Preparation and Investigation of a Novel Organic Polymer Consisting of 2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxy as a Cathode Active Material in Li-Ion Batteries
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In the present study, a novel organic polymer consisting of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxyl group as an electroactive center is employed by synthesizing it from a commercially ready polymer. An investigation on electrochemical and battery properties of this material as a cathode active material in different electrolyte salts was conducted. A coin cell shows a discharge capacity of 40 mAh g⁻¹ at 1 C which is 76% of its theoretical capacity. It is observed that there is no significant decrease in capacity value even at 2 C and 5 C which indicates that it is applicable for the high-power applications. Besides, a good cycle stability is obtained with the organic radical battery.

1. Introduction

The use of conjugated polymers as electroactive material alternative to the inorganic insertion compounds has been extensively reported in the literature. However, no satisfactory results were obtained [1]. Organic radical batteries bearing nitroxide group as an electroactive center appeared as a new concept in Li-ion batteries. Electrochemical oxidation and reduction properties of the nitroxide group enable it to act as an active center of the organic radical battery. In addition, the stability of TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyl-N-oxy) containing nitroxide group at ambient conditions makes the compound a perfect electroactive material. The nitroxide radical oxidized to oxoammonium cation by losing an electron, whereas it is reduced to aminoxo anion by gaining an electron (Figure 1). Electrochemical reactions occur by the single electron transfer caused by the radical active center of TEMPO molecule. TEMPO and PROXYL (2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-1-pyrroolidinioxy) groups bearing nitroxide group substituted to polymer backbone as a repeating unit are synthesized as cathode active materials.

The first organic radical battery with poly(2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinylmethacrylate) (PTMA) polymerization of 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxyl methacrylate containing a nitroxide group as an active center was reported by Nakahara and coworkers [2, 3]. In following years, different polymers substituted with TEMPO and PROXYL moieties were employed as cathode active materials in Li-ion batteries such as polyethers [4–6], polyacetylene [7], polyboronene [8, 9], poly(7-oxygenboronene) [10], cellulose [11], DNA complexes [12], polythiophenes [13], and polyalkenes [14] illustrated in Figure 2.

While the electrochemical reaction occurs with nitroxide radical, during charging and discharging every different polymer substituted with TEMPO has to be of the same capacity. Nevertheless, different capacities were observed with different polymers. The difference in the mechanical aspects of the polymers constitutes the reason thereof.

The charge- and discharge-mechanism of the organic radical battery is illustrated in Figure 3. During the charging of organic radical battery the nitroxide radical oxidizes to oxoammonium cation, while forming an oxoammonium...
2. Experimental

All solvents and PVdF (polyvinylidenefluoride) were purchased from Merck. Commercially available battery grade NMP (N-methyl pyrrolidone) and LiPF$_6$ salt were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Super P carbon was purchased from Timcal Co. Also, commercially available polymer compound Uvinul 5045H (C$_{32}$N$_{58}$N$_2$O$_2$)$_n$ was purchased from BASF Turkey. Electrochemical measurements were conducted with PAR VersaSTAT multichannel potentiostat/galvanostat. Thermogravimetric analysis was performed on Mettler-Toledo TGA-851 with a heating rate of 5°C/min. Elemental Analysis was performed by Leco-932-CHNS-O instrument. Kurabo mixer was used for mixing/deaerating purposes. Fabrication of button cells was assembled in Vigor 1500GS glove box under Argon atmosphere. All electrochemical tests were conducted.
2.1. Synthesis of the Compounds. The cathode active material was synthesized by the oxidation of the commercially available polymer compound \((C_{32}N_8N_2O_2)_n\). The starting polymer was dissolved in chloroform and methanol solution (1:1). To this solution, 0.01 g sodium tungstate was added as an oxidation catalyst and then 30% hydrogen peroxide was added dropwise carefully. The solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. The orange precipitate was filtered off and washed with chloroform three times to remove catalyst and other soluble side-products and then the residue was dried under reduced pressure at 50 °C. The obtained polymer was insoluble in common organic solvents. FTIR (KBr disc, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2950 (\(v_{C-H}\)), 2900 (\(v_{C-H}\)), 1700 (\(v_{C=O}\)), 1620, 1480 (\(v_{N-O}\)). GPC: \(M_n = 2953\) g/mol; \(M_w/M_n = 1.4\). Analysis of \(C_{32}H_{58}N_2O_2\) (517 g/mol): calcd. C = 74.23, H = 11.10, N = 5.41; found C = 74.82, H = 11.53, N = 5.62.

2.2. Preparation of the Composite Electrodes and Electrochemical Measurements. The composite electrodes were prepared as follows. A radical polymer (20%), Timcal Super P carbon (70%), and PVdF (10%) were mixed and added NMP to make a slurry. The dispersion slurry was pasted on a 15 mm diameter aluminum foil by using a spin-coater and then dried in an oven at 50 °C. The composite electrode was hot-pressed and dried under vacuum at 50 °C for 15 h. Then it was taken into the glove box in order to prepare a coin battery (CR2032). Cyclic voltammetry was performed fabricated for composite electrode/Super P in a voltage range of 3.0–4.2 V at scan rates of 1, 2, 5, and 10 mV s\(^{-1}\). 0.1 M LiAsF\(_6\), LiBF\(_4\), and LiPF\(_6\) solution of ethylene carbonate/diethyl carbonate (1:1, v/v) was used as electrolyte. Lithium metal was used as the reference and counter electrode and the composite electrode was used as the working electrode.

2.3. Fabrication of the Batteries and Battery Performance. The coin type (CR2032) cell was fabricated by stacking electrodes with Whatman glass fiber separator film in glove box. The cathode was set to a coin-type cell together with a lithium metal anode and a separator. A composite solution of ethylene carbonate and diethyl carbonate (1:1, v/v) containing 1 M of LiPF\(_6\) was used as an electrolyte (1 M solutions of LiAsF\(_6\) and LiBF\(_4\) are also used). The performance of the battery was tested by repeating galvanostatic charge/discharge at the scan rates of 1 C (50 mA g\(^{-1}\)), 2 C (100 mA g\(^{-1}\)), and 5 C (250 mA g\(^{-1}\)). Also, theoretical capacity of the cathode active material was calculated from the literature [15].

3. Results and Discussion

The commercially available polymer \((C_{32}H_{58}N_2O_2)_n\) was used as starting material. The secondary amine group on the 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine substituted to the polymer backbone was converted into nitroxide through a basic reaction using H\(_2\)O\(_2\) in conjunction with Na\(_2\)WO\(_4\)-2H\(_2\)O catalyst and used as the cathode active material in the Li-ion battery (Figure 4). Since the nitrogen atom of the piperidine is oxidized to nitroxide, the solution is turned from yellow to orange. The reaction is kept overnight at room temperature in order to form nitroxide radical in the polymer backbone. The commercial availability of starting material of the cathode active material combined with the fact of it being synthesized in high volumes makes it economically feasible compared to other chemicals.

Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) of the organic radical polymer indicated that the weight average molar mass of the polymer \((M_w)\) is 4221 g mol\(^{-1}\), while the number average molar mass is \((M_n)\) 2953 g mol\(^{-1}\). In this case, the polydispersity of the polymer \((M_w/M_n)\) is found to be 1.4 which indicates a homogenous polymer distribution.
Furthermore, the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the organic radical polymer showed us that it is stable up to thermal decomposition temperature of 250°C. Besides, it is observed that 10% of the polymer decomposed around 315°C (Figure 5).

For the cyclic voltammogram of the compound, various electrolytes were used at 1 mV s\(^{-1}\) scan rate (Figure 6). Similar peak-to-peak separation values were observed for all electrolytes. While the separation value for LiAsF\(_6\) was found to be 148 mV, the values of 167 and 128 mV were observed for LiBF\(_4\) and LiPF\(_6\), respectively, which proves that the oxidation/reduction reaction of the nitroxide is independent of the electrolyte.

Additionally, when the electrolyte salt is LiPF\(_6\), the cyclic voltammogram of the composite electrode at different scan rates was shown in Figure 7. The anodic potential of the composite electrode appeared at 3.73 V, whereas the cathodic potential was 3.59 V. Thus, the peak-to-peak separation (\(\Delta E\)) was found as 128 mV at a scan rate of 1 mV s\(^{-1}\). The calculated peak-to-peak separation indicates fast electrode reaction kinetic of the electrochemical reaction. Additionally, an increase of the peak-to-peak separations was observed as the increase of the ratio of scan rates in the composite electrode.

AC impedance measurements were conducted in the range of 0.01 Hz to 1 kHz with an AC amplitude of 10 mV. The \(x\)-axis of the Nyquist plot indicates the real part (\(Z^\prime\)), whereas the \(y\)-axis indicates the imaginary part (\(Z^\prime\prime\)). The resistances of the electrolyte (\(R_e\)) and the composite electrode (\(R_c\)) appeared on the \(x\)-axis of the Nyquist plot of the composite electrode (Figure 8). The impedance of the organic radical polymer was comparatively investigated with electrolyte salts LiAsF\(_6\), LiBF\(_4\), and LiPF\(_6\). The \(R_e\) and \(R_c\) values of the radical battery with LiPF\(_6\) used as electrolyte salt were observed to be 8 and 41 ohms, respectively, whereas the same values turned out to be 10 and 35 ohms for LiAsF\(_6\) as the electrolyte salt. Finally in case of LiBF\(_4\) being used as electrolyte salt, \(R_e\) and \(R_c\) values were found to be 16 and 56 ohms, respectively. These results are in good agreement with the organic radical polymers [16]. The lowest electrolyte resistance was achieved in case of LiAsF\(_6\), whereas the highest was that of LiBF\(_4\). The same ranking was observed for discharge potentials.

The cycle behavior of the cathode active polymer is also investigated versus lithium anode by using different electrolytes LiAsF\(_6\), LiBF\(_4\), and LiPF\(_6\). The determination of the capacity of the cathode active material was realized by chronocoulometry at a constant current density of 1 C (1 C is defined as the current density at which the charging and discharging of the cell take 1 hour) in a voltage range of 3.0–4.2 V. The initial discharge capacity of the cathode active material was shown in Figure 9. However in case of a different electrolyte use, the capacity was not found to vary. The results obtained were 40 mAh g\(^{-1}\) for LiPF\(_6\), 45 mAh g\(^{-1}\) for LiBF\(_4\), and 46 mAh g\(^{-1}\) for LiAsF\(_6\), whereas the theoretical capacity was found to be 52 mAh g\(^{-1}\). The plateau voltage of the organic radical battery was observed 3.66 V which is in good agreement with the formal redox potentials.

Concurrently, in an effort to determine the rate capability of the synthesized cathode active material the organic radical battery containing LiPF\(_6\) was discharged at 1 C (50 mA g\(^{-1}\)), 2 C (100 mA g\(^{-1}\)), and 5 C (250 mA g\(^{-1}\)) current densities (Figure 10). The discharge capacity of the organic radical battery was found to be 40 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 1 C while it was 35 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 2 C and also 31 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 5 C. Also, the charge capacities were given in Figure 10. The initial coulombic efficiency at 1 C was 76% whereas 92% at 2 C and 97% at 5 C. Although the observed capacity was not as good as we expected, no significant decrease was observed compared with conventional batteries even at high current densities.

The cycle performance of the organic radical battery is illustrated in Figure 11. During 75 cycles, the organic radical battery stored 97% of its initial capacity in the presence of electrolyte LiPF\(_6\) while 98% in LiAsF\(_6\) and 97% in LiBF\(_4\). But, no significant differences were observed on the cycle.
performance of the organic radical battery in case of different electrolyte salts. These results show us the cycle stability and the fast electron transfer character of the nitroxide group independent from different electrolyte salts.

4. Conclusion

Consequently, electrochemical reactions occur by the radical active center of TEMPO molecule by a single electron transfer. Even though the organic radical battery showed a good cyclability and high-power capability, it seems poor in terms of capacity. It could reach 88% of its theoretical capacity (52 mAh g\(^{-1}\)). Additionally, various salts were employed as electrolyte to investigate the change in capacity of organic radical batteries. But no significant changes were observed. In this respect, our research group has an effort on increasing the capacity of the cathode active material due to economic superiority of the synthesis.
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### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle number</th>
<th>Relative capacity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 11

The cycle performance of the organic radical battery.