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We discuss the existence of solution for a multipoint boundary value problem of fractional differential equation. An existence result is obtained with the use of the coincidence degree theory.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the multipoint boundary value problem

\[ D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) = f\left(t, u(t), D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(t), D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(t)\right) + e(t), \quad 0 < t < 1, \]

\[ \int_{0+}^{t} u(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(\xi_j), \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i u(\eta_i), \]

where \(2 < \alpha \leq 3\), \(0 < \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \cdots < \xi_n < 1\), \(n \geq 1\), \(0 < \eta_1 < \cdots < \eta_m < 1\), \(m \geq 2\), \(\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}\),

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \eta_i^{\alpha-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \eta_i^{\alpha-2} = 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j \xi_j = 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_j = 1, \]
For details, see [3–6] and the references therein. Recently, there are some papers dealing with the solvability of nonlinear boundary value problems of fractional differential equation, by use of techniques of nonlinear analysis (fixed-point theorems, Leray-Schauder theory, etc.), see, for example, [7, 13–15]. But there are few papers that consider the fractional-order boundary problems at resonance. Very recently [16, 17], Y. H. Zhang and Z. B. Bai considered the existence of solutions for the fractional ordinary differential equation

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = f(t, u(t), D_{0+}^{\alpha-(n-1)} u(t), \ldots, D_{0+}^{\alpha-2} u(t)) + e(t), \quad 0 < t < 1,$$

subject to the following boundary value conditions:

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha-n} u(0) = D_{0+}^{\alpha-(n-1)} u(0) = \cdots = D_{0+}^{\alpha-2} u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sigma u(\eta),$$

where $n > 2$ is a natural number, $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$ is a real number, $f : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and $e \in L^1[0,1]$, $\sigma \in (0,\infty)$, and $\eta \in (0,1)$ are given constants such that $\sigma \eta^{\alpha-1} = 1$. $D_{0+}^{\alpha}$ and $I_{0+}^{\alpha}$ are the standard Riemann-Liouville derivative and integral, respectively. By the conditions, the kernel of the linear operator is one dimensional.

Motivated by the above work and recent studies on fractional differential equations [8–18], in this paper, we consider the existence of solutions for multipoint boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) at resonance. Note that under condition (1.3), the kernel of the linear operator in (1.1), (1.2) is two dimensional. Our method is based upon the coincidence degree theory of Mawhin [18].

Now, we will briefly recall some notation and abstract existence result.

Let $Y, Z$ be real Banach spaces, let $L : \text{dom}(L) \subset Y \to Z$ be a Fredholm map of index zero, and let $P : Y \to Y$, $Q : Z \to Z$ be continuous projectors such that $\text{Im}(P) = \text{Ker}(P)$, $\text{Ker}(Q) = \text{Im}(L)$, and $Y = \text{Ker}(L) \oplus \text{Ker}(P)$, $Z = \text{Im}(L) \oplus \text{Im}(Q)$. It follows that $L|_{\text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P)} : \text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P) \to \text{Im}(L)$ is invertible. We denote the inverse of the map by $L^{-1}|_{\text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P)}$.
Lemma 2.3. In the recent literature for fractional calculus theory, and these definitions can be found
in the recent literature [1, 2].

2. Background Materials and Preliminaries

For the convenience of the reader, we present here some necessary basic knowledge and
definitions for fractional calculus theory, and these definitions can be found in the recent literature
[1, 2].

Definition 2.1. The fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function $y : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$I_{0^+}^\alpha y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds,$$

provided the right side is pointwise defined on $(0, \infty)$. And we let $I_{0^+}^0 y(t) = y(t)$ for every
continuous $y : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.2. The fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function $y : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$D_{0^+}^\alpha y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_0^t \frac{y(s)}{(t-s)^{\alpha-n+1}} ds,$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$, provided the right side is pointwise defined on $(0, \infty)$.

Lemma 2.3 (see [3]). Assume that $u \in C(0, 1) \cap L^1[0, 1]$ with a fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$
that belongs to $C(0, 1) \cap L^1[0, 1]$, then

$$I_{0^+}^\alpha D_{0^+}^\alpha u(t) = u(t) + C_1 t^{\alpha-1} + C_2 t^{\alpha-2} + \cdots + C_N t^{\alpha-N},$$

for some $C_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, where $N$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to $\alpha$. 

Theorem 1.1. Let $L$ be a Fredholm operator of index zero and $N$ be $L$-compact on $\overline{\Omega}$. Assume that the
following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$ for every $(x, \lambda) \in [(\text{dom}(L) \setminus \text{Ker}(L)) \cap \partial \Omega] \times (0, 1),$
(ii) $Nx \notin \text{Im}(L)$ for every $x \in \text{Ker}(L) \cap \partial \Omega,$
(iii) $\deg(jQN|_{\text{Ker}(L)}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), 0) \neq 0$, where $Q : Z \to Z$ is a projection as above with
$\text{Im}(L) = \text{Ker}(Q)$, and $j : \text{Im}(Q) \to \text{Ker}(L)$ is any isomorphism,

then the equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution in $\text{dom}(L) \cap \overline{\Omega}$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some notation and
Lemmas. In Section 3, we establish an existence theorem of a solution for the problem (1.1),
(1.2).
We use the classical space $C[0,1]$ with the norm \( \|x\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x(t)| \). Given \( \mu > 0 \) and \( N = \lfloor \mu \rfloor + 1 \), one can define a linear space

\[
C^\mu[0,1] := \left\{ u \mid u(t) = I_0^\mu x(t) + c_1t^{\mu-1} + c_2t^{\mu-2} + \cdots + c_{N-1}t^{\mu-(N-1)}, \ t \in [0,1] \right\},
\]

where \( x \in C[0,1] \) and \( c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ i = 1,2,\ldots,N-1 \). By means of the linear function analysis theory, one can prove that with the norm \( \|u\|_{C^\mu} = \|D^\mu_0 u\|_\infty + \cdots + \|D^{\mu-(N-1)}_0 u\|_\infty + \|u\|_\infty \), \( C^\mu[0,1] \) is a Banach space.

**Lemma 2.4** (see [7]). \( F \subset C^\mu[0,1] \) is a sequentially compact set if and only if \( F \) is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. Here, uniformly bounded means that there exists \( M > 0 \) such that for every \( u \in F \),

\[
\|u\|_{C^\mu} = \left\|D^\mu_0 u\right\|_\infty + \cdots + \left\|D^{\mu-(N-1)}_0 u\right\|_\infty + \|u\|_\infty < M,
\]

and equicontinuous means that for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \), \( \exists \delta > 0 \) such that

\[
|u(t_1) - u(t_2)| < \varepsilon, \quad (\forall t_1, t_2 \in [0,1], \ |t_1 - t_2| < \delta, \ \forall u \in F),
\]

\[
\left|D^\mu_x u(t_1) - D^\mu_x u(t_2)\right| < \varepsilon, \quad (t_1, t_2 \in [0,1], \ |t_1 - t_2| < \delta, \ \forall u \in F, \ \forall \alpha \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}).
\]

Let \( Z = L^1[0,1] \) with the norm \( \|g\|_1 = \int_0^1 |g(s)| \, ds \). \( Y = C^{\alpha-1}[0,1] = \{ u \mid u(t) = \int_0^t x(s) \, ds \} \), where \( x \in C[0,1], \ c \in \mathbb{R} \), with the norm \( \|u\|_{C^{\alpha-1}} = \|D^\alpha_0 u\|_\infty + \|D^{\alpha-2}_0 u\|_\infty + \|u\|_\infty \), and \( Y \) is a Banach space.

**Definition 2.5.** By a solution of the boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2), we understand a function \( u \in C^{\alpha-1}[0,1] \) such that \( D^\alpha_0 u \) is absolutely continuous on \( (0,1) \) and satisfies (1.1), (1.2).

**Definition 2.6.** We say that the map \( f : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) satisfies the Carathéodory conditions with respect to \( L^1[0,1] \) if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) for each \( z \in \mathbb{R} \), the mapping \( t \rightarrow f(t, z) \) is Lebesgue measurable,

(ii) for almost every \( t \in [0,1] \), the mapping \( z \rightarrow f(t, z) \) is continuous on \( \mathbb{R} \),

(iii) for each \( r > 0 \), there exists \( \rho_r \in L^1([0,1], \mathbb{R}) \) such that, for a.e., \( t \in [0,1] \) and every \( |z| \leq r \), we have \( |f(t, z)| \leq \rho_r(t) \).

Define \( L \) to be the linear operator from \( \text{dom}(L) \cap Y \) to \( Z \) with

\[
\text{dom}(L) = \left\{ u \in C^{\alpha-1}[0,1] \mid D^\mu_0 u \in L^1[0,1], \ u \text{ satisfies (1.2)} \right\},
\]

\[
Lu = D^\mu_0 u, \quad u \in \text{dom}(L).
\]

We define \( N : Y \rightarrow Z \) by setting

\[
Nu(t) = f \left( t, u(t), D^\alpha_0 u(t), D^{\alpha-2}_0 u(t) \right) + e(t).
\]
Then boundary value problem (1.1), (1.2) can be written as

\[ Lu = Nu. \] (2.9)

**Lemma 2.7.** Let condition (1.3) and (1.4) hold, then \( L : \text{dom}(L) \cap Y \rightarrow Z \) is a Fredholm map of index zero.

**Proof.** It is clear that \( \ker(L) = \{ at^{\alpha - 1} + bt^{\alpha - 2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \} \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \).

Let \( g \in Z \) and

\[ u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds + c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2}, \] (2.10)

then \( D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) = g(t) \) a.e., \( t \in (0,1) \) and, if

\[ \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds = 0, \] (2.11)

\[ \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s)^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds = 0 \]

hold. Then \( u(t) \) satisfies the boundary conditions (1.2), that is, \( u \in \text{dom}(L) \), and we have

\[ \{ g \in Z \mid g \text{ satisfies (2.11)} \} \subseteq \text{Im}(L). \] (2.12)

Let \( u \in \text{dom}(L) \), then for \( D_{0+}^\alpha u \in \text{Im}(L) \), we have

\[ u(t) = I_{0+}^\alpha D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) + c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2} + c_3 t^{\alpha-3}, \] (2.13)

which, due to the boundary value condition (1.2), implies that \( D_{0+}^\alpha u \) satisfies (2.11). In fact, from \( I_{0+}^{3-\alpha} u(0) = 0 \), we have \( c_3 = 0 \), from \( u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u(\eta_i) \), we have

\[ \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} D_{0+}^\alpha u(s) ds - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)^{\alpha-1} D_{0+}^\alpha u(s) ds = 0, \] (2.14)

and from \( D_{0+}^{\alpha-2} u(0) = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j D_{0+}^{\alpha-2} u(\xi_j) \), we have

\[ \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s) D_{0+}^\alpha u(s) ds = 0. \] (2.15)

Hence,

\[ \text{Im}(L) \subseteq \{ g \in Z \mid g \text{ satisfies (2.11)} \}. \] (2.16)
Therefore,

\[ \text{Im}(L) = \{ g \in Z \mid g \text{ satisfies (2.11)} \}. \]  

(2.17)

Consider the continuous linear mapping \( Q_1 : Z \to Z \) and \( Q_2 : Z \to Z \) defined by

\[
Q_1 g = \int_0^t (1 - s)^{\alpha - 1} g(s) ds - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)^{\alpha - 1} g(s) ds,
\]

\[
Q_2 g = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \int_0^{\xi_j} (\xi_j - s) g(s) ds.
\]

(2.18)

Using the above definitions, we construct the following auxiliary maps \( R_1, R_2 : Z \to Z \):

\[
R_1 g = \frac{1}{R} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \xi_j^\alpha Q_1 g(t) - \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(2\alpha - 1)} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \eta_i^{2\alpha - 2} \right) Q_2 g(t) \right],
\]

\[
R_2 g = -\frac{1}{R} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 2)} \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \xi_j^{\alpha + 1} Q_1 g(t) - \frac{(\Gamma(\alpha))^2}{\Gamma(2\alpha)} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \eta_i^{2\alpha - 1} \right) Q_2 g(t) \right].
\]

(2.19)

Since the condition (1.4) holds, the mapping \( Q : Z \to Z \) defined by

\[ (Qy)(t) = (R_1 g(t))^{t^\alpha - 1} + (R_2 g(t))^{t^\alpha - 2} \]

is well defined.

Recall (1.4) and note that

\[
R_1 \left( R_1 g^{t^\alpha - 1} \right) = \frac{1}{R} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \xi_j^\alpha Q_1 \left( R_1 g^{t^\alpha - 1} \right) \right. \\
- \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha - 1)}{\Gamma(2\alpha - 1)} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \eta_i^{2\alpha - 2} \right) Q_2 \left( R_1 g^{t^\alpha - 1} \right) \] \]

\[ = R_1 g \frac{1}{R} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - 1) \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(2\alpha)} \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \xi_j^\alpha \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \eta_i^{2\alpha - 2} \right) \right. \\
- \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - 1) \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(2\alpha - 1) \Gamma(\alpha + 2)} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \eta_i^{2\alpha - 2} \right) \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \xi_j^{\alpha + 1} \] \\
\[ = R_1 g, \]

(2.21)
and similarly we can derive that

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 \left( R_2 g t^{a-2} \right) &= 0, \\
R_2 \left( R_1 g t^{a-1} \right) &= 0, \\
R_2 \left( R_2 g t^{a-2} \right) &= R_2 g.
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.22)

So, for \( g \in Z \), it follows from the four relations above that

\[
Q^2 g = R_1 \left( R_1 g t^{a-1} + R_2 g t^{a-2} \right) t^{a-1} + R_2 \left( R_1 g t^{a-1} + R_2 g t^{a-2} \right) t^{a-2}
\]

\[
= R_1 \left( R_1 g t^{a-1} \right) t^{a-1} + R_1 \left( R_2 g t^{a-2} \right) t^{a-1} + R_2 \left( R_1 g t^{a-1} \right) t^{a-2} + R_2 \left( R_2 g t^{a-2} \right) t^{a-2}
\]

\[
= R_1 g t^{a-1} + R_2 g t^{a-2}
\]

\[
= Q g,
\]

that is, the map \( Q \) is idempotent. In fact, \( Q \) is a continuous linear projector.

Note that \( g \in \text{Im}(L) \) implies \( Q g = 0 \). Conversely, if \( Q g = 0 \), then we must have \( R_1 g = R_2 g = 0 \); since the condition (1.4) holds, this can only be the case if \( Q_1 g = Q_2 g = 0 \), that is, \( g \in \text{Im}(L) \). In fact, \( \text{Im}(L) = \text{Ker}(Q) \).

Take \( g \in Z \) in the form \( g = (g - Q g) + Q g \), so that \( g - Q g \in \text{Im}(L) = \text{Ker}(Q) \) and \( Q g \in \text{Im}(Q) \). Thus, \( Z = \text{Im}(L) + \text{Im}(Q) \). Let \( g \in \text{Im}(L) \cap \text{Im}(Q) \) and assume that \( g(s) = a s^{a-1} + b s^{a-2} \) is not identically zero on \([0, 1]\), then, since \( g \in \text{Im}(L) \), from (2.11) and the condition (1.4), we derive \( a = b = 0 \), which is a contradiction. Hence, \( \text{Im}(L) \cap \text{Im}(Q) = \{0\} \); thus, \( Z = \text{Im}(L) \oplus \text{Im}(Q) \).

Now, \( \dim \text{Ker}(L) = 2 = \text{co dim } \text{Im}(L) \), and so \( L \) is a Fredholm operator of index zero.

}\(\Box\)

Let \( P : Y \to Y \) be defined by

\[
P u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} D_{0+}^{a-1} u(0) t^{a-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a - 1)} D_{0+}^{a-2} u(0) t^{a-2}, \quad t \in [0, 1].
\]  

(2.24)

Note that \( P \) is a continuous linear projector and

\[
\text{Ker}(P) = \left\{ u \in Y \mid D_{0+}^{a-1} u(0) = D_{0+}^{a-2} u(0) = 0 \right\}.
\]  

(2.25)

It is clear that \( Y = \text{Ker}(L) \oplus \text{Ker}(P) \).

Note that the projectors \( P \) and \( Q \) are exact. Define \( K_p : \text{Im}(L) \to \text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P) \) by

\[
K_p g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{a-1} g(s) ds = I_{0+}^a g(t).
\]  

(2.26)
Hence, we have

\begin{align}
D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}(K_PG)(t) &= \int_0^t g(s)ds, \\
D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}(K_PG)(t) &= \int_0^t (t-s)g(s)ds,
\end{align}

(2.27)

then \(\|K_PG\|_\infty \leq (1/\Gamma(\alpha))\|g\|_1,\) \(\|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}(K_PG)\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_1,\) \(\|D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}(K_PG)\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_1,\) and thus

\[\|K_PG\|_{C^{\alpha-1}} \leq \left(2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right)\|g\|_1.\]

(2.28)

In fact, if \(g \in \text{Im}(L),\) then \((LK_P)g = D_{0+}^\alpha L_0^\alpha g = g.\) Also, if \(u \in \text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P),\) then

\[(K_PG)(t) = L_0^\alpha D_{0+}^\alpha g(t) = g(t) + c_1 t^{\alpha-1} + c_2 t^{\alpha-2} + c_3 t^{\alpha-3},\]

(2.29)

from boundary value condition (1.2) and the fact that \(u \in \text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P),\) we have \(c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0.\) Thus,

\[K_P = \left(L_{|\text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P)}\right)^{-1}.\]

(2.30)

Using (2.19), we write

\[QNu(t) = (R_1 Nu)t^{\alpha-1} + (R_2 Nu)t^{\alpha-2},\]

\[K_P(I - Q)Nu(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}[Nu(s) - QNu(s)]ds.\]

(2.31)

With arguments similar to those of [7], we obtain the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.8. \(K_P(I - Q)N : Y \to Y\) is completely continuous.

3. The Main Results

Assume that the following conditions on the function \(f(t, x, y, z)\) are satisfied:

(H1) there exists a constant \(A > 0,\) such that for \(u \in \text{dom}(L) \backslash \text{Ker}(L)\) satisfying \(|D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(t)| + |D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(t)| > A\) for all \(t \in [0, 1],\) we have

\[Q_1 Nu(t) \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad Q_2 Nu(t) \neq 0,\]

(3.1)
(H2) there exist functions \( a, b, c, d, r \in L^1[0,1] \) and a constant \( \theta \in [0,1] \) such that for all \( (x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) and a.e., \( t \in [0,1] \), one of the following inequalities is satisfied:

\[
|f(t,x,y,z)| \leq a(t)|x| + b(t)|y| + c(t)|z| + d(t)|x|^\theta + r(t),
\]

\[
|f(t,x,y,z)| \leq a(t)|x| + b(t)|y| + c(t)|z| + d(t)|y|^\theta + r(t),
\]

\[
|f(t,x,y,z)| \leq a(t)|x| + b(t)|y| + c(t)|z| + d(t)|x|^\theta + r(t),
\]

(H3) there exists a constant \( B > 0 \) such that for every \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) satisfying \( a^2 + b^2 > B \), then either

\[
aR_1N\left(at^{\alpha-1} + bt^{\alpha-2}\right) + bR_2N\left(at^{\alpha-1} + bt^{\alpha-2}\right) < 0,
\]

or else

\[
aR_1N\left(at^{\alpha-1} + bt^{\alpha-2}\right) + bR_2N\left(at^{\alpha-1} + bt^{\alpha-2}\right) > 0.
\]

Remark 3.1. \( R_1N(at^{\alpha-1} + bt^{\alpha-2}) \) and \( R_2N(at^{\alpha-1} + bt^{\alpha-2}) \) from (H3) stand for the images of \( u(t) = at^{\alpha-1} + bt^{\alpha-2} \) under the maps \( R_1N \) and \( R_2N \), respectively.

Theorem 3.2. If (H1)–(H3) hold, then boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution provided that

\[
\|a\|_1 + \|b\|_1 + \|c\|_1 < \frac{1}{\tau},
\]

where \( \tau = 5 + 2/\Gamma(\alpha) + 1/\Gamma(\alpha - 1) \).

Proof. Set

\[
\Omega_1 = \{u \in \text{dom}(L) \setminus \text{Ker}(L) \mid Lu = \lambda Nu \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0,1]\},
\]

then for \( u \in \Omega_1 \), \( Lu = \lambda Nu \); thus, \( \lambda \neq 0 \), \( Nu \in \text{Im}(L) = \text{Ker}(Q) \), and hence \( QNu(t) = 0 \) for all \( t \in [0,1] \). By the definition of \( Q \), we have \( Q_1Nu(t) = Q_2Nu(t) = 0 \). It follows from (H1) that there exists \( t_0 \in [0,1] \) such that \( |D^{\alpha-1}_{0+}u(t_0)| + |D^{\alpha-2}_{0+}u(t_0)| \leq A \). Now,

\[
D^{\alpha-1}_{0+}u(t) = D^{\alpha-1}_{0+}u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t D^{\alpha}_{0+}u(s)ds,
\]

\[
D^{\alpha-2}_{0+}u(t) = D^{\alpha-2}_{0+}u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t D^{\alpha-1}_{0+}u(s)ds,
\]

(3.7)
so

\[ |D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(0)| \leq \left\| D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(t) \right\|_{\infty} \]

\[ \leq \left| D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(t_0) \right| + \left\| D_{0+}^{\alpha}u \right\|_1 \]

\[ \leq A + \left\| Lu \right\|_1 \]

\[ \leq A + \left\| Nu \right\|_1, \]

\[ |D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(0)| \leq \left\| D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(t) \right\|_{\infty}, \]

\[ \leq \left| D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(t_0) \right| + \left\| D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u \right\|_{\infty} \]

\[ \leq \left| D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(t_0) \right| + \left| D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(t_0) \right| + \left\| D_{0+}^{\alpha}u \right\|_1 \]

\[ \leq A + \left\| Lu \right\|_1 \]

\[ \leq A + \left\| Nu \right\|_1. \]

Now by (3.8), we have

\[ \|Pu\|_{C^{\alpha-1}} = \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(0)t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(0)t^{\alpha-2} \right\|_{C^{\alpha-1}} \]

\[ = \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(0)t^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(0)t^{\alpha-2} \right\|_{\infty} \]

\[ + \left\| D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(0) \right\|_{\infty} + \left\| D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(0)t + D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(0) \right\|_{\infty} \]

\[ \leq \left( 2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) \left| D_{0+}^{\alpha-1}u(0) \right| + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \right) \left| D_{0+}^{\alpha-2}u(0) \right| \]

\[ \leq \left( 2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) (A + \left\| Nu \right\|_1) + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \right) (A + \left\| Nu \right\|_1). \]

Note that \((I - P)u \in \text{Im}(K_P) = \text{dom}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(P)\) for \(u \in \Omega_1\), then, by (2.28) and (2.30),

\[ \|(I - P)u\|_{C^{\alpha-1}} = \|K_PL(I - P)\|_{C^{\alpha-1}} \]

\[ \leq \left( 2 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) \|L(I - P)u\|_1 \]

\[ = \left( 2 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) \|Lu\|_1 \]

\[ \leq \left( 2 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) \|Nu\|_1. \]
Using (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain
\[
\|u\|_{C^{α-1}} = \|Pu + (I - P)u\|_{C^{α-1}} \\
\leq \|Pu\|_{C^{α-1}} + \|(I - P)u\|_{C^{α-1}} \\
\leq \left( 2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(α)} \right) \left( A + \|Nu\|_1 \right) + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(α - 1)} \right) \left( A + \|Nu\|_1 \right) + \left( 2 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(α)} \right) \|Nu\|_1 \\
= \left( 5 + \frac{2}{\Gamma(α)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(α - 1)} \right) \|Nu\|_1 + \left( 3 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(α)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(α - 1)} \right) A \\
= \tau \|Nu\|_1 + C_1,
\]
(3.11)

where \( C_1 = (3 + 1/\Gamma(α) + 1/\Gamma(α - 1))A \) is a constant. This is for all \( u \in Ω_1, \)
\[
\|u\|_{C^{α-1}} \leq \tau \|Nu\|_1 + C_1.
\]
(3.12)

If the first condition of (H2) is satisfied, then we have
\[
\max \{ \|u\|_\infty, \|D^\alpha_0 u\|_\infty, \|D^{-2}\alpha_0 u\|_\infty \} \\
\leq \|u\|_{C^{α-1}} \leq \tau \left( \|a\|_1 \|u\|_\infty + \|b\|_1 \|D^\alpha_0 u\|_\infty + \|c\|_1 \|D^{-2}\alpha_0 u\|_\infty \right. \\
+ \|d\|_1 \|D^{-2}\alpha_0 u\|_\infty^\theta + \|r\|_1 + \|e\|_1 \left. \right) + C_1,
\]
(3.13)

and consequently,
\[
\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{\tau}{1 - \|a\|_1 \|\tau\|} \left( \|b\|_1 \|D^\alpha_0 u\|_\infty + \|c\|_1 \|D^{-2}\alpha_0 u\|_\infty + \|d\|_1 \|D^{-2}\alpha_0 u\|_\infty^\theta + \|r\|_1 + \|e\|_1 \right) \\
+ \frac{C_1}{1 - \|a\|_1 \|\tau\|},
\]
(3.14)

\[
\|D^\alpha_0 u\|_\infty \leq \frac{\tau}{1 - \|a\|_1 \|\tau\|} \left( \|c\|_1 \|D^\alpha_0 u\|_\infty + \|d\|_1 \|D^{-2}\alpha_0 u\|_\infty^\theta + \|r\|_1 + \|e\|_1 \right) \\
+ \frac{C_1}{1 - \|a\|_1 \|\tau\| - \|b\|_1 \|\tau\|},
\]
(3.15)

\[
\|D^{-1}\alpha_0 u\|_\infty \leq \frac{\tau \|d\|_1 \|D^{-2}\alpha_0 u\|_\infty^\theta}{1 - \|a\|_1 \|\tau\| - \|b\|_1 \|\tau\| - \|c\|_1 \|\tau\|} + \frac{\tau (\|r\|_1 + \|e\|_1) + C_1}{1 - \|a\|_1 \|\tau\| - \|b\|_1 \|\tau\| - \|c\|_1 \|\tau\|}.
\]
(3.16)
Note that \( \theta \in [0, 1) \) and \( \|a\|_1 + \|b\|_1 + \|c\|_1 < 1/\tau \), so there exists \( M_1 > 0 \) such that \( \|D_{0^+}^{\alpha-1}u\|_{\infty} \leq M_1 \) for all \( u \in \Omega_1 \). The inequalities (3.14) and (3.15) show that there exist \( M_2, M_3 > 0 \) such that \( \|D_{0^+}^{\alpha-1}u\|_{\infty} \leq M_2, \|u\|_{\infty} \leq M_3 \) for all \( u \in \Omega_1 \). Therefore, for all \( u \in \Omega_1 \), \( \|u\|_{C^{\alpha-1}} = \|u\|_{\infty} + \|D_{0^+}^{\alpha-2}u\|_{\infty} \leq M_1 + M_2 + M_3 \), that is, \( \Omega_1 \) is bounded given the first condition of (H2). If the other conditions of (H2) hold, by using an argument similar to the above, we can prove that \( \Omega_1 \) is also bounded.

Let

\[
\Omega_2 = \{ u \in \text{Ker}(L) \mid Nu \in \text{Im}(L) \}. \tag{3.17}
\]

For \( u \in \Omega_2 \), \( u \in \text{Ker}(L) = \{ u \in \text{dom}(L) \mid u = at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, 1] \} \), and \( QN(at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2}) = 0 \); thus, \( R_1 N(at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2}) = R_2 N(at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2}) = 0 \). By (H3), \( a^2 + b^2 \leq B \), that is, \( \Omega_2 \) is bounded.

We define the isomorphism \( J : \text{Im}(Q) \to \text{Ker}(L) \) by

\[
J(at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2}) = at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.18}
\]

If the first part of (H3) is satisfied, let

\[
\Omega_3 = \left\{ u \in \text{Ker}L : -\lambda J^{-1}u + (1 - \lambda) QNu = 0, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1] \right\}. \tag{3.19}
\]

For every \( at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2} \in \Omega_3 \),

\[
\lambda \left( at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2} \right) = (1 - \lambda) \left[ \left( R_1 N \left( at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2} \right) \right) t^{a-1} + \left( R_2 N \left( at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2} \right) \right) t^{a-2} \right]. \tag{3.20}
\]

If \( \lambda = 1 \), then \( a = b = 0 \), and if \( a^2 + b^2 > B \), then by (H3),

\[
\lambda \left( a^2 + b^2 \right) = (1 - \lambda) \left[ aR_1 N \left( at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2} \right) + bR_2 N \left( at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2} \right) \right] < 0, \tag{3.21}
\]

which, in either case, obtain a contradiction. If the other part of (H3) is satisfied, then we take

\[
\Omega_3 = \left\{ u \in \text{Ker}L : \lambda J^{-1}u + (1 - \lambda) QNu = 0, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1] \right\}, \tag{3.22}
\]

and, again, obtain a contradiction. Thus, in either case,

\[
\|u\|_{C^{\alpha-1}} = \|u\|_{\infty} + \|D_{0^+}^{\alpha-1}u\|_{\infty} + \|D_{0^+}^{\alpha-2}u\|_{\infty}
\]

\[
= \|at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2}\|_{C^{\alpha-1}} \tag{3.23}
\]

\[
= \|at^{a-1} + bt^{a-2}\|_{\infty} + \|a\Gamma(a)\|_{\infty} + \|a\Gamma(a)t + b\Gamma(a - 1)\|_{\infty}
\]

\[
\leq (1 + 2\Gamma(a)|a| + (1 + \Gamma(a - 1))|b|
\]

\[
\leq (2 + 2\Gamma(a) + \Gamma(a - 1))|a|,
\]
for all $u \in \Omega_2$, that is, $\Omega_3$ is bounded.

In the following, we will prove that all the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. Set $\Omega$ to be a bounded open set of $Y$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \overline{\Omega_i} \subset \Omega$. by Lemma 2.8, the operator $K_\rho(I - Q)N : \Omega \to Y$ is compact; thus, $N$ is $L$-compact on $\overline{\Omega}$, then by the above argument, we have

(i) $Lu \not\in \lambda Nx$, for every $(u, \lambda) \in \left((\text{dom}(L) \setminus \text{Ker} L) \cap \partial \Omega \right) \times (0, 1)$,
(ii) $Nu \not\in \text{Im}(L)$, for every $u \in \text{Ker}(L) \cap \partial \Omega$.

Finally, we will prove that (iii) of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. Let $H(u, \lambda) = \pm Iu + (1 - \lambda)JQN u$, where $I$ is the identity operator in the Banach space $Y$. According to the above argument, we know that

$$H(u, \lambda) \neq 0, \quad \forall u \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L),$$

and thus, by the homotopy property of degree,

$$\deg\left(JQN|_{\text{Ker}(L)}, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), 0 \right)$$

$$= \deg(H(\ldots, 0), \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), 0)$$

$$= \deg(H(\ldots, 1), \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), 0)$$

$$= \deg(\pm I, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}(L), 0)$$

$$= \pm 1 \neq 0,$$

then by Theorem 1.1, $Lu = Nu$ has at least one solution in $\text{dom}(L) \cap \overline{\Omega}$, so boundary problem (1.1), (1.2) has at least one solution in the space $C^{\alpha - 1}[0, 1]$. The proof is finished.
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