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Several strains of Gram-negative and Gram-positive sulphate-reducing bacteria (SRB) are able to use carbon monoxide (CO) as a carbon source and electron donor for biological sulphate reduction. These strains exhibit variable resistance to CO toxicity. The most resistant SRB can grow and use CO as an electron donor at concentrations up to 100%, whereas others are already severely inhibited at CO concentrations as low as 1-2%. Here, the utilization, inhibition characteristics, and enzymology of CO metabolism as well as the current state of genomics of CO-oxidizing SRB are reviewed. Carboxydotrophic sulphate-reducing bacteria can be applied for biological sulphate reduction with synthesis gas (a mixture of hydrogen and carbon monoxide) as an electron donor.

1. Introduction

Sulphate reducers are anaerobic microorganisms that are able to use sulphate as a terminal electron acceptor [1]. They are widespread in anoxic habitats [2] and can use numerous substrates as electron donor for growth. These include sugars [3, 4], amino acids [5, 6], hydrogen [7], and one-carbon compounds, such as methanol [8–11], carbon monoxide [12–14], and methanethiol [15]. Even alkanes [16–19], alkenes [20], and short-chain alkanes [21], as well as aniline [22], benzoate, phenol, aromatic hydrocarbons [23–25], and phosphate [26] are used as electron donor.

Sulphate-reducing bacteria play an important role in biodesulfurization processes. Industries that use sulphuric acid, sulphate-rich feedstocks, or reduced sulphur compounds generate wastewaters rich in sulphate [27]. Sulphate is removed from wastewater by the combined activity of SRB that generate sulphide and the subsequent partial oxidation of sulphide to insoluble elemental sulphur by sulphide oxidizing bacteria [28]. Biotechnological applications of sulphate reduction further include SOx abatement from the flue gas of coal fueled power plants [27] and treatment of sulphate-rich, heavy metal contaminated wastewaters. Heavy metals such as Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb, Ni, and Fe can be removed from waste streams by precipitation with biogenic sulfide. Because of differences in solubility of products, the metals can be selectively precipitated, which enables their recovery and reuse as demonstrated at full-scale for a zinc smelting plant [29].

If sulphate-rich wastewaters contain no or insufficient amounts of suitable electron and carbon donors for sulphate reduction, external addition becomes a prerequisite. Examples of such wastewaters are waste streams generated in galvanic processes, in the detoxification of metal-contaminated soils, in the mining of heavy metals and coal, and in flue gas desulfurization [30]. The selection of the most appropriate electron donor depends on its sulphate reduction efficiency, costs, and residual pollution. The use of simple organic compounds (e.g., ethanol or methanol) or H2/CO2 is highly preferred over complex wastes, for example, molasses, tannery effluents, solid waste materials, and manure [27]. Especially H2 is often used for biotechnological sulphate reduction. Van Houten et al. [31] demonstrated that with a mixture of H2/CO2 (80%:20%), sulphate reduction rates
as high as 30 g SO$_4^{2-}$ L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ are achieved within 10 days of operation in gas lift bioreactors. Alternatively, synthesis gas or methane can be used; however, the sulphate reduction rates with methane are still extremely low. Synthesis gas, a mixture of H$_2$, CO and CO$_2$ and some other trace gases [32], has been considered a cheap alternative for high-purity H$_2$. Synthesis gas can be used either directly or after enriching its H$_2$ content by means of a water-gas shift reaction, that is, the catalytic reaction in which CO is forced to react with water yielding carbon dioxide and hydrogen [33]. In addition, residual electron donors or side products should be low or easily removed. Here, we review the growth of SRB with H$_2$/CO mixtures and with pure CO.

2. CO in the Metabolism of SRB

2.1. CO as an Electron Donor for Pure Cultures of SRB. Some pure cultures of hydrogen-utilizing sulphate-reducing bacteria are able to use carbon monoxide as a carbon and energy source [34–36]. A few species of mesophilic sulphate-reducers can grow at low concentrations (4.5–20%) of CO. The most tested CO-utilizing SRB are species of genera Desulfovibrio and Desulfotomaculum (Table 1). The following reactions (Gibbs energy, $\Delta G^\circ$,) calculated according to [37] play a role during growth of SRB on CO:

$$\begin{align*}
4\text{CO} + 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow 4\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{HS}^- + 3\text{H}^+ & \Delta G^\circ &= -37.1 \text{kJ/mol CO},
\end{align*}$$

$$\begin{align*}
4\text{CO} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{acetate}^- + 2\text{HCO}_3^- + 3\text{H}^+ & \Delta G^\circ &= -28.2 \text{kJ/mol CO},
\end{align*}$$

$$\begin{align*}
\text{CO} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_2 + \text{H}^+ & \Delta G^\circ &= -15.1 \text{kJ/mol CO},
\end{align*}$$

$$\begin{align*}
4\text{H}_2 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{H}^+ &\rightarrow \text{HS}^- + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} & \Delta G^\circ &= -45.2 \text{kJ/mol H}_2,
\end{align*}$$

$$\begin{align*}
4\text{H}_2 + 2\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ &\rightarrow \text{acetate}^- + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} & \Delta G^\circ &= -36.4 \text{kJ/mol H}_2.
\end{align*}$$

First information on CO oxidation by thermophilic Desulfotomaculum species was published in 1985 by Klemps et al. [8]. These moderately thermophilic Desulfotomaculum species could grow slowly with CO (4–20% v/v) as the only energy source. Four thermophilic sulphate-reducing bacteria: Desulfotomaculum thermoacetoxidans strain CAMZ, Thermodesulfovibrio yellowstonii, Desulfotomaculum kuznetsovii, and Desulfotomaculum thermobenzoicum subsp. Thermostyphonicum were studied in pure culture and in coculture with the thermophilic carboxydrotrophic hydrogenogenic bacterium Carboxydothermus hydrogenoformans [12]. In pure culture, Dtm. kuznetsovii and Dtm. thermobenzoicum subsp. Thermostyphonicum were able to grow with CO. In the presence of hydrogen and carbon dioxide, CO concentrations as high as 50–70% were utilized. The latter two SRB coupled CO oxidation to sulphate reduction without intermediate H$_2$ production (reaction (1)), but a large part of the CO was converted to acetate (reaction (2)). When grown in coculture with the carboxydrotrophic bacterium C. hydrogenoformans [44], Dtm. kuznetsovii and Dtm. thermobenzoicum subsp. Thermostyphonicum could grow with 100% CO (P CO$_2$ = 120 kPa) [12]. In that experiment, hydrogen was formed from CO by C. hydrogenoformans (reaction (3)) and subsequently the sulphate-reducers used the generated hydrogen as electron donor (reaction (4)).

Dtm. carboxydivorans strain CO-1-SRB$^T$ was isolated from an anaerobic bioreactor treating wastewater from several paper mills [13]. The bacterium could grow with 100% CO in the gas phase. In the presence of sulphate, CO was converted to H$_2$ and CO$_2$ and the generated H$_2$ was used for sulphate reduction. In the absence of sulphate, CO was completely converted to H$_2$ and CO$_2$. Phylogenetically it was placed between Dtm. nigrificans and Desulfotomaculum sp. RHT-3 [45–47]. Desulfotomaculum sp. RHT-3 could utilize up to 50% CO maximally [13], whereas Dtm. nigrificans could grow up to 20% CO maximally, as was found before by Klemps et al. [8]. Higher concentrations completely inhibited their growth [13].

Archeaeglobus fulgidus is a thermophilic sulphate reducing Archaeon able to grow on several organic substrates [42, 43]. Recently, the ability of A. fulgidus to grow with CO and to couple growth on CO with sulphate reduction was demonstrated [14]. Sulphate is reduced with CO as electron donor without intermediate H$_2$ production, but with transient formate formation. Additionally A. fulgidus grows acetogenically with CO in the presence and absence of sulphate and sulphate reduction to sulphide is not inhibited by CO [14].

2.2. CO Toxicity to SRB. CO is a substrate, but also an inhibitor of sulphate-reducing bacteria. The inhibiting CO concentration ranges from 2% up to 70% for different SRB. It was found that Desulfovibrio desulfuricans, growing on lactate and sulphate, tolerated a CO concentration up to 20%, although such a CO concentration caused a reversible type of growth inhibition [40, 48] (Table 1). Desulfovibrio africans, Desulfovibrio baculatus, and some Desulfovibrio desulfuricans strains grow with lactate in the presence of 20% or less of CO. Higher CO concentrations inhibited growth completely [40]. CO concentrations exceeding 20% (v/v) inhibited growth of Desulfoспорinus orientis completely [8]. Growth of Dtm. thermoacetoxidans and T. yellowstonii with pyruvate was completely inhibited at CO concentrations as low as 2% in the gas phase [12], whereas Dtm. kuznetsovii and Dtm. thermobenzoicum subsp. Thermostyphonicum were capable of growth in the presence of CO (Table 1).

Synthesis gas was used as an electron donor of sulphate reduction in bioreactors [49–52]. These studies with mixed microbial communities encountered the same problems as with pure cultures. The major restriction of synthesis gas utilization is the presence of CO, which can range from 5 to over 50% [53] and its toxicity towards SRB [33]. Du Preez and Maree [50] reported a sulphate reduction rate of 2.4 g SO$_4^{2-}$ L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ with pure CO. Van Houten et al. [51, 54] achieved a sulphate reduction rate in the range of 6–8 g SO$_4^{2-}$ L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, with a feed gas containing maximally 20%
CO. Van Houten et al. [51, 55] showed that CO exerted a toxic effect on the SRB present in the biomass at gas phase CO concentrations between 5 and 20% as the sulphate reduction rates were limited to 8 g SO$_4^{2-}$ L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, whereas with H$_2$/CO$_2$ the maximum sulphate reduction rate achieved was 30 g SO$_4^{2-}$ L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ [31]. In the presence of CO, a layered biomass structure developed with aceticogenic bacteria located at the outside of the biofilm, and SRB located deeper inside the biofilm probably as a protection mechanism towards CO toxicity [51, 55].

2.3. Enzymes of CO Metabolism and Genomics of CO-Oxidizing SRB. Of all sulphate reducers, only A. fulgidus and Dtm. carboxydivorans were not inhibited by the highest tested P$_{CO}$ of 200 and 124 kPa, respectively [14, 56]. Presence of a CO-dehydrogenase in a sulphate reducer does not necessarily mean that it is less sensitive towards CO. Cell extracts of Dtm. thermoacetoxidans revealed a CO-dehydrogenase activity [57] and the whole-genome sequence of T. yellowstonii contains a CO-dehydrogenase gene as part of an ACS/CODH cluster (Table 2). However, both bacteria are completely inhibited by less than 2% of CO in the gas phase [12]. It might be that the CO-dehydrogenase of T. yellowstonii is inactive, since no CO-oxidizing activity was found in cell extracts of this bacterium and it needs acetate as carbon source for growth with H$_2$ or formate as electron donors [58].

In recent reviews devoted to CO-utilization, genomics and CO-sensing mechanisms of anaerobic, aerobic bacteria and Archaea, it was concluded that there is no single mechanism of CO-utilization and CO-inhibition [59–61].

The mechanism of CO-inhibition in particular of sulphate reducers is not completely understood as well. Hydrogenases play a key role in the metabolism of hydrogenotrophic sulphate reducers, and also in the hydrogen-cycling mechanism that was proposed for Desulfovibrio spp. growing on lactate [62, 63]. It has been shown that CO is a competitive inhibitor of [FeFe]-hydrogenases where it binds to iron in the active site [62, 63]. Interestingly, CO also serves, together with CN$^-$, as a coordinating ligand in the active site of [FeFe]-hydrogenases [64–67].

Inhibition of hydrogenase therefore could potentially explain at least part of the sensitivity of some sulphate reducers towards CO. Other metallo-enzymes could similarly be inhibited by CO. In Dsv. desulfuricans strain B-1388, the effect of CO on metabolism was studied in more detail. In this bacterium, 5% CO caused “nonspecific stress” resulting in a lower growth rate, increased content of cytochrome c, increased content of reduced pyridine nucleotides, and a low ATP concentration [68–70]. Therefore, it has been suggested that the function of the CO-dehydrogenase in this organism is to detoxify CO [70]. It was shown that hydrogenases of Clostridium pasteurianum are inhibited by binding of CO to iron in the active site, but whether this is the sole mechanism of inhibition is not clear [71]. Recent interesting results in this field-CO-tolerant hydrogenases exist that exclude CO from the active site by means of a narrow tunnel that allows hydrogen to pass, but not CO [72]. Since CO binds readily to metals, such as iron, other metal bearing proteins may be similarly affected.

The genome sequence of Dsv. desulfuricans strain B-1388 has not been solved, but the genome of seven other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>CO + carbon sources besides CO</th>
<th>Products formed</th>
<th>Without sulphate</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio vulgaris str. Madison</td>
<td>37 ≤4.5% (+1 mmol/l sodium acetate)</td>
<td>H$_2$, CO$_2$, H$_2$S</td>
<td>No growth</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio baarsii 2st14</td>
<td>37 1.5% (+ formate)</td>
<td>Alanine, Aspartate Glutamate, H$_2$S</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio desulfuricans, Desulfovibrio baculatus, Desulfovibrio africana</td>
<td>30 ≤20%+ lactate</td>
<td>H$_2$, CO$_2$, H$_2$S</td>
<td>No growth</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfosporosinus orientis (former Desulfotomaculum orientis)</td>
<td>35–37 ≤20% (+1 mmol/l sodium acetate)</td>
<td>H$_2$, CO$_2$, H$_2$S</td>
<td>No growth</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfotomaculum nigrificans</td>
<td>55 ≤20% (+1 mmol/l sodium acetate)</td>
<td>H$_2$, CO$_2$, H$_2$S</td>
<td>No growth</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfotomaculum thermobenzoicum subsp. thermosyntrophicum</td>
<td>55 ≤50–70%</td>
<td>Acetate, CO$_2$, H$_2$S</td>
<td>No growth</td>
<td>[12, 41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfotomaculum kuznetsovii</td>
<td>55–60 ≤50%</td>
<td>Acetate, CO$_2$, H$_2$S</td>
<td>No growth</td>
<td>[10, 12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfotomaculum sp. RHT-3</td>
<td>55 ≤50%</td>
<td>H$_2$, CO$_2$, H$_2$S</td>
<td>No growth</td>
<td>[10, 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfotomaculum carboxydivorans CO-1-SRB</td>
<td>55 100%</td>
<td>H$_2$, CO$_2$, H$_2$S</td>
<td>H$_2$, CO$_2$</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeoglobus fulgidus VC 16</td>
<td>75–80 ≤80%</td>
<td>Acetate, CO$_2$, H$_2$S, formate (transient)</td>
<td>CO$_2$, acetate, formate (transient)</td>
<td>[14, 42, 43]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Desulfovibrio spp. and of ten other dissimilatory sulphate reducers has been sequenced (Table 2). At least 25 more whole-genome sequencing projects on sulphate reducers are ongoing. Of the seven available Desulfovibrio genomes, only Desulfovibrio piger does not contain a CO-dehydrogenase gene homologue. The CO-dehydrogenase gene context appears conserved in Desulfovibrio spp. with cooA and cooC homologues, respectively, up- and down-stream of the cooS, the gene fragment encoding for CO-dehydrogenase. CooA is a CO-binding transcriptional regulator [74] and CooC a CO-dehydrogenase maturation protein [75, 76]. Interestingly, cooA seems only present in the genomes of Desulfovibrio spp., but in none of the other available genomes of sulphate reducers. Further, CO-dehydrogenase gene context reveals little information about its possible physiological role in the metabolism. A hyd [FeFe]-hydrogenase deletion mutant of Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough formed transient amounts of CO up to 6000 ppm as part of a fermentation burst. Likely its CO-dehydrogenase is first involved in the production and then in the consumption of CO by this mutant, with electron transfer possibly linked to a general electron pool [77]. For Dsv. desulfuricans B-1388, it was also shown that CO-dehydrogenase activity in cell extracts increases over time in batch cultures of cells grown with lactate and sulphate as substrates [35, 78]. In late growth stages, the degradation of cellular material, for example, porphyrins, may release CO and this results in an increased CO-dehydrogenase expression through the action of CooA.

In the remainder of fully sequenced genomes of sulphate reducers (Table 2) that contain one or more CO-dehydrogenase genes, the CO-dehydrogenase is either part of an ACS/CODH cluster or is located alone or together with unexpected genes. In this group of sulphate reducers, only Archaeoglobus fulgidus is shown to grow with CO as substrate [14]. A. fulgidus contains three CO-dehydrogenase genes, two of the type typically found in archaeal ACS/CODH complexes and one homologous to cooS found in bacteria. The archaean type of CO-dehydrogenases is paired together only with the epsilon subunit of ACS/CODH in A. fulgidus. The beta, gamma, and delta subunits of ACS/CODH appear only once in the genome, separate from the alpha epsilon pairs. It has been speculated that both archaean type CO-dehydrogenases are involved in the acetyl-CoA pathway and that oxidation of CO by CooS provides electrons for reduction of CO2 to formyl methanofuran and possibly in the reduction of sulphate [14]. Direct experimental evidence for these roles, however, is still lacking.

The presence of multiple CODH genes in a single genome suggests that multiple physiological roles exist for CO-dehydrogenase. Besides a role in the ACS/CODH complex, CO could be oxidized by CODH and serve as an electron donor for sulfate reduction or CO-dehydrogenase could

### Table 2: CO-dehydrogenase genes in completed genome sequences of sulphate reducers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>NCBI RefSeq</th>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Bacterial</th>
<th>Archaeal</th>
<th>ACS/CODH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Archaeoglobus fulgidus</td>
<td>DSM4304</td>
<td>NC_000917</td>
<td>AF1100, 1849, 2397</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio vulgaris</td>
<td>Hildenborough</td>
<td>NC_002937</td>
<td>DVU0298</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio vulgaris</td>
<td>DP4</td>
<td>NC_008751</td>
<td>Dvul1133</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio vulgaris</td>
<td>Miyazaki F</td>
<td>NC_011769</td>
<td>DvMF2233</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio desulfuricans</td>
<td>G20</td>
<td>NC_007519</td>
<td>Ddes_3028</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio desulfuricans</td>
<td>ATCC27774</td>
<td>NC_011883</td>
<td>Ddes_0382</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio salexigens</td>
<td>DSM2638</td>
<td>NZ_ACCN</td>
<td>DesalDRAFT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfotomaculum reducens</td>
<td>MI-1</td>
<td>NC_009253</td>
<td>Dred_0652</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfosudis audaxviator</td>
<td>MP104C</td>
<td>NC_010424</td>
<td>Daud_0870, 0105</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulforudis audaxviator</td>
<td>Hxd3</td>
<td>NC_009943</td>
<td>Dole_1461, 3185</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfococcus oleovorans</td>
<td>Hxd3</td>
<td>NC_009943</td>
<td>Dole_1461, 3185</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfotomaculum reducens</td>
<td>MPOB</td>
<td>NC_008554</td>
<td>Slum_2566, 2875</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulforudis audaxviator</td>
<td>AK-01</td>
<td>NC_011768</td>
<td>Dalk_0680, 2379</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulforudis audaxviator</td>
<td>DSM11347</td>
<td>NC_011269</td>
<td>THEYE_A1470</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Number of CO-dehydrogenase genes present in the genome that are homologous to the CO-dehydrogenases commonly found in bacterial ACS/CODH or in archaeal ACS/CODH.
2Number of CO-dehydrogenase genes present in a gene context that suggest it is part of acetyl-CoA synthase/CO-dehydrogenase complex.
3It is predicted that candidatus Desulforudis audaxviator is a sulphate reducer based upon genome sequence [73].
4Genbank accession number for Desulfobacterium autotrophicum.
serve to detoxify CO, but clear experimental evidence is lacking. Clearly, the different sulphate reducers respond differently to CO. While based on available whole genome sequences it appears that most sulphate reducers contain a CO-dehydrogenase gene, their sensitivity towards CO allows speculation that levels of CO that are encountered by these microorganisms in their natural habitat are limited. It seems that only few sulphate reducers are insensitive towards CO, although more may be found

3. Synthesis Gas as an Electron Donor for Biotechnological Sulphate Reduction

According to a cost estimation concerning the use of ethanol and H₂ as electron donors for sulphate reduction, ethanol turned out to be cheaper for small-scale installations (<5 kmol h⁻¹), whereas H₂ is cheaper for larger installations, assuming the use of a high-purity H₂ [55]. Synthesis gas, produced by, for example, steam reforming of natural gas or thermal gasification of coal, oil, biomass, or other organic matter and widely available as by-product of coal burners, is a cheap alternative for high-purity H₂ [55]. Furthermore, operational costs could be greatly reduced in case of on-site production of synthesis gas from coal, thus minimizing transportation costs.

Several mesophilic full-scale anaerobic sludges, when incubated at 55°C, revealed the capability of hydrogenogenic CO conversion, whereas direct acetate production was not observed [79]. As mentioned earlier, especially hydrogenogenic CO conversion holds a promise for the use of synthesis gas in biotechnological sulphate reduction, for example, in thermophilic flue gas desulfurization. Therefore, the utilization of CO as a sole external electron donor in thermophilic (55°C) sulphate reduction was investigated in lab-scale gas lift bioreactors [80–82]. These reactors were inoculated with mesophilic granular sludge, with a high hydrogenogenic activity on CO at 55°C, from which the hydrogenogenic sulphate reducer *Dtm. carboxydivorans* [13] was isolated.

Thermophilic (50–55°C) sulphate reduction rates of up to 20 mmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹ were obtained at hydraulic retention times (HRTs) between 6 and 14 hours [80] showing the potential of sulphate reduction with CO as an external electron donor. However, due to predominant methanogenic H₂ consumption, sulphate reduction rates were generally low. Similar competition effects at 55°C leading to methanogenesis have been reported with methanol [83] and H₂/CO₂ [84]. Fast growth rates of the methanogens (generation time of 4.5 hours) enabled them to recover from imposed pH and temperature shocks, and they consumed more than 90% of the CO-derived H₂ [80]. Nevertheless, steep increases in sulphide production in periods with low methanogenic activity suggest that once methanogenesis is eliminated, sulphate reduction with CO-rich gas as electron donor has a great potential [80].

Operation at HRTs shorter than the generation time of the methanogens allowed stable sulphate reduction for prolonged periods, and up to 95% of the CO-derived H₂ was used for sulphate reduction [82]. The achieved sulphate reduction rates of 17 mmol·L⁻¹·d⁻¹ were limited by the amount of CO supplied and its conversion efficiency (about 85%) at higher CO loads likely results from biomass limitation. Methane production, however, persisted when operating under these conditions and increasing the HRT by returning it to values >5.5 hours resulted in a dominance of methanogenesis over sulfate reduction [82].

Although the operation of a thermophilic CO fed gas lift reactor at extremely low HRTs [82] clearly demonstrated the potential of CO or synthesis gas as an electron donor for biotechnological sulphate reduction, the low biomass concentrations present limited the applicable sulphate loads and thus its practical application. For high-rate biotechnological sulphate reduction processes, biomass retention is a prerequisite, and thus elimination or efficient suppression of methanogenesis is essential. Simple changes in operation conditions, such as pH, temperature, or salinity were ineffective for steering the competition for H₂ utilization towards sulphate reduction [80]. Suppression of thermophilic hydrogenogenic methanogenesis has been demonstrated with the use of chemical inhibitors [85] or pretreatment of the sludge prior to inoculation [86–88]. However, the use of chemical inhibitors represents a potential risk as they might be released into the environment. Furthermore, adaptation to the inhibitor might result in increased operational costs due to an increasing demand of chemical inhibitors to maintain the same level of inhibition. Weijma and coworkers [89] observed that the application of a continuous dosing of 2 g·L⁻¹ 2-bromoethanesulfonate to a bioreactor was ineffective for the suppression of methanogenesis, as methanogenesis resumed after two days. In contrast, Sparling et al. [90] successfully inhibited methane formation in an H₂ producing anaerobic digester by the addition of 1% v/v acetylene in the headspace.

Heat or acid/base treatment does not inhibit methanogens during reactor operation as would be the case with chemical agents, but rather eliminates methanogens before reactor operation is initiated. Such a pretreatment poses no environmental risk, because no harmful chemicals will be released, and furthermore, such a pretreatment in principle needs to be performed only once; whereas, chemical inhibitors need to be applied constantly most likely at an increased demand. Nevertheless, for the successful suppression of methanogens their complete elimination is a prerequisite, especially fast growing thermophilic methanogens.

In incubations at 55°C with *Dtm. carboxydivorans*, sulphide production occurred at a P_CO exceeding 100 kPa [56]. However, *Dtm. carboxydivorans* has a pH-dependent sensitivity for sulphide inhibition, that is, 9 mM sulphide at pH 7.2 and 5 mM at pH 6.5 cause complete inhibition [56]. Thus, most likely not toxicity of CO, but of sulphide determines the sulphate reduction capacity of *Dtm. carboxydivorans*, as bulk liquid CO concentrations will likely be kept low due to biological conversion. Therefore, to develop a high-rate sulphate-reducing bioreactor employing *Dtm. carboxydivorans*, additional features to maintain the sulphide concentration below inhibitory levels are required. To maintain sulphide concentrations sufficiently low, operation at slightly elevated pH-values could be considered or
application of an alkaline H₂S absorber through which the recycle gas is led. The H₂S absorbed from the gas phase could be fed to a second micro-aerobic biological reactor, preferably operated at high pH to minimize consumption of chemicals, in which the sulphide is partially oxidized to elemental sulphur [28]. Another attractive option is the use of H₂S extractive membranes [91] placed inside the bioreactor mixed liquor, which could result in direct recovery of elemental sulphur when combining with a Fe³⁺-containing extraction solution.

Successful application of CO-containing H₂-rich synthesis gas in biosulphurization requires not merely tolerance for the presence of CO, but also the use of CO within the synthesis gas or its conversion products for sulphate reduction as well. The conversion of CO to acetate, although a potential substrate for SRB, can be considered a disadvantage as acetate gives rise to elevated effluent COD concentrations and requires the presence of both hydrogenotrophic and acetotrophic SRB. For the maximal utilization of syngas as electron donor, the distinct dominating groups of SRB should be capable of outcompeting other microorganisms that use H₂ and acetate. Mesophilic SRB have been shown capable of outcompeting hydrogenotrophic methanogens [31, 92]. The competition between SRB and methanogens for acetate appears less predictable despite the thermodynamic and kinetic advantages ascribed to SRB [27].

4. Summary and Perspectives

Presently, only about ten sulphate-reducing bacteria and one archaeon are able to use CO as an electron donor for sulphate reduction. Most CO-utilizing sulphate reducers are moderately thermophilic, Gram-positive bacteria. Gram-negative SRB of the Desulfovibrio genus are more sensitive to CO. Still very little is known about the dissimilation and assimilation of CO by sulphate-reducing bacteria and the toxic effect of CO on their metabolism. The genomes of some Gram-negative sulphate-reducing bacteria are available as well as that of Archaeoglobus fulgidus. The only genome sequence of Desulfitomaculum sp.-Dtm. reducens is available, but no genes involved in the CO metabolism are present. The upcoming genomic data will give us information about the presence of the enzymes of CO-utilization among SRB. With genomes from CO-metabolizing Gram-positive sulphate reducers, a comparative analysis can be made of the CO metabolism of Gram-negative and Gram-positive sulphate reducers. Furthermore, more detailed investigation of acetyl-CoA pathway enzymes and hydrogenases of carboxydrotrophic SRB might give more insight to CO-utilization by SRB.

The occurrence of thermophilic microorganisms capable of a high-rate hydrogenogenic CO conversion as well as sulfate reduction in the presence of high levels of CO enables the use of CO containing synthesis gas in biotechnological desulphurisation. Successful application of H₂-rich synthesis gas in biodesulphurisation, without the need for prior purification, requires tolerance for the presence of CO. Furthermore, it would be most beneficial to the overall sulfate reduction process if CO within the synthesis gas is used for sulphate reduction as well. Thus, both toxicity and potential metabolic use of CO as an electron donor are important factors governing the utilization potential of CO-rich synthesis gas for biotechnological sulphate reduction. In that respect, the recent discovery of CO tolerant SRB, such as Dtm. carboxydivorans, indicates that CO-rich synthesis gas holds promise as a cheap alternative electron donor for biodesulphurisation. Nevertheless, in order to enable high-rate biotechnological sulphate reduction employing Dtm. carboxydivorans, special attention should be directed to the competition for the electron donor with methanogens as well as inhibitory effects of the hydrogen sulphide formed.
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