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Snags provide important biological legacies, resources for numerous species of native wildlife, and contribute to decay dynamics and ecological processes in forested ecosystems. We monitored trends in snag populations from 1997 to 2007 in drought-stressed mixed-conifer and ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa Dougl. ex Laws) forests, northern Arizona. Median snag density increased by 75 and 90% in mixed-conifer and ponderosa pine forests, respectively, over this time period. Increased snag density was driven primarily by a large pulse in drought-mediated tree mortality from 2002 to 2007, following a smaller pulse from 1997 to 2002. Decay-class composition and size-class composition of snag populations changed in both forest types, and species composition changed in mixed-conifer forest. Increases in snag abundance may benefit some species of native wildlife in the short-term by providing increased foraging and nesting resources, but these increases may be unsustainable in the long term. Observed changes in snag recruitment and fall rates during the study illustrate the difficulty involved in modeling dynamics of those populations in an era of climate change and changing land management practices.

1. Introduction

Snags (standing dead trees) provide important biological legacies, resources for numerous species of native wildlife, and contribute to decay dynamics and ecological processes in the forests where they occur [1–4]. Because of their importance, snags have received considerable attention from land managers and researchers [5–8]. Data on snag numbers and densities often are sparse, however. Further, snag dynamics are poorly understood in most forest types and geographic areas [9] and typically exhibit large spatial and temporal variability [10, 11].

To address information needs relative to dynamics of snag populations in southwestern mixed-conifer and ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa Dougl. ex Laws) forests, we established a series of permanent plots in north-central Arizona in 1997 and resampled all plots in 2002 and 2007. This interval overlapped with a period of severe, global climate-change type drought (defined here as a drought accompanied by warmer temperatures [12]) in this area [12–14], providing an opportunity to monitor the effects of drought-mediated tree mortality [15]. Ganey and Vojta [16] summarized changes in snag populations from 1997 to 2002, but this captured only changes during the early and less-severe phase of this drought. Here, we quantify accelerated changes that occurred from 2002 to 2007 during a period of intensifying drought and following a particularly warm and dry year in 2002 (see [17, 18]). Specifically, we (1) summarize changes in snag density and basal area from 1997 to 2002 and 2002 to 2007, (2) describe changes in composition (decay, size-class, and species distributions) of snag populations, and (3) summarize patterns in snag recruitment. The changes in snag populations documented here during a warm, dry period may provide a glimpse of changes likely under predicted future climate scenarios in the southwestern United States [14, 19, 20].

2. Study Area

The study area encompassed approximately 73,000 ha within the Coconino and Kaibab National Forests, north-central Arizona (Figure 1). Within this area, study plots were
randomly located in mixed-conifer and ponderosa pine forest. Mixed-conifer forests were dominated numerically by ponderosa pine, white fir (*Abies concolor* Lindl. *ex* Hildebr.), and Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco), which together accounted for approximately 90% of total trees in this forest type [15]. Other species included Gambel oak (*Quercus gambelii* Nutt.), quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michaux), and limber pine (*P. flexilis* James), in that order of frequency. Ponderosa pine accounted for over 90% of trees in ponderosa pine forest [15]. Gambel oak also was relatively common (approximately 8% of total trees by frequency), and alligator juniper (*Juniperus deppeana* Steud), Douglas-fir, quaking aspen, limber pine, pinyon pine (*P. edulis*), and other species of juniper were present in small numbers in some stands.

The study plots were distributed across a wide range of topographic conditions and soil types. They covered the entire elevational range of these forest types within this area, from the transition zone between pinyon—juniper woodland and ponderosa pine at lower elevations to the ecotone between mixed-conifer and Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii* Parry *ex* Engelm.)—corkbark fir (*Abies lasiocarpa* var. *arizonica* (Merriam) Lemmon) forests at higher elevations [21]. Plots also included both commercial forest lands and administratively reserved lands such as wilderness and other roadless areas. Consequently, plots represented a wide range of forest structural conditions. For example, as of 2004, density of trees ≥20 cm in diameter at breast height (dbh) ranged from 78 to 489 (median = 266.7) trees/ha in mixed-conifer forest and from 11 to 689 (median = 227.8) trees/ha in ponderosa pine forest, and basal area ranged from 7 to 52 (median = 25.2) and from 1 to 44 (median = 19.7) m²/ha in mixed-conifer and ponderosa pine forest, respectively [15].

3. Methods

3.1. Field Sampling. We sampled snags on a set of 113 plots (1 ha each in area) randomly established in 1997 (see [22] for details). We sampled all snags ≥2 m in height and ≥20 cm in diameter at breast height (dbh) in 1997, 2002, and 2007. We did not sample smaller-diameter snags based on the assumption that these were less important to cavity-nesting birds [23–27] and/or roosting bats [28–30]. All snags were uniquely marked with numbered metal tags, allowing us to distinguish existing snags from new snags when resampling plots. For all snags, we recorded snag species, dbh, and decay class. Snag diameter was recorded to the nearest cm using a dbh tape. Decay classes recognized followed Raphael and White [31].

3.2. Data Analysis. We focused analyses within rather than between forest types, because we were interested primarily in trends over time within forest type. We relied on nonparametric statistical tests [32], because distributions for many snag parameters deviated greatly from normality. We present medians and ranges as estimates of central tendency and variability, because many parameters were highly skewed so that a few plots greatly influenced mean estimates.

No plots underwent commercial timber harvest between 1997 and 2007. Three ponderosa pine plots underwent thinning of smaller trees during this period, two ponderosa pine plots underwent prescribed fire, and five plots experienced intense wildfire (two in mixed-conifer and three in ponderosa pine forests). We included all plots in summarizing snag numbers and composition of snag populations because our objective was to quantify these parameters across the landscape, including recently disturbed areas. We excluded plots that experienced severe wildfire when summarizing recruitment patterns, however, because intense wildfire melted the metal tags used to mark snags [16], making it difficult to determine whether dead trees represented new mortality or preexisting snags.

We summarized data on changes in density and basal area of snags and composition of snag populations from 1997 to 2002 and 2002 to 2007. We examined both density and basal area because both parameters are useful in different contexts. For example, guidelines for snag retention for wildlife frequently are presented in terms of snag density [5, 6], whereas basal area is useful in the context of forest management. We compared snag density and basal area across years (1997, 2002, and 2007) using the Friedman test [32]. Where that
test indicated overall differences across years, we compared pairs of years (1997 versus 2002 and 2002 versus 2007) using Wilcoxon signed-ranks test (essentially a two-sample equivalent of the Friedman test [32]), to determine which years differed. We set the P value for significance in all omnibus tests at <0.05 and at (0.05/number of comparisons) for all multiple comparisons.

Because intense wildfires could influence trends in snag density across years, we used Mann-Whitney tests [32] to compare snag density in 2007 between plots that had and had not experienced intense wildfire prior to that time. Where results of those tests indicated that 2007 snag density differed between burned and unburned plots, we repeated the Friedman test discussed above using only unburned plots to factor out the influence of wildfire on snag density across years.

We compared decay- and size-class distributions and species composition of snag populations across years using chi-square tests [32]. Where decay-class distributions or species composition differed across years, we subdivided chi-square analyses to determine which years differed from one another. Where size-class distributions differed across all years, we compared diameter distributions directly between pairs of years (1997 and 2002, 2002 and 2007, and 1997 and 2007), using Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests [32] (hereafter referred to as K-S tests), to determine where differences occurred.

We also evaluated differences in composition of populations of snags recruited in two time intervals, 1997 to 2002 and 2002 to 2007. Specifically, we compared species composition and diameter distributions of these newly recruited snags between the specified intervals, using chi-square tests and K-S tests, respectively.

We estimated snag fall rate as the percentage of snags existing at the beginning of a specified time interval that fell before the end of that interval. We compared snag fall rates between time intervals (1997 to 2002 and 2002 to 2007) using the test for comparing two proportions described by Zar [33] (essentially an analogue of a chi-square test).

4. Results

4.1. Changes in Snag Density and Basal Area. Median snag density increased by 75% in mixed-conifer forest from 1997 to 2007 (Figure 2; Friedman test, \( P < 0.001 \)). Density differed between both 1997 and 2002 and between 2002 and 2007 in this forest type (Wilcoxon tests, both \( P \) values <0.001). Density of snags in 2007 did not differ between plots that experienced intense wildfires and those that did not experience such fires (Mann-Whitney test, \( P = 0.471, n = 2 \) and 51 plots, resp.).

Median snag density increased by 90% in ponderosa pine forest from 1997 to 2007 (Figure 2; Friedman test, \( P < 0.001 \)). Snag density did not differ between 1997 and 2002 in ponderosa pine forest (\( P = 0.103 \)), but increased significantly from 2002 to 2007 (Figure 2; \( P < 0.001 \)). Snag density in ponderosa pine forest in 2007 was significantly greater (Mann-Whitney test, \( P = 0.014 \)) in plots burned by intense wildfires (median = 41 snags ha\(^{-1}\), range = 14 to 173 snags ha\(^{-1}\), \( n = 3 \)) than in plots not burned by such fires (median = 9 snags ha\(^{-1}\), range = 1 to 47 snags ha\(^{-1}\), \( n = 57 \)). Snag density increased significantly across years even in unburned plots, however (Friedman test, \( P < 0.001 \)).

Median snag basal area increased by approximately 45% in both forest types (Figure 3; Friedman tests, both \( P \) values <0.001). Snag basal area differed between both 1997 and 2002 and 2002 and 2007 in both forest types (Wilcoxon tests, both \( P \) values <0.001 in mixed-conifer forest; both \( P \) values <0.010 in ponderosa pine forest). Spatial variation in both snag density and basal area was relatively pronounced, as indicated by high variability among plots (Figures 2 and 3).

Increases in snag density and basal area were driven primarily by a large pulse in snag recruitment from 2002 to 2007 and not by decreases in snag fall rates among time intervals. Snag recruitment from 2002 to 2007 was approximately 200% and 74% greater than snag recruitment from 1997 to 2002 in mixed-conifer and ponderosa pine forest, respectively. In contrast, snag fall rates were greater from 2002 to 2007 than from 1997 to 2002 in both forest types (both \( P \) values <0.001). Fall rates increased from 20.5 to 27.3% in mixed-conifer forest and from 34.2% to 43.5% in ponderosa pine forest (increases of 33.2 and 74%, resp.).

4.2. Changes in Composition of Snag Populations. The large pulse in snag recruitment from 2002 to 2007, along with a smaller pulse in the period from 1997 to 2002, resulted
in marked changes in composition of snag populations. For example, because most snags enter the population in decay classes 1 or 2 [16], the influx of large numbers of new snags shifted decay-class composition in both forest types from a distribution dominated by snags in older decay classes (classes 4 and 5) in 1997 to a distribution dominated by snags in younger decay classes (classes 1 and 2) in 2007 (Figure 4; \( P < 0.001 \) in both forest types, chi-square tests). Pairwise comparisons indicated that decay-class distributions differed among all years in both forest types (all \( P \) values <0.001 in both forest types).

Snag density increased in all size classes in both forest types between 1997 and 2007 (Figure 5), and size-class distributions changed significantly in both forest types over this time period (chi-square tests, \( P < 0.001 \) and <0.025 in mixed-conifer and ponderosa pine forest, resp.). Pairwise K-S tests indicated that diameter distributions did not differ significantly (\( P > 0.05 \)) between 1997 and 2002 in either forest type but differed significantly between 2002 and 2007 in both forest types (both \( P \) values <0.001). By 2007, proportions of snags in smaller size classes increased and proportions in the largest size classes decreased in both forest types (Figure 5). This shift primarily was driven by greater recruitment of snags in the smaller size classes from 2002 to 2007 (Figure 6). Diameter distributions of snags recruited from 2002 to 2007 differed significantly from those of snags recruited from 1997 to 2002 in both forest types (K-S tests, \( P < 0.001 \)) and were skewed toward smaller diameter snags.

Snag density also increased for all tree species (or species groups; Figure 7). Species composition of snag populations changed significantly from 1997 to 2007 in mixed-conifer forest (chi-square test, \( P < 0.001 \)). Pairwise comparisons indicated that species composition in this forest type did not differ between 1997 and 2002 (\( P > 0.05 \)) but differed significantly (\( P < 0.001 \)) between 2002 and 2007. The proportion of white fir snags in mixed-conifer forest more than doubled from 1997 to 2007, with most of that increase occurring between 2002 and 2007. Proportions of ponderosa pine and Gambel oak snags declined during this period. These changes were driven by recruitment patterns, which differed significantly (chi-square test, \( P < 0.001 \)) between the periods 1997 to 2002 and 2002 to 2007 (Figure 8). In particular, a huge pulse in recruitment of white fir snags occurred between 2002 and 2007. Although tree mortality increased in all tree species during this period [15], recruitment of white fir snags swamped all other species.

In ponderosa pine forest, species composition of snag populations did not change significantly from 1997 to 2007.
(chi-square test, $P > 0.05$). Snag populations in all years were heavily dominated by ponderosa pine and secondarily by Gambel oak in this forest type (Figure 7). Species composition of snags recruited from 1997 to 2002 differed from composition of snags recruited from 2002 to 2007 in ponderosa pine forest (Figure 8; chi-square test, $P < 0.001$), but the difference was not large enough to significantly alter species composition of standing snags. The primary differences between time periods were greater recruitment of Douglas-fir snags from 1997 to 2007 and greater recruitment of Gambel oak snags from 2002 to 2007 (Figure 8).

### 5. Discussion

Snag populations in both forest types studied here increased markedly from 1997 to 2007, a period of global-climate change type drought [12]. Most of that increase was driven by increased snag recruitment (e.g., tree mortality), as snag longevity did not increase during the study and in fact declined during the latter half of the study. Much of the observed snag recruitment appeared to be due to drought-mediated mortality [15], operating in conjunction with a suite of forest insects that included bark beetles (primarily *Ips* spp.), Douglas-fir beetles (*Dendroctonus pseudotsugae*), and fir engravers (*Scolytus ventralis* [34]). Wildfire also affected snag density in ponderosa pine forest, but its effects were limited to only a few plots, in contrast to climate-related mortality that occurred on most plots.

Increased tree mortality in the latter half of this study (2002 to 2007) followed an extreme climate year in 2002 [17, 18]. Whether this increased mortality was caused by the effects of that extreme climate year or reflected the cumulative effects of longer-term drought remains unknown. Either way, it appears to portend increased mortality in the future. Most climate models predict increases in both temperature and aridity in the southwestern US [14, 19, 20]. Both long-term droughts and extremely warm and dry years are predicted to be more common, and both are likely to result in increases in tree mortality [12, 14, 18, 35–38]. Consequently, snag populations should continue to increase in these systems in the near future.

Observed changes in snag populations have a number of implications. In the short term, the observed increase in snag numbers should benefit some species of native wildlife. The large number of dead and dying trees should provide abundant foraging substrates for species such as

Figure 8: Number of snags recruited by snag species in northern Arizona mixed-conifer and ponderosa pine forest from 1997 to 2002 and from 2002 to 2007. Species acronyms: ABCO: white fir, PIPO: ponderosa pine, POTR: quaking aspen, PSME: Douglas-fir, QUGA: Gambel oak, and OTHER: all other species. Recruitment occurred in all species and increased for most species between 2002 and 2007 but was especially pronounced for white fir in mixed-conifer forest.

Woodpeckers that forage on dead or dying trees [39–45]. This should be particularly true where snags occur in high densities [41, 45, 46]; these high densities may be necessary to support populations of some woodpeckers that forage on insects in dead and dying trees [7, 46].

Snags also provide an important nesting resource for cavity excavators (primarily woodpeckers (Piciformes) in this study area [47]) and secondary cavity-nesting birds in this region [23, 25, 48], as well as roost and maternity sites for bats [28–30]. The short-term effect of changes in snag populations on nesting and roosting resources is less clear, however. Snag numbers increased in all species and size classes, suggesting potential gains in these resources. But the majority of new snags were smaller in diameter than snags typically used by cavity-nesting birds and bats in this and other areas [24–30, 41, 46, 47, 49], and the most abundant species of new snags in mixed-conifer forest was white fir, which is used infrequently by cavity-nesting birds [47]. This suggests that many of the newly created snags may not possess the features that attract cavity-nesting birds and roosting bats. Further, fall rates of snags increased during the second half of this study as mortality increased. Consequently, many of these newly created snags may not remain standing long enough to provide nest sites for cavity-nesting birds [42] or roost sites for bats.

In the longer term, the observed trends in tree mortality may be detrimental to cavity-nesting birds and bats [44, 50], as current levels of mortality appear to be great enough to reduce the future supply of mature trees significantly, especially in mixed-conifer forest [15]. Further, mortality rates could increase if regional climate tends toward higher temperatures and greater aridity [12, 14, 36–38]. Such rapid reductions in the numbers of live trees could greatly reduce sources of future snags in this forest type. Spatial variability in mortality was pronounced, however, so this reduction would not occur equally across the landscape. Thus, sources of future snags would be greatly reduced in some, but not all, areas.

Observed changes in snag populations will affect fuel loads as well. Many stands now feature large numbers of standing dead trees, with many of these trees falling over relatively short time periods. These falling snags will result in a relatively large input of surface fuels in these systems. This large increase in surface fuels could create challenges for fire and fuels managers. Tree mortality also is reducing canopy density and continuity, however, which may reduce...
the potential for spread of crown fires. This balance between increased surface fuels and decreased canopy fuels will drive changes in fire behavior, likely will vary greatly across the landscape given the pronounced spatial variability observed in tree mortality [15], and also may vary with time since disturbance [51]. Thus, the net effect of this fuels pulse on fire behavior in these systems is unknown at present.

The rapid increase in snag recruitment observed from 2002 to 2007, as well as changes in snag longevity during this period, illustrates some of the problems involved in attempting to model dynamics of snag populations in the face of temporal variability [11, 52]. This complexity is exacerbated by the effects of climate change and potential changes in disturbance regimes and land management practices. Predicted changes in climate and land management practices may affect both snag recruitment and snag longevity, either directly or through complex interactions with disturbance regimes and mortality agents [42, 53–55]. As a result, models based on snag recruitment and fall rates from past periods are unlikely to describe current and future dynamics of snag populations adequately.
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