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1. Introduction

The RFID sensor network (RSN) is an important wireless networking technology which belongs to Wireless Personal Area Network (WPAN). It forms a new research area, which solicited the interest of both the industry and the research community. This new technology is the result of the integration of the radio frequency identification (RFID) technology [1] and wireless sensor network (WSN) technology [2]. The integration of these two technologies can extend the range of applications and offer a benefit to existing applications [3–7]. Several implementations of this integration framework have been successfully performed and described in [8, 9].

The RSN architectures are possible architectures of the integrated RFID and WSN; according to research works [3–7], there are the four types of integrations class:

(i) Integration of RFID tags with sensors.

(ii) Integration of RFID tags with sensors WSN nodes.

(iii) Integration of RFID readers with WSN sensor nodes.

(iv) Mix of RFID and WSN.

In RFID, WSN, and RSN applications, the antenna is a fundamental and an essential element in the wireless communication between devices. There are many types of antennas operating at UHF frequencies located below the GHz, used in tags with or without sensor integrated, sensor nodes, and tags with sensor nodes integrated, such as the planar antennas [10, 11] and the three-dimensional (3D) antennas [12–15]. Regarding the planar antennas, they will always determine the size of the devices in contrary to 3D antennas, which allow the electronics sensor to be housed in its interior and occupy the majority of the overall volume of the devices, so these 3D antennas give us a reduced size of devices compared to planar antennas.

In the RFID system, an unread tag can cause a significant economic loss or threat to security [16]. In the literature, this nonreading problem can be solved by two ways:

(i) The use of multiple reader antennas [17]: but the reader antenna diversity is not always possible or sufficient.

(ii) The use of tags with two dipoles orthogonally placed [18]: but it requires a special chip circuit to provide a connection with two independent RF inputs.
Regarding the WSN and RSN systems, we find the problem of intermittent communication between network nodes due to the use of dipole or monopole antennas, because the radiation pattern of the two antennas has zeros along its wire axis. In the zero direction, the information does not attain the node and the retransmission of information may cause a significant loss of energy, which leads to a reduction of the node lifetime.

So, the optimal solution of these problems is to use an antenna that produces a quasi-isotropic radiation pattern; that is, a radiation covers all directions of space (4π).

In previous works, the authors in [12] have designed an antenna for a spherical surface with a near-isotropic radiation pattern. The antenna is designed for wireless, UHF RFID sensors. It is electrically small (ka = 0.49 at the frequency of 915 MHz) with a diameter of 52 mm (radius = 26 mm) and operates in the UHF RFID frequency band. The antenna consists of two spherical-cap dipoles perpendicular between them and of spherical ABS plastic shell that was assumed to have a relative permittivity of 2.8 and a loss tangent of 0.01. According to the simulations, the antenna resonates at the frequency of 930 MHz with a minimum and maximum gain of 1.81 dBi and −8.07 dBi, respectively. In [13], the authors propose an electrically small spherical UHF RFID tag antenna with quasi-isotropic radiation patterns for potential applications in wireless sensor networks. The dipole antenna of 216 mm total length is packed onto a sphere’s surface (Styrofoam), where the diameter of the sphere is 25 mm and the electrical size is ka of 0.24. The antenna is designed at 911.25 MHz for a Korean UHF RFID band. A short stub is used to match with a micro RFID chip impedance. According to the simulations, the minimum return loss is −15.9 dB at 912 MHz, and the radiation pattern is quasi-isotropic with a maximum gain of 0.75 dBi.

Our goal in this work will focus on the contribution of the development of RFID, WSN, and RSN technologies based on several UHF 3D spherical antenna designs in order to obtain an electrically small 3D spherical antenna with a quasi-isotropic radiation and an opening angle equal to 360° used for tags with or without integrated sensors, sensor nodes, and tags with integrated sensor nodes. These latest devices can communicate only at the frequencies that belong to the allocated bands to industrial, scientific, and medical applications; these bands are called ISM bands. So, we have chosen the 915 MHz frequency as the operating one of the antenna which belongs to the ISM band [902–928 MHz].

Note that the design and the simulation were performed using the 3D electromagnetic simulator, HFSS (High Frequency Structure Simulator) which is based on the finite element method (FEM). The use of this simulator has helped us study the geometrical parameters of the antenna to determine the effect of each parameter and to determine an optimal value for each. The simulation results are compared by the CST Microwave Studio simulator (Computer Systems Technology) which is based on the finite integration technique (FIT). A slight difference between the results obtained by the two simulators is due to the difference between the numerical method of each simulator with the simulation step and the mesh used during the simulation.

### 2. Antennas Design

In this section, we will design several antennas in order to find a very small antenna that operates approximately at 915 MHz and produces quasi-isotropic radiation with an opening angle of 360° in all directions of space. The starting point for these designs is a half-wave planar dipole antenna of total length D = 163.9 mm which corresponds to λ/2 in air at 915 MHz as presented in Figure 1.

We have chosen this antenna because it has omnidirectional radiation pattern with zeros along its axis wire even though its electrical size ka is greater than 0.5, where k is the wave number in free space 2π/λ and a is the radius of an imaginary sphere circumscribing the maximum dimensions of the antenna [19]. Then, it must cancel all zeros and reduce ka and that is the goal of the first design. So, we must cancel all zeros and reduce ka and that is the goal of the first design.

#### 2.1. 3D Spherical Antenna Based on a Planar Dipole

In this design, we will wrap the dipole around the surface of a sphere as illustrated in Figure 2 so that the dipole takes the spherical form which gives us a reduction of ka and so that the current circulates in the same direction of the two arms of the dipole in a circular manner which gives us a quasi-isotropic radiation.

The used sphere is a Styrofoam sphere of dielectric constant close to the air (εr = 1.06) with a radius r = 26.34 mm which depends on D. We left a distance d = 1.5 mm so that we do not have an intersection between the arms.

The return loss S11 simulated by HFSS and CST of this antenna with the output impedance of the generator Zg = 50 Ω is shown in Figure 3. We observe that the antenna has a greater S11 than −10 dB. Then, this winding of the dipole causes a mismatch of impedances. Thus, in order to adapt them, we used the T-match method as shown in Figure 4. The dimensions of this configuration are illustrated in Table 1.

![Figure 1: Structure of the half-wave dipole.](image)

**Table 1: Dimensions of the T-match (Figure 4).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values (mm)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 2: Structure of the spherical 3D antenna ($r = 26.34$ mm): (a) front view and (b) back view.

Figure 3: Return loss $S_{11}$ of the 3D spherical antenna (Figure 2).

Figure 4: Structure of the 3D spherical antenna (Figure 2) with T-match.

Figure 5: Return loss $S_{11}$ of the 3D spherical antenna (Figure 4).

The new $S_{11}$ of the antenna that is illustrated in Figure 5 has a minimum lower to $-10$ dB at a less than 915 MHz frequency. So, we will decrease the length $D$ (Figure 6) to increase the operating frequency at 915 MHz.
Table 2: Dimensions of the 3D spherical antenna (Figure 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( D )</th>
<th>( L_1 )</th>
<th>( L_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values (mm)</td>
<td>26.34</td>
<td>156.64</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>30.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 illustrates the new dimensions of the 3D spherical antenna, which operates approximately in 915 MHz and has a S11 inferior to \(-10\) dB as presented in Figure 7.

An antenna is electrically small when \( ka \) is less than 0.5. The electric size \( ka \) of this antenna is 0.5048 so we will decrease the radius \( r \) (in this case \( a = r \)) with the conservation of \( D \) until the separation distance \( d = 1.5 \) mm. The simulated S11 of the antenna is shown in Figure 8 and the dimensions of the antenna are mentioned in Table 3.

From the results, the antenna resonates at a frequency lower than the desired frequency (915 MHz) as before. So when we fix \( r \) and decrease \( D \), the frequency increases, and if we do the opposite, the frequency decreases. So, we will at same time decrease \( D \) and \( r \) with always \( d = 1.5 \) mm until we find the limits of \( D \) and \( r \) so that the antenna operates approximately at 915 MHz. The final dimensions of the antenna and the results of S11 are mentioned in Table 4 and in Figure 9, respectively.

From the results of simulation of Figure 8, we found that,

(i) through HFSS, the S11 depending on the frequency reaches the level of \(-21\) dB at a resonant frequency equal to 914.4 MHz,

(ii) through CST, the S11 depending on the frequency reaches the level of \(-33.48\) dB at a resonant frequency equal to 914.7 MHz.

As these results shown, the antenna resonates approximately at 915 MHz, with a lower S11 than \(-10\) dB.

Regarding the radiation pattern, Figure 10 shows the radiation patterns of the electric field total radiated (\( E_{\text{total}} \)) in dB of the antenna at 915 MHz in the three planes \( xy (\phi = 0^\circ) \), \( yz (\phi = 90^\circ) \), and \( xy (\theta = 90^\circ) \). According to these diagrams,
Table 4: Final dimensions of the 3D spherical antenna (Figure 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values (mm)</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>148.94</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>30.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The antenna gain is also simulated, the maximum gain is 1.52 dBi, and the minimal is $-0.68$ dBi. Therefore, the gain is reduced to 2.2 dBi which is less than 3 dBi, which means that we have an opening angle of the antenna equal to $360^\circ$ in all directions of space.

We can compare the antenna of this work with the antenna of the work presented in [12]. Table 5 summarizes simulation results obtained by HFSS of the two antennas.

From Table 5, the size of our antenna is small compared to the size of the antenna [12] which gives us a reduction in the electrical size of 8.03%. The resonant frequency of this antenna is very close to the desired frequency relative to [12]. The difference between the maximum gain and the minimum gain of this antenna is less than 3 dBi; on the
contrary, the difference of the gain of the antenna [12] is greater, which implies that our antenna has an opening angle of 360° independently of the direction of the space. Our results are relatively optimal than those found in the work [12].

If we continue the decrease of $D$ and $r$, we will find that the resonance frequency is greater than the desired frequency, so, in the next section, we will fold the arms of dipole to minimize the size of the antenna.

2.2. 3D Spherical Antenna Based on a Folded Arms Dipole. In this design, we have reduced the electrical size of the antenna above by folding the arms in the opposite directions (Figure 13(a)) so that the two arms of the dipole are wound in the same direction of current (Figure 13(b)).

We will decrease the radius $r$ from 22 mm to 14 mm with a step of 2 mm and the separation distance is always $d = 1.5$ mm. This reduction implies the reduction in $D$ and the increase in $D_1$ with fixed $d$ so that the antenna operates at 915 MHz as shown in Figure 14. Table 6 lists the dimensions of each antenna ($r = 22$, $r = 20$, $r = 18$, $r = 16$, and $r = 14$ mm) with the simulation results of the $S_{11}$ of each antenna simulated by HFSS (Figure 15).

According to the results, all the antennas resonate at a frequency that is close to the desired frequency 915 MHz with different bandwidth which varies proportionally to the size of each antenna.

The radiation patterns of $E_{\text{total}}$ radiated (dB) of each antenna at 915 MHz in the three planes $xz$ ($\phi = 0^\circ$), $yz$ ($\phi = 90^\circ$), and $xy$ ($\theta = 90^\circ$) are shown in Figure 16.

According to Figure 16, the variation of $E_{\text{total}}$ depending on $r$ does not achieve the zero in the $xz$ and $xy$ planes, but in the $yz$ plane, we see that the variation tends toward zero when

---

**Table 5: Comparison between this work and the work [12].**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>[12]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r$ (mm)</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ka$</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resonant frequency desired (MHz)</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resonance frequency obtained (MHz)</td>
<td>914.4</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain$_{\text{Max}}$ (dB)</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain$_{\text{Min}}$ (dB)</td>
<td>$-0.68$</td>
<td>$-8.07$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain$<em>{\text{Max}}$ - Gain$</em>{\text{Min}}$ (dB)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening angle</td>
<td>360°</td>
<td>$&lt;360^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

![Figure 11](image1.png)

**Figure 11:** Radiation patterns ($E_{\text{total}}$) in 3D of the 3D spherical antenna (Table 4): (a) simulated by CST and (b) simulated by HFSS.

![Figure 12](image2.png)

**Figure 12:** Current directions along the two arms of the 3D spherical antenna (Table 4).
Figure 13: (a) Structure of the 3D spherical antenna with folded arms and (b) current direction along the folded arms.

Figure 14: Structure of 3D spherical antennas of different radius: (a) $r = 22$ mm, (b) $r = 20$ mm, (c) $r = 18$ mm, (d) $r = 16$ mm, and (e) $r = 14$ mm.
the \( r \) decreases; this makes the radiation antenna no quasi-isotropic. To explain this, Figure 17 presents the radiation pattern in the whole space of two antennas (\( r = 22 \) mm and \( r = 14 \) mm).

From the results, we observe that the strong increase in \( D_1 \) influences the radiation of the antenna. To cancel this influence, we will fold the length of the dipole \( D_1 \) which leads to a meander line dipole.

### 2.3. 3D Spherical Antenna Based on a Meander Line Dipole.

In this step, we have kept the radius of the previous antenna (\( r = 14 \) mm) and we have folded the two lengths \( D_1 \) of the dipole as shown in Figure 18, so that the two arms of the dipole are wound in the same direction of current in order to find a quasi-isotropic radiation. Moreover, the dimensions of this antenna are listed in Table 7.

The \( S_{11} \) of the antenna has a minimum value \(< -10 \) dB at a frequency very close to 915 MHz as present Figure 19.

The variation of the \( E_{\text{total}} \) does not attain the zero in the three planes and in all spaces as presented in Figures 20 and 21.

### Table 6: Dimensions of each antenna (Figure 14) with the results of the simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( r ) (mm)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D ) (mm)</td>
<td>124.1</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>98.92</td>
<td>86.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_1 ) (mm)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td>35.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_1 ) (mm)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| \( L_2 \) (mm) | 10 | 9.8 | 10 | \-
| \( S_{11} \) (dB) | -21.91 | -22.6 | -38.34 | -21.63 |
| \( f_r \) (MHz) | 914.9 | 915.7 | 915.4 | 914.9 |

### Table 7: Dimensions of the 3D spherical antenna (Figure 18).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( D )</th>
<th>( D_1 )</th>
<th>( D_2 )</th>
<th>( L_1 )</th>
<th>( L_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values (mm)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>81.74</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.09</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between the maximum and the minimum fields is 4.061 dB which is greater than 3 dB, which results in an antenna that has an aperture angle which is inferior to 360°. We will render the opening angle equal to 360° in all directions of space by the reduction in \( D \), the increase in \( D_1 \) and \( D_3 \), and the conservation of \( D_2 \). Table 8 shows the dimensions of each antenna with the simulation results of Figure 22 which shows the \( S_{11} \) simulated by HFSS and Figure 23 which shows the variation of \( E_{\text{total}} \) radiated in dB according to \( \phi \) and \( \theta \) in the \( xz \), \( xy \), and \( yz \) planes.

From the results of Table 8, antenna 4 produces a quasi-isotropic radiation with a difference between the maximum and minimum field equal to 2.856 dB which leads to an opening angle in each side equal to 360°. Regarding the \( S_{11} \), it has a minimum value of –23 dB at 915 MHz. To achieve these results, the total length of the dipole has been increased from 135.58 mm (Table 7) to 169.56 mm (Table 8, antenna 4). So you must decrease this length in order to reduce the cost. Further, we have made several decreases and we found in the end that this length can be reduced by increase in \( D_2 \) and decrease...
in $D$, $D1$, and $D3$. Table 9 shows the dimensions of the four antennas with the simulation results of Figures 24 and 25.

We succeeded to reduce the total length of the dipole from 169.56 mm to 157.26 mm with the conservation of an opening angle equal to $360^\circ$ in all the directions of space. The dipole total lengths of antenna 4 and antenna 3 are nearly equal which implies that we have achieved the limit of the reduction.

The electric size $ka$ of the antenna is 0.2683. It exists in the literature that the antennas have lower $ka$. Therefore, we
Figure 17: Radiation patterns ($E_{total}$) in 3D of the 3D spherical antenna (Table 6): (a) $r = 22\text{ mm}$ and (b) $r = 14\text{ mm}$.

Figure 18: (a) Structure of the 3D spherical antenna with meander line and (b) current direction along the meander line dipole.

Figure 19: Return loss $S_{11}$ of 3D spherical antenna (Table 7).
will decrease $ka$ (decrease of the radius $r$) based on previous parametric studies in order to obtain the 3D spherical antenna that has a small total length of dipole, operates at 915 MHz, and generates a quasi-isotropic radiation with an opening angle equal to $360^\circ$ in each side. Table 10 shows the dimensions of the miniature antenna.

From the simulation results of Figure 26 which represents the $S_{11}$ of this antenna, we have found that,

(i) through HFSS, the $S_{11}$ depending on the frequency reaches the level of $-22.53$ dB at a resonant frequency equal to 915 MHz,

(ii) through CST, the $S_{11}$ depending on the frequency reaches the level of $-31.5$ dB at a resonant frequency equal to 915.2 MHz.

From the results, the antenna resonates at a frequency near to 915 MHz with a level of $S_{11}$ inferior to $-10$ dB.

Regarding the antenna radiation parameters, Figure 27 shows the radiation patterns of the $E_{\text{total}}$ radiated (dB) in the three planes $xz$, $yz$, and $xy$. The variation of the field does not attain the zero in the three planes and in all space (Figure 28).
Table 9: Dimensions of each 3D spherical antenna (Figure 18) with the simulation results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r$ (mm)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$ (mm)</td>
<td>77.96</td>
<td>77.36</td>
<td>76.36</td>
<td>75.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_1$ (mm)</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>10.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_2$ (mm)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_3$ (mm)</td>
<td>29.02</td>
<td>27.88</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>27.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length (mm)</td>
<td>163.75</td>
<td>160.52</td>
<td>157.76</td>
<td>157.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_1$ (mm)</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_2$ (mm)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{11}$ (dB)</td>
<td>−28.09</td>
<td>−28.39</td>
<td>−26.44</td>
<td>−21.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_r$ (MHz)</td>
<td>915.3</td>
<td>914.9</td>
<td>915.1</td>
<td>915.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{max}} - E_{\text{min}}$ (dB)</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.815</td>
<td>2.816</td>
<td>2.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening angle (°)</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: Dimensions of the 3D spherical antenna (Figure 17).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$D$</th>
<th>$D_1$</th>
<th>$D_2$</th>
<th>$D_3$</th>
<th>$L_1$</th>
<th>$L_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values (mm)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>30.48</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Dimensions of the miniaturized 3D spherical antenna (Figure 18).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$D$</th>
<th>$D_1$</th>
<th>$D_2$</th>
<th>$D_3$</th>
<th>$L_1$</th>
<th>$L_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values (mm)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>54.16</td>
<td>13.14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between the maximum and minimum field is 2.845 dB, which leads to an antenna producing a quasi-isotropic radiation with an opening angle equal to 360° in all directions.

The electric size $ka$ of this antenna is 0.23, and after [13], their antenna has a $ka$ equal to 0.24, which is close to ours. Therefore, we will further reduce the radius $r$ to obtain a much miniaturized antenna size. Table 11 shows the dimensions of the miniaturized 3D spherical antenna.

The results obtained by HFSS (Figure 29), the $S_{11}$ reaches the level of $-24.59$ dB at a resonant frequency equal to 915.1 MHz, where $Z_a = 52.86 + j4.52 \Omega$ at the same frequency. A minimum value $S_{11}$ obtained by CST (Figure 29) is $-20.91$ dB at the 915.4 MHz, where $Z_a = 42.24 + j2.97 \Omega$ at the same frequency.

The radiation parameters of this antenna are simulated at the frequency 915 MHz. Figure 30 shows the radiation pattern of $E_{\text{total}}$ (dB) radiated in $xz$, $xy$, and $yz$ planes. The $E_{\text{total}}$ variation does not reach the zero in three planes and in all space as Figure 31 illustrates.

The difference between the maximum and minimum field is 2.72 dB. So, we have an antenna that produces a quasi-isotropic radiation with an opening angle equal to 360° in each side. The gain and the directivity of the antenna are also simulated; the maximum gain and directivity are, respectively, 1.264 dBi and 1.287 dBi which results in an efficiency of 98.21%.

The radius of the miniaturized 3D spherical antenna is equal to 10 mm which implies a dimension depending on the wavelength equal to $\lambda/32.78$. The antenna is classified as very small dimension referring to its electrical size $ka = 0.1916$ which is very less than 0.5.
Figure 23: The $E_{\text{total}}$ field of each 3D spherical antenna (Table 8) in the plans: $xz$, $yz$, and $xy$.

Figure 24: Return loss $S_{11}$ of each 3D spherical antenna (Table 9).
Figure 25: Radiation patterns ($E_{\text{total}}$) in 2D of each 3D spherical antenna (Table 9) in the plans: $xz$, $yz$, and $xy$.

Figure 26: Return loss $S_{11}$ of 3D spherical antenna (Table 10).
Regarding the polarization, Figure 32 shows the axial ratio (AR) of this antenna. The antenna polarization is circular in four areas where AR is equal to 1. When going from these four areas to the areas where RA is between 1 and 0, the polarization becomes elliptical and afterwards linear in areas where RA is equal to 0. So, we can say that the state of polarization of this antenna is heterogeneous.

To compare properly this work with the work of [13], Table 12 summarizes the antenna size with the simulation results that are obtained by HFSS.

From Table 12, the size of our antenna is smaller than the size of [13] which gives us a reduction in the electric size of 20.16% and a reduction of the volume of 48.8%. The resonant frequency of our antenna is very close to the desired frequency that is relative to [13]. The $S_{11}$ levels depend on adaptation. The gain of the antenna of this work is higher than the gain of the antenna with 360° of an opening angle in the whole space [13].

These results are relatively optimal than those found in [13]. In addition, this antenna has advantages compared to...
the antenna of [13] such as the reduced weight because we have used a Styrofoam sphere with 20 mm in diameter and 150.44 mm in total length of dipole. Similarly, the authors of [13] used the same sphere but its diameter is equal to 25 mm and the total length of dipole equal to 216 mm which also implies minimal manufacturing cost. We also have the advantage to obtain the compact size devices for RFID, WSN, and RSN applications because the size is very small and the shape of the antenna allows for placing the circuitry and sensors to its interior.

We can configure the antenna for many input impedance values $Z_a$ [20] as well as for operating it at other frequencies by adjusting the geometric parameters.

### 3. Conclusion

The main goal of this work is to design an electrically small antenna on condition that it operates at a frequency of about 915 MHz and produces a quasi-isotropic radiation with an opening angle equal to 360° in all directions of space. For
this reason, we have first designed a spherical 3D antenna based on a linear dipole and the very small electrical size which can be found is \( k\alpha = 0.458 \). Thus, we have decreased \( k\alpha \) by folding the arms of the dipole. However, we have found the radiation of the antenna that becomes no quasi-isotropic only after a certain time during the decrease \( k\alpha \). In this case, we have folded the arms again as a meander line and we have obtained after the studies of geometric parameters a miniaturized 3D spherical antenna of electric size \( k\alpha = 0.1916 \). From the simulation, we obtained a return loss \( S_{11} \) of \(-24.59 \text{ dB} \) at 915.1 MHz, a quasi-isotropic radiation pattern with an opening angle equal to 360° in all directions of space, a maximum gain of 1.264 dBi, and an efficiency of 98.21%. This antenna has a very important role in RFID technology in the elimination the problem of unread tags and in WSN and RSN technologies by the elimination of intermittent communication between network nodes. In addition, this antenna has a shape that allows placing the sensor electronics in its interior which gives us compact devices.
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