Research Article

Long-Term Damped Dynamics of the Extensible Suspension Bridge

Ivana Bochicchio,^{1,2} Claudio Giorgi,³ and Elena Vuk³

¹ *Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli studi di Salerno, 84084 Fisciano, Italy*

² *INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, 80126 Napoli, Italy*

³ *Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Brescia, 25133 Brescia, Italy*

Correspondence should be addressed to Elena Vuk, vuk@ing.unibs.it

Received 29 September 2009; Revised 14 December 2009; Accepted 14 January 2010

Academic Editor: Maurizio Grasselli

Copyright © 2010 Ivana Bochicchio et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This work is focused on the doubly nonlinear equation $\partial_{tt}u + \partial_{xxxx}u + (p - \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2)\partial_{xx}u + \partial_t u + k^2 u^+ = f$, whose solutions represent the bending motion of an extensible, elastic bridge suspended by continuously distributed cables which are flexible and elastic with stiffness k^2 . When the ends are pinned, long-term dynamics is scrutinized for arbitrary values of axial load p and stiffness k^2 . For a general external source f , we prove the existence of bounded absorbing sets. When f is time-independent, the related semigroup of solutions is shown to possess the global attractor of optimal regularity and its characterization is given in terms of the steady states of the problem.

1. Introduction

1.1. The Model Equation

In this paper, we scrutinize the longtime behavior of a nonlinear evolution problem describing the damped oscillations of an extensible elastic bridge of unitary natural length suspended by means of flexible and elastic cables. The model equation ruling its dynamics can be derived from the standard modeling procedure, which relies on the basic assumptions of continuous distribution of the stays' stiffness along the girder and of the dominant truss behavior of the bridge (see, e.g., [1]).

In the pioneer papers by McKenna and coworkers (see [2–4]), the dynamics of a suspension bridge is given by the well-known damped equation

$$\partial_{tt}u + \partial_{xxxx}u + \partial_t u + k^2 u^+ = f, \quad (1.1)$$

where $u = u(x, t) : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ accounts for the downward deflection of the bridge in the vertical plane, and u^+ stands for its positive part, namely,

$$u^+ = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } u \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u < 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Our model is derived here by taking into account the midplane stretching of the road bed due to its elongation. As a consequence, a geometric nonlinearity appears into the bending equation. This is achieved by combining the pioneering ideas of Woinowsky-Krieger on the extensible elastic beam [5] with (1.1). Setting for simplicity all the positive structural constants of the bridge equal to 1, we have

$$\partial_{tt}u + \partial_{xxxx}u + \left(p - \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2\right)\partial_{xx}u + \partial_t u + k^2 u^+ = f, \quad (1.3)$$

where $f = f(x, t)$ is the (given) vertical dead load distribution. The term $-k^2 u^+$ models a restoring force due to the cables, which is different from zero only when they are being stretched, and $\partial_t u$ accounts for an external resistant force linearly depending on the velocity. The real constant p represents the axial force acting at the ends of the road bed of the bridge in the reference configuration. Namely, p is negative when the bridge is stretched, positive when compressed.

As usual, u and $\partial_t u$ are required to satisfy initial conditions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & x \in [0, 1], \\ \partial_t u(x, 0) &= u_1(x), & x \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Concerning the boundary conditions, we consider here the case when both ends of the bridge are pinned. Namely, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we assume

$$u(0, t) = u(1, t) = \partial_{xx}u(0, t) = \partial_{xx}u(1, t) = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

This is the simpler choice. However, other types of boundary conditions with fixed ends are consistent with the extensibility assumption as well; for instance, when both ends are clamped, or when one end is clamped and the other one is pinned. We address the reader to [6] for a more detailed discussion. Assuming (1.5), the domain of the differential operator ∂_{xxxx} acting on $L^2(0, 1)$ is

$$\mathfrak{D}(\partial_{xxxx}) = \left\{ w \in H^4(0, 1) : w(0) = w(1) = \partial_{xx}w(0) = \partial_{xx}w(1) = 0 \right\}. \quad (1.6)$$

This operator is strictly positive selfadjoint with compact inverse, and its discrete spectrum is given by $\lambda_n = n^4 \pi^4$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\lambda_1 = \pi^4$ is the smallest eigenvalue. Besides, the peculiar relation

$$(\partial_{xxxx})^{1/2} = -\partial_{xx} \quad (1.7)$$

holds true, with Dirichlet boundary conditions and

$$\mathfrak{D}(-\partial_{xx}) = H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1). \quad (1.8)$$

Hence, if pinned ends are considered, the initial-boundary value problem (1.3)–(1.5) can be described by means of a single operator $A = \partial_{xxxx}$, which enters the equation at the powers 1 and 1/2. Namely,

$$\partial_{tt}u + Au + \partial_t u - \left(p - \|u\|_1^2\right)A^{1/2}u + k^2u^+ = f, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the norm of $H_0^1(0, 1)$. This fact is particularly relevant in the analysis of the *critical buckling load* p_c , that is, the magnitude of the compressive axial force $p > 0$ at which buckled stationary states appear.

As we shall show throughout the paper, this model leads to exact results which are rather simple to prove and, however, are capable of capturing the main behavioral dynamic characteristics of the bridge.

1.2. Earlier Contributions

In recent years, an increasing attention was paid to the analysis of buckling, vibrations, and postbuckling dynamics of nonlinear beam models, especially in connection with industrial applications [7, 8] and suspension bridges [9, 10]. As far as we know, most of the papers in the literature deal with approximations and numerical simulations, and only few works are able to derive exact solutions, at least under stationary conditions (see, e.g., [11–14]). In the sequel, we give a brief sketch of earlier contributions on this subject.

In the fifties, Woinowsky-Krieger [5] proposed to modify the theory of the dynamic Euler-Bernoulli beam, assuming a nonlinear dependence of the axial strain on the deformation gradient. The resulting motion equation,

$$\partial_{tt}u + \partial_{xxxx}u + \left(p - \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2\right)\partial_{xx}u = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

has been considered for hinged ends in the papers [15, 16], with particular reference to well-posedness results and to the analysis of the complex structure of equilibria. Adding an external viscous damping term $\partial_t u$ to the original conservative model, it becomes

$$\partial_{tt}u + \partial_{xxxx}u + \partial_t u + \left(p - \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2\right)\partial_{xx}u = 0. \quad (1.11)$$

Stability properties of the unbuckled (trivial) and the buckled stationary states of (1.11) have been established in [17, 18] and, more formally, in [19]. In particular, if $p < p_c$, the exponential decay of solutions to the trivial equilibrium state has been shown. The global dynamics of solutions for a general p has been first tackled in [20] and improved in [21], where the existence of a global attractor for (1.11) subject to hinged ends was proved relying on the construction of a suitable Lyapunov functional. In [22] previous results are extended to a more general form of the nonlinear term by virtue of a suitable decomposition of the semigroup first introduced in [6].

A different class of problems arises in the study of vibrations of a suspension bridge. The dynamic response of suspension bridges is usually analyzed by linearizing the equations of motion. When the effects of extensibility of the girder are neglected and the coupling with the main cable motion is disregarded, we obtain the well-known Lazer-McKenna equation (1.1). Free and forced vibrations in models of this type, both with constant and non constant loads, have been scrutinized in [10, 23]. The existence of strong solutions and global attractors for (1.1) has been recently obtained in [24].

In certain cases, Lazer-McKenna's model becomes inadequate and the effects of extensibility of the girder have to be taken into account. This can be done by introducing into the model equation (1.1) a geometric nonlinear term like that appearing in (1.10). Such a term is of some importance in the modeling of cable-stayed bridges (see, e.g., [1, 25]), where the elastic suspending cables are not vertical and produce a well-defined axial compression on the road bed.

Several studies have been devoted to the nonlinear vibrational analysis of mechanical models close to (1.3). Abdel-Ghaffar and Rubin [9, 26] presented a general theory and analysis of the nonlinear free coupled vertical-torsional vibrations of suspension bridges. They developed approximate solutions by using the method of multiple scales via a perturbation technique. If torsional vibrations are ignored, their model reduces to (1.3). Exact solutions to this problem, at least under stationary conditions, have been recently exhibited in [14].

1.3. Outline of the Paper

In the next Section 2, we formulate an abstract version of the problem. We observe that its solutions are generated by a solution operator $S(t)$, which turns out to be a strongly continuous semigroup in the autonomous case. The existence of an absorbing set for the solution operator $S(t)$ is proved in Section 3 by virtue of a Gronwall-type Lemma. Section 4 is focused on the autonomous case and contains our main result. Namely, we establish the *existence of the regular global attractor* for a general p . In particular, we prove this by appealing to the existence of a Lyapunov functional and without requiring any assumption on the strength of the dissipation term. A characterization of the global attractor is given in terms of the steady states of the system (1.3)–(1.5). First, we proceed with some preliminary estimates and prove the exponential stability of the system provided that the axial force p is smaller than p_c . Finally, the smoothing property of the semigroup generated by the abstract problem is stated via a suitable decomposition first devised in [6].

2. The Dynamical System

In the sequel, we recast problem (1.3)–(1.5) into an abstract setting in order to establish more general results.

Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Hilbert space, and let $A : \mathfrak{D}(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ be a strictly positive selfadjoint operator with compact inverse. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we introduce the scale of Hilbert spaces generated by the powers of A

$$H^r = \mathfrak{D}(A^{r/4}), \quad \langle u, v \rangle_r = \langle A^{r/4}u, A^{r/4}v \rangle, \quad \|u\|_r = \|A^{r/4}u\|. \quad (2.1)$$

When $r = 0$, the index r is omitted. The symbol $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ will also be used to denote the duality product between H^r and its dual space H^{-r} . In particular, we have the compact embeddings $H^{r+1} \hookrightarrow H^r$, along with the generalized Poincaré inequalities

$$\lambda_1 \|u\|_r^4 \leq \|u\|_{r+1}^4, \quad \forall u \in H^{r+1}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\lambda_1 > 0$ is the first eigenvalue of A . Finally, we define the product Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{H}^r = H^{r+2} \times H^r. \quad (2.3)$$

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the following abstract Cauchy problem on $\mathcal{H}$ in the unknown variable $u = u(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{tt}u + Au + \partial_t u - \left(p - \|u\|_1^2\right) A^{1/2}u + k^2 u^+ &= f(t), \quad t > 0, \\ u(0) &= u_0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = u_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Problem (1.3)–(1.5) is just a particular case of the abstract system (2.4), obtained by setting $H = L^2(0, 1)$ and $A = \partial_{xxxx}$ with the boundary condition (1.5).

The following well-posedness result holds.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(0, T; H)$. Then, for all initial data $z = (u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{H}$, problem (2.4) admits a unique solution*

$$(u(t), \partial_t u(t)) \in C(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \quad (2.5)$$

which continuously depends on the initial data.

We omit the proof of this result, which is based on a standard Galerkin approximation procedure (see, e.g., [15, 17]), together with a slight generalization of the usual Gronwall lemma. In particular, the uniform-in-time estimates needed to obtain the global existence are exactly the same as those we use in proving the existence of an absorbing set.

In light of Proposition 2.1, we define the *solution operator*

$$S(t) \in C(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

as

$$z = (u_0, u_1) \mapsto S(t)z = (u(t), \partial_t u(t)). \quad (2.7)$$

Besides, for every $z \in \mathcal{H}$, the map $t \mapsto S(t)z$ belongs to $C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{H})$. Actually, it is a standard matter to verify the joint continuity

$$(t, z) \mapsto S(t)z \in C(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}). \quad (2.8)$$

Remark 2.2. In the autonomous case, namely, when f is time-independent, the semigroup property

$$S(t + \tau) = S(t)S(\tau) \quad (2.9)$$

holds for all $t, \tau \geq 0$. Thus, $S(t)$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of operators on $\mathcal{H}$ which continuously depends on the initial data: for any initial data $z \in \mathcal{H}$, $S(t)z$ is the unique weak solution to (2.4), with related norm given by

$$\mathcal{E}(z) = \|z\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|^2. \quad (2.10)$$

For any $z = (u, v) \in \mathcal{H}$, we define the *energy* corresponding to z as

$$E(z) = \mathcal{E}(z) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|u\|_1^2 - p \right)^2 + k^2 \|u^+\|^2, \quad (2.11)$$

and, abusing the notation, we denote $E(S(t)z)$ by $E(t)$ for each given initial data $z \in \mathcal{H}$. Multiplying the first equation in (2.4) by $\partial_t u$, because of the relation

$$k^2 \langle u^+, \partial_t u \rangle = \frac{k^2}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u^+\|^2 \right), \quad (2.12)$$

we obtain the *energy identity*

$$\frac{d}{dt} E + 2 \|\partial_t u\|^2 = 2 \langle \partial_t u, f \rangle. \quad (2.13)$$

In particular, for every $T > 0$, there exists a positive increasing function Q_T such that

$$E(t) \leq Q_T(E(0)), \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.14)$$

3. The Absorbing Set

It is well known that the absorbing set gives a first rough estimate of the dissipativity of the system. In addition, it is the preliminary step to scrutinize its asymptotic dynamics (see, for instance, [27]). Here, due to the joint presence of geometric and cable-response nonlinear terms in (2.4), a direct proof of the existence of the absorbing set via *explicit* energy estimates is nontrivial. Indeed, the double nonlinearity cannot be handled by means of standard arguments, as either in [3] or in [24]. Dealing with a given time-dependent external force f fulfilling suitable translation compactness properties, a direct proof of the existence of an absorbing set is achieved here by means of a generalized Gronwall-type lemma devised in [28].

An absorbing set for the solution operator $S(t)$ (referred to the initial time $t = 0$) is a bounded set $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{H}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ with the following property: for every $R \geq 0$, there is an *entering time* $t_R \geq 0$ such that

$$\bigcup_{t \geq t_R} S(t)z \subset \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad (3.1)$$

whenever $\|z\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq R$. In fact, we are able to establish a more general result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$, and let $\partial_t f$ be a translation bounded function in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-2})$, that is,*

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \int_t^{t+1} \|\partial_t f(\tau)\|_{-2}^2 d\tau = M < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, there exists $R_0 > 0$ with the following property: in correspondence of every $R \geq 0$, there is $t_0 = t_0(R) \geq 0$ such that

$$E(t) \leq R_0, \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad (3.3)$$

whenever $E(0) \leq R$. Both R_0 and t_0 can be explicitly computed.

We are able to establish Theorem 3.1, leaning on the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 (see [28, Lemma 2.5]). *Let $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be an absolutely continuous function satisfying, for some $M \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, the differential inequality*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Lambda(t) + \varepsilon \Lambda(t) \leq \varphi(t), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is any locally summable function such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \int_t^{t+1} \varphi(\tau) d\tau \leq M. \quad (3.5)$$

Then, there exist $R_1 > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that, for every $R \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\Lambda(t) \leq R_1, \quad \forall t \geq R^{1/\gamma} (1 + \gamma M)^{-1}, \quad (3.6)$$

whenever $\Lambda(0) \leq R$. Both R_1 and γ can be explicitly computed in terms of M and ε .

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Here and in the sequel, we will tacitly use several times the Young and the Hölder inequalities, besides the usual Sobolev embeddings. The generic positive constant C appearing in this proof may depend on p and $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H)}$.

On account of (2.13), by means of the functional

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = E(z) - 2\langle u, f \rangle, \quad (3.7)$$

we introduce the function

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = E(t) - 2\langle u(t), f(t) \rangle, \quad (3.8)$$

which satisfies the differential equality

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L} + 2\|\partial_t u\|^2 = -2\langle u, \partial_t f \rangle. \quad (3.9)$$

Because of the control

$$2|\langle u, \partial_t f \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_2^2 + 2\|\partial_t f\|_{-2}^2, \quad (3.10)$$

we obtain the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L} + 2\|\partial_t u\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}E + 2\|\partial_t f\|_{-2}^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Next, we consider the auxiliary functional $\Upsilon(z) = \langle u, v \rangle$ and, regarding $\Upsilon(S(t)z)$ as $\Upsilon(t)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Upsilon + \Upsilon + \|u\|_2^2 + \left(\|u\|_1^2 - p\right)^2 + p\left(\|u\|_1^2 - p\right) + k^2\|u^+\|^2 - \langle u, f \rangle = \|\partial_t u\|^2. \quad (3.12)$$

Noting that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\|u\|_1^2 - p\right)^2 + p\left(\|u\|_1^2 - p\right) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_1^4 - \frac{1}{2}p^2, \quad (3.13)$$

we are led to

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Upsilon + \Upsilon + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_1^4 + \|u\|_2^2 + k^2\|u^+\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\|u\|_1^2 - p\right)^2 - \langle u, f \rangle = \|\partial_t u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}p^2. \quad (3.14)$$

Precisely, we end up with

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Upsilon + \Upsilon + \frac{1}{2}E \leq \frac{3}{2}\|\partial_t u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda_1}\|f\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}p^2. \quad (3.15)$$

Finally, we set

$$\Lambda(z) = \mathcal{L}(z) + \Upsilon(z) + C, \quad (3.16)$$

where $C = (2/\lambda_1)\|f\|^2 + 1/2\lambda_1 + |p|/2\sqrt{\lambda_1}$. We first observe that $\Lambda(z)$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}E(z) \leq \Lambda(z) \leq 2E(z) + c. \quad (3.17)$$

In order to estimate Λ from below, a straightforward calculation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(z) &\geq E(z) - 2|\langle u, f \rangle| - |\Upsilon(z)| + C \\ &\geq E(z) - \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\|u\|_1^2 - p)^2 - 2\|f\|_{-2}^2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda_1} - \frac{|p|}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + C \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\|u\|_1^2 - p)^2 + k^2\|u^+\|^2 - 2\|f\|_{-2}^2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda_1} - \frac{|p|}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + C \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}E(z) - \frac{2}{\lambda_1}\|f\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\lambda_1} - \frac{|p|}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + C \geq \frac{1}{2}E(z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where we take advantage of

$$\begin{aligned} |\Upsilon(z)| &\leq \|u\|\|v\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\lambda_1}}\|u\|_1\|v\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\|u\|_1^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}}(\|u\|_1^2 - p) + \frac{|p|}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\|u\|_1^2 - p)^2 + \frac{|p|}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The upper bound for Λ can be easily achieved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(z) &\leq E(z) + \|u\|_2^2 + \|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_1^4 + \|f\|_{-2}^2 + \frac{1}{32\lambda_1} + C \\ &\leq 2E(z) + \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\|f\|^2 + \frac{1}{32\lambda_1} + C \leq 2E(z) + c, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

by virtue of

$$|\Upsilon(z)| \leq \|u\|\|v\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\lambda_1}}\|u\|_1\|v\| \leq \|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\|u\|_1^2 \leq \|v\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_1^4 + \frac{1}{32\lambda_1}. \quad (3.21)$$

Going back to differential equation and making use of (3.9) and (3.15), the function $\Lambda(t) = \Lambda(S(t)z)$ satisfies the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Lambda + \frac{\Lambda}{2} + \frac{\Upsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\partial_t u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_1^4 + \frac{1}{2}k^2\|u^+\|^2 = -2\langle u, \partial_t f \rangle + \frac{p^2}{2}, \quad (3.22)$$

and, as a consequence, we obtain the estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Lambda + \frac{\Lambda}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\Upsilon + \|u\|_2^2 + \|\partial_t u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_1^4 + 4\langle u, \partial_t f \rangle\right) \leq \frac{p^2}{2}. \quad (3.23)$$

Now, using (3.21) and (3.10), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Lambda + \frac{\Lambda}{2} \leq 2\|\partial_t f\|_{-2}^2 + c, \quad (3.24)$$

where $c = 1/16\lambda_1 + p^2/2$. Thus, by virtue of (3.2) and (3.17), Lemma 3.2 yields

$$E(t) \leq 2\Lambda(t) \leq 2R_1(M, c). \quad (3.25)$$

□

Remark 3.3. If the set of stationary solutions to (2.4) shrinks to a single element, the subsequent asymptotic behavior of the system becomes quite simple. Indeed, this occurs when $p < p_c = \sqrt{\lambda_1}$. If this is the case, the only trivial solution exists and is exponentially stable, as it will be shown in Section 4. The more complex and then attractive situation occurs when the set of steady solutions contains a large (possibly infinite) amount of elements. To this end, we recall here that the set of the bridge stationary-solutions (equilibria) has a very rich structure, even when $f = 0$ (see [14]).

4. The Global Attractor

In the remaining of the paper, we simplify the problem by assuming that the external force f is time-independent. In which case, the operator $S(t)$ is a strongly continuous semigroup on $\mathcal{H}$ (see Remark 2.2). Having been proved in Section 3 the existence of the absorbing set $\mathfrak{B}$, we could then establish here the existence of a global attractor by showing that the semigroup $S(t)$ admits a bounded absorbing set in a more regular space and that it is uniformly compact for large values of t (see, e.g., [27, Theorem 1.1]). In order to obtain asymptotic compactness, the α -contraction method should be employed (see [20] for more details). If applied to (2.4), however, such a strategy would need a lot of calculations and, what is more, would provide some regularity of the attractor only if the dissipation is large enough (see [21]).

Noting that in the autonomous case, problem (2.4) becomes a *gradient system*, there is a way to overcome these difficulties by using an alternative approach which appeals to the existence of a Lyapunov functional in order to prove the existence of a global attractor. This technique has been successfully adopted in some recent papers concerning some related problems, just as the longterm analysis of the transversal motion of extensible viscoelastic [6] and thermoelastic [29] beams.

We recall that the global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ is the unique compact subset of $\mathcal{H}$ which is at the same time

(i) attracting:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta(S(t)\mathfrak{B}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.1)$$

for every bounded set $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{L}$, where δ denotes the usual Hausdorff semidistance in $\mathcal{L}$,

(ii) fully invariant:

$$S(t)\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

We address the reader to the books [20, 27, 30] for a detailed presentation of the theory of attractors.

Theorem 4.1. *The semigroup $S(t)$ acting on $\mathcal{L}$ possesses a connected global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ bounded in $\mathcal{L}^2$. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}$ coincides with the unstable manifold of the set $\mathcal{S}$ of the stationary points of $S(t)$, namely,*

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ z(0) : z \text{ is a complete (bounded) trajectory of } S(t) : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|z(-t) - \mathcal{S}\|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0 \right\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Remark 4.2. Due to the regularity and the invariance of $\mathcal{A}$, we observe that $S(t)z$ is a strong solution to (2.4) whenever $z \in \mathcal{A}$.

The set $\mathcal{S}$ of the bridge equilibria under a vanishing lateral load consists of all the pairs $(u, 0) \in \mathcal{L}$ such that the function u is a weak solution to the equation

$$Au - \left(p - \|u\|_1^2 \right) A^{1/2}u + k^2 u^+ = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

In particular, u solves the following boundary value problem on the interval $[0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{xxxx}u + \left(b\pi^2 - \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \right) \partial_{xx}u + \kappa^2 \pi^4 u^+ &= 0, \\ u(0) = u(1) = \partial_{xx}u(0) = \partial_{xx}u(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where we let $k = \kappa\pi^2$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and $p = b\pi^2$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$. It is then apparent that $\mathcal{S}$ is bounded in $H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1)$ for every $b, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$.

When $\kappa = 0$, a general result has been established in [13] for a class of nonvanishing sources. In [11, 12], the same strategy with minor modifications has been applied to problems close to (4.5), where the term u^+ is replaced by u (unyielding ties).

The set of buckled solutions to problem (4.5) is built up and scrutinized in [14]. In order to have a finite number of solutions, we need all the bifurcation values to be distinct. This occurrence trivially holds when $\kappa = 0$, because of the spectral properties of the operator ∂_{xxxx} . On the contrary, for general values of κ , all critical values “move” when κ increases, as well as in [12]. Hence, it may happen that two different bifurcation values overlap for special values of κ , in which case they are referred as *resonant values*.

Assuming that $\kappa = 1$, for instance, Figure 1 shows the bifurcation picture of solutions in dependence on the applied axial load $p = b\pi^2$. In particular, $u_0 = 0$ and

$$u_1^\pm(x) = A_1^\pm \sin(\pi x), \quad A_1^- = -\sqrt{2(b-1)}, \quad A_1^+ = \sqrt{2(b-2)}. \quad (4.6)$$

Figure 1: The bifurcation picture for $\kappa = 1$.

4.1. The Lyapunov Functional and Preliminary Estimates

We begin to prove the existence of a Lyapunov functional for $S(t)$, that is, a function $\mathcal{L} \in C(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{L}(z) \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\|z\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}(S(t)z)$ is nonincreasing for any $z \in \mathcal{H}$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{L}(S(t)z) = \mathcal{L}(z)$ for all $t > 0$ implies that $z \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 4.3. *If f is time-independent, the functional $\mathcal{L}$ defined in (3.7) is a Lyapunov functional for $S(t)$.*

Proof. Assertion (i) holds by the continuity of $\mathcal{L}$ and by means of the estimates

$$\frac{1}{2}E(z) - c \leq \mathcal{L}(z) \leq \frac{3}{2}E(z) + c. \quad (4.7)$$

Using (3.9), we obtain quite directly that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}(S(t)z) = -2\|\partial_t u(t)\|^2 \leq 0, \quad (4.8)$$

which proves the decreasing monotonicity of $\mathcal{L}$ along the trajectories departing from z . Finally, if $\mathcal{L}(S(t)z)$ is constant in time, we have that $\partial_t u = 0$ for all t , which implies that $u(t)$ is constant. Hence, $z = S(t)z = (u_0, 0)$ for all t , that is, $z \in \mathcal{S}$. $\square$

The existence of a Lyapunov functional ensures that $E(t)$ is bounded. In particular, bounded sets have bounded orbits.

Notation 1. Till the end of the paper, $Q : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ will denote a *generic* increasing monotone function depending explicitly only on R and implicitly on the structural constants of the problem. The actual expression of Q may change, even within the same line of a given equation.

Lemma 4.4. *Given $f \in H$, for all $t > 0$ and initial data $z \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|z\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq R$,*

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq Q(R). \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. Inequality (4.8) ensures that

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \mathcal{L}(S(t)z) \leq \mathcal{L}(z) \leq Q(R) \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Moreover, taking into account that

$$\|u(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \|u(t)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \mathcal{E}(t), \quad (4.11)$$

we obtain the estimate

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \geq \mathcal{E}(t) - 2\langle f, u(t) \rangle \geq \mathcal{E}(t) - 2\|f\|_{-2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}(t) - \frac{2}{\lambda_1}\|f\|^2. \quad (4.12)$$

Finally, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq 2\mathcal{L}(t) + \frac{4}{\lambda_1}\|f\|^2 \leq 2Q(R) + \frac{4}{\lambda_1}\|f\|^2 = Q(R). \quad (4.13)$$

□

Lemma 4.5. Let $p < \sqrt{\lambda_1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_p(u) = Au - pA^{1/2}u$. Then

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_p(u), u \rangle \geq C(p)\|u\|_2^2, \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$C(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & p \leq 0, \\ \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right), & 0 < p < \sqrt{\lambda_1}. \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

Proof. Because of the identity

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_p(u), u \rangle = \|u\|_2^2 - p\|u\|_1^2, \quad (4.16)$$

the thesis is trivial when $p \leq 0$. On the other hand, when $0 < p < \sqrt{\lambda_1}$ we have

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_p(u), u \rangle = \|u\|_2^2 - p\|u\|_1^2 \geq \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right)\|u\|_2^2. \quad (4.17)$$

□

We are now in a position to prove the following.

Theorem 4.6. When $f = 0$, the solutions to (1.3)–(1.5) decay exponentially, that is,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq c_0 \mathcal{E}(0) e^{-ct} \quad (4.18)$$

with c_0 and c being suitable positive constants, provided that $p < \sqrt{\lambda_1}$.

Proof. Let Φ be the functional

$$\Phi(z) = E(z) + \varepsilon Y(z) - \frac{1}{2} p^2, \quad (4.19)$$

where the constant

$$\varepsilon = \min\{\lambda_1 C(p), 1\} \quad (4.20)$$

is positive provided that $p < \sqrt{\lambda_1}$. In view of applying Lemma 4.5, we remark that

$$\Phi = \langle \mathcal{F}_p(u), u \rangle + \|\partial_t u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_1^4 + \varepsilon \langle u, \partial_t u \rangle + k^2 \|u^+\|^2. \quad (4.21)$$

The first step is to prove the equivalence between $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ , that is,

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2\lambda_1} \mathcal{E} \leq \Phi \leq Q(\|z\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \mathcal{E}. \quad (4.22)$$

By virtue of (2.2), (4.20), and Lemma 4.5, the lower bound is provided by

$$\Phi \geq \left(C(p) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\lambda_1} \right) \|u\|_2^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \|\partial_t u\|^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\lambda_1} \mathcal{E}. \quad (4.23)$$

On the other hand, by applying Young inequality and using (2.2), we can write the following chain of inequalities which gives the upper bound of Φ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &\leq \left(C(p) + \frac{k^2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_1} \right) \|u\|_2^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \right) \|\partial_t u\|^2 - p \|u\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_1^4 \\ &\leq \left(1 + C(p) + \frac{k^2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_1} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \right) \mathcal{E} + \|u\|_1^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \|u\|_1^2 - p \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

In particular, from (4.9) and (4.15), we find

$$\Phi \leq \left(2 + \frac{k^2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{2\lambda_1} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} + \frac{Q(\|z\|_{\mathcal{H}})}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} \right) \mathcal{E} = Q(\|z\|_{\mathcal{H}}) \mathcal{E}. \quad (4.25)$$

The last step is to prove the exponential decay of Φ . To this aim, we obtain the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi + \varepsilon\Phi + 2(1 - \varepsilon)\|\partial_t u\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|u\|_1^4 + \varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)\langle \partial_t u, u \rangle = 0, \quad (4.26)$$

where ε is given by (4.20). Exploiting the Young inequality and (4.22), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi + \varepsilon\Phi + (1 - \varepsilon)\|\partial_t u\|^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2(1 - \varepsilon)}{4\lambda_1}\|u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)}{2}\Phi, \quad (4.27)$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi + \frac{\varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon)}{2}\Phi \leq 0. \quad (4.28)$$

Letting $c = \varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon)/2$, by virtue of Lemma 3.2 (with $M = 0$) and (4.22), we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2\lambda_1}\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \Phi(t) \leq \Phi(0)e^{-ct} \leq Q(\|z\|_{\mathcal{H}})\mathcal{E}(0)e^{-ct}. \quad (4.29)$$

The thesis follows by putting $c_0 = 2\lambda_1 Q(\|z\|_{\mathcal{H}})/\varepsilon$. $\square$

The existence of a Lyapunov functional, along with the fact that $\mathcal{S}$ is a bounded set, allows us prove the existence of the attractor by showing a suitable (exponential) asymptotic compactness property of the semigroup, which will be obtained by exploiting a particular decomposition of $S(t)$ devised in [6] and following a general result (see [31, Lemma 4.3]), tailored to our particular case.

4.2. The Semigroup Decomposition

By the interpolation inequality $\|u\|_1^2 \leq \|u\|\|u\|_2$ and (2.2), it is clear that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u\|_2^2 \leq \|u\|_2^2 - p\|u\|_1^2 + \alpha\|u\|^2 \leq m\|u\|_2^2, \quad (4.30)$$

provided that $\alpha > 0$ is large enough and for some $m = m(p, \alpha) \geq 1$.

Again, $R > 0$ is fixed and $\|z\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq R$. Choosing $\alpha > 0$ such that (4.30) holds, according to the scheme first proposed in [6], we decompose the solution $S(t)z$ into the sum

$$S(t)z = L(t)z + K(t)z, \quad (4.31)$$

where

$$L(t)z = (v(t), \partial_t v(t)), \quad K(t)z = (w(t), \partial_t w(t)) \quad (4.32)$$

solve the systems

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{tt}v + Av + \partial_tv - (p - \|u\|_1^2)A^{1/2}v + \alpha v &= 0, \\ (v(0), \partial_tv(0)) &= z, \\ \partial_{tt}w + Aw + \partial_tw - (p - \|u\|_1^2)A^{1/2}w - \alpha v + k^2u^+ &= f, \\ (w(0), \partial_tw(0)) &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.33}$$

The next three lemmas show the asymptotic smoothing property of $S(t)$, for initial data bounded by R . We begin to prove the exponential decay of $L(t)z$. Then, we prove the asymptotic smoothing property of $K(t)$.

Lemma 4.7. *There is $\omega = \omega(R) > 0$ such that*

$$\|L(t)z\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq Ce^{-\omega t}.\tag{4.34}$$

Proof. After denoting

$$\xi_0(t) = \xi_0(L(t)z) = \|L(t)z\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|v(t)\|_2^2 + \|\partial_tv(t)\|^2,\tag{4.35}$$

we set $\Phi_0(t) = \Phi_0(L(t)z, u(t))$, where $u(t)$ is the first component of $S(t)z$ and

$$\Phi_0(L(t)z, u(t)) = \xi_0(L(t)z) - p\|v(t)\|_1^2 + \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\right)\|v(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|_1^2\|v(t)\|_1^2 + \langle \partial_tv(t), v(t) \rangle.\tag{4.36}$$

In light of Lemma 4.4 and inequalities (4.30), we have the bounds

$$\frac{1}{2}\xi_0 \leq \Phi_0 \leq Q(R)\xi_0.\tag{4.37}$$

Now, we compute the time-derivative of Φ_0 along the solutions to system (4.33) and we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_0 + \Phi_0 = 2\langle \partial_tu, A^{1/2}u \rangle \|v\|_1^2 \leq Q(R)\|\partial_tu\|\Phi_0.\tag{4.38}$$

The exponential decay of Φ_0 is entailed by exploiting the following Lemma 4.8 and then applying Lemma 6.2 of [6]. From (4.37), the desired decay of ξ_0 follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\int_{\tau}^t \|\partial_tu(s)\| ds \leq \varepsilon(t - \tau) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{Q(R)}{\varepsilon},\tag{4.39}$$

for every $t \geq \tau \geq 0$.

Proof. After integrating (4.8) over (τ, t) and taking (4.9) into account, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}(S(t)z) - 2\frac{\|f\|^2}{\lambda_1} \leq \mathcal{L}(S(t)z) + 2\int_{\tau}^t \|\partial_t u(s)\|^2 ds = \mathcal{L}(S(\tau)z) \leq \mathcal{L}(z). \quad (4.40)$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\tau}^t \|\partial_t u(s)\|^2 ds \leq Q(R), \quad (4.41)$$

which, thanks to the Hölder inequality, yields

$$\int_{\tau}^t \|\partial_t u(s)\| ds \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{t - \tau} + \frac{Q(R)}{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon(t - \tau) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{Q(R)}{\varepsilon}, \quad (4.42)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. □

The next result provides the boundedness of $K(t)z$ in a more regular space.

Proof.

Lemma 4.9 (see [18, Lemma 6.3]). *The estimate*

$$\|K(t)z\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \leq Q(R) \quad (4.43)$$

holds for every $t \geq 0$.

As well as in [6], we use here the interpolation inequality

$$\|w\|_3^2 \leq \|w\|_2 \|w\|_4. \quad (4.44)$$

Jointly with $\|w\|_2 \leq Q(R)$ (which follows by comparison from (4.9) and Lemma 4.7), this entails

$$p\|w\|_3^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}_1 + Q(R), \quad (4.45)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_1(t) = \mathcal{E}_1(K(t)z) = \|K(t)z\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2 = \|w(t)\|_4^2 + \|\partial_t w(t)\|_2^2. \quad (4.46)$$

Letting

$$\Phi_1 = \mathcal{E}_1 + \left(\|u\|_1^2 - p\right)\|w\|_3^2 + \langle \partial_t w, Aw \rangle - 2\langle f, Aw \rangle + 2k^2 \langle u^+, Aw \rangle, \quad (4.47)$$

we have the bounds

$$\frac{1}{3}\xi_1 - Q(R) \leq \Phi_1 \leq Q(R)\xi_1 + Q(R). \quad (4.48)$$

Taking the time-derivative of Φ_1 , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\Phi_1 + \Phi_1 &= 2\langle \partial_t u, A^{1/2}u \rangle \|w\|_3^2 + 2\alpha \langle A^{1/2}v, A^{1/2}\partial_t w \rangle \\ &\quad + \left[\alpha \langle A^{1/2}v, A^{1/2}w \rangle - \langle f, Aw \rangle \right] - k^2 \langle Aw, u^+ \rangle + 2k^2 \langle Aw, \partial_t u^+ \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

Using (4.9) and (4.45), we control the rhs by

$$\frac{1}{8}\xi_1 + Q(R)\sqrt{\xi_1} + Q(R) \leq \frac{1}{4}\xi_1 + Q(R) \leq \frac{3}{4}\Phi_1 + Q(R), \quad (4.50)$$

and we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_1 + \frac{1}{4}\Phi_1 \leq Q(R). \quad (4.51)$$

Since $\Phi_1(0) = 0$, the standard Gronwall lemma yields the boundedness of Φ_1 . Then, by virtue of (4.48), we obtain the desired estimate for ξ_1 . $\square$

By collecting previous results, Lemma 4.3 in [31] can be applied to obtain the existence of the attractor $\mathcal{A}$ and its regularity. Within our hypotheses and by virtue of the decomposition (4.33), it is also possible to prove the existence of regular exponential attractors for $S(t)$ with finite fractal dimension in $\mathcal{L}$. This can be done by a procedure very close to that followed in [6]. Since the global attractor is the *minimal* closed attracting set, we can conclude that the fractal dimension of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{L}$ is finite as well.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the anonymous referees for their valuable remarks and comments.

References

- [1] W. Kanok-Nukulchai, P. K. A. Yiu, and D. M. Brotton, "Mathematical modelling of cable-stayed bridges," *Structural Engineering International*, vol. 2, pp. 108–113, 1992.
- [2] A. C. Lazer and P. J. McKenna, "Large-amplitude periodic oscillations in suspension bridges: some new connections with nonlinear analysis," *SIAM Review*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 537–578, 1990.
- [3] P. J. McKenna and W. Walter, "Nonlinear oscillations in a suspension bridge," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 167–177, 1987.
- [4] P. J. McKenna and W. Walter, "Travelling waves in a suspension bridge," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 703–715, 1990.
- [5] S. Woinowsky-Krieger, "The effect of an axial force on the vibration of hinged bars," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 17, pp. 35–36, 1950.

- [6] C. Giorgi, V. Pata, and E. Vuk, "On the extensible viscoelastic beam," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 713–733, 2008.
- [7] N. Lobontiu and E. Garcia, *Mechanics of Microelectromechanical Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, NY, USA, 2005.
- [8] A. H. Nayfeh and P. F. Pai, *Linear and Nonlinear Structural Mechanics*, Wiley Series in Nonlinear Science, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2004.
- [9] A. M. Abdel-Ghaffar and L. I. Rubin, "Non linear free vibrations of suspension bridges: application," *Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 109, pp. 330–345, 1983.
- [10] N. U. Ahmed and H. Harbi, "Mathematical analysis of dynamic models of suspension bridges," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 853–874, 1998.
- [11] I. Bochicchio, C. Giorgi, and E. Vuk, "Steady states analysis and exponential stability of an extensible thermoelastic system," *Communication to SIMAI Congress*, vol. 3, pp. 232–243, 2009.
- [12] I. Bochicchio and E. Vuk, "Buckling and longterm dynamics of a nonlinear model for the extensible beam," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 51, no. 5-6, pp. 833–846, 2010.
- [13] M. Coti Zelati, C. Giorgi, and V. Pata, "Steady states of the hinged extensible beam with external load," *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 43–58, 2010.
- [14] C. Giorgi and E. Vuk, "Exact solutions and critical axial loads of the extensible suspension bridge," *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. to appear.
- [15] J. M. Ball, "Stability theory for an extensible beam," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 14, pp. 399–418, 1973.
- [16] R. W. Dickey, "Free vibrations and dynamic buckling of the extensible beam," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 29, pp. 443–454, 1970.
- [17] J. M. Ball, "Initial-boundary value problems for an extensible beam," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 42, pp. 61–90, 1973.
- [18] R. W. Dickey, "Dynamic stability of equilibrium states of the extensible beam," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 41, pp. 94–102, 1973.
- [19] E. L. Reiss and B. J. Matkowsky, "Nonlinear dynamic buckling of a compressed elastic column," *Quarterly of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 29, pp. 245–260, 1971.
- [20] J. K. Hale, *Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems*, vol. 25 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1988.
- [21] A. Eden and A. J. Milani, "Exponential attractors for extensible beam equations," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 457–479, 1993.
- [22] M. Coti Zelati, "Global and exponential attractors for the singularly perturbed extensible beam," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 1041–1060, 2009.
- [23] Q. H. Choi and T. Jung, "A nonlinear suspension bridge equation with nonconstant load," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 649–668, 1999.
- [24] C. Zhong, Q. Ma, and C. Sun, "Existence of strong solutions and global attractors for the suspension bridge equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 442–454, 2007.
- [25] M. Virlogeux, "Bridges with multiple cable-stayed spans," *Structural Engineering International*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 61–82, 2001.
- [26] A. M. Abdel-Ghaffar and L. I. Rubin, "Non linear free vibrations of suspension bridges: theory," *Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 109, pp. 313–329, 1983.
- [27] R. Temam, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, vol. 68 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1997.
- [28] C. Giorgi, M. Grasselli, and V. Pata, "Uniform attractors for a phase-field model with memory and quadratic nonlinearity," *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 1395–1445, 1999.
- [29] C. Giorgi, M. G. Naso, V. Pata, and M. Potomkin, "Global attractors for the extensible thermoelastic beam system," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 246, no. 9, pp. 3496–3517, 2009.
- [30] V. V. Chepyzhov and M. I. Vishik, *Attractors for Equations of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 49 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2002.
- [31] M. Conti and V. Pata, "Weakly dissipative semilinear equations of viscoelasticity," *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 705–720, 2005.