Research Article

Simultaneous Screening of 24 Target Genes of Foodborne Pathogens in 35 Foodborne Outbreaks Using Multiplex Real-Time SYBR Green PCR Analysis

Hiroshi Fukushima,¹ Jun Kawase,¹ Yoshiki Etoh,² Kumiko Sugama,³ Shunshuke Yashiro,⁴ Natsuko Iida,⁵ and Keiji Yamaguchi⁶

¹ Shimane Prefectural Institute of Public Health and Environmental Science, 582 Nishihamasada, Matsue, Shimane 690-0122, Japan

² Fukuoka Institute of Health and Environmental Sciences, 39 Mukaizano, Dazaifu, Fukuoka 818-0135, Japan

³ Fukushima Institute of Public Health, 16-6 Houkida-aza-mitouchi, Fukushima 960-8560, Japan

⁴ Kumamoto Prefectural Institute of Health and Environmental Science, 1240-1 Kurisaki, Udo, Kumamoto 869-0425, Japan

⁵ Shizuoka Institute of Environment and Hygiene, 4-27-2 Kitayasuhigashi, Aoi, Shizuoka 420-8637, Japan

⁶ Hokkaido Institute of Public Health, West 12, North 19, North Ward, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0819, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Jun Kawase, kawase-jun@pref.shimane.lg.jp

Received 16 February 2010; Revised 8 June 2010; Accepted 5 July 2010

Academic Editor: Susana Merino

Copyright © 2010 Hiroshi Fukushima et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A set of 8 multiplex real-time SYBR Green PCR (SG-PCR) assays including 3 target primers and an internal amplification control (IAC) primer was simultaneously evaluated in 3 h or less with regard to detection of 24 target genes of 23 foodborne pathogens in 7 stool specimens of foodborne outbreak using a 96-well reaction plate. This assay, combined with DNA extraction (QIAamp DNA Stool Mini kit), offered detection of greater than 10^3 - 10^4 foodborne pathogens per g in stool specimens. The products formed were identified using melting point temperature (T_m) curve analysis. This assay was evaluated for the detection of foodborne pathogens in 33 out of 35 cases of foodborne outbreak, using 4 different PCR instruments in 5 different laboratories. No interference from the multiplex real-time SG-PCR assay, including IAC, was observed in stool specimens in any analysis. We found multiplex real-time SG-PCR assay for simultaneous detection of 24 target genes of foodborne pathogens to be comprehensive, rapid, inexpensive, accurate, of high selectivity, and good for screening probability.

1. Introduction

Technological advances in the past 2 decades have substantially increased the possibility of rapid diagnostic testing for many diseases. However, for bacterial pathogens which cause foodborne infections or foodborne outbreaks, traditional culture methods, which can take up to 1 week, are still the only method many microbiology laboratories routinely use for diagnosis [1]. Real-time PCR is one of the principle methodologies emerging for rapid diagnosis of foodborne outbreak. We previously reported a duplex real-time SYBR Green PCR (SG-PCR) screening system of 8 specific genes of foodborne pathogens in 5 fecal samples [2–4]. The real-time SG-PCR assay combined with DNA extraction using

a QIAamp DNA Stool Mini kit offered detection of greater than 10^3 - 10^4 foodborne pathogens per g in fecal samples. For diagnosis and management of foodborne outbreaks, this could distinguish patients infected with foodborne pathogens from healthy carriers. The introduction of this screening system in foodborne outbreak investigations provides an opportunity for comprehensive and rapid detection of pathogens in fecal samples. The results can quickly inform a public health administrator about the causative pathogens of foodborne outbreak, allowing a more accurate, effective and timely response. If it is possible to test for almost all foodborne pathogens including enteric and toxin-producing bacteria at a time, real-time PCR tests will certainly be useful for multiplex screening of foodborne pathogens.

FIGURE 1: Continued.

FIGURE 1: Amplification (a) and melting curve analysis (b) of 3 target genes of foodborne pathogens and IAC gene by primer sets A to H in multiplex real-time SG-PCR.

With multiplex PCR tests, if multiple bacteria could be simultaneously detected in the same reaction tube or during the same run, molecular diagnosis may prove to be very cost-effective. However, at present, published evaluations of these assays are insufficient.

One of the risks associated with testing samples by PCR is the occurrence of a false negative resulting from PCR inhibition [5, 6]. While positive and negative controls are normally run with every PCR master mix to ensure integrity of the reagents, PCR inhibition by the sample matrix can prevent amplification of the target template, resulting in false-negative reporting [5, 6]. Therefore, it is necessary to include an internal amplification control (IAC) in each individual reaction mixture to prevent reporting of false negatives [5]. Previous works have utilized various methods for developing and using an IAC for detection of a single target gene, except in the case of 4-target TaqMan multiplex PCR to detect *V. parahaemolyticus* [7].

The objective of the present study was to establish simple and specific methods to simultaneously detect 24 specific genes of foodborne pathogens in 7 stool specimens in a real-time SG-PCR assay using a 96-well reaction plate containing a universal, noncompetitive IAC.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strains. The 659 foodborne pathogens used in this study are shown in Table 2. The 23 foodborne pathogens (enteroinvasive *Escherichia coli*, enteropathogenic *E. coli*, enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*, enterotoxigenic *E. coli*, enteroaggregative *E. coli*, diffusively adherent *E. coli*, *Shigella* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *Providencia alcalifaciens*, *Plesiomonas shigelloides*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *C. coli*, *Vibrio cholerae*, TDH-positive *V. parahaemolyticus*, TRH-positive *V. parahaemolyticus*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, emetic *Bacillus cereus*, enterotoxigenic *B. cereus*, *Clostridium perfringens*, and *Listeria monocytogenes*) described as control strain in Table 2 are used as control for PCR analysis. DNA was isolated from cultured bacteria to test the specificity of the primers used in this study. Viable counts were obtained by culturing each dilution (10 μ L) overnight at 37°C on tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates for aerobic bacteria and TSA plates containing 3% NaCl for *Vibrio* spp. *Yersinia* spp. strains were cultured at 28°C for 48 h. The *Clostridium perfringens* strains were cultured on TSA overnight at 37°C using anaerobic conditions. The *Campylobacter jejuni* strains were cultured at 37°C for 48 h on Skirrow agar plates under microaerobic conditions.

2.2. Internal Amplification Control (IAC) and IAC Primers for PCR. An IAC was included in the assay by adding a small amount of PCR products using IAC primers from the bacterium *Yersinia ruckeri* (JCM15110), which is the causative agent of enteric red-mouth disease in salmonid fish species [8] and the presence of this bacterium in human fecal samples and food samples is never reported. Bacterium

used for DNA extraction was grown on brain heart infusion broth (Difco) at 30°C for 2 days. Two IAC primer pairs with different *Tm* of PCR products were used for amplifying 16S rRNA gene (GenBank accession no. X75275) of *Y. ruckeri*. One IAC primer was *yers* described by Lund et al. [9] and the *Tm* value of PCR product used for this primer was $77.3 \pm 0.15^\circ\text{C}$. Another IAC primer sequence of *yersH2-F* and *yersH2-R* were chosen by alignment of 16S rRNA gene sequence from foodborne pathogens shown in Table 1 using the BLAST program within GenBank and was designed by Biosearch Technologies Inc. The *Tm* value of PCR product used for this primer was $86.0 \pm 1.5^\circ\text{C}$.

2.3. DNA Extraction. For the DNA isolation from bacterial cultures, one milliliter of broth culture was centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 3 minutes. The pellet was then washed in 1 mL of distilled water, centrifuged, and suspended into 1 mL of distilled water. Each 200 microliters of suspension, containing 10^8 foodborne bacterial cells, was treated with the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer instructions. DNA preparations were used immediately for PCR amplification and stored at -20°C . Four μ L of DNA sample were used for PCR assay. For the DNA isolation from stool samples, stool samples (1 g) were weighed aseptically, placed into sterile tubes, and homogenized with 9 mL of distilled water. Two-hundred μ L of this stool suspension was treated with the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini kit according to manufacturer instructions in 1 h or less.

2.4. Primers. Primers were used for 24 specific genes of 23 foodborne pathogens which belonged to 16 species: *Escherichia coli* (enteroinvasive *E. coli*, enteropathogenic *E. coli*, enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*, enterotoxigenic *E. coli*, enteroaggregative *E. coli*, and diffusively adherent *E. coli*), *Shigella* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *Providencia alcalifaciens*, *Plesiomonas shigelloides*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *C. coli*, *Vibrio cholerae*, *V. parahaemolyticus* (TDH-positive and TRH-positive types), *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus* (emetic and enterotoxigenic types), *Clostridium perfringens*, and *Listeria monocytogenes*, and the 2 IAC primers are listed in Table 1. The size and melting point temperature (*Tm*) values of PCR products are also listed in Table 1. The specificity and sensitivity of PCR assay using each primer were confirmed in each referred report. The primer pairs of *tdh*-F176 and *tdh*-R422 for the detection of *tdh*-positive *V. parahaemolyticus*, *yadA*-F1757 and *yadA*-R1885 for the detection of *Y. enterocolitica* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *PSG*-F64 and *PSG*-R313 for the detection of *P. shigelloides*, *ipaH*1672-F and *ipaH*1761-R for the detection of *Shigella* spp., and *EIEC*, *daaD*-F31 and *daaD*-R263 for the detection of *DAEC* were chosen by alignment of virulent or specific gene sequences from foodborne pathogens shown in Table 2 using the BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) program within GenBank and was designed by Biosearch Technologies Inc. (Tokyo). The *Tm* values of these primers varied from 74.5 to 88.7.

TABLE 1: Eight sets of real-time multiplex PCR with 4 primer pairs for 3 target genes and an IAC gene prior to comprehensive and rapid analysis of foodborne outbreak.

Primer set	Species	Target gene	Primer name	Sequence (5'3')	Gene bank accession no.	Location	Product size (bp)	Tm^a	Tm distance	References
A	* ^b <i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	<i>cpe</i>	GAP-11	GGTTCATTAAATTGAAACTGGTG	X81849	583-604	154	75.8 ± 0.37		[10]
			GAP-12	AAGCCAAATCATATAAATTACAGC		712-736			3.7	
	<i>Providencia alcalifaciens</i>	<i>gyrB</i>	PAG38-F	TCTGCACGGTGTGGGTGTT	AJ300547	38-56	73	79.5 ± 0.79		[2]
			PAG110-R	ACCGTCACGGCGGATTACT		110-92			1	
B	EHEC (Stx 2)	<i>Stx2</i>	JMS2-F	CGAGCCCTCTTGAACATA	EF441616	140-157	108	80.5 ± 0.76		[11]
			JMS2-R	GATAGACATCAAGCCCTCGT		228-247				
	<i>Campylobacter coli</i>	<i>ceuE</i>	ceuE-For	CAAGTACTGCAATAAATAAGCACTACG	X88849	2777-2805	72	73.7 ± 0.43		[12]
			ceuE-Rev	AGCTATCACCCCTCATCACTCATCTAATAG		2848-2819			4	
C	* <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	specific	AB-F	CTGAATTGTGATACCTTAAGTGCAGC	AL111168	381121-	86	77.7 ± 0.96		[13]
			AB-R	AGGCACGCCTAAACCTATAGCT		381185-			1.9	
	TRH-positive <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i>	<i>trh</i>	trh250-F	GGCTCAAAATGGTTAAGCG	AY742213	705-687	250	79.6 ± 0.21		[14]
			trh250-R	CATTTCGGCTCTCATATGC		456-474				
D	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	<i>hly</i>	Lm-hly-F	GGGAAATCTGTCTCAGGTGATGT	AF253320	973-995	106	77.4 ± 0.78		[15]
			Lm-hly-R	CGATGATTTGAACTTCATCTTTTGC		1078-1054			1.5	
	* <i>Emetic Bacillus cereus</i>	<i>ces</i>	ces-TM-F	GATGTTTGGACGATGCAAA	DQ360825	8689-8707	65	78.9 ± 0.87		[4]
			ces-TM-R	CTTTCGGCGTGATACCCATT		8734-8793			1.6	
E	EHEC (LT)	<i>lt</i>	LT-1	TTACGGCGTTACTATCCTCTCTA	X83966	233-255	275	80.5 ± 0.21		[16]
			LT-2	GGTCTCGTCAAGATATGTGATTC		507-485				
	EHEC (ST)	<i>st</i>	STa-F	GCTAATGTTGGCAATTTTATTTCTGTA	M25607	294-321	190	77.1 ± 0.55		[17]
			STa-R	AGGATTACAACAAAGTTCACAGCAGTAA		456-483			1.7	
F	EHEC and EPEC	<i>eaeA</i>	eae-F2	CATTGATCAGGATTTTCTGGTGATA	Z11541	899-924	106	78.8 ± 0.54		[18]
			eae-R	CTCATGCGGAAATAGCCGTTA		979-1000			2.6	
	<i>V. cholerae</i>	<i>ompW</i>	ompW-F	AACATCCGTGGATTGGCATCTG	X51948	675-692	89	81.4 ± 0.69		[19]
			ompW-R	GCTGGTCTCTCAACGCTTCG		741-763				
G	EAEC	<i>aggR</i>	aggRks1	GTATACAAAAAGAAAGGAAGC	Z18751	18-38	254	79.2 ± 0.25		[20]
			aggRks2	ACAGAAATCGTCAGCATCAGC		170-151			0.8	
	EHEC (Stx 1)	<i>Stx1</i>	JMS1-F	GTCACAGTAACAAACCGTAACA	EF441598	509-488	95	80.0 ± 0.72		[11]
			JMS1-R	TCGTTGACTACTTCTTATCTGGA		415-437			1.6	
H	* <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>femB</i>	FemB-fw	AATTAACGAAATGGCAGAAACA	AF106850	277-299	93	81.6 ± 0.62		[21]
			FemB-rv	TGCGCAACACCCCTGAACCTT		351-370				

TABLE 1: Continued.

Primer set	Species	Target gene	Primer name	Sequence (5'-3')	Gene bank accession no.	Location	Product size (bp)	Tm^a	Tm distance	References
*	TDH-positive <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i>	tdh	tdh-F176	TCCATCTGTCCTTTTCCTG	X54341	176-195	247	80.1 ± 0.22		This study
			tdh-R422	AGACACCGCTGCCATTGTAT		422-403			1.9	
F	<i>Y. enterocolitica</i> and <i>Y. pseudotuberculosis</i>	yadA	yadA-F1757	ACGAGTTGACAAAGGTTTAGCC	X13882	1757-1778	129	82.0 ± 0.38		This study
			yadA-R1885	GAACCAACCGCTAATGCCCTGA		1885-1865			4.3	
	<i>Plesiomonas shigelloides</i>	gyrB	PSG-F64	TTAACGCCCTGTGCGATAAG	AJ300545	64-83	250	86.3 ± 0.26		This study
			PSG-R313	TCGAGCAGATGAATCGACAC		313-294				
*	EAEC	astA	EAST-1-S	GCCATCAACACAGTATATCC	L11241	63-82	106	83.7 ± 0.88		[22]
			EAST-AS	GAGTGACGGCTTTGTAGTCC		148-168			1.5	
G	EIEC and <i>Shigella</i> spp.	ipaH	ipaH1672-F	CTCTCAGAGGGTGGCTGACC	M32063	1672-1691	90	85.2 ± 0.31		This study
			ipaH1761-R	TCACGCATCACCTGTGCA		1761-1743			3.1	
	<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	ahh1	AHH1-F	GCCGAGCGCCAGAGGTGAGTT	CP000462	1653360-82	130	88.3 ± 0.48		[23]
			AHH1-R	GAGCGGTGGATGCGGTTGT		1653473-92				
	Enterotoxigenic <i>B. cereus</i>	nheB	SG-F3	GCACTTATGGCAGTATTGCAGC	DQ153257	2101-2123	152	80.5 ± 0.84		[24]
			SG-R3	GCATCTTTTAAAGCCTTCTGGTC		2231-2252			1.9	
H	<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	invA	invA2-F	GAT TCT GGT ACT AAT GGT GAT GAT C	M90846	132-156	288	82.4 ± 0.28		[25]
			invA2-R	GCCAGGCT AT CGCCAAT AAC		419-400			6.2	
	DAEC	daaD	daaD-F31	GTCACCTGCGGGATGTTACT	AF233530	31-50	233	88.6 ± 0.32		This study
			daaD-R263	AGCTCATGACGACCATCCTT		263-244				
IAC for sets A-E	<i>Yersinia ruckeri</i>	16S rRNA	yersH2-F	GGCTCACCTAGGCGACGA	X75275	245-262	211	86.1 ± 0.53		This study
IAC for sets F,G,H	<i>Yersinia ruckeri</i>	16S rRNA	yersH2-R	TCAGTGCTATTAAACACTTAACCCCTTCC		455-429				[9]
			yers-F	GGAGGAAGGGTTAAGTGTTA	X75275	426-443	68	77.2 ± 0.53		
			yers-R	GAGTTAGCCGGTGTCTTCTT		475-493				

^aValues represent means ± standard deviations of 15 to 60 tests. ^bEight main foodborne bacteria.

TABLE 2: 659 bacterial strains assayed by real-time PCR.

[illegible]

2.5. Real-Time Multiplex SG-PCR. Real-time multiplex SG-PCR and data analysis were performed for a total volume of 20 μ L using 96-well reaction plates and an ABI7500 or ABI7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems), LightCycler 480 (Roche) or Thermal Cycler Dice Real-Time System (Takara, Japan). Each reaction tube contained 10 μ L of SYBR Premix DimerEraser (Takara, Japan), 0.4 μ L of ROX Reference Dye II (50 $\times$) (for ABI 7500 and ABI7500 Fast), 0.8 μ L (for ABI 7500 and ABI 7500 Fast), or 1.2 μ L (for LightCycler 480 and Thermal Cycler Dice) of PCR-grade H₂O, each 1.2 μ L of a 10 μ M primer set for 3 target genes, 1.2 μ L of a 10 μ M IAC primer set, 2 μ L of IAC DNA, and 2 μ L of sample DNA in a 20 μ L PCR mixture. In each of 8 lines (12 wells per line) on a 96-well reaction plate, the samples were set as negative control (4 μ L of dH₂O) in the 1st well, each 2 μ L of IAC, and dH₂O in the 2nd well, each 2 μ L of IAC, and 1 out of 3 target positive controls in the 3rd to 5th wells, and 2 μ L of IAC and each 7 stool DNA samples in the 6th to 12th wells. The PCR amplicons resulting from foodborne pathogens and *Y. ruckeri* were used for the positive controls and IAC, respectively. The concentrations of positive control (equal 10⁵ to 10⁶ cfu/g) were adjusted to become the *C_t* values to 17 to 21 by dilution of 10³- to 10⁴-fold with Easy Dilution (Takara, Japan) and two IACs (equal 10¹ to 10² cfu/g) were adjusted to become the *C_t* values to 27 to 29 by dilution of 10⁶- to 10⁷-fold with Easy Dilution. The assay cycling profile was one cycle of 95°C for 30 s followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 5 s (3 s for ABI 7500 Fast), annealing at 55°C for 30 s (34 s for ABI 7500) and then 72°C for 30 s (34 s for ABI 7500), and a dissociation stage of 1 cycle at 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 60 s, and 95°C for 15 s. The specificity of the reaction was found by the detection of the *T_{ms}* of the amplification products immediately after the last reaction cycle. These reactions were finished in 2 hours or less. Results were analyzed with SDS software provided with each real-time PCR system.

2.6. Multiplex Real-Time SG-PCR Analysis in 35 Foodborne Outbreaks. Multiplex real-time SG-PCR analysis of foodborne outbreak was experimentally tested using the ABI 7500 in Shimane (22 cases between 2002 and 2009), Fukuoka (3 cases between 2006 and 2009), and Shizuoka Prefecture (3 cases on 2009), using ABI 7500 Fast in Fukuoka Prefecture (2 cases on 2009), using Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System in Hokkaido (3 cases between 2008 and 2009), and using LightCycler 480 in Kumamoto Prefecture (2 cases on 2009) (Table 3). The DNA samples were extracted with the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini kit from patient fecal samples (within 1 hour) and were set on a 96-well reaction plate as described above. The samples before 2008 were used after 1 to 3 years store at -20°C. The multiplex PCR assay was evaluated with regard to detection (in 2 hours or less) of 24 specific genes of foodborne pathogens in 7 stool specimens. Each PCR product was generated with a different *T_m* curve among 4 *T_m* curves of PCR target gene products. These could all be resolved using each software and *T_m* curve analysis whenever target bacteria were present in the reaction well.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Noncompetitive IAC and Two IAC Primers. In this study, the *Y. ruckeri* bacterium was successfully used as a noncompetitive IAC and for 2 pairs of IAC primer for routine detection of 24 target genes of foodborne pathogens. Using an IAC with real-time PCR detection is important to identify false negative results and to control for the presence of amplification inhibitors [26]. It is important to take into account that components of the sample or the competing microflora may influence the effectiveness of the PCR, especially by reducing the detection limit and producing false negative results. The consequences of false negative results in the detection of a pathogenic microorganism may potentially be life threatening [27]. The European Standardization Committee, in collaboration with International Standard Organization (ISO), has proposed a general guideline for PCR testing of foodborne pathogens that requires presence of IAC in the reaction mixture [28].

While some design approaches such as cloning require substantial technical skills, others can be done using basic PCR methodology. There are two main strategies for use of an IAC in a diagnostic real-time PCR assay. Their difference lies in whether the IAC is to be used competitively or non-competitively [5]. By using the composite primer technique, the target and the competitive IAC are amplified with one common set of primers and under the same conditions and in the same real-time PCR tube. The competitive IAC method was used for TaqMan PCR to detect *S. enterica* [26, 29, 30], *E. coli* O157 [31], and *C. botulinum* [32] and real-time SG-PCR to detect *C. botulinum* [33]. However, these competitive IAC methods can lower the amplification efficiency, which results in a lower detection limit [5]. In the noncompetitive IAC method, the target and IAC are amplified using a different primer set for each. The disadvantage is that amplification of the IAC may not accurately reflect amplification of the target. This method was used for TaqMan PCR to detect *Campylobacter* spp. [9, 34], *B. cereus* [35], *C. botulinum* [36], and *V. parahaemolyticus* [7]. These assays were used for the detection of single target gene except for the four-target TaqMan multiplex PCR to detect *V. parahaemolyticus* [7]. Although the main advantage of the noncompetitive IAC method is that it can be used for many different assays in the same laboratory [5], we do not have a unique real-time PCR assay for the detection of almost all foodborne pathogens using universal IAC.

Each previously described method for introduction of an IAC is limited due to primer competition or because it requires the presence of a specific substrate or organism. The new approach presented in this paper comprises a separate amplification of target DNA and noncompetitive IAC-DNA using each specific target primer set and two different IAC primer sets on the detection of each foodborne pathogens. The latter is based on 16S rRNA of *Y. ruckeri*, which is not found naturally in human stool and food samples.

The IAC primer *yersH2* was used for detection of 15 target genes of foodborne pathogens which *T_m* values of amplicons were lower than 83°C and shown as primer sets A to E (described in the next section), and the IAC primer

TABLE 3: Epidemiological investigations in 21 foodborne outbreaks examined by SG-PCR and bacteriological cultures in Shimane Prefecture, Japan.

Case No. ^a	Date occurred (day/mo/yr)	Days for examination after occurrence	Infected group	Source of infection (suspected source)	No. of patients/total	No. of examined patients	Real-time PCR			Causative pathogens	No. of positive/PCR examined samples	No. of positive/total examined samples
							Target genes	No. of positive/examined samples	No. of positive/PCR examined samples			
1	4-Oct-02	6	School excursion in a mountain area	Stream water	23/33	22	<i>eaeA</i> and <i>astA</i>	1/7	1/7	EPEC	1/7	5/22
2	03-Sep-03	3	Protective care school	Catering box lunch	22/46	10	<i>eaeA</i> <i>astA</i> <i>astA</i> <i>eaeA</i> <i>gyrB</i> of <i>P. a</i> and <i>dhhl</i> <i>cpe</i>	3/7 2/7 2/7 1/7 1/7 5/7	1/7	<i>astA</i> -positive <i>E. coli</i> <i>astA</i> -positive <i>E. coli</i> <i>C. perfringens</i> O:13, O:16	1/7 2/7 2/7	4/22 3/10
3	01-Oct-03	2	Celebration in a company	Catering box lunch	437/1354	12	<i>cpe</i>	5/7	6/7	<i>C. perfringens</i> O:13, O:16	6/7	10/12
4	11-Jun-04	6	Camping group of high school	Grilled meat (beef, bovine intestinal)	4/8	4	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	5/7	5/7	<i>C. jejuni</i>	5/7	5/8
5	12,13-Jun-04	6 ~ 7	9 citizen groups in Chophouse	Grilled meat (beef, bovine intestinal)	30/UN	12	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	4/7	5/7	<i>C. jejuni</i>	5/7	10/12
6	17-Jun-04	5	Cooking practise in a high school	Shelf-cooked lunch (salada mixed)	31/41	20	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	4/7	6/7	<i>C. jejuni</i>	6/7	17/20
7	07-Jul-04	1	Citizen in Chinese restaurant	Fried rice	6/6	6	<i>ces</i> and <i>nheB</i>	2/6	2/6	<i>B. cereus</i>	2/6	2/6
8	11-Oct-04	3	Sport club in a high school	Shelf-cooked lunch	26/47	6	<i>cpe</i> <i>astA</i> and <i>st</i>	2/6 1/6	3/6	<i>C. perfringens</i> O:16, OUT	3/6	4/6
9	5~7-Nov-04	5 ~ 7	Restaurant	Unknown	5	5	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	2/5	2/5	<i>C. jejuni</i>	2/5	2/5
10	Unknown	Several days (19-Jan-05)	Nursery	Unknown	24/73	22	<i>eaeA</i> and <i>stx1</i>	4/7	5/7	EHEC O26 [Norovirus]	5/7	8/22 20/22]
11	28~30-Sep-05	1 ~ 3	Prisoners in a prison	Shelf-cooked meal	113/600	61	<i>astA</i> and <i>cpe</i> <i>astA</i>	1/7 6/7	7/7 1/7	<i>astA</i> -positive <i>E. coli</i> (<i>C. perfringens</i> : sporadic case)	7/7 1/7	41/46 1/46)

TABLE 3: Continued.

Case No. ^a	Date occurred (day/mo/yr)	Days for examination after occurrence	Infected group	Source of infection (suspected source)	No. of patients/total	No. of examined patients	Real-time PCR		Causative pathogens	No. of positive/PCR examined samples	No. of positive/total examined samples
12	2~6-Oct-05	1 ~ 5	Elementary and high school children	Unknown (School lunch)	39/94	39	<i>astA</i> , <i>aggR</i> and Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	1/6	(<i>C. jejuni</i> : sporadic case)	1/6	1/16)
13	28~30-May-06	0 ~ 2	Citizens at restaurant	Lunch (pilaf and scrambled egg)	27/34	27	<i>astA</i> and <i>aggR</i> <i>astA</i>	1/6 4/6	<i>S. aureus</i>	2/5	4/8
14	4-Jul-06	0	Boarder of high school	Catering box lunch	34/51	34	<i>astA</i> <i>cpe</i>	1/5 7/7	<i>C. perfringens</i>	7/7	19/50
15	16-Aug-06	1	Citizens at restaurant	Fried rice	15/34	15	<i>cas</i> and <i>nheB</i> <i>aggR</i>	1/4 1/4	<i>B. cereus</i>	2/4	2/4
16	23~29-Aug-06	2 ~ 8	Boarder of training high school	Supper (contaminated sliced cabbage)	19/43	18	<i>astA</i> and Specific gene of <i>C. j</i> Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	5/7 1/7	<i>C. jejuni</i>	6/7	9/14
17	2-Sep-06	3	Citizens in Buddhist service	Catering box lunch	14/49	6	<i>tdh</i>	3/6	<i>V. para-haemolyticus</i>	3/6	3/6
18	22-Dec-06	5	Citizens at restaurant	Supper (chicken)	12/12	9	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i> <i>St</i>	2/6 1/6	<i>C. jejuni</i>	4/7	4/9
19	21-Oct-07	1	Citizens at restaurant	Supper	7/13	7	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i> <i>gyrB</i> of <i>P. s</i> <i>eaeA</i>	4/7 2/5 1/5	<i>P. shigelloides</i>	2/5	2/5
20	4-Jul-07	6	Citizens at restaurant	Supper (chicken)	7/11	3	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i> <i>astA</i> and	1/2	<i>C. jejuni</i>	1/2	2/3
21	29-Nov-07	1	Citizens at restaurant	Supper (raw chicken liver)	8/13	6	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i> Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	1/7 3/7	<i>C. jejuni</i> (<i>astA</i> -positive <i>E. coli</i>)	4/7 1/7)	4/7

TABLE 3: Continued.

Case No. ^a	Date occurred (day/mo/yr)	Days for examination after occurrence	Infected group	Source of infection (suspected source)	No. of patients/total	No. of examined patients	Real-time PCR	Causative pathogens	Isolation	No. of positive/total examined samples
22	28-Mar-08	5	Citizens at restaurant	Sushi home-made vinegared rice with thin strips of egg Grilled meat (beef, bovine intesti-nalmeat, raw liver)	2/7	4	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	<i>C. jejuni</i>	2/4	2/7
23	16-Oct-08	2	workmate		13/15	4	Not detected	<i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis	1/2	3/4
24	11-Jul-09	6	Citizens at restaurant		4/4	4	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	<i>C. jejuni</i>	3/4	3/4
25	28-Jul-09	2	Citizens at restaurant	Unknown	13/44	5	<i>eaeA</i> and <i>astA</i>	STEC O63 (<i>stx2f</i>)	1/5	1/5
26	25-Aug-09	13	customers of supermarket	Bowl of rice topped with deep-fried poak	4/4	2	Not detected	<i>Salmonella</i> Enteritidis	2/2	2/2
27	29-Sep-09	3 ~ 7	Employee of restaurant after EHEC O157 outbreak	Unknown	Not tested	7	<i>eaeA</i> , <i>stx1</i> and <i>stx2</i>	EHEC O157	4/7	4/7
28	1-Jun-08	3	Staff of public services	Catering box lunch	171/296	17	<i>eaeA</i> and <i>astA</i>		7/7	16/17
29	20-Aug-09	1	Citizens stayed in a hotel	Box lunch served by the hotel	11/21	9	<i>cpe</i>	<i>C. perfringens</i>	4/4	6/9
30	21-Sep-08	4	Citizens	Unknown	9/16	4	<i>femB</i>	<i>S. aureus</i>	4/4	4/4
31	14-Jun-09	1 ~ 2	Hospital	Supper in hospital	34/148	7	Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	<i>C. jejuni</i>	4/4	5/7
							<i>cpe</i> and <i>femB</i>	<i>C. perfringens</i>	5/7	
							<i>cpe</i>	[Norovirus]	2/7	

TABLE 3: Continued.

Case No. ^a	Date occurred (day/mo/yr)	Days for examination after occurrence	Infected group	Source of infection (suspected source)	No. of patients/total examined	No. of examined patients	Real-time PCR		Causative pathogens	Isolation	
							Target genes	No. of positive/examined samples		No. of positive/examined samples	No. of positive/total examined samples
32	21-Aug-09	5	Citizens at restaurant	Supper	7/10	3	<i>astA</i> and Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	1/3	<i>C. jejuni</i>	1/3	1/3
33	14-Nov-09	5 ~ 6	Citizens at restaurant	Supper	9/15	7	<i>astA</i> and Specific gene of <i>C. j</i> <i>femB</i> and Specific gene of <i>C. j</i> Specific gene of <i>C. j</i> Specific gene of <i>C. j</i>	1/3 2/7 1/7 3/7	<i>C. jejuni</i>	6/7	6/7
34	15-Aug-09	2 ~ 3	School excursion	Supper (potato salad) in a hotel	32/73		<i>tdlh</i>	1/7	<i>V. para-haemolyticus</i>	1/7	2/7
35	16-Sep-09	9	Citizens in Buddhist service	Catering box lunch	25/43		<i>ompW</i> <i>invA</i>	1/7 3/7	<i>V. p</i> and <i>V. cholerae</i> non-O1 <i>S. Enteritidis</i>	1/7 4/7	1/7 4/7
Total							Gene of main pathogen	129/199 64.8%	Main pathogen	125/199 62.8%	216/381 56.7%

^a Analysis was tested using the ABI 7500 in Shimane (cases 1 to 22), Fukuoka (cases 23 to 25), and Shizuoka Prefecture (cases 31 to 33); ABI 7500 Fast in Fukuoka Prefecture (cases 26 and 27), Thermal Cycler Dice Real-Time System in Hokkaido (cases 28 to 30), and Light Cycler 480 in Kumamoto Prefecture (cases 34 and 35).

TABLE 4: The relationship between PCR detection and CFU in 15 foodborne outbreak cases by viable cell counting.

Case	Causative foodborne pathogens	Multiplex SG-PCR negative samples						Multiplex SG-PCR positive samples								
		Total	Number of samples					Total	Number of samples							
			Log 10 cfu/g by viable cell counting						Log 10 cfu/g by viable cell counting							
			0	2	3	4	5		0	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
3	<i>C. perfringens</i>	2	2					5					1	3		1
6	<i>C. jejuni</i>	3	2		1			4				1	3			
8	<i>C. perfringens</i>	4	2		1	1		2				1	1			
9	<i>C. jejuni</i>	3	3					2				2				
10	EHEC O26	3	2		1			4			1			1	1	1
11	<i>astA</i> -positive <i>E. coli</i>	0						7						1		6
13	<i>S. aureus</i>	4	3			1		1				1				
14	<i>C. perfringens</i>	0						7					1	3	3	
17	<i>B. cereus</i>	3	3					1				1				
18	<i>C. jejuni</i>	1					1	5					1		2	2
20	<i>C. jejuni</i>	3	3					4			1		3			
19	<i>P. shigelloides</i>	3	3					2					2			
	<i>astA</i> -positive <i>E. coli</i>	4	4					1				1				
21	<i>C. jejuni</i>	3	3					4					3	1		
26	<i>S. enterica</i> serovar Enteritidis	2				2		0								
27	EHEC O157	5	5					2			1	1				
	Total	43	35	0	3	4	1	51	0	1	3	7	15	9	6	10

yers was used for detection of 9 target genes of foodborne pathogens which T_m values of amplicons were over than 80°C and shown as primer sets F to H (described in the next section). The IAC-specific low peak on a T_m curve analysis was present in all reaction tubes added with IAC and IAC primers and in all the negative results of target PCR in reaction tubes added with IAC primers (Figures 1 and 2).

3.2. Development of PCR Procedures for a Set of 8 Multiplex Assays. We developed the ultimately new PCR screening system for foodborne pathogens in stool specimens. One can simultaneously analyze 24 pathogenic or specific genes of foodborne pathogens in 7 stool specimens by using multiplex real-time SG-PCR containing IAC and 96-well reaction plate. Single or multiple real-time PCR assays were reported for detection of one species among foodborne pathogens, such as *E. coli* [11, 17, 18, 22], *Salmonella* [26, 28, 29], *C. jejuni* [13, 37], *V. cholerae* [19], *V. parahaemolyticus* [38], and *S. aureus* [21]. Comprehensive, rapid real-time SG-PCR procedures, which used 24 primer pairs for detection of 15 bacterial species including: 6 groups of *E. coli*, 2 subgroups each of *B. cereus* and *V. parahaemolyticus*, and 2 primer pairs for an IAC, were developed using a set of 8 multiplex PCR assays with 3 primer pairs for foodborne pathogens and an IAC primer pair. Nineteen pairs of primers for foodborne pathogens were selected from earlier publications (Table 1), and 5 pairs of primers for *tdh* gene of TDH-positive *V. parahaemolyticus*, *yadA* gene of *Y. enterocolitica* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *gyrB* gene of *P. shigelloides*, *ipaH* gene of EIEC and *Shigella* spp., and *daaD* gene of DAEC were constructed. This was done to make all 24 SG-PCR methods suitable for the same PCR conditions (an annealing

temperature of 60°C). The sequence, target, PCR product size, threshold cycle (C_t) values, and T_m values of 24 primer pairs for target genes and 2 primer pairs for IAC are listed in Table 1. The specificity of the PCR assay was confirmed on 659 strains listed in Table 2. The STa-F and STa-R primer pair could not detect *st* gene from 5 of 18 *st*-positive ETEC strains. The *ipaH*1672-F and *ipaH*1761-R primer pair cross-reacts with *Shigella* spp. and EIEC. The SG-F and SG-R primer pair cross-reacts with enterotoxigenic and emetic *B. cereus*. As same as previous studies [4], the *eae*-F2 and *eae*-R primer pair cross-reacts with EPEC and EHEC, and the EAST-1S and EAST-1AS primer pair cross-reacts with EAEC and some strains of EPEC, ETEC, and DAEC. The *yadA*667-F and *yadA*851-R2 for *Yersinia* adhesion reacts with virulent *Y. enterocolitica* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, but not with nonpathogenic strains of *Yersinia* spp.

A Foodborne Outbreak Investigation Report (<http://www.mhlw.go.jp/topics/syokuchu/>), by the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, Japan, during 2005 to 2008, shows that 97% of foodborne outbreaks were caused by the following 7 species of foodborne pathogens: *C. jejuni* (56.5%), *S. enterica* (16.0%), TDH-positive *V. parahaemolyticus* (10.0%), *S. aureus* (6.8%), *C. perfringens* (3.4%), emetic *B. cereus* (2.0%), and EHEC (2.4%), and other virulent *E. coli* (2.1%) which include *astA*-positive *E. coli* which is a strain of *E. coli* that does not possess any diarrheagenic characteristics except the EAEC heat-stable toxin 1 (EAST1) gene and is frequently isolated in diarrhea outbreaks [39]. Each primer set was combined with 4 primer pairs designed for 1 of 8 main foodborne pathogens and were also designed for IAC and 2 of 16 target genes of other foodborne pathogens (Table 2). Particularly each

FIGURE 2: Melting curve analysis of multiplex real-time SG-PCR products from 7 stool samples in case 21 of a foodborne outbreak. Two panels show detection of target genes of foodborne pathogens by primer sets B and G, but it was not detected by the other 6 primer sets.

primer for 8 main foodborne pathogens was carefully set in 8 different primer sets for keeping away from the presence of multiple primers for main foodborne pathogens in the same reaction well. Really the plural target genes were detected from 26 stool samples in 15 cases of foodborne outbreaks but these target genes were, respectively, detected from different reaction wells (Table 3). The fluorescent amplification curves and T_m curves of the multiplex SG-PCR products of the DNA of foodborne pathogens and IAC were shown in Figure 1. The C_t values of the amplicons resulting from foodborne pathogens were 17 to 21 and those of IAC were 27 to 29. In each analysis, the T_m distance was from 0.8 to 6.2°C among the target gene's products. Looking at the short variations among some T_m distance in set C and set E, the presence of *ces* gene in set C could be decided altogether with the presence of *nheB* gene of *B. cereus* in set H and the presence of *stx1* gene in set E could be decided altogether with the presence of *eaeA* gene of EHEC in set D. The IAC-specific low peak was present in all samples with added IAC (C_t : 27 to 29) using real-time SG-PCR analysis of the 24 target genes of

primer sets A to E including primer *yersH2* and of primer sets F, G, and H including primer *yers*. IAC was certainly amplified in the IAC-only samples. These could be resolved in the ABI 7500 by using T_m curve analysis when a target bacterium was present in the reaction tube. The T_m values of PCR products of stool samples, including each foodborne pathogens, could be identified with that of control bacteria in the same run based on a T_m curve analysis.

3.3. Multiplex SG-PCR for Identification of the Causative Pathogens in Foodborne Outbreaks. In foodborne outbreaks, stool specimens from patients infected with enteric bacteria with acute severe disease may contain large numbers of causative bacterial species [2, 11]. In most cases of foodborne outbreak, we found that causative bacteria can be rapidly detected and that a presumptive diagnosis of the causative agent of foodborne outbreak could be made within 3 hours. We used a combination of the multiplex real-time SG-PCR assay with DNA extraction with the QIAamp DNA Stool

Mini kit used for detection. Almost all bacterial pathogens are detectable in stool specimens at a concentration of 10^3 to 10^4 bacteria per g. This is because the concentration of DNA extracted from stool specimens using this DNA extraction kit was finally diluted to 6×10^4 -fold in the reaction mixture. The PCR sensitivity for bacteria inoculated in stool samples may be as low as the presence of 10 cells in the reaction well, as described in our previous report [2]. The real-time SG-PCR assay is a rapid, specific, and sensitive detection technique. The DNA extraction of 7 stool specimens with this DNA extraction kit was carried out within 1 hour. Then, the multiplex real-time SG-PCR assay was also carried out within 2 hours, and we could then specifically identify the products based on a *Tm* curve analysis. For example, Figure 2 shows those of case 21, in which *C. jejuni* and *astA*-positive *E. coli* strains were isolated from 4 and one of 7 stool specimens of symptomatic patients, respectively. Two panels show detection of target genes of foodborne pathogens by primer sets B and G, but it was not detected by the other 6 primer sets. In multiplex PCR analysis, the *C. jejuni*-specific gene and the *astA* gene were simultaneously detected by primer sets B and G from the same culture—positive stool specimens.

3.4. Identification of the Causative Pathogens in 35 Foodborne Outbreaks using Multiplex SG-PCR. Table 3 shows epidemiological and clinical investigations in 35 foodborne outbreaks (occurred between 2002 and 2009) examined by multiplex SG-PCR analysis in 5 different laboratories in 2009. DNA samples extracted from 2 to 7 feces of symptomatic patients were stored at -20°C until using. In 33 (94.3%) of 35 foodborne outbreak cases, the causative bacteria and/or some sporadic bacteria were comprehensive and simultaneously detected using multiplex SG-PCR from stool specimens. Moreover, the same reactions, which IAC-specific low peak was present in reaction tubes added with IAC and IAC primer *yersH2* or *yers*, were observed. This demonstrated the absence of PCR inhibitor in DNA specimens extracted from patient stool specimens using this DNA extraction kit. In this study, it was confirmed that using IAC and 2 IAC primers with different *Tm* values was advantageous to allow elimination of false negative results in real-time SG-PCR for the detection of 24 target genes of foodborne pathogens. The results of multiplex real-time SG-PCR assay of 7 foodborne outbreaks were confirmed by the use of IAC and 2 IAC primers. The certain amplification of target genes and IAC in each multiplex PCR analysis demonstrated the usefulness of this multiplex real-time SG-PCR as reliable diagnostic PCR.

The target genes of 12 species of foodborne bacteria (*C. jejuni*, *E. coli*, *C. perfringens*, *S. aureus*, *Salmonella* spp., *V. parahaemolyticus*, *V. cholerae* non-O1, *B. cereus*, *P. alcalifaciens*, *P. shigelloides*, and *A. hydrophila*), which included 5 groups of *E. coli* (EHEC, EPEC, EAEC, ETEC, and *astA*-positive *E. coli*), were detected from 129 (64.8%) of 199 feces in 33 (94.3%) of 35 cases by multiplex SG-PCR, from 1 to 7 samples. Multiplex SG-PCR rapidly and accurately demonstrated that 11 (31.4%) of 35 cases were caused with a single foodborne pathogen such as *C. jejuni*

(7 cases), *C. perfringens* (2 cases), *B. cereus* (1 case), and *S. Enteritidis* (1 case). There were also 19 (54.2%) cases with plural foodborne bacterial pathogens and 3 (2.9%) cases with foodborne bacterial pathogens (*astA*-positive *E. coli*, EHEC O:26, or *C. perfringens*) and norovirus. The causative pathogens had been isolated from 125 (62.8%) of 199 PCR examined samples and from 216 (56.7%) of 381 total samples in all 35 cases. Although the target genes of EPEC, EAEC, ETEC, *astA*-positive *E. coli*, *P. alcalifaciens*, and *A. hydrophila* were detected by SG-PCR, the isolation of these pathogens from the stool samples containing much normal *E. coli* flora was difficult. This analysis may be a very useful tool for the detection of these unusual pathogens which are generally difficult to isolate. We previously reported that the presence of any foodborne pathogens at more than 10^3 CFU/g feces was certainly confirmed by melting curve analysis in duplex SG-PCR [2, 4]. In this multiplex PCR analysis including IAC, the presence of any foodborne pathogens at more than 10^5 CFU/g feces was certainly confirmed in 40 (97.6%) of 41 samples by melting curve analysis, 10^4 CFU/g feces was confirmed in 7 (63.6%) of 11 samples and 10^3 CFU/g feces in 3 (50%) of 6 samples (Table 4). The sensitivity of this multiplex SG-PCR including IAC might become slightly lower than that of duplex SG-PCR (absent IAC), caused by the interference among 4 primer pairs including IAC primer in the same reaction well. In 2 cases (5.7%), in which *S. enteric* serovar Enteritidis was isolated by direct culture (unknown cfu) from one patient in case 23 and 10^4 cfu/g of feces from 2 patients in case 26, the target gene of *Salmonella* was not detected by multiplex SG-PCR, because the sensitivity of *invA2* primer may be slightly lower than those of other primers. The choice or design of more sensitive primer for the detection of *Salmonella* spp. is indispensable in future studies.

3.5. Usefulness of Multiplex SG-PCR for the Rapid Diagnostic Test in Foodborne Outbreaks. Systematically reviewing clinical implications, public health considerations, and cost-effectiveness of rapid diagnostic tests for detection and identification of bacterial intestinal pathogens in feces and food [1], economic modeling suggests that adoption of rapid test methods, especially for PCR, in combination with a routine culture is unlikely to be cost-effective, however, as the cost of rapid technologies decreases, total replacement with rapid technologies may be feasible. Despite the relatively poor quality of reporting of studies evaluating rapid detection methods, the reviewed evidence shows that PCR for *Campylobacter*, *Salmonella*, and *E. coli* O157 is potentially very successful in identifying pathogens. It is possibly detecting more than the numbers currently being reported using cultures. Less is known about the benefits of testing for *B. cereus*, *C. perfringens*, and *S. aureus*. This review pointed out that further investigation is needed on how clinical outcomes may be altered if test results are available more quickly and at greater precision than the current practice of using bacterial culture [1]. In the present study, simple and specific methods were established to detect comprehensive and simultaneously 24 specific genes of foodborne pathogens including main bacterial pathogens

such as *Campylobacter*, *Salmonella*, *E. coli* O157, *B. cereus*, *C. perfringens*, and *S. aureus* in 7 stool specimens in a real-time SG-PCR assay using a 96-well reaction plate containing a universal noncompetitive IAC. The usefulness of this method for the rapid diagnostic tests was confirmed by the successful detection of causative bacteria in 33 foodborne outbreak cases.

In conclusion, the multiplex real-time method described here for simultaneous screening of 24 target genes of foodborne pathogens were comprehensive, rapid, inexpensive, highly selective, accurate, and demonstrated detection probability. Due to the use of IAC and 2 IAC primers, the assay is suitable for accurate and rapid diagnosis of almost all foodborne pathogens in stool specimens of foodborne outbreak outbreaks. In future studies, workers should improve the kit of multiplex real-time PCR and select more suitable primers for foodborne pathogens.

Acknowledgments

We are very thankful to Dr. T. Yoshimura and Dr. T. Nagai for helpful advice to this work. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid from the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare (H19-Kenki-011).

References

- [1] I. Abubakar, L. Irvine, C. F. Aldus et al., "A systematic review of the clinical, public health and cost-effectiveness of rapid diagnostic tests for the detection and identification of bacterial intestinal pathogens in faeces and food," *Health Technology Assessment*, vol. 11, no. 36, pp. 1–216, 2007.
- [2] H. Fukushima and Y. Tsunomori, "Study of real-time PCR assays for rapid detection of food-borne pathogens," *Kansenshogaku Zasshi*, vol. 79, no. 9, pp. 644–655, 2005.
- [3] H. Fukushima, Y. Tsunomori, and R. Seki, "Duplex real-time SYBR green PCR assays for detection of 17 species of food- or waterborne pathogens in stools," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 41, no. 11, pp. 5134–5146, 2003.
- [4] H. Fukushima, K. Katsube, Y. Tsunomori, R. Kishi, J. Atsuta, and Y. Akiba, "Comprehensive and rapid real-time PCR analysis of 21 foodborne outbreaks," *International Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 2009, Article ID 917623, 13 pages, 2009.
- [5] J. Hoorfar, B. Malorny, A. Abdulmawjood, N. Cook, M. Wagner, and P. Fach, "Practical considerations in design of internal amplification controls for diagnostic PCR assays," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1863–1868, 2004.
- [6] I. G. Wilson, "Inhibition and facilitation of nucleic acid amplification," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 63, no. 10, pp. 3741–3751, 1997.
- [7] J. L. Nordstrom, M. C. L. Vickery, G. M. Blackstone, S. L. Murray, and A. DePaola, "Development of a multiplex real-time PCR assay with an internal amplification control for the detection of total and pathogenic *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* bacteria in oysters," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 73, no. 18, pp. 5840–5847, 2007.
- [8] A. Gibello, M. M. Blanco, M. A. Moreno et al., "Development of a PCR assay for detection of *Yersinia ruckeri* in tissues of inoculated and naturally infected trout," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 346–350, 1999.
- [9] M. Lund, S. Nordentoft, K. Pedersen, and M. Madsen, "Detection of *Campylobacter* spp. in chicken fecal samples by real-time PCR," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 42, no. 11, pp. 5125–5132, 2004.
- [10] N. Kato, S. M. Kim, H. Kato et al., "Identification of enterotoxin-producing *Clostridium perfringens* by the polymerase chain reaction," *The Journal of the Japanese Association for Infectious Diseases*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 724–729, 1993.
- [11] N. Jothikumar and M. W. Griffiths, "Rapid detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 with multiplex real-time PCR assays," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 3169–3171, 2002.
- [12] E. P. Price, F. Huygens, and P. M. Giffard, "Fingerprinting of *Campylobacter jejuni* by using resolution-optimized binary gene targets derived from comparative genome hybridization studies," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 7793–7803, 2006.
- [13] H. K. Nogva, A. Bergh, A. Holck, and K. Rudi, "Application of the 5'-nuclease PCR assay in evaluation and development of methods for quantitative detection of *Campylobacter jejuni*," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 66, no. 9, pp. 4029–4036, 2000.
- [14] M. Nishibuchi, Y. Takeda, J. Tada et al., "Methods to detect the thermostable direct hemolysin gene and a related hemolysin gene of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* by PCR," *Nippon Rinsho*, vol. 50, supplement, pp. 348–352, 1992 (Japanese).
- [15] A. J. Hough, S.-A. Harbison, M. G. Savill, L. D. Melton, and G. Fletcher, "Rapid enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes* in artificially contaminated cabbage using real-time polymerase chain reaction," *Journal of Food Protection*, vol. 65, no. 8, pp. 1329–1332, 2002.
- [16] B. Furrer, U. Candrian, and J. Lüthy, "Detection and identification of *E. coli* producing heat-labile enterotoxin type I by enzymatic amplification of a specific DNA fragment," *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 31–34, 1990.
- [17] S. M. Franck, B. T. Bosworth, and H. W. Moon, "Multiplex PCR for enterotoxigenic, attaching and effacing, and shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains from calves," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1795–1797, 1998.
- [18] E. M. Nielsen and M. T. Andersen, "Detection and characterization of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* by automated 5' nuclease PCR assay," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 41, no. 7, pp. 2884–2893, 2003.
- [19] A. J. Gubala and D. F. Proll, "Molecular-beacon multiplex real-time PCR assay for detection of *Vibrio cholerae*," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 72, no. 9, pp. 6424–6428, 2006.
- [20] O. A. Ratchtrachenchai, S. Subpasu, and K. Ito, "Investigation on enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* infection by multiplex PCR," *Bulletin of Department Medical Science*, vol. 39, pp. 211–222, 1997.
- [21] M. Klotz, S. Oppen, K. Heeg, and S. Zimmermann, "Detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins A to D by real-time fluorescence PCR assay," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 4683–4687, 2003.
- [22] J. Yatsuyanagi, S. Saito, H. Sato, Y. Miyajima, K.-I. Amano, and K. Enomoto, "Characterization of enteropathogenic and enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* isolated from diarrheal outbreaks," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 294–297, 2002.

- [23] G. Wang, C. G. Clark, C. Liu et al., "Detection and characterization of the hemolysin genes in *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Aeromonas sobria* by multiplex PCR," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 1048–1054, 2003.
- [24] I.-C. Yang, D. Y.-C. Shih, J.-Y. Wang, and T.-M. Pan, "Development of rapid real-time PCR and most-probable-number real-time PCR assays to quantify enterotoxigenic strains of the species in the *Bacillus cereus* group," *Journal of Food Protection*, vol. 70, no. 12, pp. 2774–2781, 2007.
- [25] K. Rahn, S. A. De Grandis, R. C. Clarke et al., "Amplification of an *invA* gene sequence of *Salmonella typhimurium* by polymerase chain reaction as a specific method of detection of *Salmonella*," *Molecular and Cellular Probes*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 271–279, 1992.
- [26] J. Hoorfar, P. Ahrens, and P. Radstrom, "Automated 5' nuclease PCR assay for identification of *Salmonella enterica*," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 3429–3435, 2000.
- [27] A. Abdulmawjood, S. Roth, and M. Bülte, "Two methods for construction of internal amplification controls for the detection of *Escherichia coli* O157 by polymerase chain reaction," *Molecular and Cellular Probes*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 335–339, 2002.
- [28] "Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of food-borne pathogens," General method specific requirements (EN ISO 22174), International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2002.
- [29] M. M. Klerks, A. H. C. Van Bruggen, C. Zijlstra, and M. Donnikov, "Comparison of methods of extracting *Salmonella enterica* serovar enteritidis DNA from environmental substrates and quantification of organisms by using a general internal procedural control," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 72, no. 6, pp. 3879–3886, 2006.
- [30] B. Malorny, E. Paccassoni, P. Fach, C. Bunge, A. Martin, and R. Helmuth, "Diagnostic real-time PCR for detection of *Salmonella* in food," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 70, no. 12, pp. 7046–7052, 2004.
- [31] M. M. Klerks, C. Zijlstra, and A. H. C. Van Bruggen, "Comparison of real-time PCR methods for detection of *Salmonella enterica* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, and introduction of a general internal amplification control," *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 337–349, 2004.
- [32] I. Artin, P. Björkman, J. Cronqvist, P. Rådström, and E. Holst, "First case of type E wound botulism diagnosed using real-time PCR," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 45, no. 11, pp. 3589–3594, 2007.
- [33] L. Fenicia, F. Anniballi, D. De Medici, E. Delibato, and P. Aureli, "SYBR green real-time PCR method to detect *Clostridium botulinum* type A," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 73, no. 9, pp. 2891–2896, 2007.
- [34] M. H. Josefsen, N. R. Jacobsen, and J. Hoorfar, "Enrichment followed by quantitative PCR both for rapid detection and as a tool for quantitative risk assessment of food-borne thermotolerant campylobacters," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 3588–3592, 2004.
- [35] M. Fricker, U. Messelhäuser, U. Busch, S. Scherer, and M. Ehling-Schulz, "Diagnostic real-time PCR assays for the detection of emetic *Bacillus cereus* strains in foods and recent food-borne outbreaks," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 73, no. 6, pp. 1892–1898, 2007.
- [36] D. Akbulut, K. A. Grant, and J. McLauchlin, "Development and application of real-time PCR assays to detect fragments of the *Clostridium botulinum* types A, B, and E neurotoxin genes for investigation of human foodborne and infant botulism," *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 247–257, 2004.
- [37] D. L. Wilson, S. R. Abner, T. C. Newman, L. S. Mansfield, and J. E. Linz, "Identification of ciprofloxacin-resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* by use of a fluorogenic PCR assay," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 3971–3978, 2000.
- [38] L. N. Ward and A. K. Bej, "Detection of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in shellfish by use of multiplexed real-time PCR with TaqMan fluorescent probes," *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 2031–2042, 2006.
- [39] Y. Nishikawa, J. Ogasawara, A. Helander, and K. Haruki, "An outbreak of gastroenteritis in Japan due to *Escherichia coli* O166," *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, vol. 5, no. 2, p. 300, 1999.