In the present work, the usefulness of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide-modified palm oil fiber (CTAB-modified POF) for the removal of indigo carmine (IC) and 2,6-dichlorophenolindophenol (2,6-DCPIP) from aqueous solutions was investigated. Raw, NaOH-treated, and CTAB-modified POF were characterized by Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, elemental analysis, thermogravimetric-hyperdifferential scanning calorimetric (TG-HDSC) analysis, X-ray diffraction (XRD), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The adsorption studies of IC and 2,6-DCPIP were performed in batch mode using CTAB-modified POF. The results showed that equilibrium was attained after a contact time of 30 minutes for IC and 20 minutes for 2,6-DCPIP. The maximum capacity of adsorption was obtained at pH 2. The capacity of adsorption considerably increased with modified biosorbents and with increasing initial concentration of dyes. The ionic strength favors the increasing adsorption capacity of IC and does not affect the adsorption capacity of 2,6-DCPIP. The percentage of adsorption increased with increasing mass of the biosorbents. The nonlinear regression of adsorption isotherms showed that Freundlich \( (r^2 = 0.953; \chi^2 = 4.398) \) and Temkin \( (r^2 = 0.986; \chi^2 = 1.196) \) isotherms are most appropriate to describe the adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP on CTAB-modified POF, respectively. The maximum adsorption capacities determined by the Langmuir isotherm were 275.426 and 230.423 \( \mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \) for IC and 2,6-DCPIP, respectively. The linear regression of adsorption kinetics was best described by the pseudo-second-order model \( (R^2 \geq 0.998) \). The diffusion mechanism showed that external mass transfer is the main rate controlling step. Desorption of the two dyes is favorable in the alkaline medium.

1. Introduction

Most dyes are organic compounds having complex aromatic structures with a variety of functional groups and are extensively used in various industries like textile, paper, plastic, food, printing, cosmetic, and pharmaceutical industries [1–3]. However, some dyes discharged from the effluents of the industries into receiving streams are sources of water pollution because most of the dyes and their degradation products are highly toxic, mutagenic, carcinogenic, and allergenic [4–7]. The dyes in water effluents have a very hazardous impact on the environment, making it unfit for human and aquatic life, thus causing chronic and acute diseases [3–8]. Therefore, several treatment methods have been adopted for removal of dyes from wastewaters in view to prevent continuous environmental pollution.

The traditional methods used for removing dyes from wastewaters include flocculation, electroflotation, chemical precipitation, electrokinetic coagulation, ion exchange, membrane filtration, electrochemical destruction, irradiation, ozonation, and microbial biodegradation [9, 10]. However, application of these methods is restricted because they are ineffective and noneconomical and have many disadvantages such as high reagent and energy
requirements, generation of toxic sludge, or other waste products that require disposal or treatment [6, 11]. The adsorption technique is the most used in industries for advanced wastewater treatment because it is efficient, simple to design, and does not produce any sludge [1, 12, 13]. The commercial activated carbon has been the first adsorbent used in adsorption. Because of high cost of activated carbon and problems of regeneration of adsorbents after adsorption [14], several research studies were conducted to the use of new adsorbents that are lower in cost, locally available, and efficient [3, 12]; they are lignocellulosic materials. Ever since, adsorption of some dyes on many lignocellulosic materials such as rice husk, orange peel, coconut husk, barley husks, peanut hull, eggshell, cocoa shell [13], hazelnut shells [15], olive stone [16], palm tree trunk [3], and wood sawdust [17] have been investigated, and all of these are effective for dyes removal. However, the modification of these lignocellulosic materials also seems necessary to improve their performance. Some earlier works mentioned that the modification of biosorbents with cationic surfactants is an effective technology to enhance the adsorption capacity of anionic dyes [7, 19–22].

The aim of our work is to valorize the palm grove residues for the biosorption of some organic dyes. In our previous work, we investigate about a natural- and acetic acid-treated palm tree trunk for the adsorption of 2,6-DCPIP [3]. The present study aims to evaluate the potentials of palm oil fiber modified with cationic surfactants, such as cetethyltrimethylammonium bromide, in order to enhance the adsorption capacity towards IC and 2,6-DCPIP from aqueous solutions. The effects of some important parameters such as modification of biosorbents, initial dye concentration, pH of solutions, adsorbent dosage, ionic strength, and contact time on the adsorption of both IC and 2,6-DCPIP onto CTAB-modified POF were compared. The adsorption was described by using adsorption isotherms and kinetic models. The possibility of dyes recovering and to reuse the biosorbent was also investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials, Preparations, and Characterizations of Biomass. All chemical reagents used in this experiment were obtained commercially and used without further purification. NaCl and NaOH were purchased from Fisher, IC dye content 85% was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, HCl was purchased from Phillip Harris, AgNO₃ was purchased from Kem Light, HNO₃ and 2,6-DCPIP were purchased from Riedel-de-Häen, and CTAB was purchased from Prolabo.

The palm oil fiber used in this work was kindly provided by a local palm oil producer in the Littoral region of Cameroon. The material was cleaned, cut into small pieces, washed several times with tap water to remove impurities such as sand, dust, and soil particles, and then dried for 8 days in sunlight. The dried biomass was ground into fine powder and sieved to obtain sizes lower than 100 μm for further analyses.

Prior to the modification with CTAB, the raw POF was first submitted to pretreatment with NaOH solution, according to the following procedure: 5 g of raw POF was stirred on a mechanical platform shaker (Edmund Bühler GmbH) in 100 mL of 2 mol·L⁻¹ NaOH solution for 2 h at a speed of 200 rpm. The obtained material was washed several times with distilled water (in order to remove the excess of NaOH until the neutral pH of washed water was measured), air-dried for 2 days, and then placed in an oven at 110°C for 24 h before use.

For the modification with CTAB, 1 g of NaOH-treated POF freshly removed from the oven was dispersed in 100 mL of 14 mmol·L⁻¹ CTAB solution. The whole was also agitated on a mechanical platform shaker (Edmund Bühler GmbH) for a time period of 24 h at a speed of 200 rpm. Afterwards, the suspension was filtered, and the resultant material was washed several times with distilled water for the removal of superficially retained CTAB until no white precipitate was formed on addition of AgNO₃ (0.1 mol·L⁻¹) to the washed water. The obtained biosorbent was dried in an oven at 60°C for 24 h before being sieved and kept in a bottle for further use.

The raw, NaOH-treated, and CTAB-modified POF were characterized using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, elemental analysis, thermogravimetric-hyperdifferential scanning calorimetric analysis, X-ray diffraction analysis, and scanning electron microscopy. The FT-IR spectra were obtained by means of the ATR technique with a FT-IR spectrophotometer (Bruker α-P, Germany) within a range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹ and with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹; 200 scans were collected for each spectrum. The ultimate analyses of elemental analysis were performed with an Elemental Analyzer (HEKAtech CHNS, Germany). The results were obtained as percentages of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen, and the oxygen content was determined indirectly by difference to 100%. Thermogravimetric-hyperdifferential scanning calorimetric (TG-HDSC) analyses were performed using a thermal analyzer (LINSEIS STA PT-1000, Germany) at a heat rate of 10°C·min⁻¹ starting from room temperature to a maximum temperature of 700°C under air atmosphere. X-ray diffraction analyses were carried out using a STOE Stadi-p X-ray powder diffractometer (STOE & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) with CuKα radiation (40 kV, 30 mA and λ = 0.54056 Å). XRD patterns were recorded between 0° and 70° 2θ, ranging in steps of 0.033° with a counting time per step of 200 s and with a scanning rate of 5°·min⁻¹. SEM pictures were taken using a scanning electron microscope (Amray 1610 Turbo, USA).

2.2. Batch Adsorption and Desorption Studies. The stock solutions were prepared at a concentration of 1 × 10⁻³ mol·L⁻¹ by dissolving an accurately weighed amount of 0.2332 g of sodium salt of indigo carmine or of 0.1631 g of the hydrated sodium salt of 2,6-dichlorophenolindophenol in 500 mL of distilled water. The experimental solutions (1 × 10⁻³–1 × 10⁻⁵ mol·L⁻¹) were prepared by diluting the stock solutions with distilled water. The pH of each dye solutions was adjusted to the required value along the experiment by using small aliquots of HCl or NaOH solutions.

In this study, all adsorption studies were achieved in aqueous solution and at room temperature of 24.5°C. Batch
adsorption experiments were implemented in a set of well-adapted flasks in which various preweighted amounts (1–14 mg) of biosorbent were contacted with 30 mL of dye solutions of various initial concentrations \((1 \times 10^{-5}–1 \times 10^{-4}\text{ mol-L}^{-1})\). The mixture was stirred on a mechanical platform shaker at a constant agitation speed of 150 rpm for a variable specific period of contact time between 2 and 60 min. Afterwards, the supernatant solutions obtained by filtering the suspensions using the Whatman filter paper were analyzed by measuring the absorbance using UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (JENWAY) at a \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) of 610 nm for IC [23] and 600 nm for 2,6-DCPIP [3, 5]. The monitoring absorbance was converted to the corresponding final concentration by using linear regression equations of calibration curve obtained from the linear plots of absorbance versus initial dye concentration of standard solutions. The dye uptake at equilibrium \(q_e\) (mol·g\(^{-1}\)) and at time \(q_t\) (mol·g\(^{-1}\)), and the dye removal percentage \((\%_{\text{ads}})\) was estimated using the following equations [3, 16, 24, 25]:

\[
q_e = \frac{(C_i - C_e)}{m}V_s,
q_t = \frac{(C_i - C_t)}{m}V_s,
\%_{\text{ads}} = \frac{(C_i - C_e)}{C_i} \times 100,
\]

where \(C_i\) (mol·L\(^{-1}\)) is the initial dye concentration, \(C_e\) (mol·L\(^{-1}\)) is the equilibrium final dye concentration, and \(C_t\) is the final dye concentration at time \(t\), \(V_s\) (L) is the dye solution volume, and \(m\) (g) is the biosorbent weight.

For the desorption studies, 20 mg of CTAB-modified POF was combined in a flask with 100 mL of each dye solution at an initial concentration of \(5 \times 10^{-5}\) mol·L\(^{-1}\). After stirring the mixtures at a constant agitation speed of 150 rpm for a period of 40 min for IC and 30 min for 2,6-DCPIP, the suspensions were filtered using the Whatman filter paper and the supernatant solutions obtained were analyzed by measuring the absorbance using UV-Vis Spectrophotometer. The dyes loaded with CTAB-modified POF were collected after filtration, and were dried in an oven at 60°C, and 4 mg of each of these materials was contacted with 30 mL of desorption solutions of H\(_2\)O and \(1 \times 10^{-2}\) mol·L\(^{-1}\) of NaOH or HNO\(_3\). Afterwards, the mixtures were also stirred in the same condition as described earlier and the suspensions were again filtered. The supernatant solutions were also analyzed as described earlier in view to determine the desorbed dye concentration. The desorption percentages \((\%_{\text{des}})\), translating the fraction of dyes released by the material, were determined as follows [3, 26]:

\[
\%_{\text{des}} = \frac{C_f - C_i}{C_f} \times 100,
\]

where \(C_i\) (mol·L\(^{-1}\)) is the initial concentration of dyes loaded with CTAB-modified POF and \(C_f\) (mol·L\(^{-1}\)) is the final concentration of dyes loaded with CTAB-modified POF.

2.3. Error Analysis. The nonlinear regression coefficient of determination \((r^2)\) and chi-square test \((\chi^2)\) were used to evaluate the applicability of adsorption isotherm models. The expressions of the error functions are given as follows [27–30]:

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(q_{i,\text{exp}} - q_{i,\text{cal}})^2}{q_{i,\text{cal}}},
\]

\[
r^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (q_{i,\text{cal}} - q_{i,\text{exp}})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (q_{i,\text{cal}} - \bar{q}_{\text{cal}})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} (q_{i,\text{cal}} - q_{i,\text{exp}})^2},
\]

where \(q_{i,\text{exp}}\) and \(q_{i,\text{cal}}\) (µmol·g\(^{-1}\)) are the equilibrium capacity of adsorption obtained from the experiment and by calculating from the model, respectively. \(N\) is the number of observations in the regression model (the number of data points).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of Biosorbent

3.1.1. Fourier-Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy. The FT-IR spectrum examination of raw POF was undertaken in order to locate the surface chemical functional groups, as shown in Figure 1(a). The spectrum exhibits the presence of a broad peak in the region 3400–3200 cm\(^{-1}\), characteristics of the OH group, indicating the presence of alcohol, phenol, or carboxylic acids [3, 31, 32]. The band at 2890 cm\(^{-1}\) was assigned to the presence of asymmetric and symmetric vibrations of CH\(_2\). The carbonyl (C=O) of carboxylic acids and carboxylate groups occurred, respectively, at 1730 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1613 cm\(^{-1}\). Another absorption peak at 1238 cm\(^{-1}\) could be attributed to C-O, C-H, or C-C stretching vibrations of carboxyl acid groups (-COOH). The maximum adsorption band localized around 1033 cm\(^{-1}\) is probably due to C-O stretching vibrations of lignin [3, 31, 32].

The FT-IR spectrum of NaOH-treated POF (Figure 1(b)) reveals that the peaks at 1730 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1238 cm\(^{-1}\) located in raw POF disappeared after NaOH treatment. Moreover, the peak observed at 1613 cm\(^{-1}\) changed from lower intensity peak in the IR spectrum of raw POF to higher intensity peak in the IR spectrum of NaOH-treated POF [32–34].

Compared to the FT-IR spectrum of NaOH-treated POF (Figure 1(b)), the FT-IR spectrum of CTAB-modified POF (Figure 1(c)) reveals the presence of new peaks at wavelength bands of 2921 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2852 cm\(^{-1}\). These bands are associated with the symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibrations of the methylene (CH\(_2\)) and methyl group (CH\(_3\)) of the aliphatic chain of the surfactant. Furthermore, another new peak of weak intensity appeared at 1462 cm\(^{-1}\), which was attributed to methyl groups of the cationic substituent [7, 20, 21].

3.1.2. Thermogravimetric-Hyperdifferential Scanning Calorimetry (TG-HDSC). The thermal analyses of raw, NaOH-treated, and CTAB-modified POF were performed in terms
The elemental analyses of raw, NaOH-treated, and CTAB-modified POF are illustrated in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, the raw POF is composed of 42.282% carbon, 6.276% hydrogen, 0.788% nitrogen, and 50.654% oxygen. After the NaOH treatment, the biosorbent is composed of the same chemical elements: 40.532% carbon, 6.161% hydrogen, 0.263% nitrogen, and 53.044% oxygen. It can be observed that the percentages of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen of NaOH-treated POF are lower than those of raw POF, while the oxygen percentage of NaOH-treated POF is higher than that of raw POF. A similar trend was also obtained for the NaOH treatment of olive tree pruning by Calero et al. [39] and Ronda et al. [43]. Likewise, after the CTAB modification, the biosorbent is also composed of the same chemical elements: 49.236% carbon, 8.006% hydrogen, 1.229% nitrogen, and 41.529% oxygen. It can also be observed that the percentages of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen for CTAB-modified POF are higher.

3.1.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). Scanning electron microscopy of raw, NaOH-treated, and CTAB-modified POF was carried out in view to assess the effect of NaOH treatment and CTAB modification on the surface morphology of POF. As shown in Figures 3(a) and 3(b), the surface morphology of raw POF presents an irregular shape and a porous surface. After NaOH treatment (Figures 3(c) and 3(d)), the biosorbent (NaOH-treated POF) has a spongy morphology with a porosity more developed due to the action of NaOH on POF that promotes highest weight losses of biosorbent which leads to an increase in internal surface area and also favors the development of pores [39, 40]. The SEM image of CTAB-modified POF (Figures 3(e) and 3(f)) exhibited a surface almost smooth, more compact, or again that contains very few pores due to the fact that the external surface of CTAB-modified POF was covered by a CTAB thin film [7].

3.1.4. X-Ray Diffraction Analysis (XRD). X-ray diffraction technique is a powerful tool used to investigate the crystalline nature of materials. As shown in Figure 4(a), in the X-ray diffraction pattern of raw POF, the peaks are observed at the 16°, 22.5°, and 34.5° 2θ angles, corresponding to the cellulose I structure. These peaks are indicative of highly organized crystalline cellulose [41, 42]. The last-mentioned peaks are also observed in the XRD pattern of NaOH-treated (Figure 4(b)) and CTAB-modified POF (Figure 4(c)), indicating that the crystal structure remains stable after NaOH treatment and CTAB modification processes.

3.1.5. Elemental Analysis. The elemental analyses of raw, NaOH-treated, and CTAB-modified POF are illustrated in Table 1.
than those of NaOH-treated POF, while the oxygen percentage of CTAB-modified POF is lower than that of NaOH-treated POF. This increase in carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen percentages is expected due to the loading of CTAB in the NaOH-treated POF interlayer [44].

3.2. Effect of Modification of Biosorbent. The biosorbent was first of all treated with NaOH to be modified by CTAB. Figure 5 shows the effect of chemical modification on the adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP. The amount of dyes adsorbed at equilibrium is higher with CTAB-modified POF (219.07 and 109.686 μmol·g⁻¹ for IC and 2,6-DCPIP, respectively) than that obtained with raw POF (1.898 and 1.450 μmol·g⁻¹ for IC and 2,6-DCPIP, respectively). This result can be explained by the fact that the NaOH treatment promotes high weight losses and hydrolysis reactions that cause high dissolution of organic substances from the biomass. These hydrolysis reactions lead to the formation of more carboxylate (−COO⁻) groups in the NaOH-treated biomass [32, 33, 39], which enhance the cationic surfactant fixation by electrostatic attraction. In addition, NaOH treatment of raw POF causes the swelling of material which leads to an increase in the internal surface area and also favors the cationic surfactant fixation [12]. The increase in cationic surfactant fixation after NaOH treatment of raw POF could also be due to the destruction of autolytic enzymes causing putrefaction of biomass and the removal of lipids and proteins as well as polysaccharides that mask binding sites [32, 45]. The results of the adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP onto CTAB-modified NaOH-treated POF and CTAB-modified raw POF (figure not shown here) were 219.070 and 140.098 μmol·g⁻¹ for IC and 109.686 and 58.028 μmol·g⁻¹ for 2,6-DCPIP, respectively. This indicates that CTAB-modified NaOH-treated POF has higher adsorption capacities than CTAB-modified raw POF due to the NaOH treatment.

Secondly, the modification of POF by CTAB covered the surface of cell walls by cationic surfactant which increased new functional groups on the POF surfaces and facilitated the formation of hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interaction between the positively charged surface of the
material and the negatively charged molecules of anionic dyes (Figure 6) [7]. In addition, when the CTAB is inserted into the POF interlayer, the POF became hydrophobic, improving its adsorption capacity [19, 21].

3.3. Effect of Biosorbent Dosage. The effect of biosorbent dosage on the removal of IC and 2,6-DCPIP at an initial dye concentration was studied, and the results are shown in Figure 7. The removal percentage of IC and 2,6-DCPIP increased up to 99.696% and 76.589%, respectively. As can be seen, the percentage of adsorption increased with increasing adsorbent dosage. This can be explained by the fact that the increase in adsorbent dosage involves a greater surface area and more availability of free adsorption sites for dye adsorption during the adsorption reaction [25, 46, 47].

3.4. Effect of Contact Time. The effect of contact time (2–60 min) on adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP is presented in Figure 8. Rapid adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP takes place in the first 2 minutes for the two dyes; thereafter, the adsorption rate gradually reduces with the increasing adsorption time until reaching equilibrium in about 30 minutes for IC (222.107 μmol·g⁻¹) and 20 minutes for 2,6-DCPIP (102.306 μmol·g⁻¹). Beyond the equilibrium time, we observed no significant change in dye removal. The initial rapid phase may be attributed to rapid dye attachment on the CTAB-modified POF surface due to either the
3.5. Effect of Initial Dye Concentration. The effect of initial concentration on the adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP was investigated in the range of 10–100 μmol·L⁻¹. As shown in Figure 9, the amount of IC and 2,6-DCPIP adsorbed at equilibrium increases from 79.176 to 298.191 μmol·g⁻¹ and from 5.249 to 120.209 μmol·g⁻¹, respectively. The amount adsorbed at equilibrium increases with the concentration of both dyes. This result can be explained by the fact that increasing the initial dye concentration would increase the mass transfer driving force, i.e., concentration gradient, and also favors the rate at which dye molecules pass from solution to the particle surface [4, 9, 25]. This behavior suggests that available sites on the biosorbent surface are the limiting factor for the IC and 2,6-DCPIP removal [3, 17].

3.6. Effect of Solution pH. The effect of initial solution pH on adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP onto CTAB-modified POF is shown in Figure 10, and the results indicate that when the pH increases, the capacity of adsorption decreases from 410.804 to 206.426 μmol·g⁻¹ and from 338.458 to 49.418 μmol·g⁻¹ for IC and 2,6-DCPIP dyes, respectively. This can be explained by the fact that the point of zero charge (PZC, determined by the method described in our previous work by Ngaha et al. [3]) of CTAB-modified POF is 6.6; thus, at low pH (pH < PZC), more protons will be available for the biosorbent surface protonation, which increases the electrostatic attraction between the positively charged biosorbent sites and the negatively charged dyes. At lower pH (pH = 2), there is nearly no electrostatic repulsion between the biosorbent and the dyes; hence, the amount adsorbed is at its maximum. Increasing the pH (pH > PZC) favors the raise in hydroxyl ions, which lead to an increase in dye anions in the solution as well as the number of negatively charged sites on the adsorbent surface. This results in electrostatic repulsion between the dyes and CTAB-modified POF, which is the reason for the decrease in the adsorption capacity [3, 49, 50].

3.7. Effect of Ionic Strength. The effect of ionic strength on adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP onto CTAB-modified POF was analyzed in the NaCl solutions with concentrations ranging from 0.000 to 0.060 mol·L⁻¹, and the results are illustrated in Figure 11. When the ionic strength increased, the adsorption capacity of IC increased (from 221.348 to 385.366 μmol·g⁻¹) and the adsorption capacity of 2,6-DCPIP is not affected (= 97.784 μmol·g⁻¹). The increase in IC adsorption capacity can be attributed to the fact that the addition of salt (NaCl) favors the aggregation of IC molecules and decreases its solubility [3, 51, 52]. Another explanation is that the positive charge surface of biosorbent increases with increasingionic strength, which leads to an increase in electrostatic attraction between IC ions and CTAB-modified
POF [3, 53]. Secondly, the insignificant change in 2,6-DCPIP adsorption capacity may be due to the fact that chlorine and sodium ions have no effect on adsorption of 2,6-DCPIP onto CTAB-modified POF [54].

3.8. Adsorption Isotherm Models

3.8.1. Langmuir Isotherm. The general formulas of nonlinear (equation (4)) and linear (equation (5)) expressions of the Langmuir isotherm are, respectively, illustrated as follows [14, 55]:

$$ q_e = \frac{K_L q_{\text{max}} C_e}{1 + K_L C_e} $$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$ \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_{\text{max}}} + \frac{C_e}{q_{\text{max}}} $$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $q_{\text{max}}$ (µmol·g⁻¹) is the maximum adsorption capacity of CTAB-modified POF, $q_e$ (µmol·g⁻¹) is the equilibrium adsorption capacity of CTAB-modified POF, $C_e$ (µmol·L⁻¹) is the concentration of dye solutions at equilibrium, and $K_L$ (L·µmol⁻¹) is the Langmuir adsorption constant.
3.8. Freundlich Isotherm. The general formulas of nonlinear (equation (7)) and linear (equation (8)) expressions of the Freundlich isotherm are, respectively, described as follows [14, 55]:

\[ q_e = K_f C_e^{1/n}, \]
\[ \log q_e = \log K_f + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e, \]

where \( K_f (L \cdot g^{-1}) \) is the Freundlich constant taken as an indicator of adsorption capacity and \( 1/n \) is a measure related to the adsorption intensity of the CTAB-modified POF. The linear plots of \( \log q_e \) versus \( \log C_e \) allowed to determine the \( 1/n \) and \( K_f \) constant values from their slopes and intercepts, respectively.

3.8.3. Dubinin–Radushkevich Isotherm. The general formulas of nonlinear (equation (9)) and linear (equation (10)) equations of the Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm are, respectively, illustrated by the following equations [16, 57]:

\[ q_e = q_{\text{max}} e^{-\beta \varepsilon^2}, \]
\[ \ln q_e = \ln q_{\text{max}} - \beta \varepsilon^2, \]

where \( \beta (\text{mol}^2 \cdot \text{kJ}^{-2}) \) is the activity coefficient related to the mean free energy (E (kJ·mol\(^{-1}\))) and \( \varepsilon \) is Polanyi potential calculated from the following equation:

\[ E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\beta}}, \]

\[ \varepsilon = R T \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{C_e} \right), \]
where $R$ (8.314 × 10$^{-3}$kJ·mol$^{-1}$·K$^{-1}$) and $T$ (298 K) are the universal gas constant and the absolute temperature, respectively. The constant values of $\beta$ and $q_{\text{max}}$ were evaluated, respectively, from the slopes and intercepts of straight lines obtained by plotting $\ln q_e$ versus $C_e$.

### 3.8.4. Temkin Isotherm

The general formulas of nonlinear (equation (12)) and linear (equation (13)) equations of the Temkin isotherm are, respectively, given by the following expressions [28, 55, 57, 58]:

\[
q_e = q_{\text{max}} \frac{RT}{\Delta Q} \ln K_T C_e, 
\tag{12}
\]

\[
q_e = \frac{q_{\text{max}} RT}{\Delta Q} \ln K_T + \frac{RT}{\Delta Q} \ln C_e, 
\tag{13}
\]

where $\Delta Q$ (kJ·mol$^{-1}$) and $K_T$ (L·µmol$^{-1}$) are the adsorption heat and the Temkin isotherm constant, respectively. The constant values of $\Delta Q$ and $K_T$ were determined from the slopes and the intercepts of straight lines obtained by plotting $q_e$ versus $\ln C_e$, respectively.

The nonlinear regression and separation factor graphs of adsorption isotherms obtained for IC and 2,6-DCPIP adsorption onto CTAB-modified POF are shown in Figure 12. The corresponding constants obtained from the nonlinear regressions are recapped in Table 2.

The data of Table 2 indicate that the values of coefficient of determination of the Freundlich isotherm ($r^2 = 0.953$) for IC and Temkin isotherm ($r^2 = 0.986$) for 2,6-DCPIP are closest to unity, implying that Freundlich and Temkin isotherms are most appropriate to describe the adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP on CTAB-modified POF, respectively. This is also confirmed by the low values of chi-square test error obtained with the Freundlich isotherm ($\chi^2 = 4.398$) for IC and Temkin isotherm ($\chi^2 = 1.196$) for 2,6-DCPIP. The good fit of IC experimental equilibrium data to the Freundlich isotherm indicates the multilayer coverage with heterogeneous sorption sites and different fixing energies of IC onto CTAB-modified POF [16, 58].

It is difficult to compare the maximum adsorption capacity values determined by the Langmuir model of different types of adsorbents because the experimental conditions used are not identical. Table 3 summarizes the comparison of the maximum IC and 2,6-DCPIP adsorption capacities of various adsorbents including CTAB-modified POF. The comparison shows that CTAB-modified POF has higher adsorption capacity of IC (128.444 mg·g$^{-1}$) and 2,6-DCPIP (75.143 mg·g$^{-1}$) than many of the other reported adsorbents, reflecting a promising future for CTAB-modified POF utilization in IC and 2,6-DCPIP removal from aqueous solutions.

The values of $1/n$ evaluated from the Freundlich model (0.231 for IC and 0.629 for 2,6-DCPIP) are less than unity, meaning that adsorption is favorable for both dyes [3, 14, 55]. The adsorption energy obtained from the Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm (9.880 kJ·mol$^{-1}$) lies in the range of 8 to 16 kJ·mol$^{-1}$ for 2,6-DCPIP, implying that anionic exchange is the mechanism that controls the adsorption process of 2,6-DCPIP [3, 57]. However, for IC (17.165 kJ·mol$^{-1}$), this value is higher than the range. The positive values of adsorption heat evaluated from the Temkin model (Table 2) indicate the exothermic character of the adsorption process [3, 53]. Moreover, the adsorption heat of IC (14.935 kJ·mol$^{-1}$) is greater than the adsorption heat of 2,6-DCPIP (10.712 kJ·mol$^{-1}$). This may reflect the fact that interactions between IC ions and CTAB-modified POF are more energetic than interactions between 2,6-DCPIP ions and CTAB-modified POF [3, 58].

The separation factor values evaluated from the Langmuir model (Figure 12(c)) lie in the range 0–1 (0.036–0.270 for IC and 0.419–0.878 for 2,6-DCPIP), implying that adsorption is favorable for both dyes. This result corroborates with what was already observed with the Freundlich model. At higher initial dye concentrations, the $R_L$ values are lower, showing that adsorption is more favorable at these concentrations for both dyes [3, 65]. The $R_L$ values of IC are lower than those of 2,6-DCPIP, implying that the adsorption onto CTAB-modified POF is more favorable with IC rather than 2,6-DCPIP [56]. The $R_L$ values also show that at high initial IC concentrations (0.036), the adsorption is nearly irreversible and at lower initial 2,6-DCPIP concentrations (0.878), the adsorption is nearly linear [66].

### 3.9. Adsorption Kinetic Models

#### 3.9.1. Pseudo-First-Order Model

The linear form of the pseudo-first-order model of Lagergren is expressed using the following equation [3, 16, 67, 68]:

\[
\log (q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{K_1}{2.303} t, 
\tag{14}
\]

where $q_e$ and $q_t$ (µmol·g$^{-1}$) are the amounts of dyes adsorbed on CTAB-modified POF at equilibrium and at contact time $t$, respectively. $K_1$ (min$^{-1}$) is the rate constant of pseudo-first-order model. The slopes and intercepts of the linear plots of $\log (q_e - q_t)$ versus $t$ were used to estimate the constant values of $K_1$ and $q_e$, respectively.

#### 3.9.2. Pseudo-Second-Order Model

The linear expression of pseudo-second-order model is presented in the following equation [3, 16, 67, 68]:

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{K_2 q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t, 
\tag{15}
\]

where $K_2$ (g·µmol$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$) is the rate constant of the pseudo-second-order model. The slopes and intercepts of the linear plots of $t/q_t$ versus $t$ were used to calculate the constant values of $q_e$ and $K_2$, respectively. From this model, the initial rate of reaction $h$ (µmol·g$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$) (equation (16)) and the half time of the reaction $t_{1/2}$ (min) (equation (17)) were evaluated:
3.9.3. Elovich Model. The linear expression of the Elovich model is represented by the following equation [3, 67, 69]:

\[ q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln (\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t, \]  

where \( \alpha (\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}) \) is the initial adsorption rate and \( \beta (\text{g}\cdot\mu\text{mol}^{-1}) \) is related to the extent of surface coverage and activation energy for chemisorption. The slopes and intercepts of the linear plots of \( q_t \) versus \( \ln t \) were used to determine the constant values of \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \), respectively.

3.9.4. Intraparticle Diffusion Model. The intraparticle diffusion model based on the theory proposed by Weber and Morris is usually expressed in terms of square root of time, as shown in the following equation [3, 14, 25, 67]:

\[ q_t = K_{ip} t^{1/2} + C, \]  

where \( K_{ip} (\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1/2}) \) and \( C (\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}) \) are, respectively, the intraparticle diffusion rate constant and the thickness of the boundary layer. From the slopes and intercepts of straight lines obtained by plotting \( q_t \) versus \( t^{1/2} \), the constant values of \( K_{ip} \) and \( C \) were estimated, respectively.

3.9.5. External Mass Transfer Resistance Model. This model is used to calculate the initial rate of solute sorption using the classical mass transfer equation [3, 14, 70]:

\[ h = K_{x,sh} q_e^2, \]

\[ t_{1/2} = \frac{1}{q_e K_{x,sh}}. \]

3.9.3. Elovich Model. The linear expression of the Elovich model is represented by the following equation [3, 67, 69]:

\[ q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln (\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t, \]  

\[ t_{1/2} = \frac{1}{q_e K_{x,sh}}, \]  

where \( \alpha (\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}) \) is the initial adsorption rate and \( \beta (\text{g}\cdot\mu\text{mol}^{-1}) \) is related to the extent of surface coverage and activation energy for chemisorption. The slopes and intercepts of the linear plots of \( q_t \) versus \( \ln t \) were used to determine the constant values of \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \), respectively.

3.9.4. Intraparticle Diffusion Model. The intraparticle diffusion model based on the theory proposed by Weber and Morris is usually expressed in terms of square root of time, as shown in the following equation [3, 14, 25, 67]:

\[ q_t = K_{ip} t^{1/2} + C, \]  

where \( K_{ip} (\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1/2}) \) and \( C (\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}) \) are, respectively, the intraparticle diffusion rate constant and the thickness of the boundary layer. From the slopes and intercepts of straight lines obtained by plotting \( q_t \) versus \( t^{1/2} \), the constant values of \( K_{ip} \) and \( C \) were estimated, respectively.

3.9.5. External Mass Transfer Resistance Model. This model is used to calculate the initial rate of solute sorption using the classical mass transfer equation [3, 14, 70]:

\[ h = K_{x,sh} q_e^2, \]  

\[ t_{1/2} = \frac{1}{q_e K_{x,sh}}. \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dyes</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>2,6-DCPIP</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>2,6-DCPIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_L$ (L·μmol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$q_{max}$ (μmol·g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td>$r^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>275.426</td>
<td>14.105</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6-DCPIP</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>230.423</td>
<td>3.462</td>
<td>0.967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Comparison of maximum adsorption capacities of some adsorbent materials for IC and 2,6-DCPIP, from the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent materials</th>
<th>Adsorbate</th>
<th>q_{max} (mg g^{-1})</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil fiber</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>128.444</td>
<td>Present work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecan nut shells</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>13.408</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium hydroxide</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montmorillonite</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>40.000</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-zeolitic tuff</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>32.830</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonaceous material</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>92.830</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>5.783</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>71.818</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice husk ash</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>65.908</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layered double hydroxide</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>55.500</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil nut shells</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm oil fiber</td>
<td>2,6-DCPIP</td>
<td>75.143</td>
<td>Present work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm tree trunk</td>
<td>2,6-DCPIP</td>
<td>51.299</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \frac{dC_i}{dt} = -\beta_t S (C_i - C_t), \]

where \( \beta_t, C_i, C_t, \) and \( S \) are, respectively, the external mass transfer coefficient, the liquid-phase solute concentration at time \( t \), the liquid-phase solute concentration at the particle surface and the specific surface area for mass transfer. The following equation is obtained by simplifying this equation [3, 14, 70]:

\[ \frac{d(C_i/C_0)}{dt} = -\beta_t S. \]

The initial slope of the \( C_i/C_0 \) versus time graph was used to approximate the external mass transfer rate \( \beta_t S \).

3.9.6. Boyd Model. The Boyd model is used to evaluate the rate-controlling step involved in the dye adsorption process [3, 14, 41, 55, 70]:

\[ F = 1 - \frac{6}{r^2} \exp(-Bt). \]

Since \( F = q_e/q_0 \), \( Bt \) could be determined as follows:

\[ Bt = -0.4977 \ln(1 - F), \]

where \( F \) and \( Bt \) are, respectively, the fraction of solute adsorbed at different times \( t \) and a mathematical function of \( F \). The effective diffusion coefficient \( D_e (cm^2/s) \) is estimated by applying the calculated \( B \) values using the following relation [3, 41, 70, 71]:

\[ B = \frac{(\pi D_e)}{r^2}, \]

where \( r \) is the mean radius of the particle determined by sieve analysis and by assuming them as spherical particles.

The linear regression graphs of adsorption kinetics for IC and 2,6-DCPIP adsorption onto CTAB-modified POF are shown in Figure 13, and the corresponding constants are summarized in Table 4.

The data in Table 4 indicate that, compared to the pseudo-first-order and Elovich model, the pseudo-second-order model is perfectly appropriate to describe the adsorption kinetics of IC and 2,6-DCPIP on the CTAB-modified POF with a high correlation coefficient \( (R^2 \geq 0.998) \). Moreover, it can be observed that the calculated \( q_e \) values from pseudo-second-order model are close to the experimental \( q_e \) values obtained during the adsorption process at equilibrium, indicating that the adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP onto CTAB-modified POF is a process that is governed by chemisorption [1, 3]. On the other hand, the values of the initial rate of reaction and the reaction half time determined from pseudo-second-order model confirm that the adsorption onto CTAB-modified POF of IC (100.604 \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\); 2.328 min) is faster than 2,6-DCPIP (45.683 \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\); 2.457 min) [3, 58, 72].

As shown from Figure 13(c), the curves of intraparticle diffusion are equipped with two linear portions for both dyes, which elucidate the two adsorption stages. The first section of plots located at the beginning of the process indicates that boundary layer diffusion or film diffusion probably limited dye adsorption. In this section, the IC and 2,6-DCPIP molecules diffuse through the solution to the external surface of CTAB-modified POF. The second section of plots located at the end of the process indicates intraparticle diffusion or pore diffusion as the adsorption limiting step [3, 73]. Figure 13(c) and Table 4 also show that the first stage is faster than the second one, which may be assigned to the very slow diffusion of the IC and 2,6-DCPIP molecules from the surface film into the micropores in which the adsorption sites are less accessible. The deviation of intraparticle diffusion model curves from the origin of the graph is an indication that IC and 2,6-DCPIP molecules diffusion in the bulk of CTAB-modified POF is not the only rate-controlling step that governs the adsorption [3, 10, 17]. The values of the thickness of boundary layer \( C \) for each linear portion are different to zero, meaning that intraparticle diffusion is present in the diffusion process, but it is not the sole rate-controlling step in all the stages [3, 55]. Moreover, the larger \( C \) values at the second portion for both dyes, correspond to a greater boundary layer diffusion effect [3, 52]. In addition, a greater \( C \) value of IC (217.767 \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\)) compared to a lower \( C \) value of 2,6-DCPIP (90.936 \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\)) indicated that IC diffuses more in the interlayer of CTAB-modified POF than 2,6-DCPIP.
Figure 13: Linear regression of adsorption kinetics of (a) pseudo-first-order, (b) pseudo-second-order, (c) intraparticle diffusion, (d) Elovich, (e) Boyd, and (f) external mass transfer.
3.10. Desorption. In this study, the desorbing agents as NaOH, HNO₃ (1 × 10⁻⁴ mol·L⁻¹), and H₂O were used to regenerate CTAB-modified POF. The results illustrated in Figure 14 show that the higher desorption percentage, 11.587% for IC and 60.033% for 2,6-DCPIP, is obtained in NaOH solution. This result can be explained by the phenomenon of anionic exchange between the hydroxyl ions (OH⁻) of NaOH solution and the anionic dyes loaded with CTAB-modified POF. The low desorption percentage obtained with IC compared to 2,6-DCPIP is due to the strong bond formed between the IC ions and the CTAB-modified POF. However, the very low desorption percentage of IC represents the fact that the IC molecules and CTAB-modified POF are bound through strong interaction leading to high stability of IC loaded with CTAB-modified POF [3, 26].

4. Conclusions

From this study, the capacity of CTAB-modified POF for the removal of IC and 2,6-DCPIP from aqueous solution has been investigated and the following conclusions can be made. The biosorbent characterizations proved the successful impregnation of CTAB onto raw POF. The new material is an efficient biosorbent for the removal of the two dyes, but the removal of IC showed better performance than that of 2,6-DCPIP. The adsorption performances were strongly affected by various operating parameters such as treatment of biosorbent, contact time, pH of solution, initial concentration of dyes, biosorbents dosage, and ionic strength, even though the ionic strength does not affect the adsorption capacity of 2,6-DCPIP. The nonlinear regressions of adsorption isotherms were investigated, and the values of coefficient of determination and chi-square test showed that Freundlich and Temkin isotherms are most appropriate to describe the adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP on CTAB-modified POF.

## Table 4: Pseudo-first order, pseudo-second-order, Elovich, external mass transfer, Boyd, and intraparticle diffusion parameters for IC and 2,6-DCPIP adsorption onto CTAB-modified POF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic models</th>
<th>Constants</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>2,6-DCPIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first-order model</td>
<td>( q_{exp} ) (µmol·g⁻¹)</td>
<td>222.107</td>
<td>102.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( q_e ) (µmol·g⁻¹)</td>
<td>83.414</td>
<td>71.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( K_{1ads} ) (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second-order model</td>
<td>( q_{exp} ) (µmol·g⁻¹)</td>
<td>222.107</td>
<td>102.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( q_e ) (µmol·g⁻¹)</td>
<td>234.192</td>
<td>112.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( K_{2ads} ) (g·µmol⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( h ) (µmol·g⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>100.604</td>
<td>45.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( t_{1/2} ) (min)</td>
<td>2.328</td>
<td>2.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elovich model</td>
<td>( a ) (mmol·g⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.860</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \beta ) (g·µmol⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External mass transfer</td>
<td>( \beta_1 S \times 10^3 ) (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.370</td>
<td>1.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyd model</td>
<td>( B ) (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( D_1 \times 10^9 ) (cm²/s)</td>
<td>3.070</td>
<td>6.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraparticle diffusion model</td>
<td>( K_{ip} ) (µmol·g⁻¹·min⁻¹/²)</td>
<td>18.322</td>
<td>13.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( C_1 ) (µmol·g⁻¹)</td>
<td>121.445</td>
<td>38.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( C_2 ) (µmol·g⁻¹)</td>
<td>217.767</td>
<td>90.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R^1 )</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.723</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 14:** Effect of desorption solution on the recovery of IC and 2,6-DCPIP adsorbed. Experimental conditions: 4 mg of IC or 2,6-DCPIP loaded biosorbents; \( G = 0–100 \) µm; \( t = 40 \) min for IC and \( t = 30 \) min for 2,6-DCPIP; \( v = 150 \) rpm; \( V = 30 \) mL; at room temperature.
modified POF, respectively. The comparison of the adsorption of IC and 2,6-DCPIP by some adsorbents was shown, and CTAB-modified POF has a significant potential for the adsorption of dyes from an aqueous solution. Results of adsorption kinetics indicated that the adsorption processes were best described by pseudo-second-order kinetics. The diffusion mechanism was studied, and the results showed that external mass transfer was predominant in the rate controlling step. Desorption using NaOH solution as the desorbing agent recovers a maximum quantity of IC and 2,6-DCPIP and considers the reuse of CTAB-modified POF. From the results obtained, the valorization of CTAB-modified POF for the removal of anionic dyes from dye polluted wastewater such as textile effluents is promising.
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