DENTABLE FUNCTIONS AND RADIALLY UNIFORM QUASI-CONVEXITY

CARL G. LOONEY

Department of Mathematics
University of Toledo
Toledo, Ohio 43606
U.S.A.

(Received April 18, 1978)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give a further result which states sufficient conditions for the theory of convergence of minimizing sequences to be applicable, develop the theory further, and give an application.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Denting points, dentable functions, radial quasi-convexity, normed spaces, convex domains, minimizing sequences.*

AMS (MOS) SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (1970) CODES. Primary 49D45; Secondary 52A05, 46B99.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let X be a normed space, C a closed bounded convex set in X , and $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a (nonlinear) functional to be minimized on C . A minimizing sequence for f on C is a sequence (x_n) in C such that $f(x_n) \rightarrow \beta \equiv \inf f(C)$.

In [4] and [6], certain conditions were given which guarantee that any

minimizing sequence of f on C will converge in norm to a minimum (when it exists). In this paper we give a further result which states sufficient conditions for the theory of [4] to be applicable, develop the theory further, and give an application.

2. SOME DEFINITIONS AND THEOREMS

The closed convex hull of a set S in X is denoted by $\text{cl-conv}(S)$. We say S is dentable at $x \in S$ whenever: given any $\epsilon > 0$, $x \notin \text{cl-conv}(S \setminus B_\epsilon(x))$, where $B_\epsilon(x)$ is the open ϵ -ball centered on x . In this case x is called a denting point of S [5] (strongly extremal point of S , [2; p. 97]). See [9] for the origin of the term "dentable".

A function f on a convex set C is said to be dentable [4] at $x_0 \in C$ iff $(x_0, f(x_0))$ is a denting point of $\text{epi}(f) = \{(x, \alpha) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : \alpha \geq f(x)\}$. It was shown in [4] that if f is a l.s.c. (lower semi-continuous) quasi-convex functional on a weakly compact convex set C and has a unique minimum $x_0 \in C$, then every minimizing sequence of f converges in norm to x_0 iff f is dentable at x_0 . We say that f is quasi-convex on C iff the level sets $L_\alpha = \{x \in C : f(x) \leq \alpha\}$ are convex. This is equivalent to the following: for any $x, y \in C$, $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \max\{f(x), f(y)\}$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. Convex functionals are quasi-convex, but not conversely.

A normed space X , its closed unit ball, and its norm are all said to be uniformly convex iff given $\epsilon > 0$ and x, y with $\|x\| \leq 1$, $\|y\| \leq 1$ and $\|x - y\| > \epsilon$, there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $\|\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\| \leq 1 - \delta(\epsilon)$. Every such space is strictly convex, i.e., $\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| < \lambda\|x\| + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|$, $0 < \lambda < 1$. It is shown [3] that the ℓ^p and L_p spaces are uniformly convex for $1 < p < \infty$. When the modulus of convexity δ depends on the point x also, i.e., $\delta = \delta(x, \epsilon) > 0$, then we say that $\|\cdot\|$ is locally uniformly convex [8]. Locally uniformly convex spaces are not generally uniformly convex, but the converse is true. Also, locally uniformly convex spaces are strictly convex,

but not conversely.

THEROEM 1. If X is a locally uniformly convex space, then every boundary point of $\overline{B}_1(0)$ is a denting point of $\overline{B}_1(0)$.

PROOF. Let x have norm 1. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $Q_\varepsilon = \overline{B}_1(0) \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)$. For any $y \in Q_\varepsilon$, $\|y - x\| > \varepsilon$ so that there is some $\delta = \delta(x, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that $\|\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\| \leq 1 - \delta$. The set $\overline{B}_{1-\delta}(0)$ can be strictly separated from x by a closed hyperplane (see, e.g., [3; p.193]) H which partitions X into two halfspaces H_1 and H_2 with H_1 being closed and containing Q_ε . H_2 contains x as an interior point. Then $\text{cl-conv}(Q_\varepsilon) \subset H_1 \equiv$ closed convex set, so that $x \notin \text{cl-conv}(Q_\varepsilon)$.

LEMMA 1. Let C be a compact convex subset and $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be l.s.c. and have a unique minimum $x_0 \in C$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, f is bounded away from $\beta = f(x_0)$ on $C \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_0)$.

PROOF. The set $Q = C \setminus B_\varepsilon(x_0)$ is compact and thus f attains its infimum γ on Q . By hypothesis, $\gamma > \beta$. Thus $f(x) \geq \gamma > \beta$ on Q .

CONSTRUCTION. Let f assume its infimum β at a unique point $x_0 \in C \equiv$ closed bounded convex subset of a normed space X that is locally uniformly convex. The set $H = \{(x, \beta): x \in X\}$ meets $\text{epi}(f)$ at the point (x_0, β) . Let L be the vertical line $\{(x_0, \alpha): \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in $X \times \mathbb{R}$. Fix r and take the point $p_r = (x_0, \beta + r) \in L$ at a distance r above (x_0, β) . Let $\overline{B}_r(p_r)$ be the closed ball of radius r centered on p_r . Then $\overline{B}_r(p_r)$ meets H at the unique point (x_0, β) . Put $E_r \equiv \{(x, \alpha): \alpha \leq \beta + r\} \cap \text{epi}(f)$.

THEOREM 2. Let f be a l.s.c. functional on a convex bounded set C and let f have a unique minimum $x_0 \in C$. If X is a locally uniformly convex normed space, then a sufficient condition for f to be dentable at x_0 is that either,

- i) there exist some $r > 0$ such that E_r be contained in $\overline{B}_r(p_r)$, or
- ii) C be compact.

PROOF. i) Since $(x_0, f(x_0))$ is a denting point of $\overline{B}_r(p_r)$ in $X \times \mathbb{R}$ (by

Theorem 1 and the fact that the product norm $||\langle x, \alpha \rangle|| = (||x||_x^2 + |\alpha|^2)^{1/2}$ remains locally uniformly convex) and $E_r \subset B_r(p_r)$, it is clear that $(x_0, f(x_0)) \notin \text{cl-conv}(E_r \setminus B_\epsilon(x_0, f(x_0))) \subset \text{cl-conv}(B_r(p_r) \setminus B_\epsilon(x_0, f(x_0)))$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.

ii) For any $\epsilon > 0$, f is bounded away from $f(x_0)$ on $C \setminus B_\epsilon(x_0)$. Thus $f(x_0)$ is separated by a closed hyperplane from $\text{epi}(f) \cap B_\epsilon(x_0, f(x_0))$.

The conditions of Theorem 2 part i) are strong, and as the next example shows, may not be satisfied even in a finite dimensional space.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $f(x) = e^{-1/x^2}$ for $x \neq 0$ and $f(0) = 0$. Then f is a continuous quasi-convex function R , but we take C to be the compact set $[-1, 1]$. The point $x = 0$ is the unique minimum. Let $B_r(p_r)$ be centered on $p_r = (0, r)$ in R^2 . The bottom branch of the sphere of $B_r(p_r)$ is a convex function $g(x) = r - \sqrt{r^2 - x^2}$. We show now that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)/g(x) = 0$, so that $f(x) < g(x)$ on some nbhd of 0. It can be shown that $f'(0) = 0 = f''(0)$, by putting $t = 1/x$ and using the limit definition for derivatives at $x = 0$. Then $\lim f(x)/g(x) = \lim f''(x)/g''(x) = 0/(r^2)^{-1/2}$ (by use of L'Hospital's Rule twice). Thus for any given r , there is some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\text{epi}(f)$ is not contained in $B_r(p_r)$ for $-\epsilon < x < \epsilon$. Although f does not satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2, it is dentable by part ii of Theorem 2.

We note that Theorem 2i can be phrased in terms of the Gâteaux derivatives of f and g , where $g(x) = \inf \{\alpha : (x, \alpha) \in \overline{B_r(p_r)}\}$, i.e., we need

$$|f'(x; y)| \geq |g'(x; y)| \quad \text{at } x \text{ for all } y \in C.$$

3. NEW TYPE OF QUASI-CONVEXITY AND AN IMPORTANT APPLICATION.

We say that a subset S of a vector space is radially convex at $s_0 \in S$ iff any line L through s_0 meets S in a convex set $L \cap S$. Any convex set C is radially convex at any point of C .

A functional f defined on S is said to be, respectively, radially convex, radially strictly convex, radially uniformly convex, or radially locally uni-

formly convex at $s_0 \in S$, whenever S is radially convex at s_0 and f is, resp., convex, strictly convex, uniformly convex, or locally uniformly convex on all segments $L \cap S$ through s_0 . We replace "convex" by "quasi-convex" to get the resulting four new definitions for f at s_0 in the radially convex set S .

We now consider the space $C[\alpha, \beta]$ of all continuous functions on the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ and the subset of rational functions. It is known (see [1] or [7]) that the approximation functional $T_p(a, b) = \|f(\cdot) - r_{mn}(a, b; \cdot)\|_p$ is quasi-convex when $p = \infty$, where $a \in R^{m+1}$, $b \in R^{n+1}$, and $r_{mn}(a, b; x) = (a_0 + \dots + a_m x^m) / (b_0 + \dots + b_n x^n)$. The norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is not strictly convex since its graph on the unit ball contains horizontal line segments.

THEOREM 3. The functional $g \rightarrow \|g\|$ is radially uniformly quasi-convex at 0 on any convex nbhd U of 0 in any normed space.

PROOF. Let L be any line through 0 and put $L_0 = L \cap U$. We know that $\|\cdot\|$ is convex on L_0 . Now suppose that there are $x \neq y$ in L_0 such that $\|\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$. Since $x, y \in L_0$, either $\|x\| < \|y\|$ ($\|x\| > \|y\|$ is the same case) or else $\|x\| = \|y\|$. In this latter case $\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y = 0$, which yields a contradiction. Otherwise $\|x\| < \|y\|$ and $\|y\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} = \frac{1}{2}\|y\| + \frac{1}{2}\|y\| > \frac{1}{2}\|x\| + \frac{1}{2}\|y\| \geq \|\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\|$, another contradiction. This completes the proof, since L_0 is compact and strict quasi-convexity on L_0 implies uniform quasi-convexity on L_0 (see [4; Lemma 1]).

We note that a norm may not be radially strictly convex, e.g., $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ are linear on line segments from 0 to any x (x must be in the positive orthant in the case of $\|\cdot\|_1$). For $1 < p < \infty$, $\|\cdot\|_p$ is uniformly convex and therefore is radially strictly convex on any radially convex set w.r.t. to a point.

THEOREM 4. The function $a \rightarrow \|f - (a_0 + \dots + a_m x^m)\|_p$ is radially uniformly quasi-convex at the minimum $\bar{a} = (\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_m)$ on any closed bounded nbhd of $\bar{a}$ for f fixed in $C[\alpha, \beta]$, $1 < p \leq \infty$.

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f = 0$. The linear function $a \rightarrow (a_0 + \dots + a_m x^m)$ followed by the convex map $||\cdot||$ is trivially convex with minimum on R^{m+1} at 0. Since the space of polynomials $P_m[\alpha, \beta]$ of degree m or less is linearly isomorphic to R^{m+1} , $a \rightarrow ||a_0 + \dots + a_m x^m||_p$ is actually a norm on R^{m+1} and thus Theorem 2 holds (it is known that a unique minimum $\bar{a}$ does indeed exist for $1 < p$).

We now borrow a proposition from [7]. See [1] also.

PROPOSITION. For f fixed in $C[\alpha, \beta]$, the functional $T_\infty(a, b) = ||f - r_{mn}(a, b, \cdot)||_\infty$ is quasi-convex on any convex nbhd U of the unique minimum (a^*, b^*) , where $r_{mn}(a, b, x) = (a_0 + \dots + a_m x^m) / (b_0 + \dots + b_n x^n)$.

COROLLARY 1. T_∞ is radially uniformly quasi-convex at the minimum (a^*, b^*) of any closed nbhd U in R^{m+n+2} .

COROLLARY 2. Starting from any point $(a^0, b^0) \neq (a^*, b^*)$ there is a direction d^0 in which T_∞ decreases. Further, given a step size of ϵ^0 there is a $\delta^0 > 0$ such that T_∞ will decrease by at least δ^0 along d^0 until the directional minimum is reached.

COROLLARY 2. T_∞ is dentable at its unique minimum (a^*, b^*) , and any minimizing sequence (a^n, b^n) converges to (a^*, b^*) .

REFERENCES

1. Barrodale, I. Best Rational Approximation and Strict Quasi-Convexity, SIAM J. Numerical Anal., 10 (1973) 8-12.
2. Choquet, G. Lectures on Analysis, Vol. II, Benjamin, N.Y., 1969.
3. Köthe, G. Topological Vector Spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969 (Transl. of 1966 German Ed.).
4. Looney, C. G. Convergence of Minimizing Sequences, J. Math. Anal. & Appl., 61 (1977) 835-840.
5. Looney, C. G. A Krein-Milman Type Theorem for Certain Unbounded Convex Sets, J. Math. Analysis & Appl., 48 (1974) 284-293.
6. Looney, C. G. Locally Uniformly Quasi-Convex Programming, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 28 (1975) 881-884.
7. Looney, C. G. Rational Approximation and Computation (preprint).
8. Lovaglia, A. R. Locally Uniformly Convex Banach Spaces, Trans. AMS (1965) 225-238.
9. Rieffel, M. A. Dentable subsets of Banach spaces with application to a Radon-Nikodym theorem, Proc. Conf. on Functional Analysis, U. C. Irvine, Thompson, Washington, D. C., 1967.