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The Effect of Graphene on the Protective Properties of Water-Based Epoxy Coatings on Al2024-T3
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0.5 and 1% wt. of graphene nanoflakes were added to an anticorrosive additives-free water-based epoxy resin applied to Al2024-T3 samples. Calorimetric (DSC) and adhesion (cross-cut test) tests indicated that the presence of graphene did not affect the polymerization process of the resin or its adhesion to the substrate while it had some effect on its wettability. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) results obtained suggested that the addition of a small amount of graphene greatly enhanced the protective properties of the epoxy coating, retarding electrolytes absorption and reducing the total amount of adsorbed water. The latter occurrence suggests that the graphene effect on coating performances is related to both extended diffusion pathway length and graphene/matrix interaction due to the unique properties of graphene.

1. Introduction

The study of graphene effect when used as a nanofiller in polymer matrices to form advanced multifunctional materials is one of the most promising research fields in various areas of application [1–4]. Loading of graphene to polymeric materials has shown a significant increase in the electrical and thermal properties and the mechanical properties of nanocomposites [5–11]. Few papers, however, addressed the development of graphene nanocomposites with the aim of increasing the protective properties of organic coatings against corrosion phenomena [12–17]. Reports on epoxy/graphene nanocomposite coatings are less common [14, 18–20] and very few researchers described the use of a waterborne resin [15, 21–24]; however, the environmentally friendly solutions that take into account the new regulations about the emission of volatile organic compounds [25–28] are of considerable interest. As it is well known, several types of green surface treatments, or coating systems, have been investigated to decrease the aluminium corrosion rate when exposed to an aggressive environment [28–36]. In this study, the effect of graphene on protective properties of epoxy coating has been evaluated by incorporating reduced concentrations of nanoparticles (i.e., 0.5% and 1% by weight) into waterborne epoxy resin and applied to 2024-T3 aluminium alloys sample. The protective properties of the modified epoxy coatings were investigated by using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) and differential scanning calorimetric (DSC) techniques. Furthermore, the adhesion between the coating and the metallic substrate was evaluated by means of cross-cut test and the effect of the filler on the wettability of the coating was also addressed in this investigation.

2. Materials and Methods

Graphene particles, used in this work (C500, Cometox, Italy), are made of few layers with a width of less than 2\(\mu\)m, an average thickness of about 2 nm, and a surface area of 500 m\(^2\)/g, as reported by the supplier. The epoxy system (Wapex 660, Sikkens, Italy) is a commercial waterborne resin, without corrosion inhibitors. It contains, in addition to other additives (such as emulsifying and dispersing agents and surfactants) normally used in the production cycles of commercial resins, 63% wt. of solid, mainly TiO\(_2\). The volume ratio of epoxy resin to hardener used was 4:1 as indicated by the manufacturer. The safety data sheets for
The protective properties of the coatings were investigated by EIS following ISO 16773-2016. A conventional electrochemical cell was used including a saturated calomel reference electrode (SCE), platinum as counter electrode, and the coated aluminium sample as working electrode. A frequency response analyzer (FRA), in conjunction with a potentiostat/galvanostat, 1255 and 1286 Solartron (Photo Analytical S.r.l., Italy), respectively, was employed. The electrolyte used was an air saturated 3.5 wt.% NaCl aqueous solution and the area exposed was of about 5 cm². Measurements were carried out at open circuit potential (OCP) over a frequency range from $10^5$ to 0.02 Hz with an amplitude sinusoidal voltage of 10 mV up to an immersion time of 21 days.

The effect of the graphene on substrate/coating adhesion was analyzed by a Cross Hatch Cutter (Sheen Instruments, Italy), following ASTM D3359-09, whereas wettability was investigated by means of water contact angle (WCA) test using an OCA 15 EC (DataPhysics Instruments GmbH, Filderstadt, Germany). In particular, water droplets, with a volume equal to 3.5 μL, were dispensed on the surface of specimens. The WCA was evaluated on an average of 50 measurements taken on different points of the surface. Finally, all measurements reported in this paper were carried out at room temperature and repeated at least three times to ensure reproducibility and accuracy.

### 3. Results and Discussion

To investigate the effect of graphene on the transition glass temperature, $T_g'$, and hence on the physical properties of epoxy matrix, DSC measurements were carried out on the basic resin, EP, and on the epoxy-graphene coatings, EG05 and EG1. Findings obtained were reported in Figure 1. As can be seen from this figure, both the residual cure and $T_g$ (at about 100°C) were not affected by the graphene content. These results suggest that the presence of a small amount of graphene does not affect either the glass transition temperature or the curing of the epoxy matrix. Therefore, the effect of the low amount of graphene on the protective behavior of the coating, as will be discussed in the subsequent paragraph, must not be attributed to a physical modification of the matrix of the epoxy or to a different curing process.

Good adhesion between the coating and the substrate is a “desideratum” property. In fact, it is well known that water molecules at the metal/coating interface may decrease the coating adhesion accelerating the corrosion of the metallic substrate [37]. Thus, poor adhesion permits aggressive ions to accumulate at the coating/metal interface and to trigger a degradation process. Cross-cut adhesion tests (Figure 2) highlighted that the loading of graphene nanoflakes had no effect on the coating/substrate adhesion. In fact, no peelings were observed in any of the coatings, receiving 4B rating (less than 5% peeling) according to the ASTM standard.

In order to study the influence of graphene on the wettability of the coating, water contact angle measurements were carried out (Figure 3).

### Table 1: Components of water-based epoxy resin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Common names</th>
<th>CAS number</th>
<th>% weight</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Polyamine epoxy-resin adduct</td>
<td>112-57-2</td>
<td>≥10, &lt;20</td>
<td>TEPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetraethylenepentamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bisphenol A-co-epichlorohydrin</td>
<td>25068-38-6</td>
<td>≥0.25, &lt;1</td>
<td>DGEBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bisphenol-F epichlorohydrin</td>
<td>28064-14-4</td>
<td>≥0.25</td>
<td>DGEBF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Bisphenol A-co-epichlorohydrin</td>
<td>25068-38-6</td>
<td>≥50, &lt;75</td>
<td>DGEBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bisphenol-F epichlorohydrin</td>
<td>28064-14-4</td>
<td>≥20, &lt;25</td>
<td>DGEBF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,3-Epoxypropyl neodecanoate</td>
<td>26761-45-5</td>
<td>≥2.5, &lt;25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Nomenclature used to identify the different specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Nomenclature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unfilled coating</td>
<td>EP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating containing 0.5% wt. of graphene</td>
<td>EG05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating containing 1% wt. of graphene</td>
<td>EG1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The measured water contact angle (see Table 3) of the unfilled epoxy coating is about 65.1°; when the filler is dispersed in the epoxy resin, the contact angle increased to 71.3° (EG05 sample) and 81.2° (EG1 sample). This rise is linked to the amount of nanoflakes loaded (i.e., by increasing the filler content, an increase of the contact angle is observed). Thus, graphene nanoflakes confer some hydrophobic character to the epoxy resin contributing to the reduction of the amount of water uptake.

EIS measurements were performed in order to investigate the effect of graphene addition on the coatings’ performance, when exposed to an aerated 3.5% wt. NaCl aqueous solution up to 21 days. Findings obtained are presented as Bode and phase angle plots in Figures 4, 5, and 6.

The impedance modulus trend of the EP sample, shown in Figure 4(a), indicates poor corrosion protection offered by the no-loaded epoxy coating. Namely, the impedance modulus displays a little bit higher value after one day of immersion compared to that exhibited by the unloaded epoxy, suggesting better protective properties of the coating. For further immersion in the test solution up to 21 days, a slight decrease of the impedance modulus is continuously observed. It is worth mentioning that no increase in the impedance modulus is detected in the time interval of 14–21 days, as it was reported for the base coating, EP. Therefore, the addition of 0.5% wt. of graphene improves the EIS response of the epoxy loaded coating.

The phase angle data, shown in Figure 5(b), further support the beneficial effect of graphene on the stability of the epoxy filled coating. Thus, looking at the data shown in this figure, it is possible to observe that the phase angle plot, after one day of exposure, exhibits a value of about 80 degrees at high frequency, while the minimum value is observed at a frequency of about 0.1 Hz. Moreover, the increase of the phase angle observed in the lowest frequency range (10^{-2}–10^{-1} Hz) is much less pronounced when compared with the data obtained for base coating, suggesting that the initiation of the corrosion process at the alloy/coating interface involves restricted metallic area [38]. Furthermore, it is also worth mentioning the shift of the phase angle versus the low frequency range in the time interval of 7–14 days. These findings can be attributed to the corrosion products at the interface filling the coating porosity, leading to a more effective and compact coating [37, 38]. Finally, in the time interval of 14–21 days, the degradation of the coating clearly appears as suggested by the shift at high frequency of the phase angle plot. Data reported in Figure 5(b) also support the idea that the filled epoxy appears quite stable as a function of the immersion time in the test solution even if...
the coating was fully penetrated by the electrolyte at one day of immersion.

The impedance modulus of the EG1 sample (Figure 6(a)) showed the highest impedance value (about $6 \times 10^7$ $\Omega \text{cm}^2$), as a function of the immersion time, further suggesting the beneficial effect of the addition of 1% graphene to the epoxy matrix as far as the protective properties of this coating are concerned.

An overview of the phase angle plot (Figure 6(b)) reveals further beneficial effects due to the addition of graphene to

Figure 2: Cross-cut test on the EP sample (a) before and (b) after the test, on the EG05 sample (c) before and (d) after the test, and on the EG1 sample (e) before and (f) after the test.
Figure 3: Water contact angle pictures of (a) EP, (b) EG05, and (c) EG1 coatings.

Figure 4: (a) Impedance modulus and (b) phase angle plots of the unfilled coating (EP) in 3.5% wt. NaCl aqueous solution.
Figure 5: (a) Impedance modulus and (b) phase angle plots of epoxy-graphene coating loaded with 0.5% wt. (EG05) of graphene nanofiller in a 3.5% wt. NaCl aqueous solution.

Figure 6: (a) Impedance modulus and (b) phase angle plots of epoxy-graphene coating loaded with 1% wt. (EG1) of graphene nanofiller in a 3.5% wt. NaCl aqueous solution.
the base epoxy as reported in the following. Data at very low frequency upon 4 days of immersion do not clearly show a minimum as observed in the base and 0.5% wt. filled epoxy coating. Therefore, no full penetration of the electrolyte occurs in the above time interval, suggesting a compact behavior of the filled epoxy at least for the first 4 days. A slight tendency to increase in the phase angle appears in the time interval of 4–7 days of exposure, while a clear minimum is observed after 14 days, suggesting the initiation of the corrosion process at the metallic interface. In addition, all phase angle data as a function of the immersion time are shifted to the low frequency, suggesting better protective properties as far as the addition of graphene nanofillers is concerned.

Meaningful impedance data related to the effect of graphene on the protective properties of the epoxy coating evaluated at 0.02 Hz are reported in Figure 7. As can be seen from this figure, the filled nanocoatings exhibited impedance modulus greater than the unfilled base epoxy for all exposure times showing the noticeable effect due to the use of graphene. In addition, EGI showed an impedance modulus of one order of magnitude greater than that exhibited by the base epoxy for all exposure times.

Figure 7: Impedance modulus values of unfilled coating (EP) and coating filled with 0.5% wt. and 1% wt. of graphene nanofiller (EG05 and EGI, resp.) at 0.02 Hz.

Further insight into the beneficial effect of graphene on the protective properties of the base epoxy can be obtained by the knowledge of water transport since water permeation is closely related to the metallic substrate corrosion rate. The permeation of water in a coating is usually determined by the increase of the capacitance of the paint film as a function of the exposure time [39, 40].

Capacitance values can be obtained by the impedance data by using the following equation [41]:

\[
C = \frac{1}{(2\pi f Z_i)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi f Z''_i)},
\]

where \(f_i\) (equal to 6.5 kHz) is a frequency value where the slope of the impedance modulus curve is equal to \(-1\) and \(Z_i\) is the imaginary part (or reactive component) of the impedance. Findings obtained are reported in Figure 8 as a function of the square root of \(t\).

As can be seen from this figure, all investigated coatings show an increase of the capacitance with exposure time that is currently attributed to the uptake of water. However, Figure 8 shows also a different shape of transient highlighting a different mechanism of water adsorption and transport into the nanocoatings. Namely, the base coating capacitance exhibits a step-like increase in the time interval of 1–16 days, whereas a smooth-like behavior is shown only by the 0.5% wt. filled epoxy in the time interval of 1–4 days. Quite different is the behavior of the 1% wt. nanofilled epoxy; in fact, no step-like increase was observed in this case and an almost steady state is shown at a later stage of immersion, suggesting a quasi-Fickian behavior. By using the theory of diffusion modified for an applied film to a metallic substrate [40], the water diffusion coefficient through the coating is proportional to the initial slope of the capacitance curve when it is plotted versus the square root of the time, \(t\). Inspection of data reported in Figure 8 reveals that the slope of EGI is
lower than those exhibited by the base and the EG05. This finding suggests that the addition of 1% wt. of graphene to the base epoxy modifies both the mechanism of water uptake and water diffusivity, thus reducing the accumulation of water at the metallic interface. Furthermore, from the values of capacitance extrapolated at time zero, the relative permittivity of the coatings can be obtained. These values are 4.93, 10.21, and 9.70 F/cm² for the base and for the 0.5 and 1% filled coatings, respectively. These values are in agreement with literature data for an epoxy resin [42] and with the effect of “carbon” filler on the relative permittivity of polymeric materials that is attributed to the polarizing effect of graphene [42]. Data reported above are in agreement with literature data suggesting that the increased performances of organic coatings are attributed to the barrier effect played by graphene that induces a water diffusivity decrease through the coating [43, 44]. In other words, the effect of graphene seems to be due, simply, to an increase of pathway length of water through the coating, acting as other nanoparticles used as a filler. Data reported in Figure 8 show that the change in capacitance of the epoxy coating assumes a step-like behavior, displaying a sharp increase at the beginning of the test, followed by a quasi-steady-state plateau and a subsequent step rise. However, nanocomposite coatings, after the first 4 days of immersion, show a slight increase, day by day, to the end of immersion time, reaching a steady value, indicating a different water absorption process. Data reported in this paper suggest that the observed behavior of the nanofilled epoxy can be attributed to a different mechanism of water adsorption and transport through the coating rather than to a simple barrier effect. This assumption has not been highlighted until now and needs to be verified by collecting more data.

4. Conclusions

In this work, the properties of graphene loaded water-based epoxy coating, when applied to Al2024-T3 sample, were evaluated. Data obtained in this investigation showed that the structural properties and the adhesion to the metallic substrate were not affected by the presence of graphene, while nanoflakes addition led to a slight increase of the hydrophobic character of the coating. Furthermore, EIS data collected in this paper showed that loaded coatings exhibit improved performances toward corrosion protection of the metallic substrate. This behavior was attributed both to a barrier and to a different mechanism of water transport through the filled epoxy coating.
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