e_{x1} (\dot{x} - \dot{x}_d), \tag{24}
\]
\[
\dot{V}_{y1} = e_{y1} \dot{e}_{y1} = e_{y1} (\dot{y} - \dot{y}_d). \tag{25}
\]
From equation (25), the virtual control laws are
\[
\alpha_x = -c_{x1} e_{x1} + \dot{x}_d, \tag{26}
\]
\[
\alpha_y = -c_{y1} e_{y1} + \dot{y}_d,
\]
where \(c_{x1}, c_{y1} > 0\) are the positive constants.

Consider the tracking errors of step 2 are given as
\[
e_{x2} = \dot{x} - \alpha_x, \tag{27}
e_{y2} = \dot{y} - \alpha_y.
\]
The virtual control laws of the horizontal subsystems are given by
\[
v_1 = -\left( c_{x1} \dot{e}_{x1} + c_{y1} \dot{e}_{y1} + c_{x2} e_{x2} + \frac{k_1}{m} \dot{x} - \dot{x}_d + d_x \right).
\]
\[
v_2 = -\left( c_{y1} \dot{e}_{y1} + c_{y2} e_{y2} + \frac{k_2}{m} \dot{y} - \dot{y}_d + d_y \right).
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{s}_\phi &= \hat{\phi}_\psi + \frac{\alpha_x \hat{e}_\phi (\eta/\psi s)}{\beta_s} \left( \frac{\eta}{\psi (\psi-\eta)} \right) dt, \\
\dot{s}_\theta &= \hat{\theta}_s + \frac{\alpha_\theta \hat{e}_\theta (\eta/\theta s)}{\beta_s} \left( \frac{\eta}{\theta (\theta-\eta)} \right) dt, \\
\dot{s}_\psi &= \hat{\psi}_s + \frac{\alpha_\psi \hat{e}_\psi (\eta/\psi s)}{\beta_s} \left( \frac{\eta}{\psi (\psi-\eta)} \right) dt,
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(\alpha_i (i = \phi, \theta, \psi)\) and \(\beta_i (i = \phi, \theta, \psi)\) are the positive constants and \(p_i (i = \phi, \theta, \psi)\) and \(q_i (i = \phi, \theta, \psi)\) the positive integers with \(p_i > q_i\).

The surface dynamics are given by
\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{s}_\phi &= \hat{\phi}_\psi + \alpha_\phi \hat{e}_\psi (\eta/\psi s) + \beta_\psi \hat{e}_\psi \left( \frac{\eta}{\psi (\psi-\eta)} \right), \\
\dot{s}_\theta &= \hat{\theta}_s + \alpha_\theta \hat{e}_\theta (\eta/\theta s) + \beta_\theta \hat{e}_\theta \left( \frac{\eta}{\theta (\theta-\eta)} \right), \\
\dot{s}_\psi &= \hat{\psi}_s + \alpha_\psi \hat{e}_\psi (\eta/\psi s) + \beta_\psi \hat{e}_\psi \left( \frac{\eta}{\psi (\psi-\eta)} \right),
\end{aligned}
\]
Using the exponential reaching laws, we get
\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{s}_\phi &= -\lambda_\phi s_\phi - k_\phi \text{ sign } (s_\phi), \\
\dot{s}_\theta &= -\lambda_\theta s_\theta - k_\theta \text{ sign } (s_\theta), \\
\dot{s}_\psi &= -\lambda_\psi s_\psi - k_\psi \text{ sign } (s_\psi).
\end{aligned}
\]
From equation (31) and equation (32), the control laws of the attitude subsystem are given as
\[
\begin{aligned}
U_x &= I_x \left[ -\left( \frac{\hat{\phi}_\psi I_x - I_z}{I_x} \Omega_z - \frac{\hat{\theta}_s I_y}{I_y} \Omega_z - \frac{k_2}{I_y} \dot{\psi}^2 \right) - d_x - \lambda_\phi s_\phi \\
&\quad - k_\phi \text{ sign } (s_\phi) + \phi_\psi \hat{e}_\phi (\eta/\psi s) - \beta_\psi \hat{e}_\psi \left( \frac{\eta}{\psi (\psi-\eta)} \right) \right], \\
U_y &= I_y \left[ -\left( \frac{\hat{\phi}_\psi I_y - I_x}{I_y} \Omega_z - \frac{\hat{\theta}_s I_y}{I_y} \Omega_z - \frac{k_2}{I_x} \dot{\phi}^2 \right) - d_y - \lambda_\theta s_\theta \\
&\quad - k_\theta \text{ sign } (s_\theta) + \theta_\psi \hat{e}_\theta (\eta/\theta s) - \beta_\theta \hat{e}_\theta \left( \frac{\eta}{\theta (\theta-\eta)} \right) \right], \\
U_z &= I_z \left[ -\left( \frac{\hat{\phi}_\psi I_z - I_x}{I_z} \Omega_x - \frac{\hat{\theta}_s I_z}{I_z} \Omega_x - \frac{k_2}{I_z} \dot{\phi}^2 \right) - d_z - \lambda_\psi s_\psi \\
&\quad - k_\psi \text{ sign } (s_\psi) + \psi_\psi \hat{e}_\psi (\eta/\psi s) - \beta_\psi \hat{e}_\psi \left( \frac{\eta}{\psi (\psi-\eta)} \right) \right].
\end{aligned}
\]
Proof. In order to demonstrate the stability of the attitude subsystem, the Lyapunov candidate function of the roll subsystem is given as follows:
\[
V_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \dot{e}_\phi^2, \tag{34}
\]
The time derivative of $V_{\phi}$ is

$$V_{\phi} = s_{\phi} \dot{s}_{\phi} = s_{\phi} \left( \dot{\phi} - \ddot{\phi} + \alpha_{\psi} \dot{\psi} (\gamma_{\psi}/\psi) + \beta_{\psi} \dot{\psi}^2 (\gamma_{\psi}/\psi) \right)$$

$$= s_{\phi} \left( \frac{I_y - I_z}{I_x} - \frac{J}{I_x} \Omega - \frac{k_{\phi}}{I_x} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{s_{\phi}} \frac{U_2}{I_x} \right)$$

$$+ \left[ - \left( \frac{I_y - I_z}{I_x} - \frac{J}{I_x} \Omega - \frac{k_{\phi}}{I_x} \dot{\phi}^2 \right) - d_{\phi} - \lambda_{\phi} s_{\phi} \right]$$

$$- k_{\phi} \text{sign} \left( s_{\phi} \right) + \ddot{s}_{\phi} - \alpha_{\phi} \dot{s}_{\phi} (\gamma_{\phi}/\phi) - \beta_{\phi} \dot{s}_{\phi}^2 (\gamma_{\phi}/\phi)$$

$$+ d_{\phi} - \ddot{s}_{\phi} + \alpha_{\phi} \dot{s}_{\phi} (\gamma_{\phi}/\phi) + \beta_{\phi} \dot{s}_{\phi}^2 (\gamma_{\phi}/\phi)$$

$$= s_{\phi} (- \lambda_{\phi} s_{\phi} - k_{\phi} \text{sign} \left( s_{\phi} \right)) = - \lambda_{\phi} s_{\phi}^2 - k_{\phi} |s_{\phi}| \leq 0. \tag{35}$$

4. Simulation Results

To validate the performances of the proposed controllers, numerical simulations will be presented in this section. The parameters of the quadrotor used in the simulation are selected in Table 1. The initial attitude and position of the quadrotor are chosen as [0, 0, 0] rad and [0, 0, 0] m. The desired trajectory of the yaw angle and the position is given in Table 2. The external disturbances used in the simulation are given as follows: $d_x = 1$ N at $t = 5$ s; $d_y = 1$ rad/s$^2$ at $t = 10$ s; $d_y = 1$ N at $t = 15$ s; $d_{\phi} = 1$ rad/s$^2$ at $t = 20$ s; $d_{\psi} = 1$ N at $t = 25$ s; and $d_{\psi} = 1$ rad/s$^2$ at $t = 30$ s. Besides, the parameters of the proposed controller are listed in Table 3.

Remark 1. In order to achieve a smooth and quick tracking performance, the design parameters of the proposed AB-RITSMC, B-SMC, and SMC techniques have been tuned by using a toolbox optimization method in MATLAB/Simulink (see, e.g., [25]).

Furthermore, in order to highlight the superiority of the proposed control laws, comparisons with the backstepping sliding mode control and the first-order sliding mode control technique are done.

The simulation results are shown in Figures 3–8. The desired and actual tracking positions $x$, $y$, and $z$ are shown in Figure 3, where the proposed control strategy that drives the quadrotor to track the desired flight trajectory more rapidly and more accurately can be seen, then the classic sliding mode control method and the backstepping sliding mode controller. The constant disturbances are added in the position subsystem at $t = 5$. It appears that the proposed control approach has managed to effectively hold the quadrotor’s position in finite time contrary to the SMC and B-SMC; the same behaviour can be observed at $t = 15$ for the $y$ position and at $t = 25$ for the $z$ position of the quadrotor system. Furthermore, trajectory attitudes $\phi$, $\theta$, and $\psi$ are shown in Figure 4. It can be seen that the quadrotor attitude tracks the desired angles in short finite time. The attitude sliding variables ($s_\phi$, $s_\theta$, and $s_\psi$) are shown in Figure 6; the convergence to zero in finite time of the sliding surfaces can be observed. The trajectory-tracking errors of the position are depicted in Figure 5. The estimate force acting in direction $z$ is shown in Figure 8. In order to demonstrate the superiority of the proposed control strategy, the quadrotor trajectory path performance in 3-D space is shown in Figure 7. Clearly, it can be seen that the proposed control strategy can accurately track the square trajectory in the presence of external disturbances. The proposed control approach obtains better performance compared to the conventional SMC and B-SMC methods in terms of disturbance rejection and trajectory tracking.
Figure 3: Response of quadrotor position.

Figure 4: Response of quadrotor attitude.
Figure 5: Position errors.

Figure 6: Tracking errors ($s_\phi$, $s_\theta$, and $s_\psi$), B-ITSMC.
5. Conclusions

In this study, we have examined the problem of the flight trajectory-tracking phenomenon of the quadrotor with disturbances. The proposed control scheme is made up of three different parts: control of an altitude, a horizontal position, and an attitude subsystem. Firstly, the altitude subsystem is addressed based on adaptive backstepping. Also, the backstepping technique is designed to control the positions $x$ and $y$ of a quadrotor. The control objectives of this loop are (i) obtaining the desired roll and pitch angles, (ii) tracking the desired flight trajectory in finite time, and (iii) generating the total thrust. Secondly, a new robust integral terminal sliding mode controller has been constructed to stabilize the attitude subsystem. The objectives of the RITSMC control scheme are

(i) tracking the desired angles
(ii) stabilizing the quadrotor attitude
(iii) generating the rolling, pitching, and yawing torques

In addition, the proposed controller achieves the fast and accurate tracking of the quadrotor trajectory. Finally, simulation results have demonstrated that the proposed controller is able to improve control performance of the quadrotor UAV system in the presence of external disturbances. The proposed control strategy has shown the effectiveness and superiority of the classical sliding mode control strategy and backstepping sliding mode control technique.

The following points will be addressed in the future work:

(i) Taking into account the motor dynamics of the quadrotor
(ii) Inclusion of a fault detection in the actuators and sensors
(iii) Use of adaptive RITSMC approach in the improvement of the quadrotor control system
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