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Autophagy in Pancreatic Cancer
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Pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a devastating disease with an extremely poor life expectancy and no effective treatment. Autophagy is a process of degradation of cytoplasmic component capable of recycling cellular components or eliminate specific targets. The presence of autophagy in PDAC has been demonstrated. However, the implicated cellular pathways are not fully understood and, more importantly, the role of autophagy in PDAC is matter of intensive debate. This review summarizes recently published data in an attempt to clarify the importance of autophagy in this disease and try to reconcile apparently contradictory results.

1. Introduction

1.1. Autophagy. Macroautophagy (hereafter named autophagy) is a degradation process of cytoplasmic components, including entire organelles [1–3]. Autophagy starts with the presence of a single-membrane vesicle, the isolation membrane [2], which invaginates in order to sequester different targets into a double-membrane vesicle to form the autophagosome [4]. Eventually, autophagosomes fuse with lysosomes where the lysosomal hydrolases degrade the cargo [4]. Mechanistically, autophagy starts with the activation of ULK1 and ULK2 proteins which were kept inactive by mTOR activity [5, 6]. This event triggers the action of the ULK1/2-Atg13-FIP200-Atg101 complex that allows proper relocation of a PI3KC3 (phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase—class III) from microtubules to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to initiate vesicle nucleation [5–8]. The PI3KC3 complex also comprises p150, Ambra 1, and Beclin 1 proteins and generates phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate in nucleation membrane to recruit additional autophagy-related (Atg) proteins to the site of autophagosome formation [9]. Afterwards, in an ubiquitination-like process, Atg4 is cleaved by Atg3 protein to expose a C-terminal glycine which is conjugated to phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) [11], allowing the recruitment of LC3-PE to autophagosome membrane. LC3-PE is considered as the most specific marker of autophagy [11]. Through this mechanism, autophagy was mostly considered as a mechanism allowing cells to recycle cellular component in order to generate energy during starvation conditions. However, the recent years have seen a revolution in autophagy with the demonstration that, in mammalian cells, it is a more complex and proactive system. In addition to its role during cell starvation, several reports evidenced a selective form of autophagy, capable of discriminating the target cargo for specific purposes or cellular requirements, with a clear implication in numerous human diseases [12–14]. For instance, selective autophagic degradation of mitochondria, called mitophagy, involves selective targeting and degradation of damaged mitochondria in Parkinson disease [15, 16]. All these exciting data about autophagy imply that it plays a role more important than expected in several human diseases, a very good reason for stepping up efforts to elucidate key autophagy mechanisms. Pancreatic cancer is not an exception, with numerous reports about autophagy associated with this devastating disease.
1.2. Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. Although the incidence of pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the 10th among all cancers, it is the 4th leading cause of cancer deaths making PDAC a deadly disease with a relative 1-year survival rate of only 24% and an overall 5-year survival rate of 3 to 5%. It has a highly aggressive behavior with local invasion and distant metastases during the early stages of the disease [17, 18]. PDAC development is characterized by an almost constant stroma and poor vascularization. As consequence, PDAC is characterized by a very abundant metastases during the early stages of the disease [17, 18].

PDAC development is characterized by an almost constant stroma and poor vascularization. As a consequence, PDAC is characterized by a very abundant metastases during the early stages of the disease [17, 18].

2. Mechanisms and Molecules Involved in PDAC-Associated Autophagy

2.1. Hypoxia. PDAC is characterized by a very abundant stroma and poor vascularization. As consequence, PDAC cells are exposed to a shortage in nutrients and growth factors. Autophagy can be induced by hypoxia, which actually occurs if vascularization is inadequate.

It seems to be dependent on the hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1α) which is the master transcriptional regulator of the adaptive response to hypoxia [24]. Among target genes of the transcription factor HIF-1α are the genes encoding BNIP3 and BNIP3L, both proteins being required for hypoxia-induced autophagy [25]. Mechanistically, as Beclin 1, BNIP3, and BNIP3L possess a BH3 domain in their structure, and it is proposed that, through that domain, they compete with Beclin 1 for the interaction with Bcl2 and releasing Beclin 1, which induces autophagy [24, 26] (Figure 1). In agreement with this hypothesis, PDAC cells are characterized by high autophagic activity [27], a probable consequence of the hypoxic and starving conditions in which they are growing. Conversely, the behaviour of BNIP3 is characterized by a negative correlation between its expression and pancreatic cancer [28]. Interestingly, Okami and colleagues demonstrated that BNIP3 is silenced in PDAC by gene methylation, without downregulation of other HIF-1α target genes [28]. Moreover, specific BNIP3 downregulation is associated with gemcitabine resistance of pancreatic cancer cells [29]. In the work of Akada and colleagues comparing pancreatic cancer cell lines sensitive or resistant to gemcitabine, they identified by cDNA microarray the genes responsible for gemcitabine resistance [28]. They showed that BNIP3 expression was downregulated more than 90% in resistant cell lines and in those with intermediate sensitivity [28]. Nevertheless, microarray results indicated overexpression of BNIP3 in gemcitabine-sensitive pancreatic cancer cell lines [28]. Since BNIP3 is a hypoxia-inducible proapoptotic molecule, these results suggest that BNIP3 may have an important function during the initial stages of PDAC development, inducing autophagy and contributing to the response to hypoxia and starvation. As pancreatic cancer evolves, concomitant downregulation of BNIP3 makes it
necessary that autophagy is induced by alternative pathways, as described hereunder.

2.2. Reactive Oxygen Species. In recent years, reactive oxygen species (ROS) have gained an increased importance in tumor development. As demonstrated by DeNicola and colleagues, it is of vital importance for cancerous cells to keep under control their redox state [30]. They provide evidence that several oncogenes induce the antioxidant Nrf2 protein, in order to reduce ROS level [30]. Indeed KRasG12D/Nrf2−/− mice present with a significant reduction in number of PanINs, highly supporting that ROS detoxification reduces tumorigenesis in vivo [30]. Moreover, the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) [31] and ROS could play a preponderant role in PDAC-associated autophagy. RAGE is a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily [32] implicated in ROS generation [33, 34] and in proinflammatory response [35, 36]. RAGE is overexpressed in PDAC, and it is associated with tumor resistance, proliferation, and invasiveness [37–40]. Furthermore, depletion of RAGE in PDAC cells increases sensitivity to chemotherapeutic agents [40], associated with caspase-3 cleavage [40]. On the contrary, overexpression of RAGE reduces apoptosis with a concomitant increase in autophagy [41]. Among ligands described for RAGE [32], the high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) plays a key role in PDAC. HMGB1 is a chromatin-associated nuclear protein involved in chromatin remodeling and regulation [42], which may also participate in inflammation and tumor progression [41, 42]. In fact, HMGB1 is released from necrotic and inflammatory cells, acting as an extracellular signaling molecule [41, 42]. HMGB1 has been proposed as mediator of pancreatic tumor cell resistance to antitumoral drugs [42] since interference RNA-mediated silencing of HMGB1 makes PDAC-derived cells more sensitive to the autophagic cell death induced by melphalan treatment. The authors hypothesize that HMGB1 is released by necrotic tumor cells and enhances cell resistance by activating RAGE, inducing autophagy, and inhibiting apoptosis [40]. The molecular mechanism implicated in RAGE-HMGB1-mediated autophagy involves ROS. Kang and colleagues have demonstrated that PDAC cells exposed to H2O2 increase RAGE expression in a NF-kB-dependent manner [43]. Furthermore, PDAC cells show increased sensitivity to oxidative stress when RAGE is silenced [43]. In the same way, autophagy is induced in PDAC cells upon ascorbate treatment, but this effect is reversed by adenovirus-mediated downregulation of catalase expression [44, 45]. RAGE expression is upregulated by H2O2 treatment [43] through a pathway inhibited by inhibitors of the NF-kB pathway such as curcumin and Bay 11-7085 [46], or antioxidants such as N-acetylcysteine (NAC). Altogether, these results implicate the NF-kB pathway in RAGE-mediated autophagy and reveal a direct link between ROS and RAGE in PDAC. Kang and colleagues hypothesized that extracellular HMGB1 released by necrotic cells, is responsible for RAGE-mediated induction of autophagy in tumor cells [40]. However, they were not able to completely inhibit that effect with an anti-HMGB1 neutralizing antibody [40], suggesting the presence of at least one additional mechanism of action. In fact, Tang et al. gave evidence that endogenous HMGB1 may regulate autophagy by moving from nucleus to cytoplasm to interact with Beclin 1 in place of Bcl-2 [26, 47] (Figure 2). This is supported by the fact that HMGB1 translocation is induced by rapamycin and enhanced by ROS or by downregulation of superoxide dismutase [47]. Altogether, these data indicate that HMGB1 may play a double role in PDAC, on one hand by activating RAGE in neighbour cells and, on the other hand, by interacting with Beclin 1 in response to ROS.

3. Role of Autophagy in PDAC

Although the role of ROS in autophagy induction is generally accepted, the role of autophagy in PDAC remains to be elucidated. Several lines of investigation are based on the ideas that autophagy is detrimental to tumor cells and that several antitumoral drugs act through this mechanism. In this way, it is important to note that chemotherapeutic agents generate ROS in patients [48]. Indeed, the effect of ascorbate on PDAC cells is totally dependent on H2O2 generation [44, 45]. Pardo and colleagues demonstrated in several PDAC-derived cell lines the induction of VMP1-mediated autophagy in response to gemcitabine treatment [49]. In this setting, gemcitabine-induced autophagy leads tumoral cells to apoptotic cell death. It is noteworthy that the inhibition of autophagy by 3-methyladenine or by knockingdown VMP1 reduces gemcitabine-induced apoptotic cell death [49]. These results are supported by data from Donadelli and colleagues who demonstrated an enhanced cytotoxic effect of gemcitabine when combined with cannabinoids, which induce ROS-mediated autophagy in pancreatic tumor cells [50]. Mechanistically, cannabinoid-dependent autophagy is induced by upregulating ER stress-associated genes such as p8, CHOP, TRB3, and ATF4 [51–53]. Interestingly, Donadelli showed that gemcitabine treatment activates expression of
both cannabinoids receptors, CB1 and CB2, in a NF-kB-dependent manner [53]. In turn, cannabinoid treatment induces ROS production, ER stress, and autophagic cell death [50] (Figure 3). Again, this effect is inhibited when cells are treated with the free radical scavenger NAC [50]. Sulforaphane (SFN), a natural product extracted from broccoli, is able to eliminate highly resistant PDAC cells [54]. Naumann and colleagues showed that SFN induces autophagy and apoptosis in several PDAC-derived cells and, more interestingly, that autophagy and apoptosis, although independent from each other, are both dependent on ROS generation [55].

There is evidence suggesting that autophagy plays a role in PDAC cell survival in response to cell stress induced by ROS, tumor microenvironment, and antitumoral agents. For instance, the metastasis-suppressor KAI1 [56, 57] was shown to induce autophagy in PDAC cells, protecting them from apoptosis and growth inhibition [58]. The 2-deoxy-D-glucose, a glucose analog and glycolysis inhibitor, currently under clinical evaluation as chemotherapeutic drug, reduces cellular ATP and induces ER stress to eventually lead to cell death [59, 60]. In this context, cancer cells, including PDAC cells, respond to 2-deoxy-D-glucose by increasing autophagy in order to avoid ER stress, rather than compensating ATP depletion [60]. Moreover, Yang and colleagues show that autophagy is indeed requested for tumor development [27, 61]. They demonstrate that tumor cells derived from PDAC have a higher basal autophagy level than cell lines derived from other tumor tissues [27]. In fact, their results suggest that autophagy is not activated to control mitochondria homeostasis but to fuel oxidative phosphorylation [27]. However, when autophagy is blocked by chloroquine or by silencing ATG5, increased ROS detection is observed [27], indicating that autophagy may occur in response to oxidized reactive species as mentioned above. In addition, accumulation of autophagosomes and improvement of gemcitabine and 5-fluorouracile effect on pancreatic tumor cell lines were reported when they are combined with omeprazole [62]. Omeprazole is thought to interfere with lysosome homeostasis [63, 64] and ROS formation [64]. Altogether, these results support the hypothesis that autophagy is a survival reaction in response to ROS produced by antitumor drugs, leading to tumor cell resistance.

Recently, Guo and colleagues have confirmed that Ras activation promotes cellular autophagy [65]. Working with epithelial kidney cells, these authors demonstrated that constitutively active Ras significantly increases basal autophagy with, however, concomitant limitation of starvation-induced autophagy. Importantly, depletion of Atg5 and Atg7, accompanied by accumulation of p62 and ubiquitinated aggregates, reduces tumoral growth [65]. Similar results are observed in p62−/− cells [65]. These results indicate that the role of autophagy is not only to balance the higher metabolism of tumor cells but to buffer the higher energy demand by preserving the mitochondrial function [65]. Taking into account the role of ROS in PDAC, it is tempting to speculate about a yet unknown selective autophagy process able to eliminate ROS and other oxidized substrates.

Another point that needs to be considered is the role of the autophagy in PDAC stromal cells. Cancer cells activate autophagy in the tumor stromal compartment via paracrine mechanisms involving oxidative stress, as recently reviewed [66]. Autophagy in stromal cells provides PDAC cancer cells with a steady stream of recycled nutrients and energy-rich metabolites, which are reused by PDAC cells to drive tumor growth and metastasis (Figure 4). Thus, stromal catabolism fuels anabolic tumor growth. Therefore, inhibition of autophagy in the tumor stroma could stop or reverse tumor growth. This would explain the effectiveness of known autophagy inhibitors as antitumor agents, such as chloroquine and 3-methyladenine. Conversely, the induction of autophagy in epithelial cancer cells would block or inhibit tumor growth. This mechanism would explain the antitumor activity of agents that activate autophagy, such as mTOR inhibitors.
4. Conclusion

There is little doubt that autophagy plays a relevant role in PDAC development although several points remain to be clarified. Many efforts have been made in order to understand the mechanism(s) involved in the relationship between autophagy and PDAC, but elucidation is far from being completed. Data presented in this review let us to speculate on a bivalent participation of autophagy in PDAC cells. In this regard, autophagy may be a prosurvival process for tumoral cells where it can fuel cell metabolism in the tumor microenvironment. However, autophagy can also be induced to reduce the oxidative stress generated by accelerated cell metabolism or chemotherapeutics treatments. On the other hand, the induction of autophagy in tumoral cells could lead to cell death. This model should resolve the “Autophagy Paradox,” where both inhibition and stimulation of autophagy have the same net effect, which is to inhibit tumor growth.
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