A Model to Determine the Propagation Losses Based on the Integration of Hata-Okumura and Wavelet Neural Models
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Radioelectric spectrum occupancy forecast has proven useful for the design of wireless systems able to harness spectrum opportunities like cognitive radio. This paper proposes the development of a model that identifies propagation losses and spectrum opportunities in a channel of a mobile cellular network for an urban environment using received signal power forecast. The proposed model integrates the Hata-Okumura (H-O) large-scale propagation model with a wavelet neural model. The model results, obtained through simulations, show that the wavelet neural model forecasts with a high degree of precision, which is consistent with the observed behavior in experiments carried out in wireless systems of this type.

1. Introduction

Radioelectric spectrum is regarded as a scarce asset. Nowadays, the use of a great percentage of licensed bands is low; and it has become normal to find frequency bands congested while others remain underutilized. In that context, cognitive radio (CR) has become a topic of increasing interest as a new paradigm seeking to optimize the use of radioelectric spectrum [1, 2]. A CR is an intelligent radio aware of the context, able to autonomously reconfigure itself to learn and adapt to radio environment [3]. Research on CR has been boosted by the interesting results obtained from the measuring campaigns made around the world [4–14], which show the underutilization of radioelectric spectrum in domains of frequency, time, and geographic distribution [5, 7–9, 11, 14].

One of the essentials from CR is that unlicensed users do not interfere with the activities of licensed users. A way to tackle this is by enabling unlicensed users to detect spectrum occupancy in different locations as a function of the environment considered and the propagation conditions, which provides an invaluable tool to the design, dimensioning, and evaluation of the performance in CR networks [15].

Propagation models were formulated in the late 1960s, with the intent of precisely estimate propagation losses in an environment. Initially, empirical and statistical propagation models were designed for urban areas [16, 17]. Later, in the early 1980s with the rise of mobile communications, propagation models were employed in micro- and macrocells scenarios [18–20], from which numerous efforts to understand and forecast the features of the channels in mobile communications have emerged [21].

Time series have been employed to propagation losses forecast. For example, neural networks have been used to forecast field strength [22], as well as average propagation losses [23, 24]. Another used method is fuzzy logic [25]. Also, the Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (SARIMA) and Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH) are used for forecasting received power in wireless channels [26]. In this paper we develop a forecast of the received power in order to identify propagation losses and spectrum opportunities within a licensed mobile network integrating the H-O propagation model and the neuronal wavelet model in an urban environment.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 the model is put forth. Section 3 presents and discusses the results of the received power and the duty cycle for the model studied. Section 4 shows the conclusions of the research.
2. Proposed Model

First the wavelet neural model was designed. Then, the H-O propagation model was adjusted using the measurements obtained from the urban environment. Finally, the proposed methodology to forecast spectrum opportunities is presented.

2.1. Wavelet Neural Model. For cognitive systems in [27] a backpropagation neural network is used to predict the state of the spectrum; also in [28, 29] a genetic algorithm was used to optimize the neural network. In [30] a neural network was utilized to forecast the power of television and GSM-900 bands. In [31], the spectrum is modeled and forecasted using the Daubechies wavelets. All the prior examples and those presented in [32, 33] portray the promising features of neural networks and wavelets to forecast the received power in wireless channels on models such as Markov and empirical mode decomposition-support vector regression. Therefore, in this paper we propose a theory combining wavelet and neural network [34] to improve forecasts of the received power in a channel of the technology Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM).

The input signal to the model that corresponds to the received power in a GSM channel for the measurements developed in [35] and analyzed in [32] can be decomposed using the Discrete Meyer (dmey) mother wavelet, which demonstrated a lower error when compared with the Daubechies, Coiflets, and Symlets mother wavelets [36]. The obtained results were two levels containing 4 coefficients. These coefficients are sent as an input of the wavelet multilayer neural network of backpropagation shown in Figure 1 and expressed as follows:

\[
f[n] = g \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k} W_{\Phi} [j_0,k] \Phi_{j_0,k} [n] \right]
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k} W_{\Psi} [j,k] \Psi_{j,k} [n],
\]

where \( g \) is the activation function of the neural network, \( f[n] \) is the sample projection in the time domain, \( W_{\Phi} [j_0,k] \) are the approximation coefficients, \( W_{\Psi} [j,k] \) are the detailed coefficients, \( \Phi_{j_0,k} \) is the scale function, and \( \Psi_{j,k} \) is the translation function, which are discrete functions defined within \( [0, M - 1] \), for the total of \( M \) points.

The neural network in this case contains 2 inputs, 2 outputs, and 2 hidden layers. The network was initially trained with nearly five days of continuous measurements; and the number of training patterns was increased until the error decreased and turned relatively constant. This was achieved for 1000 training patterns. The output of the neural network was reconstructed using a wavelet analysis to obtain the forecast power, which had a training time that was satisfied with one day to achieve an acceptable error as indicated in [32].

2.2. Hata-Okumura Model. Figure 2 presents the environment of the Base Station (BS) used to carry out measurements with the spectrum analyzer in the north of Bogotá, Colombia. The six measurement spots correspond to the covering sites of the cell, located at different distances from BS, in order to evaluate and adjust the H-O propagation model [37]. The period of the measurements was of one hour approximately. The environment is flat and consists mainly of an important concentration of buildings; also, green zones and trees are present, as it can be seen in the measure spot D.

In Table 1 the parameters of the transmitter and receiver are presented, which are employed to evaluate the H-O propagation model. The model is then adjusted using the measured powers in the spots seen in Figure 2 [38].

Through (2) the theoretical average propagation losses (\( \bar{L} \)) are obtained of the H-O model for each measuring spot, as observed in Table 2:

\[
\bar{L} (\text{dB}) = 69.55 + 26.16 \log f_c - 13.82 \log hte - a (h_{re})
+ (44.9 - 6.55 \log hte) \log d,
\]

where \( f_c \) is the carrier frequency in MHz, hte is the height of the transmitter antenna in m, \( h_{re} \) is the height of the receiver antenna in m, \( a(h_{re}) \) is the correction factor for the effective height of the mobile antenna, and \( d \) is the distance between the transmitter and receiver in km [37].

From the results of Table 2 and parameters in Table 1, the theoretical average received power (\( \bar{P}_{RX} \)) [39] is given by

\[
\bar{P}_{RX} = P_{TX} + G_{BS} + G_{An} + G_{LNA} - \bar{L} - L_c - L_{co} - L_{ca}.
\]

Table 1: BS parameters and spectrum analyzer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS transmission power (( P_{TX} ))</td>
<td>26 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS height</td>
<td>26 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS antenna gain (( G_{BS} ))</td>
<td>16.5 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS combiner losses (( L_{co} ))</td>
<td>4 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS cable losses (( L_c ))</td>
<td>4 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyzer antenna gain (( G_{An} ))</td>
<td>3 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyzer cable losses (( L_{An} ))</td>
<td>0.72 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-noise amplifier gain (( G_{LNA} ))</td>
<td>11 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyzer height (( A_H ))</td>
<td>1.5 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSM channel transmission frequency (( f_c ))</td>
<td>828.93 MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Propagation losses of the H-O model for the measurement spots in Figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>( \bar{L} ) (GSM channel) (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F (58 m)</td>
<td>82.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (152 m)</td>
<td>97.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (226 m)</td>
<td>103.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (287 m)</td>
<td>107.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (290 m)</td>
<td>107.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (328 m)</td>
<td>109.093</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
significant difference between the theoretical data and the measured ones.

In order to increase H-O propagation model precision, the least squares method is employed [40] to adjust theoretical results to measured average values. From this, the following equation adjusted for the GSM channel is obtained:

\[ \overline{L} \,(\text{dB}) = 78.2616 + 26.16 \log f_c - 13.82 \log hte - a(h_{re}) + (19.5356 - 6.55 \log hte) \log d. \]  

(4)

Figure 4 presents the received power of the H-O model adjusted by (4) in regard to the average of the measured received power. In Figure 4 an approximation between the measured values and the adjusted model is shown, which has a mean squared error of 1.2688.

2.3. Proposed Methodology. In the following part the methodology to develop the proposed model and the general equation to forecast received power through the wavelet neural model and the H-O propagation model previously adjusted is described. The general procedure to obtain the forecasting model of spectrum opportunities in an unknown environment is shown below.

(1) Measuring. In this step the time-variant(s) channel(s) of the radioelectric spectrum is measured during a day, as described in [35].

(2) Adjusting the Propagation Model. The H-O propagation model is adjusted using, for example, the least squares method according to the average values of the measurements.

(3) Training of the Wavelet Neural Model. The measurements obtained at least within a 24-hour time frame are used to train the wavelet neural model designed.

(4) Integration of Models. Extrapolate the adjusted H-O propagation model to the wavelet neural model, thus integrating average propagation losses with instantaneous losses.

(5) Forecasting Received Power. Along the analyzed urban environment, received power is forecasted during a specified period of time using the compound model in step (4).

Therefore, the model that takes into consideration both instantaneous and average propagation losses can be described as follows:

\[ L = \Delta L + \overline{L}, \]  

(5)
Percentage error (SMAPE), mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), and mean absolute error (MAE) are presented between real and forecasted data for the wavelet neural model. At the general level small errors are shown.

In Figure 9, the performance against the forecast is evaluated, from one to five days of wavelet neural model training. The error in the GSM channel forecast is reduced by a total of 1.75%, to the detriment of 31.24% in the observation time. Therefore, one training day for the wavelet neural model is sufficient to obtain an acceptable error.

Figure 10 shows the functioning of the proposed model. In this example the CR user perceives the power of a primary BS and may move over the cell coverage in the direction of the arrows. The CR user may forecast the power level that will be received from the primary BS at different distances, bearing in mind the environment propagation losses.

The evaluation of the proposed model covers the forecasting of up to one hour of received power, with a maximum distance of 328 m. The duty cycle over the analyzed environment is also presented.

By applying (6), the equation of the model in the proposed environment of Figure 2 is

\[
P_{RX} = g \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k} W_{\phi} [j, k] \Phi_{\omega,k} [n] \right] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k} W_{\psi} [j, k] \psi_{\omega,k} [n] + f [78.2616 + 26.16 \log f_{c} - 13.82 \log hte - a (h_{te}) + (19.5356 - 6.55 \log hte) \log d].
\]

In Figure 11, (7) is graphed.

In Figure 11, the spectrum opportunities that would be perceived and profited by CR users are observable in orange color, although to be more precise they would depend on the selected threshold. These are obtained from the one-hour power forecast based on the historical information of one day. In Figure 11, the power level tends to decrease as the distance increases, according on the found losses.

In the example of Figure 10 the analysis of the proposed model is done by developing the power forecast from the CR user, using a similarity with the spectrum analyzer in which measurements were made. However, such similarity depends on the CR architecture deployed in the environment. Given that the processor and the power consumption are more limited in the device of the CR user, the use of an architecture with infrastructure is recommended, so that the forecast is developed from the CR BS. The CR BS is equipped with a better processor than the CR user and without limitations regarding power consumption.

Nevertheless, a period of time between the data collection in the environment and the processing adds a delay in the response that should not be ignored; but the forecast helps to reduce the negative impact of the delay in the response. In Figure 12 an architecture with infrastructure is shown [3].

For a CR system, the modeling developed in the channel of GSM band may contribute to improving the use of

---

**Figure 4:** Adjusted and received power for H-O model of the GSM channel.

**Table 3:** Error variables for the received power forecasts in the GSM channel, based on the wavelet neural model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>SMAPE</th>
<th>MAPE</th>
<th>MAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GSM channel</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.1005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( \Delta L = f(f[n]) | f[n] = \Delta PRX \) represent the instantaneous propagation losses according to the received power obtained using (1) and \( \bar{L} \) are the average propagation losses obtained from the adjustment of (2) of the H-O model. Thus, combining (1) and (3), the received power is obtained as a function of the H-O model:

\[
P_{RX} = g \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k} W_{\phi} [j, k] \Phi_{\omega,k} [n] \right] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k} W_{\psi} [j, k] \psi_{\omega,k} [n] + f \cdot \Delta L.
\]

In this example the CR user perceives the power of a primary BS and may move over the cell coverage with a maximum distance of 328 m. The duty cycle over the analyzed environment is also presented.

3. Results and Discussion

In this section the results of the proposed model for the GSM channel are presented and discussed. The results were analyzed using Matlab® software in a dual core 2.4 GHz computer with a RAM of 4 GB.

Figure 6 presents the forecasted power values, with respect to those measured for one hour, for the wavelet neural model.

The availability time (it is the time interval that the channel is not used by the primary users and that could be used by CR users) and the occupancy time (it is the time interval that the channel is used by the primary users) of the measured and forecasted channel through the wavelet neural model are presented in Figures 7 and 8. The accuracy average obtained in the forecast of the availability time is 99.8%, and for the occupancy time it is equivalent to 99.9%.

In Table 3, the error criteria (symmetric mean absolute percentage error [SMAPE], mean absolute percentage error [MAPE], and mean absolute error [MAE]) are presented between real and forecasted data for the wavelet neural model. At the general level small errors are shown.

In Figure 9, the performance against the forecast is evaluated, from one to five days of wavelet neural model training. The error in the GSM channel forecast is reduced by a total of 1.75%, to the detriment of 31.24% in the observation time. Therefore, one training day for the wavelet neural model is sufficient to obtain an acceptable error.

Figure 10 shows the functioning of the proposed model. In this example the CR user perceives the power of a primary BS and may move over the cell coverage in the direction of the arrows. The CR user may forecast the power level that will be received from the primary BS at different distances, bearing in mind the environment propagation losses.

The evaluation of the proposed model covers the forecasting of up to one hour of received power, with a maximum distance of 328 m. The duty cycle over the analyzed environment is also presented.

By applying (6), the equation of the model in the proposed environment of Figure 2 is

\[
P_{RX} = g \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k} W_{\phi} [j, k] \Phi_{\omega,k} [n] \right] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k} W_{\psi} [j, k] \psi_{\omega,k} [n] + f \cdot \Delta L.
\]

where \( \Delta L = f(\bar{L}) \). Equation (6) is represented in Figure 5.
3.1. Duty Cycle. The forecast of the duty cycle can be found using the following equation [15, 41]:

\[
\psi = \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k\right) P_{fa} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k Q \left(\frac{Q^{-1}(P_{fa}) \sigma_N - y_k}{\sigma_{S_k}}\right),
\]

(8)

where \(K > 0\) represents the number of transmission power levels that can be present in the channel. In this case, in the measurements took on each spot of Figure 2 there is a single transmission power, \(0 < \alpha_k \leq 1\) is the activity factor of the \(k\)th power level, which can be obtained from the average value of the use of the analyzed GSM channel. \(P_{fa}\) is the target probability of false alarm considered for the selection of the energy decision threshold, which in this case is of 1%. \(y_k = P_{RXk} - P_N\) is the signal to noise ratio resulting from the \(k\)th average transmission power level. \(\sigma_{S_k}\) and \(\sigma_N\) represent the standard deviation in decibels of the \(k\)th signal and noise power levels, respectively. These values were obtained experimentally using the spectrum analyzer and are presented in Table 4. \(Q(\cdot)\) is the Gaussian \(Q\)-function and \(Q^{-1}(\cdot)\) is the inverse of \(Q(\cdot)\).
The received power by the user, which has already been found for the proposed model, whereas $P_N$ represents the CR terminal’s noise floor created from the sum of all the noise sources in the receiver (including thermal noise) and can be expressed as follows:

$$P_N \text{ (dBm)} = -174 \text{ (dBm/Hz)} + 10 \log B \text{ (Hz)} + \text{NF (dB)},$$

where $-174 \text{ dBm/Hz}$ is the thermal noise power spectral density at 290° K, $B$ is the band width of the sensed channel, and NF is the total noise figure of the receiver. The noise figure of the low noise amplifier is 4 dB with a gain of 11 dB, and cable losses are of 0.72 dB. The noise figure of the analyzer is 16 dB for the implemented configuration. Thus, NF can be found by the total noise factor ($F_T$) \cite{42}:

$$F_T = F_{ca} + \frac{F_{LNA} - 1}{G_{ca}} + \frac{F_{An} - 1}{G_{ca}G_{LNA}} = 3.266;$$

where $F_{ca}$ is the noise factor of the cable, $F_{LNA}$ is the noise factor of the low noise amplifier, $F_{An}$ is the noise factor of the spectrum analyzer, $G_{ca}$ is the gain of the cable, and $G_{LNA}$ is the gain of the low noise amplifier. Therefore, NF is 5.14 dB.

The duty cycle resulting from (8) for the proposed model in the sector of the BS cell of the exterior environment is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 13 shows that, as a result of the approach employed in (8), the scenario reveals different occupancy levels and not only busy or idle. For example, the probability of channel occupancy is low or high, but it is not equal to zero or one. In this way, the performed modeling affords a realistic characterization of the spectrum occupancy forecast according to the considered propagation scenario, which constitutes a major aspect in the design and dimensioning of CR systems for real implementation.

Figure 13 warns that the maximum occupancy levels fluctuate about 0.3. These values correspond to locations close to the BS and are graphed with the range of red colors. In general, occupancy values decrease and therefore the spectrum opportunities for CR users increase, as the signal moves away from the BS; such values are represented by the range of blue colors. This is consistent at a practical level and strengthens the proposed model.

4. Conclusions

In this study, a model to forecast the spectrum opportunities was developed. The first step was the adjustment of the H-O propagation model with the measurements taken in an urban environment. Then, given the approximation of the adjustment and the average of the measured data, the integration with a wavelet neural model was performed.

The spectrum opportunities of the proposed model were set through the forecast of the received power in a determined time and the duty cycle within an urban environment. These results show the consistency with the practical behavior of the mobile communication systems.

The proposed methodology presents a novel and practical approach to forecasting the spectrum occupancy that would be perceived by CR users in real settings. The forecast of received power through propagation models is relevant as it allows CR users to access benefits such as saving energy in the spectrum sensing process, taking advantage of spectrum opportunities by increasing the successful transmission rate as well as the transmission opportunities, reducing the time to find available channels, and adjusting the transmission power levels to protect primary users from collisions and interferences.

Another advantage and difference is that whereas most of the forecast schemes are based on determining spectrum holes, the proposed methodology in this paper is based on an a priori knowledge of the received power by a channel.
of primary users, which facilitates the selection of nonnoisy channels and entails a better sharing of the spectrum among CR users. This leads to achieving superior quality of service parameters involving fewer radio resources.
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