

## ON CERTAIN GROUPS OF FUNCTIONS

J.S. YANG

Department of Mathematics,  
Computer Science, and Statistics  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, South Carolina 29208 U.S.A.

(Received October 18, 1979)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $C(X,G)$  denote the group of continuous functions from a topological space  $X$  into a topological group  $G$  with the pointwise multiplication and the compact-open topology. We show that there is a natural topology on the collection of normal subgroups  $\Delta(X)$  of  $C(X,G)$  of the  $M_p = \{f \in C(X,G) : f(p) = e\}$  which is analogous to the hull-kernel topology on the commutative Banach algebra  $C(X)$  of all continuous real or complex-valued functions on  $X$ . We also investigate homomorphisms between groups  $C(X,G)$  and  $C(Y,G)$ .

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** *Continuous functions, topological group, compact-open topology, hull-kernel topology, normal subgroups, S-pair, S-topology, Banach algebra, structure space.*

**1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES:** *Primary 54C35, 54H10, Secondary 22A05, 20F30.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION.

Suppose  $X$  is a compact topological space and suppose  $C(X)$  is the algebra of

all continuous real or complex-valued functions on  $X$  with the usual pointwise operations and the supremum norm. Then  $C(X)$  is a regular commutative Banach algebra with identity and  $X$  is homeomorphic to the maximal ideal space  $\Delta(C(X))$  of the algebra  $C(X)$ , where  $\Delta(C(X))$  is endowed with the Gel'fand topology which coincides with the hull-kernel topology since  $C(X)$  is regular, [3]. If  $X$  is a topological space and  $G$  is a topological group, let  $C(X,G)$  be the topological group of all continuous functions from  $X$  into  $G$  under pointwise multiplication and the compact-open topology. In Section 2 of this paper, we study spaces of normal subgroups of  $C(X,G)$ . There is a natural topology, analogous to the hull-kernel topology in Banach algebra, for the collection of normal subgroups of the form  $M_p = M_p(X,G) = \{f \in C(X,G) : f(p) = e\}$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of  $G$ ; the resulting topological space will be denoted by  $\Delta(X)$ . We show that, with some mild restriction on  $X$  and  $G$ ,  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\Delta(X)$ , and that  $\Delta(X^*)$  is the one-point compactification of  $\Delta(X)$ , where  $X^*$  is the one-point compactification of the locally compact space  $X$ . Some theorems on homomorphisms and extension of homomorphisms in  $C(X,G)$  are considered in Section 3. We also prove a correct version of a theorem originally stated in [7, theorem 8].

All spaces considered in this paper are assumed to be Hausdorff unless specified. For topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , the function space  $F \subset C(X,Y)$  is understood to be endowed with the compact-open topology whenever it is referred to topologically.  $I_0(X,G)$ , or simply  $I_0$  if no confusion should occur, will denote the identity element of the group  $C(X,G)$ .

## 2. THE STRUCTURE SPACES.

For a topological space  $X$  and a topological group  $G$ , let  $\Gamma = C(X,G)$ . If  $X$  is compact and  $G$  is a Lie group, then  $\Gamma$  and  $M_p$ ,  $p \in X$ , are in general  $\ell_2$ -manifolds (c.f. [1]). It is easy to see that  $M_a$  is locally contractible at  $I_0$ , where  $a \in X$ , if  $X$  is a locally compact group locally contractible at  $a$ , and that

every  $M_p$ ,  $p \in X$ , is  $n$ -simple for every positive integer  $n$  if  $X$  is a locally compact contractible space. It is also easy to see that the topological group  $\Gamma$  is a group with equal left and right uniformities if so is the group  $G$ , and that, if  $G$  is the projective limit of the inverse system of topological groups

$\{(G_\alpha, f_{\beta\alpha}) : \alpha, \beta \in A\}$ , then  $M_p$  is the projective limit of the inverse system  $\{(M_p(X, G_\alpha), f_{\beta\alpha}^p) : \alpha, \beta \in A\}$ , where  $f_{\beta\alpha}^p(f) = f_{\beta\alpha} \circ f$  for every  $f \in M_p(X, G)$ .

Throughout this paper the spaces  $X$  and  $G$  will be subject to the following condition.

DEFINITION 1 [6]. A pair  $(X, G)$  of a topological space  $X$  and a topological group  $G$  is called an  $S$ -pair if for each closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  and  $x \notin A$ , there exists  $f \in \Gamma$  such that  $f(x) \neq e$  and  $Z(f) = \{x : f(x) = e\} \supset A$ .

It is clear that  $(X, G)$  is an  $S$ -pair if  $X$  is completely regular and  $G$  is path connected or if  $X$  is zero-dimensional. It is also clear that  $X$  is completely regular if  $(X, G)$  is an  $S$ -pair, and that  $(\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha, \prod_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha)$  is also an  $S$ -pair whenever  $(X_\alpha, G_\alpha)$  is an  $S$ -pair for each  $\alpha \in A$ . Magill called a space  $X$  a  $V$ -space, [4], if for points  $p, q, x$ , and  $y$  of  $X$ , where  $p \neq q$ , there exists a continuous function  $f$  of  $X$  into itself such that  $f(p) = x$  and  $f(q) = y$ , and has shown that every completely regular path connected space and every zero-dimensional space is a  $V$ -space. It is easy to see that  $(\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha, G)$  is an  $S$ -pair if each  $(X_\alpha, G)$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , is an  $S$ -pair and if  $G$  is a  $V$ -space. If  $G$  is a topological group such that  $(G, G)$  is an  $S$ -pair,  $G$  may not be a  $V$ -space. For example, let  $G_1$  be the additive group of real numbers with the usual topology and let  $G_2$  be any non-trivial finite group with the discrete topology, then  $(G_1 \times G_2, G_1 \times G_2)$  is an  $S$ -pair since  $(G_1, G_1)$  and  $(G_2, G_2)$  are  $S$ -pairs. Since the topological group  $G_1 \times G_2$  is not connected with the identity component isomorphic to  $G_1$ ,  $G_1 \times G_2$  is not a  $V$ -space as it follows from [4, Theorem 3.5]. It is pointed out in [7] that  $X$  is hemicompact and  $G$  is metrizable if  $(X, G)$  is an  $S$ -pair,  $G$  is a  $V$ -space, and  $\Gamma$  is first countable.

It is well-known (c.f. [2]) that, for every topological space  $X$ , there exists a completely regular space  $Y$  such that  $C(Y)$  is (algebraically) isomorphic to  $C(X)$ , where  $C(Z)$  is the ring of continuous real-valued function on the space  $Z$ . Using the similar argument mutatis mutandis as used in the construction of the space  $Y$ , it is a straightforward to see that, for every topological space  $X$  and a topological group  $G$ , there is a completely regular space  $Y_G$  such that  $C(Y_G, G)$  is continuously isomorphic to  $C(X, G)$ , and that, in the case  $G$  is path connected,  $(Y_G, G)$  is an  $S$ -pair and the associated space  $Y_G$  is independent of the group  $G$  within the category of path connected topological groups. The latter means that  $Y_{G_1} = Y_{G_2}$  whenever  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are path connected groups. It follows from the construction of the space  $Y_G$  that  $X = Y_G$  if  $(X, G)$  is an  $S$ -pair.

Because of the remarks just made above, we shall now assume that  $(X, G)$  is an  $S$ -pair.

For a collection  $\sum$  of normal subgroups of  $\Gamma = C(X, G)$ , we define "\*" as follows: If  $U \in \sum$  and  $U \neq \phi$ , let  $U^* = \{M \in \sum : M \supset \cap U\}$ , let  $\phi^* = \phi$ .

**THEOREM 1.** "\*" is a closure operator on  $\sum$  if and only if whenever  $M \in \sum$  and  $M \supset M_1 \cap M_2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are intersections of some subsets of  $\sum$ , then either  $M \supset M_1$  or  $M \supset M_2$ .

**PROOF:** It is clear that  $U^* \supset U$ ,  $(U^*)^* = U^*$ ,  $\phi^* = \phi$ , and that  $U^* \cup V^* \subset (U \cup V)^*$  for subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $\sum$ . Hence "\*" is a closure operator if and only if  $U^* \cup V^* \supset (U \cup V)^*$  for subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $\sum$ . Now if  $M_1 = \cap U$ , and  $M_2 = \cap V$ , then  $(U \cap V)^* = \{M \in \sum : M \supset M_1 \cap M_2\}$ . Hence we have the theorem.

**DEFINITION 2.** If "\*" is a closure operator on  $\sum$ , we shall refer the resulting topology, not necessarily Hausdorff, on  $\sum$  as the  $S$ -topology, and the resulting space will be referred to as a  $G$ -structure space, or simply structure space, of the space  $X$ .

**COROLLARY:** If  $\sum$  admits the  $S$ -topology, so is every subset of  $\sum$ .

REMARK 2. If  $G$  is path connected, we may speak of structure spaces for the space  $X$  without referring to the group since  $C(X,G)$  and  $C(X,R)$  are isomorphic in this case.

LEMMA 3. If a collection of normal subgroup  $\sum$  of  $\Gamma$  admits the  $S$ -topology, then a subset  $A$  of  $\sum$  is closed if and only if there exists a normal subgroup  $M_0$  of  $\Gamma$  which is the intersection of some subset of  $\sum$  such that  $A = \{M \in \sum : M \supset M_0\}$ . In fact,  $M_0 = \cap A$ .

PROOF: Suppose  $A \subset \sum$  is closed, then  $A = \bar{A} = \{M \in \sum : M \supset \cap A = M_0\}$ .

Conversely, suppose that there exists a normal subgroup  $M_0$  of  $\Gamma$ , where  $M_0 = \cap U$  for some  $U \subset \sum$ , such that  $A = \{M \in \sum : M \supset M_0\}$ . Then  $\bar{A} = \{M \in \sum : M \supset \cap A\} = A$ . Hence  $A$  is closed.

THEOREM 4. If a collection of normal subgroups  $\sum$  of  $\Gamma$  admits the  $S$ -topology, then  $\sum$  is Hausdorff if and only if for  $M_1, M_2 \in \sum, M_1 \neq M_2$ , there are  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , where  $I_1 = \cap U_1, I_2 = \cap U_2$  and  $U_1, U_2 \subset \sum$ , such that  $M_1 \supset I_1, M_2 \supset I_2, M_1 \not\supset I_2, M_2 \not\supset I_1$ , and  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \cap \sum$ .

PROOF: Suppose that  $\sum$  is Hausdorff, and let  $M_1, M_2 \in \sum, M_1 \neq M_2$ . Thus there are disjoint open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  in  $\sum$  such that  $M_1 \subset U_1$ , and  $M_2 \subset U_2$ . If  $A_1 = \sum - U_2, A_2 = \sum - U_1$ , then  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are closed and  $M_1 \in A_1, M_2 \in A_2$ . Using Lemma 3, we have  $A_i = \{M \in \sum : M \supset \cap A_i\}, i = 1, 2$ . If we let  $I_i = \cap A_i, i = 1, 2$ , then  $M_1 \supset I_1, M_2 \supset I_2, M_1 \not\supset I_2, M_2 \not\supset I_1$  and  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \cap \sum$ .

Conversely, assume that the stated property holds, and let  $M_1, M_2 \in \sum$  such that  $M_1 \neq M_2$ . Then there are subsets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of  $\sum$  such that if  $I_i = \cap U_i, i = 1, 2, M_1 \supset I_1, M_2 \supset I_2, M_1 \not\supset I_2, M_2 \not\supset I_1$ , and  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \cap \sum$ . Let  $B_i = \{M \in \sum : M \supset I_i\}, i = 1, 2$ . Then  $B_i$  are closed by Lemma 3,  $M_1 \in B_1, M_2 \in B_2, M_1 \notin B_2$  and  $M_2 \notin B_1$ . If we let  $V_2 = \sum - B_1, V_1 = \sum - B_2$ , then  $M_1 \in V_1, M_2 \in V_2$ , and  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ . To see that  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ , it suffices to show that if  $M \in \sum$ , then either  $M \in B_1$  or  $M \in B_2$ . Now  $M \in \sum$  implies  $M \supset \cap \sum = I_1 \cap I_2$ . This means

that either  $M \supset I_1$  or  $M \supset I_2$  since  $\sum$  admits the S-topology. Hence  $M \in B_1$  or  $M \in B_2$ . This completes the proof.

If we denote by  $\Delta(X)$  the collection of all normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$  of the form  $M_p = \{f \in C(X,G) : f(p) = e\}$ ,  $p \in X$ , then the following theorem states that  $\Delta(X)$  admits the S-topology and that the S-topology is Hausdorff if  $(X,G)$  is an S-pair.

**THEOREM 5.**  $\Delta(X)$  admits the Hausdorff S-topology.

**PROOF:** Let  $U$  and  $V$  be subsets of  $\Delta(X)$ , and let  $O_1 = \{P \in X : M_p \in U\}$  and  $O_2 = \{q \in X : M_q \in V\}$ . It is, by Theorem 1, sufficient to show that, if  $M_q \supset (\bigcap_{p \in O_1} M_p) \cap (\bigcap_{k \in O_2} M_k)$ , then either  $M_q \supset \bigcap_{p \in O_1} M_p$  and  $M_q \supset \bigcap_{k \in O_2} M_k$ . Suppose otherwise, then there exist  $f \in \bigcap_{p \in O_1} M_p - M_q$  and  $g \in \bigcap_{k \in O_2} M_k - M_q$ . This implies that  $q \notin \bar{O}_1$  and  $q \notin \bar{O}_2$ . For if  $q \in \bar{O}_1$ , then there is a net  $\{q_\alpha\}$  in  $O_1$  such that  $q_\alpha \rightarrow q$ . Then  $f(q_\alpha) \rightarrow f(q)$ , and hence  $f(q) = e$  since  $f(q_\alpha) = e$  for each  $\alpha$ . Similarly,  $q \notin \bar{O}_2$ . Hence  $q \notin \overline{O_1 \cup O_2}$ . But  $(X,G)$  is an S-pair, let  $h \in \Gamma$  such that  $\overline{O_1 \cup O_2} \subset Z(h)$  but  $h(q) \neq e$ . This would show that  $h \in (\bigcap_{p \in O_1} M_p) \cap (\bigcap_{k \in O_2} M_k)$  but  $h \notin M_q$ , a contradiction. Hence either  $M_q \supset (\bigcap_{p \in O_1} M_p)$  or  $M_q \supset (\bigcap_{k \in O_2} M_k)$ , and  $\Delta(X)$  admits the S-topology.

Next to show that the S-topology is Hausdorff. Let  $M_p, M_q \in \Delta(X)$ , where  $p \neq q$ . Since  $X$  is  $T_2$ , let  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  be open sets in  $X$  such that  $p \in O_1, q \in O_2$  and  $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$ . If  $C_2 = X - O_1$  and  $C_1 = X - O_2$ , then  $p \in C_1$  and  $q \in C_2$ . If  $I_1 = \bigcap_{k \in C_1} M_k$  and  $I_2 = \bigcap_{k \in O_2} M_k$ , then  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \cap \Delta(X)$  since  $C_1 \cup C_2 = X, M_p \supset I_1$ , and  $M_q \supset I_2$ . To see that  $M_p \not\supset I_2$  note that  $p \notin C_2$ , hence there exists  $f \in \Gamma$  such that  $f(C_2) = e$  but  $f(p) \neq e$ . Thus  $f \in \bigcap_{k \in C_2} M_k$  but  $f \notin M_p$ . This shows that  $M_p \not\supset I_2$ . Similarly, we have  $M_q \not\supset I_1$ . This completes the proof that  $\Delta(X)$  is  $T_2$ , by Theorem 4.

Note that the S-topology defined above for  $\Delta(X)$  is analogous to the hull-kernel topology, which coincides with the Gel'fand topology, on the maximal ideal space of the commutative Banach algebra  $C(X)$ .

For each  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $A_\alpha$  be a closed set of a structure space  $\Sigma$ . Then, by Lemma 3, there exists a normal subgroup  $M_\alpha$  of  $\Gamma$  which is the intersection of some subset of  $\Sigma$  such that  $A_\alpha = \{M \in \Sigma: M \supset M_\alpha\}$ . If we denoted by  $[\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha]$  the normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha$ , then we have the following lemma whose proof is straightforward and hence omitted.

LEMMA 6.  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha = \{M \in \Sigma: M \supset [\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} M_\alpha]\}$

THEOREM 7. A structure space  $\Sigma$  of  $X$  is compact if and only if every collection of normal subgroups  $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  of  $\Gamma$ , each of which is the intersection of some subset of  $\Sigma$ , such that  $[\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha] \not\subseteq M$  for each  $M \in \Sigma$  has a finite subcollection.

$\{N_{\alpha_1}, N_{\alpha_2}, \dots, N_{\alpha_n}\}$  such that  $[\bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}] \not\subseteq M$  for each  $M \in \Sigma$ .

PROOF: Suppose  $\Sigma$  is compact, and let  $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a collection of normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , each of which is the intersection of some subset of  $\Sigma$ , such that  $[\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha] \not\subseteq M$  for each  $M \in \Sigma$ . If, for each  $\alpha \in I$ , let  $A_\alpha = \{M \in \Sigma: M \supset N_\alpha\}$ , then  $A_\alpha$  is closed in  $\Sigma$ , Lemma 3, and  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha = \{M \in \Sigma: M \supset [\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha]\} = \emptyset$ . Hence, by the compactness of  $\Sigma$ , there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha_i} = \emptyset$ ; i.e., there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $\{M \in \Sigma: M \supset [\bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}]\} = \emptyset$ . Hence  $[\bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}] \not\subseteq M$  for each  $M \in \Sigma$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\Sigma$  has the stated property, and let  $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a collection of closed sets with the finite intersection property, where  $A_\alpha = \{M \in \Sigma: M \supset N_\alpha\}$  and  $N$  is the intersection of some subset of  $\Sigma$ . Suppose that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha = \emptyset$ . Then  $\{M \in \Sigma: M \supset [\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha]\} = \emptyset$ , hence  $[\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha] \not\subseteq M$  for each  $M \in \Sigma$ . Thus, by the hypothesis, there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $[\bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}] \not\subseteq M$  for each  $M \in \Sigma$ . This would imply that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha_i} = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\Sigma$  is compact.

COROLLARY. A structure space  $\Sigma$  of  $X$  is compact if every normal subgroup  $N$  of  $\Gamma$  not contained in any element of  $\Sigma$  contains a finitely generated normal sub-

group of  $N$  not contained in any element of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

PROOF: Assume that the stated property holds in  $\mathcal{L}$ , and let  $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be a collection of normal subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , each of which is the intersection of some subset of  $\mathcal{L}$ , such that  $[\cup_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha] \not\subseteq M$  for every  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $N = [\cup_{\alpha \in I} N_\alpha]$ . Then  $N \not\subseteq M$  for every  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , thus  $N$  contains a finitely generated normal subgroup  $B$  such that  $B \not\subseteq M$  for each  $M \in \mathcal{L}$ . Let  $B = [a_{\alpha_1}, a_{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_{\alpha_n}]$ , where  $a_{\alpha_i} \in N_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $[\cup_{i=1}^n N_{\alpha_i}] \not\subseteq M$  for every  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}$  is compact by Theorem 7.

We shall call a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $\Gamma$  free if there is no  $p \in X$  such that  $f(p) = e$  for each  $f \in N$ .

COROLLARY.  $\Delta(X)$  is compact if every free normal subgroup  $N$  of  $\Gamma$  contains a finitely generated free normal subgroup.

THEOREM 8. The mapping  $\psi: X \rightarrow \Delta(X)$  defined by  $\psi(x) = M_x$ ,  $x \in X$ , is a homeomorphism.

PROOF: Clearly,  $\psi$  is one-to-one and onto.

For the continuity of  $\psi$ , let  $A \subset \Delta(X)$  be closed. Then there exists a normal subgroup  $M_0$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $A = \{M_x \in \Delta(X): M_x \supset M_0\}$ . We shall see that  $\psi^{-1}(A)$  is closed. For this purpose, let  $\{x_\alpha\}$  be a net in  $\psi^{-1}(A)$  converging to  $x \in X$ . Then  $M_{x_\alpha} \supset M_0$  for each  $\alpha$ . If  $M_x \not\supset M_0$ , there exists  $f \in M_0 - M_x$  which would imply that  $f(x) \neq e$ , a contradiction since  $f(x_\alpha) \rightarrow f(x)$  and  $f(x) = e$  for each  $\alpha$ .

Next to show that  $\psi$  is a closed map. Let  $C$  be closed in  $X$ , and let  $M_0 = \bigcap_{x \in C} M_x$ . We claim that  $\psi(C) = \{M_x \in \Delta(X): M_x \supset M_0\}$ , which would imply that  $\psi(C)$  is closed. It is clear that  $\psi(C) \subset \{M_x \in \Delta(X): M_x \supset M_0\}$ . Now let  $M_x \in \{M_x \in \Delta(X): M_x \supset M_0\}$ . Then  $M_x \supset M_0$ . Suppose  $x \notin C$ , then there exists  $f \in C(X, G)$  such that  $f(C) = e$  but  $f(x) \neq e$ . Hence  $f \in M_0$  but  $f \notin M_x$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x \in C$ , and we have  $M_x \in \psi(C)$ .

If  $A$  is a commutative Banach algebra without identity, and if  $A(e)$  is the algebra obtained by adjoining an identity to  $A$ , then the maximal ideal space

$\Delta(\Delta(e))$ , with the Gel'fand topology, is the one-point compactification of  $\Delta(A)$ . Using the previous results, we can also state the following theorem whose proof is now trivial.

**THEOREM 9.** If  $X$  is a locally compact space and  $X^*$  its one-point compactification, then  $\Delta(X^*)$  is the one-point compactification of  $\Delta(X)$ , and  $\Delta(X^*) = \Delta(X) \cup \{M_\infty\}$ , where  $M_\infty = \{f \in C(X^*, G) : f(\infty) = e\}$ .

### 3. HOMOMORPHISMS OF $C(X, G)$ .

In this section, we shall study homomorphisms of the group  $C(X, G)$  into the group  $C(Y, G)$  which leads us to have another version of a theorem originally announced in [7]. We shall also, at the end of the section, consider extensions of homomorphisms of the group  $C(X, G)$ . All pairs  $(Z, G)$  are again assumed to be  $S$ -pairs.

**DEFINITION 3.** (1) A homomorphism  $\phi$  of the group  $C(Y, G)$  into the group  $C(X, G)$  is said to be a constant-preserving if  $\phi$  maps every constant function on  $Y$  into the corresponding constant function on  $X$ .

(2) A homomorphism  $\phi$  of the group  $C(Y, G)$  into the group  $C(X, G)$  which has the property that  $\phi^{-1}(\Delta(X)) \subset \Delta(Y)$  is called an  $F$ -homomorphism.

It is easy to construct an example of a homomorphism  $\phi: C(Y, G) \rightarrow C(X, G)$  which is an  $F$ -homomorphism but is not constant-preserving. The following example [5], shows that the converse does not hold either.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $Y = [0, 1]$  be the closed unit interval, and let  $X = ([-1, 1] \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times (0, 1])$  considered as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For each  $f \in C(Y, \mathbb{R})$ , define  $\phi(f) \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(f)(t, 0) &= f\left(\frac{1}{4}(t+1)\right), \quad t \in [-1, 1] \\ \phi(f)(0, s) &= f\left(\frac{1}{2}(s+1)\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad s \in [0, 1]\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\phi$  is a constant-preserving isomorphism of  $C(Y, \mathbb{R})$  onto  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ . If  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ ,

then

$$\phi^{-1}(g)(y) = \begin{cases} g(4y-1, 0) & y \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ g(0, 2y-1) + g(1, 0) - g(0, 0), & y \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

Now choose  $g \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $Z(g) = \{(0, \frac{1}{2})\}$  and that  $g(1, 0) - g(0, 0) > 0$ , then  $g \in M_{(0, \frac{1}{2})}$ , but  $Z(\phi^{-1}(g)) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\phi$  is not an F-homomorphism.

**THEOREM 10.** Suppose that  $\phi: C(Y, G) \rightarrow C(X, G)$  is a continuous constant-preserving F-homomorphism of  $C(Y, G)$  into  $C(X, G)$ . Then

- (1)  $\phi$  induces a one-to-one continuous map of  $\Delta(X)$  into  $\Delta(Y)$ , and
- (2)  $\phi$  induces a continuous map  $j$  of  $X$  into  $Y$  such that  $j(x) = y$  if and only if  $\phi(g)(x) = g(y)$  for each  $g \in C(Y, G)$ .

**PROOF.** (1) for each  $x \in X$ , let  $hx: C(X, G) \rightarrow G$  be the evaluation map defined by  $hx(f) = f(x)$ ,  $f \in C(X, G)$ , and let  $M_x = \ker hx$ . Define  $h(x): C(Y, G) \rightarrow G$  by  $h(x) = hx \circ \phi$ ,  $x \in X$ . Then  $\ker h(x) = \phi^{-1}(M_x)$ , hence  $\ker h(x) = M_y$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Such an  $y$  is unique and we have  $h(x) = hy$ . Now we define a mapping  $\phi: (X) \rightarrow \Delta(Y)$  by  $\phi(M_x) = M_y$ .

Clearly  $\phi$  is one-to-one. For the continuity of  $\phi$ , let  $A = \{M_y \in \Delta(Y): M_y \supset M_1\}$ , where  $M_1$  is the intersection of some subset  $U$  of  $\Delta(Y)$ , be a closed set in  $\Delta(Y)$ . We claim that  $\phi^{-1}(A) = \{M_x \in \Delta(X): M_x \supset \phi(M_1)\}$  and that  $\phi(M_1)$  is the intersection of the subset  $\phi(U)$  of  $\Delta(X)$ . In fact, let  $M_x \supset \phi(M_1)$ . Then  $\phi^{-1}(M_x) \supset M_1$ . If  $\phi(M_x) = M_y$ ,  $M_y = \ker hy = \ker (hx \circ \phi) = \phi^{-1}(M_x) \supset M_1$ , hence  $M_y \in A$ , thus  $M_x = \phi^{-1}(M_y) \in \phi^{-1}(A)$ . Conversely, let  $M_z \in \phi^{-1}(A)$ . Then  $\phi(M_z) \in A$ . If  $\phi(M_z) = M_y \in A$  for some  $y \in Y$ , then  $Hy = Hz \circ \phi$ , hence  $\phi(M_1) \subset M_z$ . It is easy to see that  $\phi(M_1)$  is the intersection of the subset  $\phi(U)$  of  $\Delta(X)$ . Therefore  $\phi^{-1}(A)$  is closed in  $\Delta(X)$ , and  $\phi$  is continuous.

- (2) Let the mapping  $j: X \rightarrow Y$  be defined by  $j = \psi_y^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \psi_x$ , where

$\psi_Z: Z \rightarrow \Delta(Z)$  is the mapping of Theorem 8. Then clearly  $j$  is continuous and  $j(x) = y$  if and only if  $\phi(M_x) = M_y$ . To see that  $j(x) = y$  if and only if  $\phi(g)(x) = g(y)$  for every  $g \in C(Y,G)$ , let  $j(x) = y$ . Then  $\phi(M_x) = M_y$ . Thus  $\ker(hx \circ \phi) = M_y$ . Let  $g \in C(Y,G)$ . If  $g \in M_y$ ,  $hx \circ \phi(g) = e$ , and we have  $\phi(g)(x) = g(y)$ . If  $g \notin M_y$ , there exists  $c \in G$  such that  $g \in \underline{c}M_y$ , where  $\underline{c}$  is the constant mapping of  $X$  into  $c$ , hence  $g = \underline{c}k$  for some  $k \in M_y$ . Now  $hx \circ \phi(g) = hx \circ \phi(\underline{c}k) = hx(\underline{c}\phi(k)) = c\phi(k)(x) = c$ , while  $g(y) = ck(y) = c$ . Hence  $\phi(g)(x) = g(y)$  for each  $g \in C(Y,G)$ . Conversely, if  $\phi(g)(x) = g(y)$  for each  $g \in C(Y,G)$ , then, for  $g \in C(Y,G)$ ,  $hx \circ \phi(g) = \phi(g)(x) = g(y) = hy(g)$ . Thus  $\phi(M_x) = M_y$ , and we have that  $j(x) = y$ .

REMARK: It is easy to see that, if the mapping  $\phi$  in Theorem 10 is an onto map, then  $\phi$  is an embedding.

THEOREM 11. A continuous homomorphism  $\phi$  of  $C(Y,G)$  into  $C(X,G)$  is a constant-preserving F-homomorphism if and only if there exists  $f \in C(X,Y)$  such that  $\phi(k) = k \circ f$  for every  $k \in C(Y,G)$ .

PROOF: It is clear that a homomorphism  $\phi$  of the form  $\phi(k) = k \circ f$  for every  $k \in C(Y,G)$  is a constant-preserving F-homomorphism. Conversely, if  $\phi$  is a constant-preserving F-homomorphism, and if  $j$  is the continuous map of  $X$  into  $Y$  as defined in Theorem 10, then, for each  $k \in C(Y,G)$ ,  $\phi(k)(x) = k(y) = k \circ j(x)$ , where  $j(x) = y$ . Hence  $\phi(k) = k \circ j$  for each  $k \in C(Y,G)$ .

COROLLARY. A homomorphism  $\phi$  of  $C(Y,G)$  into  $C(X,G)$  is a constant-preserving F-homomorphism if and only if there exists  $f \in C(X,Y)$  such that  $\phi(k) = k \circ f$  for every  $k \in C(Y,G)$ .

PROOF: Note that the group topologies for  $C(Y,G)$  and  $C(X,G)$  are not relevant in the proof of Theorem 10. Hence take discrete topologies for the groups  $C(Y,G)$  and  $C(X,G)$ , then apply the proof of Theorem 11.

As a consequence of the discussions made above, we can now state a correct

version of the theorem originally stated in [7, Theorem 8] in the following.

**THEOREM 12.** If there exists an isomorphism  $\phi$  between groups  $C(Y,G)$  and  $C(X,G)$  which is constant-preserving such that both  $\phi$  and  $\phi^{-1}$  are F-homomorphisms, then  $X$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic.

**PROOF:** It is clear that  $\phi^{-1}$  is also constant-preserving if  $\phi$  is. Applying the above corollary to  $\phi$  and  $\phi^{-1}$ , there exist functions  $j \in C(X,Y)$  and  $\ell \in C(Y,X)$  such that  $\phi(k) = k \circ j$  for each  $k \in C(Y,G)$  and  $\phi^{-1}(k) = k \circ \ell$  for each  $k \in C(X,G)$ . Consequently, we have that  $\ell \circ j(x) = x$  and  $j \circ \ell(y) = y$  for  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ . To see this suppose that there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\ell \circ j(x) \neq x$ , then we have  $f \in C(X,G)$  such that  $f(\ell \circ j(x)) \neq f(x)$  or  $f \circ \ell \circ j(x) \neq f(x)$ . Hence  $(\phi^{-1}(f) \circ j)(x) \neq f(x)$ , and thus  $\phi(\phi^{-1}(f))(x) \neq f(x)$  which leads to  $f(x) \neq f(x)$ . Similarly,  $j \circ \ell(y) = y$ . Hence  $j$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$  onto  $Y$ .

For topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , it is clear that the space  $C(X,Y)$  may be embedded into the space  $C(X \times Z,Y)$  as a retract for any space  $Z$ , and that every homomorphism of the topological group  $C(X,G)$  into a topological group  $L$  may be extended to a homomorphism of the topological group  $C(X \times Y,G)$  into  $L$  for any topological group  $L$ . We shall conclude this paper with the following result concerning an extension of F-homomorphisms.

**THEOREM 13.** Suppose  $A$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . Then every constant-preserving F-homomorphism  $h$  of the topological group  $C(G,G)$  into the topological group  $C(A,G)$  may be extended to a homomorphism  $H$  of the same kind from the topological group  $C(G,G)$  into the topological group  $C(X,G)$  such that  $I \circ H = h$  if every continuous function  $f: A \rightarrow G$  may be continuously extended to all of  $X$ , where  $I: C(X,G) \rightarrow C(A,G)$  be the map defined by  $I(f) = f \circ i$  for  $f \in C(X,G)$ ,  $i$  being the inclusion map of  $A$  into  $X$ .

**PROOF:** For necessity, let  $f: A \rightarrow G$  be any continuous function, and let  $f^*: C(G,G) \rightarrow C(A,G)$  be the natural homomorphism induced by  $f$ , namely  $f^*(k) =$

$k \circ f$  for each  $k \in C(G,G)$ . Then  $f^*$  is a constant-preserving  $F$ -homomorphism, by Theorem 11. Hence there exists a constant-preserving  $F$ -homomorphism  $H$  of the topological group  $C(G,G)$  into  $C(X,G)$  such that  $I \circ H = f^*$ . Let  $\theta \in C(X,G)$  such that  $H(k) = k \circ \theta$  for every  $k \in C(G,G)$ . If  $i_d$  denotes the identity map of  $G$  into itself, then, for  $a \in A$ ,  $\theta(a) = (i_d \circ \theta)(a) = H(i_d)(a) = H(i_d)(i(a)) = H(i_d) \circ i(a) - I(H(i_d))(a) = (I \circ H)(i_d)(a) = f^*(i_d)(a) = (i_d \circ f)(a) = f(a)$ . Hence  $\theta$  is an extension of  $f$  to all of  $X$ .

For sufficiency, assume that every continuous function  $f: A \rightarrow G$  may be extended continuously to all of  $X$ , and let  $h: C(G,G) \rightarrow C(A,G)$  be a constant-preserving homomorphism of the topological group  $C(G,G)$  into the topological group  $C(A,G)$ . Then there exists  $f \in C(A,G)$  such that  $h(k) = k \circ f$  for every  $k \in C(G,G)$ . If we denote by  $\hat{f}$  the extension of  $f$  to all of  $X$ , define a function  $H: C(G,G) \rightarrow C(X,G)$  by  $H(k) = k \circ \hat{f}$  for each  $k \in C(G,G)$ . Then  $H$  is a constant-preserving  $F$ -homomorphism and  $I \circ H = h$ . This completes the proof.

In particular, if  $X$  is a normal space, and  $A$  a closed subset of  $X$ , then every constant-preserving  $F$ -homomorphism  $h$  of the topological group  $C(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$  into the topological group  $C(Z,\mathbb{R})$  may be extended to a homomorphism  $H$  of the same kind from the topological group  $C(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$  into the topological group  $C(X,G)$  such that  $I \circ H = h$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Geoghegan, R., On spaces of homeomorphisms, embeddings, and functions I, Topology, Vol. 11 (1972), 159-177.
2. Gillman, L. and Jerison, M., Rings of continuous functions, Van Nostrand, N. Y. (1960).
3. Larsen, R., Banach algebra, Dekker, N. Y. (1973).
4. Magill, K. D. Jr., Some Homomorphism theorems for a class of semigroups, Proc. London Math. Soc., Vol. 15 (1965), 517-526.
5. Nickolas, P., Free topological groups and function spaces, Preprint.

6. Yang, J. S., Transformation groups of automorphisms of  $C(X,G)$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 39 (1973), 619-624; erratum, *ibid* Vol. 48 (1975), 518.
7. Yang, J. S., On isomorphic groups and homeomorphic spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 43 (1974), 431-438; erratum, *ibid*, Vol. 48 (1975), 518.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

