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This work is devoted to the study of a general class of anisotropic problems involving \(\vec{p}()\)-Laplace operator. Based on the variational method, we establish the existence of a nontrivial solution without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type conditions.

1. Introduction

The elliptic problems in anisotropic form concerning the Sobolev space with variable exponents have recently attracted the attention of many mathematicians; see [1–13] and the references therein. Such equations arise in connection with the equations describing electromagnetic fields and the plasma physics; see [14, 15] and various applications like those in thermorheological fluids [16], elastic mechanics [17], and image restoration [18]. They also appear in biology; see, for instance, Bendahmane et al. in [19], as a model for the propagation of epidemic diseases in heterogeneous domains.

In the present paper, we study the anisotropic nonlinear elliptic problem of the form

\[-\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i(x, \partial_x u) + |u|^{p_i(x)-2} u = f(x, u), \]

for \(x \in \Omega\), (1)

\[\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i(x, \partial_x u) \gamma_i = 0 \text{ for } x \in \partial \Omega,\]

where \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)\) is a bounded open set with smooth boundary and \(\gamma_i\) are the components of the outer normal unit vector and for \(i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}\), for all \(x \in \Omega, p_M = \max\{p_1(x), \ldots, p_N(x)\}\), where the exponents \(p_i : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}\) are continuous functions such that \(\inf_{x \in \Omega} p_i(x) > 1\).

We assume that the functions \(f\) and \(a_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}\) are Carathéodory and satisfying the following conditions for all \(i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}\).

(A1) There exists a positive constant \(\bar{c}_i\) such that \(a_i\) fulfills

\[|a_i(x, \xi)| \leq \bar{c}_i \left( b_i(x) + |\xi|^{p_i(x)-1} \right), \]

for all \(x \in \Omega\) and all \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N\), where \(b_i \in L^{p_i'(1)}(\Omega)\) (with \(1/p_i(x) + 1/p_i'(1) = 1\)) is a nonnegative function and \(A_i : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}\) is the mapping which verifies

\[A_i(x, \xi) = \int_0^{\xi} a_i(x, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{i-1}, s, \xi_{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_N) \, ds.\]

(A2) There exists \(d_i > 0\) such that

\[d_i |\xi|^{p_i(x)} \leq p_i(x) A_i(x, \xi)\]

for all \(x \in \Omega\) and all \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}\).

(A3) The monotonicity condition

\[|a_i(x, s) - a_i(x, t)| (s - t) > 0\]

takes place for all \(x \in \Omega\) and all \(s, t \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(s \neq t\).

Example 1. We take

\[a_i(x, s) = |s|^{p_i(x)-2} s, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, N\},\]
and then the operator

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_i} a_i (x, \partial_{x_i} u)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$
becomes in particular $\tilde{p}(\cdot)$-Laplace operator

$$\Delta_{\tilde{p}(\cdot)}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_i} a_i (x, \partial_{x_i} u).$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$
This is why operators (7) are often known as generalized $\tilde{p}(\cdot)$-Laplace type operators.

On the other hand, the anisotropic equations with the variable exponent growth conditions enable the study of equations with more complicated nonlinearities since the differential operator $\Delta_{\tilde{p}(\cdot)}(u)$ allows a distinct behavior for partial derivatives in various directions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the necessary notations; we also include some useful results involving the variable exponent Sobolev spaces in order to facilitate the reading of the paper. Finally, in Section 3, we prove the existence of nontrivial solution.

2. Preliminaries and Main Result

We introduce the setting of our problem with some auxiliary results. For convenience, we only recall some basic facts which will be used later; we refer to [20, 21].

For $r \in C_{+}(\overline{\Omega})$, we introduce the Lebesgue space with variable exponent defined by

$$L_{r}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{r(x)} \, dx < \infty \right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$
where

$$C_{+}(\overline{\Omega}) = \left\{ r \in C^{1}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}) : \inf_{x \in \Omega} r(x) > 1 \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$
This space, endowed with the Luxemburg norm,

$$\| u \|_{L_{r}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{r(x)}}{\mu} \, dx \leq 1 \right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$
is a separable and reflexive Banach space. We also have an embedding result.

**Proposition 2.** Assume that $\Omega$ is bounded and $r_1, r_2 \in C_{+}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $r_1 \leq r_2$ in $\Omega$. Then, the embedding $L_{r_2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_{r_1}(\Omega)$ is continuous.

Furthermore, the Hölder-type inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x) v(x) \, dx \leq 2 \| u \|_{L_{r_2}(\Omega)} \| v \|_{L_{r_1}(\Omega)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$
holds for all $u \in L_{r_2}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L_{r_1}(\Omega)$, where $L_{r}(\Omega)$ is the conjugate space of $L_{r}(\Omega)$, with $1/r(x) + 1/r'(x) = 1$.

Moreover, we denote

$$r^+ = \sup_{x \in \Omega} r(x),$$

$$r^- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} r(x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$
and, for $u \in L_{r}^{1}(\Omega)$, we have the following properties:

$$\| u \|_{L_{r}^{1}(\Omega)} < 1 \iff \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{r(x)} \, dx < 1;$$

$$\| u \|_{L_{r}^{1}(\Omega)} > 1 \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{r(x)} \, dx \leq \| u \|_{L_{r}^{1}(\Omega)};$$

$$\| u \|_{L_{r}^{1}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \iff \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{r(x)} \, dx \rightarrow 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$
To recall the definition of the isotropic Sobolev space with variable exponent, $W^{1,r}_{\mu}(\Omega)$, we set

$$W^{1,r}_{\mu}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L_{r}(\Omega) : \partial_{x_i} u \in L_{r}(\Omega), \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \right\};$$

endowed with the norm

$$\| u \|_{W^{1,r}_{\mu}(\Omega)} = \| u \|_{L_{r}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| \partial_{x_i} u \|_{L_{r}(\Omega)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$
The space $(W^{1,r}_{\mu}(\Omega), \| \cdot \|_{W^{1,r}_{\mu}(\Omega)})$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space.

Now, we consider $\tilde{p} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ to be the vectorial function

$$\tilde{p}(x) = (p_1(x), \ldots, p_N(x))$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$
with $p_i \in C_{+}(\overline{\Omega})$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and we put

$$p_{M}(x) = \max \{ p_1(x), \ldots, p_N(x) \},$$

$$p_{m}(x) = \min \{ p_1(x), \ldots, p_N(x) \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$
The anisotropic space with variable exponent is

$$X = W^{1,\tilde{p}}_{\mu}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L_{p_{M}}^{1}(\Omega) : \partial_{x_i} u \in L_{p_{M}}^{1}(\Omega), \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)$$
and it is endowed with the norm
\[
\|u\| = \|u\|_{W^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\partial_{x_i} u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \tag{23}
\]
The space \(W^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)\) is a reflexive Banach space. Furthermore, an embedding theorem takes place for all the exponents that are strictly less than a variable critical exponent, which is introduced with the help of the notations
\[
\bar{p}(x) = \frac{N}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} 1/p_j(x)},
\]
\[
r^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{N r(x)}{N - r(x)} & \text{if } r(x) < N, \\
\infty & \text{if } r(x) \geq N.
\end{cases} \tag{24}
\]

**Proposition 3.** Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) be a bounded open set for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) and \( p_i \in C_c(\bar{\Omega}) \) for all \( i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \). If \( q \in C(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}) \), \( 1 \leq q(x) < \max\{p^*(x), p_i(x)\} \) for all \( x \in \bar{\Omega} \), then one has the compact and continuous embedding \( W^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q^*}(\Omega) \).

**Remark 4.** We make the following notations:
\[
\mathcal{F}_1 = \left\{ i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \mid \|\partial_{x_i} u_n\|_{L^{p^*_i}(\Omega)} \leq 1 \right\},
\]
\[
\mathcal{F}_2 = \left\{ i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \mid \|\partial_{x_i} u_n\|_{L^{p^*_i}(\Omega)} > 1 \right\}. \tag{25}
\]

Then, by (14), (15), and (16),
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p^*_i(x)} \, dx = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}_1} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p^*_i(x)} \, dx
+ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}_2} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p^*_i(x)} \, dx
= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}_1} \|\partial_{x_i} u_n\|_{L^{p^*_i}(\Omega)}^{p^*_i}
+ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}_2} \|\partial_{x_i} u_n\|_{L^{p^*_i}(\Omega)}^{p^*_i}
\geq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}_1} \left|\partial_{x_i} u_n\right|_{L^{p^*_i}(\Omega)}^{p^*_i}
- \sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}_2} \left|\partial_{x_i} u_n\right|_{L^{p^*_i}(\Omega)}^{p^*_i}. \tag{26}
\]

Thus,
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p^*_i(x)} \, dx \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left|\partial_{x_i} u_n\right|_{L^{p^*_i}(\Omega)}^{p^*_i} - N. \tag{27}
\]

**Definition 5.** One defines the weak solution for problem (1) as a function \( u \in X \) satisfying
\[
\int_{\Omega} N \int_{\Omega} q(x, \partial_{x_i} u) \partial_{x_i} v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |uv^{p(x)-2} uv \, dx
- \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v \, dx = 0,
\]
for all \( v \in X \).

We suppose the following hypotheses.

(F1) There exist \( C > 0 \) and \( q \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \) with \( p^*_M < q^- \leq q^+ < \bar{p}^*(\cdot) \) for all \( x \in \overline{\Omega} \), such that \( f \) verifies
\[
|f(x, s)| \leq C \left(1 + |s|^{q(x)-1}\right) \tag{29}
\]
for all \( x \in \Omega \) and all \( s \in \mathbb{R} \).

(F2) \( \lim_{|t| \to \infty} (f(x, t)|t|^{p^*(x)}) = \infty \) uniformly for \( x \in \Omega \).

(F3) \( f(x, s) = O(|s|^{p^*(x)-1}) \) uniformly for \( x \in \Omega \).

(F4) There exist two positive constants \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) such that
\[
\psi_1(x, t) \leq \alpha \psi_1(x, s) \leq \beta \psi_2(x, s), \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq s, \tag{30}
\]
where
\[
\psi_1(x, t) = f(x, t) t - p^*_M F(x, t), \tag{31}
\]
\[
\psi_2(x, t) = f(x, t) t - p^*_M F(x, t),
\]
with \( F(x, t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} f(x, s) ds \).

(A4) \( p^*_M A_i(x, \xi) \geq a_i(x, \xi) |\xi|^2 \geq p^*_M A_i(x, \xi) \geq 0 \) for all \( x \in \Omega \) and all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R} \).

The function \( f(x, t) = |t|^{q(x)-2} t \), where \( p^*_M < q^- \leq q^+ < \bar{p}^*(\cdot) \) is an example of functions verifying the assumptions (F1)–(F4). In fact, we have
\[
F(x, t) = \frac{|t|^{q(x)}}{q(x)}, \tag{32}
\]
\[
f(x, t) t = |t|^{q(x)},
\]
and then we get
\[
\psi_1(x, t) = \left(1 - \frac{p^*_M}{q(x)}\right) |t|^{q(x)}, \tag{33}
\]
\[
\psi_2(x, t) = \left(1 - \frac{p^*_M}{q(x)}\right) |t|^{q(x)},
\]
which means that (F4) is satisfied since we have \( \psi_1(x, t) \) which is nondecreasing in \( t \geq 0 \) and then \( \psi_1(x, t) \leq \psi_1(x, s) \) when \( 0 \leq t \leq s \), so we take \( \alpha = 1 \). Taking into account that \( \psi_1, \psi_2 \geq 0 \), it follows that
\[
\psi_1(x, t) = \psi_2(x, t) \leq q^+ - p^*_M = \beta. \tag{34}
\]
Obviously the other assumptions are held. We report our main result.
Theorem 6. Under conditions (A1)–(A4) and (F1)–(F4), problem (1) has at least a nontrivial weak solution.

The purpose of this work is to improve the results of the above-mentioned papers and many others, without assuming the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type conditions (A-R) used, for instance, in [1–3, 10], where in (A-R) there exist $\theta > p_+^M, A > 0$ such that for any $x \in \Omega$ and $t \geq A$ we have

$$0 \leq \theta F(x,t) \leq f(x,t) t.$$  (35)

In fact, it is known that (F4) is much weaker than the (A-R) condition in the constant exponent case (see, for instance, [22]). We will use the mountain pass theorem with Cerami condition in the constant exponent case (see, for instance, in [4, 6]).

The energy functional corresponding to (1) is defined as

$$\phi: X \to \mathbb{R},$$

$$\phi(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i(x, \partial_x u) \partial_x u \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_M(x)} |u|^{p_M(x)} \, dx$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} F(x,u) \, dx.$$  (36)

By a standard argument, we can see that the functional $\phi$ is well defined and of class $C^1$, with its Gâteaux derivative being described by

$$\langle \phi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla A_i(x, \partial_x u) \partial_x v \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_M(x)-2} uv \, dx$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} F(x,u) \, v \, dx,$$  (37)

for all $u, v \in X$.

Putting

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i(x, \partial_x u) \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_M(x)} |u|^{p_M(x)} \, dx,$$  (38)

$$J(u) = - \int_{\Omega} F(x,u) \, dx.$$

Proposition 7. (i) By (A3), the functional $I'$ is of $(S_+)$ type; that is, if $u_n \to u$ and $\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} I'(u_n) - I'(u), u_n - u$, then $u_n \to u$ in $X$.

(ii) From (F1), the functional $I'$ is weakly strongly continuous; that is, $u_n \to u \Rightarrow I'(u_n) \to I'(u)$.

The proof of the first assertion (i) is similar to that in [2]. The second assertion is well known.

3. Proof of the Main Result

We will use the mountain pass theorem (see [23–25]), so we start by the condition of geometry in the form of the following lemma.

Lemma 8. (a) There exists $v \in X$ with $v > 0$ such that $\phi(tv) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

(b) There exist $r, \sigma > 0$ such that $\phi(u) \geq \sigma$ for $\|u\| = r$.

Proof. (a) From (F2), we may choose a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$F(x,s) > K |s|^{p_M} \quad \text{uniformly in} \quad x \in \Omega, \quad |s| > C_K.$$  (39)

Let $t > 1$ large enough and $v \in X$ with $v > 0$, and from (A1) and (39) we get

$$\phi(tv) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i(x, \partial_x tv) \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_M(x)} |tv|^{p_M(x)} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, tv) \, dx$$

$$\leq t \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} b_i(x) |\partial_x v|^2 \, dx$$

$$+ t^{p_M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_i(x)} |v|^{p_i(x)} \, dx$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} F(x, tv) \, dx$$

$$\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} b_i^{(\frac{1}{2})} \left|\partial_x v\right| \left|\partial_x v\right| |tv|^{p_M(x)} \, dx$$

$$+ t^{p_M} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{1}{p_i(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left|\partial_x v\right|^{p_i(x)} \, dx$$

$$- \int_{\|v\| < C_k} F(x, tv) \, dx - \int_{\|v\| \geq C_k} F(x, tv) \, dx$$

$$\leq 2t \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} b_i^{(\frac{1}{2})} \left|\partial_x v\right| \left|\partial_x v\right| |tv|^{p_M(x)} \, dx$$

$$+ t^{p_M} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{1}{p_i(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\|v\| \geq C_k} \left|\partial_x v\right|^{p_i(x)} \, dx$$

$$- \int_{\|v\| < C_k} F(x, tv) \, dx - \int_{\|v\| \geq C_k} F(x, tv) \, dx$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} F(x, tv) \, dx
\[ \leq 2t \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| a_i \right\|_{L^p(i)} \left\| \partial_{x_i} u \right\|_{L^{p_i}(i)} + \frac{1}{p_M^*} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int \left| \partial_{x_i} u \right|^{p_i} dx \]
\[ + \frac{1}{p_M^*} \int \Omega \left| v \right|^{p_M} d\Omega - Kt^{\frac{1}{p_M^*}} \int \Omega \left| v \right|^{p_M} d\Omega < 0 \]
which implies that
\[ \phi(tv) \to -\infty \quad \text{as} \quad t \to +\infty. \] (40)

(b) By (A2), for \( \left\| u \right\| < 1 \), we have
\[ \phi(u) \geq \frac{\min_{1 \leq i \leq d_1} d_i}{p_M^*} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \partial_{x_i} u \right|^{p_i} dx \right) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{p_M^*} \int \Omega \left| u \right|^{p_M} d\Omega - \int \Omega F(x, u) d\Omega \]
\[ \geq \frac{\min_{1 \leq i \leq d_1} d_i}{p_M^*} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \partial_{x_i} u \right|^{p_M^*} \right) + \frac{1}{p_M^*} \left\| u \right\|^{p_M^*} \Omega \int \Omega F(x, u) d\Omega (43) \]
\[ - \int \Omega F(x, u) d\Omega \]
\[ \geq \frac{\min_{1 \leq i \leq d_1} d_i}{(N + 1)p_M^*} \left\| u \right\|^{p_M^*} - \int \Omega F(x, u) d\Omega. \]

On the other side, from (F1),
\[ |f(x, u)| \leq \varepsilon \left| u \right|^{p_M^* - 1} + C(\varepsilon) \left| u \right|^{q(x) - 1}, \]
\[ \forall (x, u) \in X \times \mathbb{R}. \] (44)

By the continuous embedding from \( X \) into \( L^{p_M^*}(\Omega) \) and \( L^{p_M^*}(\Omega) \), there exist \( c_1, c_2 > 0 \), such that
\[ \left\| u \right\|_{L^{p_M^*}(\Omega)} \leq c_1 \left\| u \right\|, \]
\[ \left\| u \right\|_{L^{p_M^*}(\Omega)} \leq c_2 \left\| u \right\|, \]
\[ \left\| u \right\|_{L^{p_M^*}(\Omega)} \leq c_2 \left\| u \right\| \]

for all \( u \in X \). Hence,
\[ \int \Omega F(x, u) d\Omega \leq \int \Omega \frac{\varepsilon}{p_M^*} \left| u \right|^{p_M^*} d\Omega + \int \Omega \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{q(x)} \left| u \right|^{q(x)} d\Omega \]
\[ \leq \varepsilon c_1^{p_M^*} \left\| u \right\|^{p_M^*} + c_2 \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{q^*} \left\| u \right\|^{q^*} \]

for all \( x \in \Omega \) and all \( u \in \mathbb{R} \).

Therefore,
\[ \phi(u) \geq \left( \frac{\min_{1 \leq i \leq N} d_i}{2(N + 1)p_M^*} - C(\varepsilon) c_2 \right) \left\| u \right\|^{q^* - p_M^*} \]
\[ - \varepsilon c_1^{p_M^*} \left\| u \right\|^{p_M^*} , \]

since \( 1 < p_M^* < q^* \). Then for \( r \) sufficiently small, we take \( \sigma > 0 \) such that
\[ \phi(u) \geq \sigma, \quad \forall u \in X \text{ with } \left\| u \right\| = r. \] (48)

Definition 9. A sequence \((z_n)\) is called a Cerami sequence if \( \phi(z_n) \) is bounded and \( (1 + \|z_n\|)\phi'(z_n) \to 0 \).

Lemma 10. If \( c \in \mathbb{R} \), then any sequence of Cerami \((C)_\varepsilon \) of \( \phi \) is bounded.

Proof. Let \((u_n)_n\) be a \((C)_\varepsilon \) sequence of \( \phi \). We claim that \((u_n)_n\) is bounded; otherwise, up to a subsequence, we may assume that
\[ \phi(u_n) \to c, \]
\[ \|u_n\| \to +\infty, \]
\[ \phi'(u_n) \to 0. \]

Putting \( \omega_n = u_n/\|u_n\| \), up to a subsequence, we have \( \omega_n \to \omega \) in \( X, \omega_n \to \omega \) in \( L^{p_M^*}(\Omega) \) and in \( L^{p_M^*}(\Omega) \), \( \omega_n(x) \to \omega(x) \). Almost everywhere \( x \in \Omega \).

Here, two cases appear, when \( \omega \neq 0 \), since we know that
\[ \langle \phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle = o(\|u_n\|), \]
that means
\[ \int \Omega \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i(x, \partial_x u_n) \partial_{x_i} u_n dx + \int \Omega \left| u_n \right|^{p_M(x)} dx \]
\[ - \int \Omega f(x, u_n) u_n dx = 0. \] (50)

Dividing (50) by \( \|u_n\|^{p_M} \), by using (A1), a straightforward computation leads to
\[ \int \Omega \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p_M}} dx < \infty. \] (51)
Meanwhile, in view of condition (F2) and Fatou’s lemma,
\[
\int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_n)}{\|u_n\|^{p_M}_-} \, dx = \int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_n)}{|u_n|^{p_M}_-} \, dx \quad \text{for } n \in [0,1],
\]
which is contradictory.

In the case when \( \omega \equiv 0 \), we choose a sequence \( t_n \in [0,1] \) satisfying
\[
\phi(t_nu_n) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \phi(tu_n). \quad (53)
\]
If \( \omega \equiv 0 \), since \( \omega_n \to 0 \) in \( L^p(\Omega) \) and \( |F(x,t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^p(x)) \), by the continuity of the Nemitskii operator, we see that \( F(\cdot,\omega_n) \to 0 \) in \( L^1(\Omega) \) as \( n \to +\infty \); therefore,
\[
\lim_\to F(\omega_n) = 0. \quad (54)
\]

Given \( m > 0 \), since, for \( n \) large enough, we have \( \|u_n\|^{-1}(2mN_{p_M}^{1/p_n}) \in (0,1) \), using (54) with \( R = (2mN_{p_M}^{1/p_n}) \), from assumption (A2) and, considering Remark 4, we get
\[
\phi(t_nu_n) \geq \phi \left( \frac{R}{\|u_n\|_n} u_n \right) = \phi(R\omega_n)
\]
\[
= \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(x,\partial_x R\omega_n) \, dx
\]
\[
+ \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p_M(x)} |R\omega_n|^{p_M(x)} \, dx - \int_\Omega F(x,R\omega_n) \, dx
\]
\[
\geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} d_i \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_x R\omega_n\|^{p_M}_- \right) - N \int_\Omega F(x,R\omega_n) \, dx \geq \frac{1}{p_M}
\]
\[
\cdot \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} d_i \left( \left( \frac{\|R\omega_n\|^{p_M}_-}{p_M} - N \right) \right) \quad (55)
\]
\[
\phi(t_nu_n) \to +\infty. \quad (56)
\]

It yields
\[
\phi(t_nu_n) \to \frac{1}{p_M} \phi'(t_nu_n) (t_nu_n) \to +\infty. \quad (57)
\]
Therefore,
\[
\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(x,\partial_x t_nu_n) \, dx
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{p_M} \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N a_i(x,\partial_x t_nu_n) \partial_x t_nu_n \, dx
\]
\[
+ \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p_M(x)} |t_nu_n|^{p_M(x)} \, dx
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{p_M} \int_\Omega |t_nu_n|^{p_M(x)} \, dx - \int_\Omega F(x,t_nu_n) \, dx
\]
\[
+ \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{p_M} f(x,t_nu_n) t_nu_n \right) \, dx \to +\infty, \quad (59)
\]
so we get
\[
\int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{p_M} f(x,t_nu_n) (t_nu_n) - F(x,t_nu_n) \right) \, dx
\]
\[
\to +\infty. \quad (60)
\]
Moreover,
\[
\phi(u_n) = \phi(u_n) - \frac{1}{p_M} \phi'(u_n) (u_n)
\]
\[
\geq \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{p_M} f(x,u_n) u_n - F(x,u_n) \right) \, dx. \quad (61)
\]

From (A2) and (F4), there exist \( \alpha, \beta > 0 \) such that
\[
\phi(u_n) \geq \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{p_M} f(x,u_n) u_n - F(x,u_n) \right) \, dx
\]
\[
\geq \alpha \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{p_M} f(x,u_n) (u_n) - F(x,u_n) \right) \, dx \quad (61)
\]
\[
\geq \alpha \beta \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{p_M} f(x,t_nu_n) (t_nu_n) - F(x,t_nu_n) \right) \, dx.
\]
Hence, \( \phi(u_n) \to +\infty \), which is impossible.

Proof of Theorem 6. According to Lemma 8 and Lemma 10, we are to apply the mountain pass theorem, so seeing that the sequence \( (u_n)_n \) in Lemma 10 is strongly convergent to \( u \in X \) remains and it will be done.

Now, because the Banach space \( X \) is reflexive (cf. [2, 3]), and regarding the boundedness of \( (u_n)_n \) in \( X \), there exists \( u \in X \) such that \( u_n \to u \). Since \( \phi'(\cdot) \) is the sum of \( (S_n) \) type maps \( I' \) and \( I' \) which is weakly strongly continuous (cf. Proposition 7), \( \phi' \) is also of \( (S_n) \) type. Thus, \( u_n \to u \) in \( X \).
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