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The Role of Bacteria and Pattern Recognition Receptors in GvHD
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Graft-versus-Host Disease (GvHD) is the most serious complication of allogeneic stem cell transplantation (SCT) and results from an activation of donor lymphocytes by recipient antigen-presenting cells (APCs). For a long time, it has been postulated that the intestinal microflora and endotoxin exert a crucial step in this APC activation, as there is early and severe gastrointestinal damage induced by pretransplant conditioning. With the detailed description of pathogen-associated molecular patterns and pathogen recognition receptors single nucleotide polymorphisms of TLRs and especially NOD2 have been identified as potential risk factors of GvHD and transplant related complications thus further supporting the crucial role of innate immunity in SCT, related complications. Gastrointestinal decontamination and neutralization of endotoxin have been used to interfere with this early axis of activation with some success but more specific approaches of modulation of innate immunity are needed for further improvement of clinical outcome.

1. Introduction

Graft-versus-Host Disease (GvHD) in its acute and chronic form is the major cause of mortality and morbidity following both, experimental and clinical allogeneic stem cell transplantation (SCT). Donor T-cells activated by major or minor histocompatibility antigens on host antigen presenting cells (APCs) are the essential players in the pathophysiology of GvHD [1], and T-cell depletion of the graft is able to abrogate both, GvHD and the beneficial graft-versus-leukemia (GvL) effect. However, it has been well known since the fundamental experiments of van Bekkum that activation of innate immunity by the gastrointestinal microflora is a crucial and initiating step in induction of alloreactions. Mice grown under germ-free conditions and receiving bone marrow as the only stem cell source (containing a limited number of T-cells) failed to develop acute GvHD whereas, mice grown under conventional conditions or reconvensionalized early after transplantation died from acute GvHD. If spleen cells containing a high number of T-cells were added, germ-free conditions could not prevent but still delayed the onset of GvHD [2]. Since this first observation substantial progress has been made in understanding the exact pathways how bacteria and their ligands interact with specific pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), thus activating and modulating APCs and targets of GvHD. In the present paper we summarize current evidence on the impact of microbia and microbial patterns on pathophysiology of GvHD and clinical outcome following allogeneic SCT.

2. Indirect Evidence: Gastrointestinal Damage and GvHD

Major target organs of acute GvHD are the skin, the liver, especially bile duct epithelia, the gastrointestinal tract and still controversially discussed, the lung. In chronic GvHD, oral manifestations and again, the pulmonary involvement of bronchiolitis obliterans (BOs) are frequent. A common denominator of these organs is that they present epithelial surfaces with strong immunological interactions between commensal and pathogenic bacteria, epithelial barrier and defence mechanisms and the immune system which are usually in a perfect balance to maintain a status of immunological tolerance [3].

These epithelial defence mechanisms are heavily disturbed by epithelial damage through pretransplant
3. Indirect Evidence: The Role of SNPs of Innate Immunity

In murine GvHD, endotoxin has been identified as a major mediator of inflammation involved in initiation of intestinal and systemic GvHD. Genetic susceptibility as well as direct antagonisms against endotoxin has a major impact on the occurrence and severity of experimental GvHD [5, 13] and an LPS-TNFα axis has been postulated as a major mechanism of acute GvHD. In humans, TLR4 is considered to represent the classical endotoxin receptor. With the characterization of the human genome, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for many genes have been described which translate into altered functions of these genes. In the setting of allogeneic SCT, TLR4 SNPs have been assessed by 2 groups which however, reported opposing effects, either protection or enhancement of GvHD in the presence of TLR4 SNPs [14, 15].

Beyond TLR4, there is a large set of further TLRs recognizing other microbial patterns including further bacterial and viral ligands. SNPs have been described for most of these TLRs, and recently, presence of the homozygous TLR9 variant in the patient has been associated with improved survival and a reduced relapse rate following allogeneic SCT [16]. In addition to TLRs, the NOD-like receptors are a family of highly conserved intracytoplasmatic receptors involved in activation of an important inflammatory cascade, the inflammasome, which finally results in activation of NF-κB and/or activation and cleavage of IL-1β [17]. Based on pathophysiological similarities between inflammatory bowel disease and intestinal GvHD, we speculated that SNPs involved in the pathogenesis of Crohn’s disease might be also of relevance in GvHD and outcome following allogeneic SCT [18]. We and others have therefore tested SNPs of NOD2, a receptor sensing muramyl-dipeptide derived from Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, as well as SNPs of other innate immunity molecules such as the autophagy-related gene 1 (ATG16L1) involved in autophagy of bacteria [18], in large cohorts of patients receiving HLA-identical sibling SCT and their donors. Presence of any NOD2 SNPs or the ATG16L1 variants in either the recipient or the donor increased the risk of GvHD and subsequent treatment related mortality, and this effect was further increased if variants were present in both, donor and recipient (Figure 1).

Since our first description of association of NOD2 variants with GvHD and mortality [19] several further groups addressed the role of this important receptor. In HLA-identical sibling transplants, most of the studies were confirmatory [20–24]; in unrelated donor transplantation, the association either was absent [25], present only for SNP13 [26] or even contradictory as one group reported association with less GvL and more relapse [27–29] (Table 1). This may be explained by the fact that in unrelated donor SCT HLA-differences are much more likely to occur and dominant against the SNPs of innate immunity or by the more intense immunosuppression which usually includes in vivo T-cell depletion with monoclonal or polyclonal sera. In addition, our European cohort analysis nicely demonstrated that differences in transplant specific strategies, especially with regard to antibacterial decontamination, had a strong impact on the prognostic significance of NOD2. Thus, immunoregulatory SNPs may be specifically sensitive to interaction with transplant or center-specific strategies and it may be therefore difficult to establish these SNPs as risk factors allowing exact prediction of complications.

However, a further and even more important aspect of these observations is the implication of these molecules in pathophysiology. Our observation of an association of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome with NOD2 SNPs suggest that this interference of SNPs with epithelial defence mechanisms applies to all epithelial tissues [30], and it will be of major interest to learn more about antibacterial peptides released like defensins released under the control of NOD2 [31]. Recently, NOD2−/− mice and bone marrow chimeras were used as recipients in experimental BMT models. These data confirmed an accelerated mortality from GvHD if hematopoietic cells were NOD2 deficient, and O’ Penack and his group identified the antigen-presenting cell (APC) as the target of NOD2 deficiency [32]. APCs from NOD2−/− mice induced a much stronger alloresponse as compared to wild-type mice pointing to a deficiency of immunoregulatory APC molecules. Recently, our group addressed the immunohistopathology of skin and gut GvHD in relation to absence and presence of NOD2 SNPs: In both tissues, skin and gut, recipient NOD2 SNPs had no impact on the extent of apoptosis as the hallmark of GvHD nor on the CD8 and macrophage infiltrate in the biopsies. However, we observed a uniform reduction of CD4 cells in the presence of NOD2 SNPs suggesting that GvHD especially in these patients is characterized by a loss of protective CD4 population including a loss of regulatory T-cells [33]. NOD2 has been shown to be involved in release of chemokines attracting T-helper cells as well as in recruitment
of TH17 cells, and ongoing studies try to address these questions. Thus, a dysregulated APC function is the most likely explanation for this loss of CD4 cells as it has been observed in mice.

4. Direct Evidence: The Role of Bacteria and Bacterial Ligands

van Bekkum’s work elegantly elaborated the role of the intestinal microflora and is up to now the basis for the gnotobiotic approach to modulate GvHD. In his early experiments he did not only show protection from GvHD induced by bone marrow transplantation in gnotobiotic mice. He also reported that subcutaneous fetal gut implants revealed attenuated histopathological GvHD if the carrier mice were germ-free prior to allogeneic transplantation indicating a systemic effect of decontamination [34]. Similar observations pointing to a systemic modulation [35] were reported by Lampert et al. [35], as they reported attenuation of both gut and skin GvHD after oral decontamination in mice. In the same year, Veenendaal et al. published strain-dependent effects of decontamination, as C3H/He recipients were protected from delayed type GvHD by decontamination whereas C57Bl/6 were not [36]. Later on, a debate started whether selective decontamination versus decontamination including anaerobic bacteria conferred greater protection from GvHD. In both, experimental BMT [37] and in clinical trials published by the Essen group [38, 39] suppression of the anaerobic flora seemed to have a stronger effect than suppression of enterobacteriaceae alone.

Further evidence for a role of the intestinal flora can be derived from attempts to neutralize endotoxin. In 1987, Cohen reported protection of mice by passive immunization with anti-E.coli sera, and an increased antibody titer against a certain E. coli strain in patients was associated with a lower incidence of severe GvHD [40, 41]. In the 90s, IgM enriched immunoglobulin preparations were thought to reduce GvHD due to their potent antiendotoxin effects [42].

Based on positive reports in inflammatory bowel disease, our group tested the use of probiotic bacteria (Lactobacillus rhamnosus) in an experimental BMT model. Indeed, feeding of lactobacilli reduced severity of experimental GvHD and improved survival. The systemic effect of probiotic bacteria could be demonstrated by a reduction of splenic donor T-cell proliferation further demonstrating that activation of innate immunity sets the state for subsequent adaptive alloreactions [43].

Besides endotoxin modulation, only rare reports have addressed the role of other bacterial and TLR ligands. In an elegant study, Chakraverty et al. proved the checkpoint function for innate immunity by applying a TLR7 activator locally to the skin before inducing GvHD by donor lymphocyte infusion in mixed murine chimeras. Whereas there were almost no cellular infiltrates and signs of GvHD in untreated control skin, massive T-cell infiltrates and histopathological damage was observed in the TLR7-ligand pretreated skin [44]. Similar processes of activation should occur in the intestinal tract and in other epithelial target tissues of GvHD including anaerobic bacteria. In line with the checkpoint function of innate immunity, binding of CPG-oligodeoxynucleotides to host antigen-presenting cells accelerated GvHD in a recent murine study [45], whereas murine TLR9−/− recipients were protected from GvHD [46]. Interestingly, other TLR-ligands seem to induce opposing and even silencing effects. Pretreatment of mice with the TLR5 ligand flagellin reduced severity of GvHD indicating that TLR5 may be more involved in dampening activation of antigen presenting cells (Gerwitz, ASBMT 2010, abstract).

5. Perspective: Modulation of PRRs to Avoid GvHD While Preserving GvL Effects

Since many years, attempts to reduce GvHD frequently also affected the major therapeutic principle of allogeneic SCT, the graft-versus-leukemia effect. This was most obvious for direct T-cell depletion [47] but can also be observed for classical immunosuppressants like cyclosporin. Especially in acute leukemias, occurrence of mild acute GvHD grade I-II and chronic GvHD confers the best antileukemic effect. In our studies on the role of NOD2 SNPs, however, we observed a strong impact of recipient and combined donor/recipient SNPs on GvHD, and GvHD-related mortality, however, there was no difference in relapse rates between the different
groups. Patients with wild-type NOD2 had a cumulative incidence of relapse of 41%, patients with either recipient or donor variants of 29% and patients with combined donor and recipient variants 33% [20]. This observation and pathophysiological considerations suggest that modulation of epithelial inflammation in the gut or the bronchial epithelial system thus might reduce GvHD but should not interfere with antileukemic immunity which is located in the central lymph nodes or in the marrow.

6. Conclusions

Although our current understanding of the interplay between intestinal microbes, activation of innate immunity, and specific alloreactions explains some of the long standing preclinical and clinical findings such as the potential protective effect of intestinal decontamination, there still are a variety of issues to be solved. Comparable to the situation in IBD, SNPs of innate immunity alone by far do not explain the individual susceptibility for intestinal GvHD or allow even prediction of intestinal GVHD which would be extremely helpful to tailor immunosuppressive prophylaxis and treatment suggesting that intestinal homeostasis is far more complex. In addition, the role of the diversity of the intestinal microbiota and the impact of immunological memory against these antigens in GVHD has not been addressed so far. In addition, pathophysiology has focussed on excess inflammation so far. As suggested by our findings on a reduction of intestinal regulatory T-cells in patients with NOD2 SNPs and GVHD, GVHD may be far more a loss of intestinal immunoregulation, and mechanisms of immunoregulation need to be investigated in detail. Recent data indicate that the balance of regulatory to TH17 cells is strongly regulated by the enzyme Indolamine-2,3 dioxygenase (IDO) in intestinal antigen-presenting cells. Experimental data suggest a strong impact of this enzyme in GVHD pathophysiology [48], and ongoing studies address this new player in the clinical setting. In the long term, these findings should help to substitute nonspecific immunosuppression for treatment of intestinal GVHD by strategies aiming at reconstitution of immunoregulation.

Table 1: Summary of published studies on NOD2 SNPs and outcome following SCT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of SCT</th>
<th>Association</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holler 2004</td>
<td>Related</td>
<td>GvHD, TRM</td>
<td>Single centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holler 2006</td>
<td>Related</td>
<td>GvHD, TRM, OS</td>
<td>Multicentre; Impact of decontamination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granell 2006</td>
<td>Related</td>
<td>TRM, pulmonary compl.</td>
<td>CD34 selected grafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sairafi 2008</td>
<td>Related</td>
<td>No association</td>
<td>Low frequency of NOD2 variants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanssen 2008</td>
<td>Related</td>
<td>Weak with GvHD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Velden 2009</td>
<td>Related</td>
<td>Strong with GvHD</td>
<td>Partially T depleted grafts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hildebrandt 2009</td>
<td>Related and Unrelated</td>
<td>Bronchiolitis obliterans</td>
<td>Majority received T-cell depletion With MabCampath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor 2009</td>
<td>Unrelated</td>
<td>Strong with relapse, not with GvHD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holler 2009</td>
<td>Unrelated</td>
<td>Only SNP13 with TRM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen 2010</td>
<td>Unrelated</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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