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An In Situ Evaluation of the Protective Effect of Nano Eggshell/Titanium Dioxide against Erosive Acids
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Objectives. Enamel erosion caused by high consumption of acidic drinks poses a significant public health concern. This study was aimed to determine the protective effect of eggshell-titanium dioxide composite (EB@TiO2) against erosive acids on tooth enamel. Methods. Twenty prepared bovine tooth enamel specimens were randomly assigned to 5 sample groups (n = 4): (1) unexposed tooth enamel; (2) exposed tooth enamel + HCl; (3) exposed tooth enamel + HCl + Colgate toothpaste; (4) exposed tooth enamel + HCl + Sensodyne toothpaste; and (5) exposed tooth enamel + HCl + EB@TiO2. The mean roughness value (Rrms) of the exposed and unexposed tooth was measured with atomic force microscope (AFM). Scanning electron microscope (SEM) and Raman spectroscopy techniques were used to evaluate the surface morphology and changes. ANOVA was used to analyze the mean square roughness (Rrms) values for all specimens. Bonferonni correction was used to identify the mean differences among the 5 groups (α = 0.05). The Rrms values measured for the unexposed and exposed specimens in HCl alone were statistically significant (P < 0.05). Results. No significant differences were found for the unexposed and exposed specimens in HCl alone were statistically significant (P < 0.05). Conclusions. This study confirms that the investigated toothpaste provides protection against acidic substances. The study results further suggest that EB@TiO2 could be used to provide enhanced protection for tooth enamel.

1. Introduction

Enamel erosion has become a topical issue in recent years among clinicians and oral health-care providers due to the increase in the consumption of acidic drinks such as soft drinks, energy drinks, and fruit drinks [1]. Previous studies [2, 3] reported that these drinks have a pH [2–4] value that facilitates the dissolution of the tooth and the destruction of the mineral composition of the enamel. Several other authors [4, 5] have demonstrated that as a result of consumption of acidic drinks, the onset of caries and enamel erosion correlate positively together.

Whilst the tooth enamel is mainly composed of hydroxyapatite in the form of phosphate ions (PO43−) and calcium ions (Ca2+), Shellis et al. [6], and Lussi and Carvalho [7] argued that there exists an equilibrium between the tooth enamel crystals and the surrounding oral environment. The destabilization of these equilibrium, particularly when the oral environment pH drops below a critical level (5.5 for enamel and 6.2 for dentin), may result in the dissolution of tooth mineral composition [8]. Moreover, the loss of enamel material by erosion is a dynamic process occasioned with periods of demineralization and remineralization (Figure 1); hence, preventive measures against enamel dissolution from
acid and potentially permanent damage should be a priority for oral health-care providers [2, 3].

Traditionally, toothpastes have been considered effective and accessible vehicles to improve enamel resistant against erosive oral environment [9]. In recent years, different ingredients have been added to the toothpastes composition to enhance their protective effect against erosive substances. Amongst these ingredients, the use of topical fluoride to modify the effects of erosion at the tooth surface is well documented [10–13]. However, Moron et al. [14] stressed that conventional fluoride-containing toothpastes lack the capacity to protect sufficiently well against erosive substance. Furthermore, Larsen and Richards [15] revealed that at pH below 3, the protective effect of fluoride is diminished. Consequently, it has been suggested that the beneficial health effects against erosive attacks can be improved with the addition of calcium or calcium containing material into toothpaste [16].

Significantly, studies by King'Ori [17] and Onwubu et al. [18] suggest that the calcium of eggshell can be used as an abrasive cleaner for dental plaque in toothpaste. Lin et al. [19] and Tao et al. [20] equally demonstrated that the mechanical modification of calcium carbonates together with titanium dioxide improved the acid resistance properties when used in paper making industry. However, there is a limited research on the protective effect of a modified eggshell-titanium dioxide composite (EB@TiO₂) on tooth enamel. This in vitro study aimed to determine the protective effect of a modified eggshell-titanium dioxide composite EB@TiO₂ against erosive acids. The protective level of EB@TiO₂ against erosive acids was compared against Colgate® and Sensodyne® toothpaste. It was hypothesized that EB@TiO₂ will offer more protection against erosive challenge than the commercial toothpastes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of Eggshell-Titanium Dioxide Composite (EB@TiO₂). Modification of eggshell with titanium dioxide was achieved in two steps. In the first step, eggshells were prepared according to the procedure described by Onwubu et al. [21]. Eggshells were disinfected by storing them in a diluted solution of household sodium hypochlorite for six hours. Subsequently, eggshells were vacuum-dried for ± 6–9 min at 250°C. Hereafter, 30 g of the dried eggshell was placed in a 500 ml stainless jar (inner diameter of 100 mm), together with 50 stainless steel balls of 10 mm diameter, and dry-milled in a planetary ball mill (Retsch® PM 100) at 400 rpm for 20 minutes. The collected powder was sieved to a particle size of ≤ 25 µm using a mechanical sieving shaker (Retsch AS 200, Germany). In the next step, 20 g of the fine eggshell powder obtained in step 1 was modified by adding 5 g of anatase titanium dioxide (≤ 15 µm). The mixture was subsequently ball-milled for 200 min to obtain eggshell-titanium dioxide composite (EB@TiO₂). The particle size distribution of the composite measured using high-resolution transmission microscope (TEM-Philips CM 120 model) at 120 kV and image J software (National Institute of Health USA, http://imagej.nih.gov./ij) was found to be 14 nm (Figure 2).

2.2. pH Measurement. The pH and buffering characteristics of the EB@TiO₂ (tested samples) and the respective toothpastes, brand names, and manufacturers are given in Table 1. The pH of each of the samples in deionized water as well as in 0.01 mol·L⁻¹ hydrochloric acid (HCl) were measured by placing 1.5 g of each samples in a beaker containing 50 mL deionized and HCl, respectively. The solution was constantly agitated at low speed of 600 rpm for 30 min. A pH meter (Starter 300, Ohaus Incorporation USA) equipped with temperature sensor was used to record changes in the pH reading. Before testing the samples, the pH was calibrated using tested buffer of known pH solutions.

2.3. Preparation of Tooth Enamel Specimens. Twenty freshly collected bovine enamel anterior teeth were used to evaluate the acid resistant properties of EB@TiO₂. The collected teeth were subsequently cleaned and disinfected in 10% chloroxylenol solution. Enamel specimens measuring 5 mm × 5 mm × 3 mm was prepared after cutting off the roots using a
low-speed diamond saw under water cooling conditions. Before subjecting the specimens to acidic condition, the specimens were embedded in a resin (FT 160; AMT composite), and a silicone mold (Blue mold; Agar scientific) was used to make a mounting base. The embedded specimens were randomly assigned into five groups (n = 4) and were then exposed to 0.01 mol·L⁻¹ HCl (pH = 2) for 30 min as follows: Group 1: unexposed tooth enamel; Group 2: exposed tooth enamel + HCl; Group 3: exposed tooth enamel + HCl + Colgate; Group 4: exposed tooth enamel + HCl + Sensodyne; and Group 5: exposed tooth enamel + HCl + EB@TiO₂.

After 30 min of acid exposure, the specimens were rinsed in deionized water for 30 s and blot-dried.

2.4. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) Analysis. AFM (Nanoscope; Bruker) was used to analyze the mean square roughness ($R_{rms}$) values of the specimens. The instrument was set in contact mode with a scanning size of 10 µm and a scan rate of 2.394 Hz. For each specimen, 5 different measurements of the $R_{rms}$ values were made. The average measured $R_{rms}$ values were then used for the statistical analysis.

2.5. Field-Scanning Electron Microscope Evaluation of the Specimens. The surface of the exposed and unexposed specimens was characterized using scanning electron microscope (FESEM; Carl Zeiss). As a proxy measure, a specimen from each group was analyzed microscopically.

2.6. Raman Spectroscopy Analysis of Specimens. The changes in the mineral content of the specimens were observed using a Raman (Perkin Elmer precisely Raman-station 400). The Raman analysis was done on the all the samples with the laser power set at 70 mW, exposure every 10 seconds for 3 seconds at a time. Five different measurements were done for each sample and the average was used for statistical analysis.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. The mean roughness ($R_{rms}$) value of the specimens was evaluated with 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by means of statistical software (IBM SPSS Statistics v25; IBM Corp.), followed by Bonferroni correction and the significance level was set at $\alpha = 0.05$. For Raman analysis, the base line correction was estimated by the polynomial fitting method, and the peak area and height were determined from the Gaussian plot using Origin Pro software (OriginLab Corporation v 8).

3. Results

3.1. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM). The 1-way ANOVA, mean, standard deviation, and standard error results are shown in Table 2. A notable statistical difference was observed in the mean surface roughness ($R_{rms}$) for the unexposed and exposed specimens ($P < 0.05$).

Comparing the unexposed specimens (group 1) with specimens exposed to HCl alone (group 2), a significant difference were measured ($P < 0.05$). The unexposed specimens had the lowest mean $R_{rms}$ (32.6 ± 16.3 nm) values while specimens exposed to HCl alone had the highest value (101.9 ± 18.0 nm). No significant differences were measured for the unexposed specimens and the specimens exposed in groups 3, 4, and 5, respectively ($P > 0.05$). AFM micrograph shown in Figure 3 further illustrates the surface profile of the specimens. The surface roughness appeared more pronounced for Figures 3(b)–3(d) when compared against Figures 3(a) and 3(e).
3.2. Field-Scanning Electron Microscope Observation of Specimens. The FESEM images of the specimens are shown in Figure 4. The images revealed surface differences between the unexposed specimens, exposed tooth alone, and the test groups (EB@TiO₂ and commercial tooth-pastes). While using the unexposed specimen to benchmark the demineralization of the exposed sample groups, the images in Figures 4(b) and 3(d) visibly showed evidence of the prismatic destruction of the hydroxyapatites which suggest erosion of tooth specimens exposed to acid alone and the Sensodyne toothpaste. In contrast, the tooth specimen exposed to acid in the presence of both EB@TiO₂ and Colgate showed less evidence of the prismatic destruction of the enamel (Figures 4(c) and 3(e)).

3.3. Raman Spectroscopy. Furthermore, the changes in the position of phosphates (V₃(PO₄)₃⁻) peaks for the unexposed and exposed specimens are given in Figure 4. In the unexposed specimens, the peak was only slightly prominent

Table 2: Mean surface roughness, standard deviation, standard error, and ANOVA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Std. error</th>
<th>Lower bound</th>
<th>Upper bound</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Post hoc bonferroni test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unexposed tooth</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32.6 ± 16.3 nm</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>6.7077</td>
<td>58.5478</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.021,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed tooth + HCl</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>101.9 ± 18.0 nm</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>73.1958</td>
<td>130.5232</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.295,1,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed tooth + HCl + Colgate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>65.2 ± 29.0 nm</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>19.0787</td>
<td>111.4123</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.992,1,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed tooth + HCl + Sensodyne</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>83.1 ± 33.7 nm</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>29.4663</td>
<td>136.6767</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.159,1,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed tooth + EB@TiO₂</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>57.2 ± 29.6 nm</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>10.0747</td>
<td>104.3583</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00,1,5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Superscript numbers indicate significant differences between the sample groups (ANOVA, P < 0.05).

Figure 3: AFM profile of (a) unexposed tooth; (b) after exposure to HCl; (c) after exposure to HCl + Colgate toothpaste; (d) after exposure to HCl + Sensodyne; (e) after exposure to HCl + EB@TiO₂.
Figure 4: FESEM images of (a) unexposed tooth; (b) after exposure to HCl; (c) after exposure to HCl + Colgate toothpaste; (d) after exposure to HCl + Sensodyne; (e) after exposure to HCl + EB@TiO₂.

(Figure 5(e)). On the other hand, the peaks for the specimen exposed in HCl alone (Figure 5(a)) were distinctly prominent to a considerable height (Table 3). Moreover, only slight changes were noticed in the peaks of the specimens exposed to sample groups 3 (Figure 5(c)), 4 (Figure 5(b)), and 5 (Figure 5(d)), respectively.

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the protective effect of a modified eggshell-titanium dioxide composite (EB@TiO₂) in comparison with some commercial toothpastes against erosive acids. In line with suggested
Figure 5: Raman spectrum obtained for tooth samples (a) expose to HCl alone; (b) expose to HCl + Sensodyne; (c) exposed HCl + Colgate toothpaste; (d) expose to HCl + EB@TiO\textsubscript{2}; (e) unexposed tooth.

Table 3: Peak analysis as determine by the Gaussian plot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample groups</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unexposed tooth</td>
<td>2454.2</td>
<td>32769.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth exposed to HCl</td>
<td>18853.3</td>
<td>345765.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth exposed to HCl + Colgate toothpaste</td>
<td>3956.9</td>
<td>52589.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth exposed to HCl + Sensodyne</td>
<td>4270.3</td>
<td>73357.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth exposed to HCl + EB@TiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>2965.9</td>
<td>49185.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

techniques in the literature [22–24], AFM was used to characterize and measure the changes in the surface roughness pre- and postexposure to HCl. The average mean square roughness values ($R_{rms}$) measured were used for statistical analysis. The $R_{rms}$ is the standard deviation height obtained from the AFM images (Figure 3) in areas of $30 \times 30 \mu m^2$ with a resolution of 256 × 256 pixels. The $R_{rms}$ data demonstrated that exposure of tooth enamel to HCl significantly affected the surface roughness ($P < 0.05$).

More so, the $R_{rms}$ values measured for the enamel tooth specimens exposed to HCl alone group were significantly higher than the unexposed tooth enamel ($P < 0.05$). This strongly confirmed that the exposure of enamel to acidic substances leads to enamel demineralization. Whilst tooth enamel comprises mostly of calcium (Ca$^{2+}$), phosphates (PO$_4^{3-}$), and hydroxide (OH$^{-}$), the enamel is constantly in equilibrium with the surrounding saliva and enamel crystals. In support of Shellis et al. [6], the exposure of the tooth enamel to HCl alone may cause enamel to release more ions to the environment to attain a new state of equilibrium. Consequently, and consistent with Lussi and Carvalho [7], the acidic condition exacerbated the process leading to enamel demineralization (Figure 4(b)).

This notwithstanding, the $R_{rms}$ values measured for the enamel tooth specimen exposed to Colgate, Sensodyne, and EB@TiO\textsubscript{2} were not different from the unexposed tooth ($P > 0.05$). In light of this, it can be inferred that the toothpastes (Colgate and Sensodyne) and test group (EB@TiO\textsubscript{2}) were protective against enamel demineralization. This supports the notion that the sampled toothpastes are effective and accessible vehicles to improve enamel resistance to erosive oral environment [9]. In comparing the protective effect of the sampled toothpastes samples against EB@TiO\textsubscript{2}, the $R_{rms}$ values with the highest mean was for Sensodyne and the lowest for EB@TiO\textsubscript{2} (Table 2). This could have been attributed to the presence of Ca and or titanium ions in the exposed tooth in the presence of EB@TiO\textsubscript{2}. More so, and given the differences in the mean $R_{rms}$ values between EB@TiO\textsubscript{2} and Colgate toothpaste, the observed differences appear to reflect the buffering capacities of the 2 groups (Table 1). This strongly supports Canepepele et al. [25] that the buffering capacity of acidic concentration is critical in erosive potential of acidic substance, which may influence the dissolution rate of the enamel. Equally, the differences in the $R_{rms}$ values between EB@TiO\textsubscript{2} and Colgate toothpastes may also be associated with the modification of the calcium carbonate constituents of eggshell with titanium dioxide. Hence, the tested hypothesis is partially accepted as the EB@TiO\textsubscript{2} composite effectively protected the tooth enamel against erosion.

Furthermore, the Raman spectrum of the exposed and unexposed tooth enamel observed at a peak of 960 cm$^{-1}$ could be attributed to the symmetrical stretching of the tetrahedron oxygen atoms that surrounds the phosphorus atoms [26, 27]. This result is consistent with Ionita [28] that Raman spectrum of healthy enamel and demineralized tooth is observed at a well-defined peak at 959 cm$^{-1}$ vibrations. Moreover, the highest-Raman spectrum demineralization intensity observed for the exposed tooth alone in HCl was nearly 5-times greater than the intensity measured for the unexposed tooth (Figure 5). In addition, He et al. [29] and Targino et al. [30] theorize that the mineral intensity measured in Raman could be a factor that determines the rate of demineralization of tooth enamel. Significantly, the Raman intensity measured for EB@TiO\textsubscript{2} was comparable to those measured for Colgate toothpaste; however, it was lower than the sampled Sensodyne toothpaste (Figure 5). This observation is consistent with the results of Joiner et al. [16] which show that the addition of calcium and or calcium containing materials into toothpaste improves its resistant against acidic erosion on tooth enamel.

In light of the above findings, the researchers plan to further examine the remineralization potential of EB@TiO\textsubscript{2}, particularly in the repair of damaged tooth (enamel and dentine). These studies would help establish the suitability of EB@TiO\textsubscript{2} in the maintenance of oral health particularly as a desensitising toothpaste.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that toothpastes provide protection to the tooth enamel against erosive substance. Notably, the study has shown that EB@TiO\textsubscript{2} offer better protective covering to the enamel against acid attack.
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