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Effect of Thinning and Harvest Type on Storage and Losses of Phosphorous in *Pinus taeda* L. Plantations in Subtropical Argentina
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The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of thinning intensity and different harvest types on ecosystem P conservation in 20-year-old *Pinus taeda* plantation ecosystems at Misiones province, Argentina. The plantation was established in 1985, thinned at three intensities—0, 33, and 66% of basal area of control plots removed by thinning—and harvested in 2005. The nutrient content at harvest was determined for tree, shrub, and herb layers, the forest floor and upper mineral soil. Two harvest types were simulated: stem only and whole tree. Total P content was 56.8, 46.8, and 38.6 kg·ha⁻¹ for 0, 33, and 66% thinning, respectively. Total P exported by harvest was different among treatments, the highest at 0% thinning treatment. Phosphorus stability index values indicated that the P most conservative management option is 66% thinning, harvest of stem only and retention of forest floor, understory, and harvest residues.

1. Introduction

*Pinus taeda* L. (loblolly pine) is the most widely planted tree species in Argentina [1]. Misiones, with a subtropical humid climate, is the province having the largest planted area with 300,000 ha [2]. Plantations are established mostly on deep red soils of the order Ultisols [3] where the main fertility problems are associated with low availability of P and K [4, 5].

Thinning reduces stand density favoring dominant trees and those with better form, increases the growth rate of retained trees, and results in stands with uniform tree diameters. These are important features in managing fast-growing plantations [6] and providing the increase of the remnant stand basal area to obtain more volume of quality wood at the end of the rotation [7, 8].

Thinning also influences ecosystem development [9], including modifying the availability and use of light, nutrients, and water for trees [10] and also affecting the understory [11]. Despite the fact that the understory represents a small fraction of total biomass, dependent on plantation basal area [12], it may act as an important nutrient repository in the plantation ecosystem [13]. This is supported by observations which show differences in ecosystem nutrient content between unthinned and thinned plots [14] at the end of a rotation.

Intensively thinned plots have higher sun energy inputs to forest floor, modifying site conditions for decomposers and speeding up decomposition [15–21]. The result is a reduction of organic matter accumulated on the forest floor. Harvesting causes the greatest nutrient losses [22] and can change the C balance [23] including changes in decomposition conditions. Additionally, nutrient losses can increase with inappropriate management of plant residues during site preparation for a new plantation [24]. These losses affect the remnant nutrient content [25] and nutrition of pines [26] because soils cannot supply the high-nutrient-demanding fast-growing species [27], and, consequently,
the productive capacity of the site diminishes during the following several rotations [28].

Nutrient export at harvest of high-density 20-year-old *P. taeda* plantations at Misiones results in plantation stability index values that indicate P deficiencies after 1.2 rotations [25]. This result suggests that nutrient management decisions are crucial to sustain the productive capacity of sites during consecutive rotations.

In Misiones, unthinned 15-year-old *P. taeda* stands can accumulate a maximum of 518 m$^3$·ha$^{-1}$ (stem wood + stem bark) in trees of 24.4 cm mean DBH. Intense thinning (66% of basal area) diminishes total volume (to 433 m$^3$·ha$^{-1}$) improving product quality through mean DBH increase to 46.1 cm [29].

In Misiones, the first rotation of *Pinus* species were established on high productivity sites [30]. At present, plantations in these sites are finishing the second rotation, and, therefore there is a need for techniques that can sustain the productivity of these soils and the expression of the potential high growth of the new pine type obtained through genetic improvement [31].

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of three thinning intensities and two different harvest types on phosphorus (P) conservation in 20-year-old *Pinus taeda* plantations.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Site and Stand Description

Field work was done in a private estate located in the province of Misiones, Argentina (25°59′S, 54°24′W). Soil is a Kanduidult [3], a red soil with a > 2 m depth profile, a sequence of horizons A-Bt-C, without stoniness or big rock fragments, moderate permeability, and well drained. Mean annual temperature is 21°C, and the precipitation regime is isohydric with ca. 2000 mm·y$^{-1}$ [32].

*P. taeda* (Marion origin) was established using 1644 pl·ha$^{-1}$ in 1985. In 1991, the plantation was thinned reducing the stand density to 894 pl·ha$^{-1}$. In 1993, an intensity thinning treatment was repeated in 1997 and 2001, leaving plant canopy residues on site and harvesting the stems. The P in stems, exported during thinning, was submerging the probes in distilled water into precipitation flasks and employing a precision balance. Finally, the probes were dried in an oven at 100 ± 3°C and the density was calculated using a modified version of IRAM 9544. We used wood probes of 2 × 2 × (X) cm where the height (X) of the probe varied according to the size of the cross-section sample units obtained in the field for this purpose. Sample units were obtained from each of the trunk sections. A total of 2700 probes were employed with around 60 probes per tree from axial and longitudinal positions. The probes were saturated with water and its volume determined according to the Archimedes principle.

#### 2.2. Aboveground Biomass

The weight of trees was obtained by dimensional analysis [33]. A total of 45 trees were felled, 15 at each treatment, 5 per plot replicate. Trees were separated into the following compartments: stem (without bark), stem bark, branches >5 cm diameter, branches <5 cm diameter, dead branches, leaves, and cones. The tree trunk length and diameter were measured in total and by sections to obtain volume. The most frequent section length was 3.5 m. However, the lower sections with wider diameters were 4.3 m according to commercial product destination. Stem biomass per tree was calculated multiplying volume per wood density of different sections and adding them. Wood density was determined by the volumetric method employing a modified version of IRAM 9544. We used wood probes of 2 × 2 × (X) cm where the height (X) of the probe varied according to the size of the cross-section sample units obtained in the field for this purpose. Sample units were obtained from each of the trunk sections. A total of 2700 probes were employed with around 60 probes per tree from axial and longitudinal positions. The probes were saturated with water and its density determined according to the Archimedes principle.

#### 2.3. Forest Floor Necromass

Forest floor litter was harvested in 90–2 m$^2$ quadrats, 10 per each one of the 9 plots of trees. Shrub were separated into leaves and woody compartments. The aboveground biomass of the herbaceous layer was harvested in 90–1 m$^2$ quadrats, 10 per each one of the 9 plots of trees. Shrub and herbaceous compartments were dried at 70°C before weighing.

#### 2.4. Soil Sampling

One composite sample formed by 8 subsamples was obtained at each plot from horizon A (0–10 cm)
and BA (10–30 cm), respectively. Five single samples per plot were collected from horizon B (30–60 cm) [35].

Bulk density of each soil horizon was determined by the cylinder method [36]. Undisturbed soil samples were taken with steel cylinders of 92,37 cm³ volume and dried at 103 ± 2°C to constant weight. Bulk density was calculated as the dry weight/volume ratio. We used 8 single samples from horizon A and BA and 5 samples from horizon B [37].

2.5. Chemical Analysis and P Content of Plants and Soil. Tree biomass samples were obtained from each plant: for the small compartments, aliquots were obtained from the total of the compartment and fragmented when woody and hard (e.g., cones), and for the trunk and big branches aliquots from different diameter, sections were mixed without a precise proportional mass weighting and fragmented to small chips. The dried herbs and shrubs were homogenized, and aliquots were taken for analysis. The vegetation and litter samples from each compartment were ground in a Wiley mill using a 0.5 mm mesh. A total of 370 tree samples were chemically analyzed. Phosphorous extraction was done using acid digestion with a mix of nitric-perchloric acid to free inorganic and organic P. Phosphorous concentration was determined through induced plasma emission spectrometry using an ICP-AES” [38]. Phosphorous content at each compartment, layer, and plot was obtained multiplying the biomass or necromass for the mean P concentration of the respective compartment.

Available P on the mineral soil horizons was determined by Bray and Kurtz method [39]. Phosphorous content to 60 cm depth was calculated as the sum of the products of bulk density per P concentration at each soil horizons.

2.6. Phosphorus Exports and Indices. To estimate P exports for final harvest of both types, the biomass and P mineral mass (equal to P content in the respective biomass) from the involved compartments were employed: (1) stem only (SO): sum of contents in stem + bark of tree layer and (2) whole tree (WT): sum of contents in all aboveground compartments of tree layer.

For both harvest types, the phosphorus export index (EI) was calculated as the harvested biomass/P mineral mass ratio [40]. The nutrient stability index of plantation (SIP) was calculated as the ratio of the exported P content/soil available P content [41]. Two calculations were applied considering different storages of P immediately available to plants: option (1): mineral soil available P and option (2): Sum of mineral soil available P, plus P in forest floor, plus P in understory biomass and in harvest residues of tree layer.

2.7. Statistics. The means of biomass of compartments and layers, necromass of forest floor compartments, soil horizon bulk density, and P concentration and content of layers of vegetation, forest floor and mineral soil from treatments, were compared through ANOVA. When differences were significant, treatments means were compared with the Tukey test. In both analyses, the significance level used was 95% [42]. We report probability results into three categories: P < .01, P < .05 (when P > .01 and <.05), and P > .05. The software used was INFOSTAT version 2010.

3. Results

3.1. Biomass and P Content of Vegetation Layers. Total and stem, bark and branches <5 cm biomass, and mass of dead branches were significantly different (P < .01) among thinning intensity treatments (Figure 1). Leaf biomass did not show significant differences among thinning treatments (P > .05). A decreased trend was observed for leaf biomass when thinning intensity increased (Figure 1). Phosphorus concentration in tree compartments were not significantly different (P > .05) among thinning treatments. The comparison test among compartments means (for n = 45 trees) defined three groups in the following order: needles > cones > branches < 5 cm + stem + branches > 5 cm + bark + dead branches.

Phosphorous content in the tree layer was significantly different (P < .01) among thinning treatments (Table 1). The stem compartment accumulated the main P percentage with 75, 73, and 68%, in 0, 33, and 66% intensity of thinning, respectively.

The shrub layer biomass was 0.26, 0.70, and 5.29 Mg·ha⁻¹ for 0, 33, and 66% thinning, respectively. The value of 66% thinning was significantly different (P < .05) from the other two. Phosphorous concentration was not different (P > .05) among treatments, and consequently P content changed in proportion to biomass accumulation (Table 1).

The herbaceous layer biomass (1.33, 1.25, and 1.07 Mg·ha⁻¹ for 0, 33, and 66% thinning, resp.) and P concentration (1.27, 1.37, and 1.61 mg·g⁻¹ for 0, 33, and 66% thinning, resp.) and content did not differ significantly among treatments (P > .05; Table 1).

3.2. Phosphorous Content of Forest Floor and Mineral Soil. Forest floor necromass totaled 25.0 (±8.2), 18.3 (±5.5), and 16.6 (±4.1) Mg·ha⁻¹, for the 0, 33 and 66% thinning, respectively, without significant differences (P > .05) among treatments as also shown for the P concentration and content (P > .05; Table 1). Phosphorus concentration in forest floor compartments increased top-down: fine woody detritus (0.19, 0.20, and 0.31) < L layer (0.32, 0.30, and 0.26) < H + F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compartment</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>33%</th>
<th>66%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tree layer</td>
<td>40.1 (70.6)</td>
<td>31.6 (67.4)</td>
<td>21.2 (54.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub layer</td>
<td>0.17 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.87 (1.9)</td>
<td>4.55 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbaceous layer</td>
<td>1.69 (3.0)</td>
<td>1.70 (3.6)</td>
<td>1.73 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest floor</td>
<td>8.00 (14.1)</td>
<td>5.79 (12.4)</td>
<td>5.42 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>6.84 (12.0)</td>
<td>6.89 (14.7)</td>
<td>5.73 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Content of P (kg·ha⁻¹) and ecosystem total percentage (between brackets) according to thinning intensity.

Different letters indicate significant statistical differences (P < .05) among treatments.
Figure 1: Biomass of aboveground compartments at stand level versus thinning intensity in 20-year-old *Pinus taeda* plantations. Different letters indicate significant differences among treatments at a 95% probability based on Tukey's HSD test. Thin line in bar indicates standard deviation of the mean.
layer (0.55, 0.44, and 0.52), for 0, 33, and 66% thinning, respectively. Comparing the same compartments, differences were not significant for P concentrations among treatments ($P > .05$).

The mineral soil bulk density and concentration of available P were not different among treatments ($P > .05$). Mean concentration of available P for all treatments was 2.16, 0.83, and 0.40 ppm for A, BA, and B horizons, respectively. Available P content to 60 cm depth is reported in Table 1 and soil bulk density in Table 2.

### 3.3. Phosphorous Content and Management of Plantation Ecosystem

At the end of rotation period, total ecosystem P content showed an inverse relationship with thinning intensity. Differences were significant ($P < .01$) among the 66% thinned plots and the 0 and 33% thinned plots treatments (Table 1).

Understory, due to shrubs, increased its percentage of P storage with the increase in thinning intensity. The P content at 66% thinned plots was fivefold (16.3% of total available P) of that of 0% thinned plots (control treatment with 3.3% of total available P; Table 1).

Phosphorous mass exported at final harvest in both harvest types (SO and WT) was different among treatments ($P < .01$ (SO and WT)). Phosphorus remaining at the site after harvest did not change significantly with thinning intensity ($P > .05$ (SO and WT)); Table 3). The harvest system WT exported 10.9, 9.5, and 8.1 kg ha$^{-1}$ more P than its similarly thinned from harvest system SO, respectively, for the thinning treatments 0, 33, and 66%, showing significant differences for the three treatments ($P < .01$).

The highest wood biomass harvested per unit P exported was obtained with the SO harvest type and a thinning intensity of 66%, as shown by EI in Table 4. The most P conservative scenario for a site was obtained when 66% thinning, and SO harvest was combined with the retention in the ground of organic debris from forest floor, damaged understory, and harvest residues as shown in option (2) of SIP.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Biomass and P Content in the Plantation Ecosystem

The higher density of un-thinned (control) plantation favored the increase of intraspecific competition. As a consequence, trees in non-thinned treatment near rotation end had (1) a lower mean DBH (28.7, 34.8, and 45.9 cm in 0, 33, and 66% intensity of thinning, resp.), (2) suppressed individuals, (3) a reduced tree canopy size, and (4) a lower foliar biomass proportion (2.6, 3.7, and 4.3% in 0, 33, and 66% intensity of thinning, resp.). Similar results were reported elsewhere [43, 44]. For example, a 17-year-old *P. taeda* plantation from a West Gulf Coastal Plain site in Louisiana, that was thinned ca. 15% of BA at 7 and 14 y, increased the plantation mean DBH and length of the canopy suggesting that stand density management is important to induce vigor and potential growth of trees [44]. Moreover, foliar percentage in canopy was higher in thinned plantations with a proportional increase of 4.6% at 17-year-old [44]. In another site, with a different environment and lower growth rates, a plantation thinned 40% of BA significantly increased its biomass and canopy components with respect to control, 12 y after thinning [7].

On the other side, the lower competition in intermediate and strongly thinned plots (33 and 66%) allowed for a better expression of the hereditary plant architecture of the species with a greater development of individual canopy, a larger mean DBH of trees near final cut time and the highest biomass of plantation canopy. Several studies coincide in these trends [44–47]. For instance, a summary of 7 research studies about the production dynamics of intensively managed *P. taeda* from Southern USA reported thinning as one of the best strategies to increase productivity and monetary value of stands [47]. In this sense, thinned plots showed features found in non-full-stocked forest sites [48, 49]. Relative to P, different P contents among thinned plots and control have been reported in other studies [14].

Although it is not significant, the consistent trend toward a lower leaf biomass (and then LAI) with thinning increase suggests a lower capacity of strongly thinned non-full-stocked stands to capture available sunlight at rotation end as found in another study [47]. From a silvicultural point of view, 66% thinning may be excessive for wood production because it results in incomplete use of available resources and lower yields [29]. At the other extreme, the highest proportion of dead branches observed at 0% thinning may be due to a lower half-life, early mortality, and temporal delay of branch fall at tree canopy due to growth under strong competition conditions. Decreased canopy parameters in un-thinned plantations have been reported elsewhere [43, 44].

Phosphorus concentration values found in aboveground tree biomass compartments were similar to other reported in Misiones [50]. Accordingly, P concentration accomplished through the same concentration trend: leaves, fine branches, and fruits had higher P concentration than stem and coarse branches as generally observed in woody plants [17, 25, 51–53]. However, P concentration in leaves from this study was lower than in the same compartment and species reported for different sites in the USA [54]. This may be related to low P availability in soils of the region herein studied [5], taking into account that thinning intensity did not affect soil density (Table 2), and, consequently, P content was similar among the three sites in the USA [54].
treatments. Low P concentration in leaves and branches <5 cm influenced P content in both compartments with an added maximum of 29% of total P content in the tree layer. Other studies report that both may sum up to 50% of total P content in trees [18].

Pinus taeda bark had 2 to 3% of P content in above-ground tree biomass, a value considered low for this compartment. Stembark separation from stem-only harvest type for leaving bark in the field is considered an important nutrient conservative practice for those species with a high nutrient content in bark [55]. In the present study, P content in stembark was 4.1, 4.5, and 3.3% of total P mass in stem only for 0%, 33%, and 66% thinning treatments, respectively. Then, avoiding bark retention at site appears to be a small P impact of thinning treatments on P content of plantations (SIP) for 20-year-old Pinus taeda, for two final cutting harvest scenarios (SO and WT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harvest type</th>
<th>Treatment (% thinning)</th>
<th>EI</th>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9.38</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different lowercase letters (a, b, c) indicate significant differences \( P < .05 \) among masses of P exported or remaining, among the thinning treatment for the same harvest type. Uppercase letters ((A), (B)) between brackets indicate significant differences \( P < .05 \) among masses of P exported or remaining, among harvest types for the same thinning treatment.

Available P was only 0.5% of total P in soil, but, as total P to available P replenishment fluxes are unknown, it is not possible to infer the effect of thinning treatments in soil P concentration and content. Furthermore, some nutrients may be lost by lixiviation or immobilized in complex molecules or by competitors [71] after harvesting or a new plantation establishment [24]. In fact, better forestry practices should minimize the modification of sites, including the avoidance of soil nutrients losses to sustain fertility and long-term productivity.

4.2. Impact of Management on P Content of Plantation Ecosystem. Due to the high fraction of nutrients contained in canopy, the whole tree (WT) harvest type resulted in the highest exported mass of P as reported for other forestry practices.
species [72, 73]. As P is essential for plant growth and the main limiting nutrient in soils of the region [25], it is necessary to use harvest practices that minimize P losses with products extraction.

The percentages of P, with respect to total P in the ecosystem, exported with WT harvest type, were high (71, 67, and 55% for 0, 33, and 66% thinning, resp.), indicating that most ecosystem P is in trees and that the P percent losses depend on plantation density. The percentages of P were higher than those reported for another *P. taeda* plantation with lower density (437 pl·ha⁻¹) where P output with harvest was ca. 50% of total P [74].

Phosphorus losses occur in short periods during and immediately after harvest and depend on both harvest type and thinning intensity during rotation. The P mass remaining in the ecosystem depends mainly on harvest type and conservative postharvest management. Comparison of equivalent SIP among harvest types for *P. taeda* showed that nutrient export with WT harvesting is 2-3 times greater than with SO, as has been reported elsewhere [75].

Understory plants concentrate and temporarily retain absorbed soil nutrients. Moreover, they are a source of labile organic matter able to release nutrients during decomposition. In fact, the positive impact of conserving organic matter from the understory, forest floor, and harvest residues as a source of P and other nutrients source was evident compared with the values of both SIP options (Table 4). The best combination of practices for more efficient use of P included 66% thinning intensity, harvest of stem only, and retention of site of organic matter from necromass and uncollected biomass compartments. This combination resulted in a SIP 12-times lower than the opposite most extreme combination of treatments (0% thinning, whole-tree harvest, and elimination of harvest residues).

Regardless of thinning treatment and harvest type, P remaining in the soil (available P in mineral horizons + P in forest floor + P in harvest residues) may not be sufficient to supply the needs of another rotation of the same species. However, since the index used does not consider P inputs to or outputs from the ecosystem during rotation (with the exception of those related to thinning and final harvest), we cannot accurately estimate the number of rotations that are sustainable. A previous study in Misiones identified at rotation end that P is one of the limiting elements in plantations of *P. taeda* [25]. The number of rotations that can be planted without nutrient replacement depends not only on tree harvests and the tolerance of the species towards soil changes but also on nutrient replenishment mechanisms of the soil and other losses not considered by the indices used in this work. If we suppose that replenishment of soil available P by total P is continuous until total P becomes exhausted, and taking into account that P is exported during thinning and harvests, the availability of P in the sites might be enough for 86 (SO) to 61 (WT) rotations, independently of the thinning intensity applied. This calculation demonstrates the importance of residue conservation during harvests (thinning and final) and emphasizes the need for research relating to changes in total and available P and the P cycle throughout the rotation in these stands.

### 5. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that silvicultural decisions regarding thinning intensity, harvest type, forest floor, and harvest residue conservation will influence biomass accumulation in trees and shrub understory and P content but will not influence P concentration in vegetation compartments or the capacity to sustain future rotations of *Pinus taeda* plantations in this region. Stem-only harvest is a better option than whole-tree harvest regarding P and probably other nutrients. Conservation of organic matter from the forest floor, understory, and harvest residues helps to supply P to soil and to reduce P losses. Consequently, the selection of postharvest practices to prepare land for a new plantation should include considerations on the effect on the above-mentioned compartments.

Our study shows that simple and sensitive indices can be useful for evaluating nutritional stability with regard to P and to the selection of management practices that balance wood production and nutrient conservation.
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