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A triple-band rectangular ring, open-ended monopole antenna with symmetric L strips for wireless local area network (WLAN)/Worldwide Interoperability of Microwave Access (WiMAX) applications is proposed. The proposed antenna consists of two symmetric folded arms and L strips. Based on the concept, a prototype of the proposed triple antenna has been designed, fabricated, and tested. The numerical and experimental results demonstrated that the proposed antenna satisfied the −10 dB impedance bandwidth requirement while simultaneously covering the WLAN and WiMAX bands. Furthermore, this paper presented and discussed the 2D radiation patterns and 3D gains according to the results of the experiment. The proposed antenna’s peak gain varied between 2.17 and 4.93 dBi, and its average gain varied between −2.97 and −0.53 dBi.

1. Introduction

The recent increasing demand for antennas with multiband operation capabilities in modern communication systems has attracted public attention. Likewise, the ability to integrate more than one communication standard into a single system has become a most sought-after feature of a modern wireless communication device. In the last few years, wireless local area networks (WLANs) with connections to portable terminals, such as personal digital assistants (PDAs), notebooks or laptop computers, smart phones, and other similar equipment, have been governed through the standardization of frequency bands that are defined by various standard protocols, namely, IEEE 802.11a (5.15–5.35 GHz and 5.725–5.85 GHz) and 802.11a/g (2.4–2.484 GHz). Furthermore, Worldwide Interoperability of Microwave Access (WiMAX) has been deployed to provide mobile broadband Internet services with large coverage areas in many countries. Recently, WiMAX has primarily allocated three different frequency bands (WiMAX: 2.5–2.69, 3.3–3.7, and 5.25–5.85 GHz) [1, 2].

In the near future, these consumer electronic devices will be capable of integrating multiple frequency bands (WLAN, WiMAX, etc.) into one single system. Among the key components of these devices, the antenna plays an important role in determining the RF performance of the end product. The antenna used in these devices is required to operate at different frequency bands or broadband in WLAN and WiMAX systems. Furthermore, many monopole antennas with different structure have been reported such as the G-shaped monopole [3], the rectangular ring monopole [4], the C-shaped monopole [5], the W-shaped monopole [6], the Y-shaped monopole [7], F-shaped [8], double T-shaped [9], and double S-shaped [10]. However, these antennas can only cover one application. Thus, to make multiband and wideband WLAN/WiMAX antennas, studies on WLAN/WiMAX for triple-band applications have been made. In particular, the rectangular ring, monopole antenna for WLAN/WiMAX bands is proposed [3–7].

The dual rectangular ring, printed CPW-fed monopole antenna with inverted T-shaped strip [11], the rectangular
ring, monopole antenna with a straight strip and a meandered strip [12], and the rectangular ring, monopole antenna with symmetric L strips [13] have been suggested. These antennas are designed and fabricated at a substrate with relative permittivity of 3.5, 2.65, and 2.65, respectively. These proposed antennas are made of expensive materials, compared to conventional FR4 materials. Likewise, the dual rectangular ring with open-ended CPW-fed monopole antenna [14] is introduced. The total size of the proposed antenna is quite large. Next, the square ring, monopole antenna with a vertical and L-shaped strip [15] is proposed. The L strip is located on the bottom side and is connected to the square ring through a hole. This proposed antenna has a complicated structure because a hole has to be made.

In this paper, a rectangular ring, open-ended monopole antenna with two symmetric folded arms and L strips for WLAN and WiMAX band applications was designed. The proposed antenna was fabricated with the use of a conventional FR4 material that is often used to make printed circuit boards and is easy to manufacture. By properly selecting the dimensions of the proposed antenna, good triple broadband bandwidth capabilities, and suitable radiation characteristics for two multiband wireless communication systems, such as WLAN (2.4–2.483 GHz, 5.15–5.85 GHz) and WiMAX (2.5–2.69, 3.3–3.7, and 5.25–5.85 GHz) operations, this study’s goals can be achieved. The antenna configuration and simulated data, as well as the constructed prototype and measured data, will be carefully examined and discussed in the succeeding sections.

2. Antenna Design

Figure 1 shows the specific configuration designed for the WLAN/WiMAX printed monopole antenna, which consists of two symmetric folded arms and L strips. The total sizes of the substrate and the ground plane of the proposed antenna that was used in this study were 30.0×40.0 mm ($W_{12} \times L_1$) and 19.0×17.2 mm ($W_{11} \times L_3$), respectively. The radiating element was placed on the same side as the feeding strip line, and the ground plane was placed on the other side of the substrate.

The proposed antenna is fabricated on an inexpensive FR4 substrate with a relative permittivity of 4.4 and a thickness of 1.0 mm.

The proposed antenna had rectangular ring, open-ended strip lines that have a uniform width ($W_2 = W_4 = W_{10}, 2$ mm) for design convenience. In this design, the rectangular ring, open-ended antenna with symmetric, folded arms was resonant for 2.4 GHz/2.5 GHz WiMAX bands. Two symmetric,
2.1. Effect of the Two Symmetric, Folded Arms ($W_3$). Figure 2 illustrates the return loss for the different values of gap width between the rectangular ring, open-ended antenna and the L strip ($W_3$). It can be seen in the figure that the impedance bandwidth and characteristics of the return loss slightly changed when $W_3$ changed from 0.5 to 1.5 mm in the 3.5 and 5 GHz bands. It can also be seen in the figure, however, that the impedance bandwidth and characteristics of the return loss did not change in the 2.4 GHz and 2.5 GHz bands. This implied that gap width is affected by the characteristics of the return loss in 3.5 GHz and 5 GHz bands. To design a good triple-band WLAN/WiMAX operation, $W_3$ was set at 1.0 mm.

2.2. Effect of the Ground Plane ($W_9$). Figure 3 illustrates the return loss for the different values of the ground plane ($W_9$). It can be seen in the figure that the impedance bandwidth and characteristics of the return loss did not change when $W_9$ changed from 7.5 to 9.5 mm in the 2.4 GHz WLAN and 2.5 GHz WiMAX bands. It can also be seen in the figure, however, that the impedance bandwidth and characteristics of the return loss greatly changed in the 3.5 GHz and 5 GHz bands. In particular, impedance bandwidth and characteristics of the return loss improved in the 5 GHz band, but required frequency bands deviated in the 3.5 GHz band when $W_9$ was 9.5 mm. To design a good triple-band WLAN/WiMAX operation, $W_9$ was set at 8.5 mm.

2.3. Effect of Gap Width between the Rectangular Ring, Open-Ended Antenna and the Ground Plane ($L_2$). Figure 4 illustrates the return loss for the different values of the gap width between the rectangular ring, open-ended antenna and the ground plane ($L_2$). It can be seen in the figure that the impedance bandwidth and characteristics of the return loss slightly changed when $W_3$ changed from 1.6 to 2.0 mm in the required frequency bands. This implied that the gap width is significantly affected by the characteristics of the return loss in the required frequency bands. To design a good triple-band WLAN/WiMAX operation, $L_2$ was set at 1.8 mm.

2.4. Effect of Two Symmetric L Strips ($L_4$). Figure 5 illustrates the return loss for the different values of L strips ($L_4$). It can be seen in the figure that the impedance bandwidth...
Figure 4: Simulated return loss of the proposed antenna with different values of gap length between the rectangular ring, open-ended antenna and the ground plane ($L_2$). (Other parameters: $L_1 = 40.0$ mm; $L_3 = 17.2$ mm; $L_4 = 12.5$ mm; $L_5 = 3.0$ mm; $L_6 = 9.0$ mm; $L_7 = 16.0$ mm; $W_1 = 27.0$ mm; $W_2 = 2.0$ mm; $W_3 = 1.0$ mm; $W_4 = 2.0$ mm; $W_5 = 3.0$ mm; $W_6 = 9.0$ mm; $W_7 = 17.0$ mm; $W_8 = 1.0$ mm; $W_9 = 8.5$ mm; $W_{10} = 2.0$ mm; $W_{11} = 19.0$ mm; and $W_{12} = 30.0$ mm."

Figure 5: Simulated return loss of the proposed antenna with different values of two symmetric $L$ strips ($L_4$). (Other parameters: $L_1 = 40.0$ mm; $L_2 = 1.8$ mm; $L_3 = 17.2$ mm; $L_4 = 12.5$ mm; $L_5 = 3.0$ mm; $L_7 = 16.0$ mm; $W_1 = 27.0$ mm; $W_2 = 2.0$ mm; $W_3 = 1.0$ mm; $W_4 = 2.0$ mm; $W_5 = 3.0$ mm; $W_6 = 9.0$ mm; $W_7 = 17.0$ mm; $W_8 = 1.0$ mm; $W_9 = 8.5$ mm; $W_{10} = 2.0$ mm; $W_{11} = 19.0$ mm; and $W_{12} = 30.0$ mm.)

Figure 6: Simulated return loss of the proposed antenna with different values of two folded arms ($L_6$). (Other parameters: $L_1 = 40.0$ mm; $L_2 = 1.8$ mm; $L_3 = 17.2$ mm; $L_4 = 12.5$ mm; $L_5 = 3.0$ mm; $L_7 = 16.0$ mm; $W_1 = 27.0$ mm; $W_2 = 2.0$ mm; $W_3 = 1.0$ mm; $W_4 = 2.0$ mm; $W_5 = 3.0$ mm; $W_6 = 9.0$ mm; $W_7 = 17.0$ mm; $W_8 = 1.0$ mm; $W_9 = 8.5$ mm; $W_{10} = 2.0$ mm; $W_{11} = 19.0$ mm; and $W_{12} = 30.0$ mm.)

Figure 7: Prototype of the proposed dual-band antenna: (a) front view and (b) back view.
and characteristics of the return loss slightly changed when \( L_4 \) changed from 11.5 to 13.5 mm in the 3.5 and 5 GHz bands. It can also be seen in the figure, however, that the impedance bandwidth and characteristics of the return loss did not change in the 2 GHz bands. This implied that the two symmetric \( L \) strips are largely affected by the characteristics of the return loss in 3.5 and 5 GHz bands. To design a good triple-band WLAN/WiMAX operation, \( L_4 \) was set at 12.5 mm.

2.5. Effect of Two Symmetric Folded Arms (\( L_6 \)). Figure 6 illustrates the return loss for the different values of the \( L \) strips (\( L_6 \)). It can be seen in the figure that the impedance bandwidth and characteristics of the return loss slightly changed when \( L_6 \) changed from 8.0 to 10.0 mm in the required frequency bands. This implied that the two symmetric \( L \) strips are highly affected by the characteristics of the return loss in the required frequency bands. To design a good triple-band WLAN/WiMAX operation, \( L_6 \) was set at 9.0 mm.

The proposed antenna structure has several design parameters that can handle the resistance and reactance of the antenna input impedance. Therefore, the dimensions of the proposed antenna were set as follows: \( L_1 = 40.0 \) mm; \( L_2 = 1.8 \) mm; \( L_3 = 17.2 \) mm; \( L_4 = 12.5 \) mm; \( L_5 = 3.0 \) mm; \( L_6 = 9.0 \) mm; \( L_7 = 16.0 \) mm; \( W_1 = 27.0 \) mm; \( W_2 = 2.0 \) mm; \( W_3 = 1.0 \) mm; \( W_4 = 2.0 \) mm; \( W_5 = 3.0 \) mm; \( W_6 = 9.0 \) mm; \( W_7 = 17.0 \) mm; \( W_8 = 1.0 \) mm; \( W_9 = 8.5 \) mm; \( W_{10} = 2.0 \) mm; \( W_{11} = 19.0 \) mm; \( W_{12} = 30.0 \) mm; and \( h = 1.0 \) mm. Based on the design dimensions, the proposed compact antenna was constructed and studied. A prototype of the proposed antenna was fabricated with the aforementioned design parameters and is shown in Figures 7(a) and 7(b).

Figure 9: Surface current distributions at (a) 2.48 GHz, (b) 3.55 GHz, and (c) 5.06 GHz.
Figure 10: Continued.
3. Measurement

Based on the detailed dimensions given in the previous section, a prototype for the proposed triple-band antenna was fabricated and measured. The measured results were performed by using an Anritsu MS4644A vector network analyzer in Silla University. The far-field radiation patterns and gains were measured by using a far-field anechoic absorber obtained from Foxconn Corporation in Republic of Korea. Figure 8 describes the simulated and experimental return loss against the frequency for the proposed antenna, where generally acceptable agreements between them have been achieved. Because the simulation used a waveguide port and an SMA connector was used for measurement, there is a difference between the measured and the simulated results. To confirm the accurate return loss characteristics for the designed antenna, it is recommended that the manufacturing and the measurement process must be performed more carefully. Based on the measured results, three relative impedance bandwidths, with −10 dB return loss of about 887.5 MHz (36.32%, 2,000–2,887.5 MHz), 775 MHz (21.75%, 3,175–3,950 MHz), and 1,250 MHz (23.47%, 4,700–5,950 MHz), respectively, can satisfy the typical bandwidth requirement for the 2.4–2.484 and 5.15–5.825 GHz WLAN bands, as well as 2.5–2.69, 3.4–3.7, and 5.25–5.85 GHz WiMAX bands, respectively.

Theoretically, HFSS was used to evaluate and verify the three resonant frequencies 2.48, 3.55, and 5.06 GHz, which mainly depended on the lengths of the different current paths along the folded arms and L strips. Figures 9(a), 9(b), and 9(c) show the surface current density excitations along the folded arms and L strips in the cases of the three resonant frequencies, 2.48, 3.55, and 5.06 GHz, respectively. As shown in Figure 9(a), the lowest band surface current density excitations along the folded arms were observed when the resonant frequency was 2.48 GHz. As observed in the middle-band excitation in Figure 9(b), a larger surface current density flowing along the symmetric L strips was observed when the resonant frequency was 3.55 GHz. As shown in Figure 9(c), however, larger surface current densities flowing not only along the folded arms but also along the L strips were observed when the resonant frequency was 5.06 GHz. Thus, it is implied that the 5 GHz band excitation is contributed by the radiations of the folded arms and the L strips. Likewise, based on the current density of the proposed antenna, L₂, the gap length between the rectangular ring, open-ended antenna and the ground plane, and W₃, the gap width between the rectangular ring, open-ended antenna and the L strip, strongly affect antenna performance in all required frequency bands. The required frequency response characteristics can be obtained by optimizing the values of L₂ and W₃.

Figure 10 shows the measured 2D far-field radiation patterns in the E-plane (x-z plane) and H plane (y-z plane). Figures 10(a), 10(b), 10(c), and 10(d) show the 2D radiation patterns at 2.5, 3.5, 5.3, and 5.7 GHz, respectively. Good agreement is obtained between the simulated and measured results. Based on these radiation patterns, the proposed antenna displays nearly omnidirectional radiation characteristics in the H-plane and monopole-like radiation pattern characteristics in the E-plane at the considered frequencies.
Figures 11(a), 11(b), and 11(c) show the 3D measured antenna peak and average gain for the frequencies across the 2.4/2.5, 3.5, and 5 GHz bands. The 2.4/2.5 GHz band had an antenna peak gain level of about 2.17–3.44 dBi (Figure 11(a)), and the 3.5 GHz band about 3.75–4.93 dBi (Figure 11(b)). The measured antenna gain levels were about 3.57–3.74 dBi in the 5.3 GHz band and about 2.82–3.84 dBi in the 5.8 GHz band (Figure 11(c)). The 2.4/2.5 GHz band had an antenna average gain level of about −2.79–−1.53 dBi (Figure 11(a)) and the 3.5 GHz band about −2.97–−1.94 dBi (Figure 11(b)). The measured antenna gain levels were about −1.12–−0.53 dBi in the 5.2 GHz band, and about −1.91–−1.08 dBi in the 5.8 GHz bands (Figure 11(c)). The 3D antenna gain had a peak value of 2.99 dBi at 2.5 GHz. At 3.5 GHz, the 3D maximum peak gain was 4.93 dBi; at 5.3 GHz, 3.63 dBi; and at 5.8 GHz, 3.07 dBi.

4. Conclusion

A rectangular ring, open-ended planar monopole antenna with two symmetric folded arms and $L$ strips for WLAN/WiMAX applications was proposed. By employing these structures, the proposed antenna can yield three different resonances to cover the desired bands while maintaining a simple structure. The results of the parametric studies on the proposed antenna were discussed. In particular, two folded arms and two symmetric $L$ strips
depend heavily on the characteristics of the proposed antenna in the 2.4/2.5 GHz band and 5 GHz bands, respectively. Furthermore, the studies on surface current distributions of the operating frequencies were discussed. The measured results show that the obtained impedance bandwidths are 36.32% (2.0–2.89 GHz), 21.75% (3.18–3.95 GHz), and about 23.47% (4.7–5.95 GHz), respectively, which are suitable for WLAN and WiMAX applications. In addition, the proposed antenna has good radiation characteristics and gains in the three operating bands, so it can emerge as an excellent candidate for multiband applications in wireless communication.
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