ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate various subclasses of univalent analytic functions. We find that many of the subclasses introduced in the recent years are no more new and in fact coincide with the class due to Jakubowski. We further study the generalised Jakubowski class of univalent functions and obtain a representational formula and use it in deriving the coefficient relations for this class.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

The Class $S^*_m,M(\mu, \nu)$.

Let $M, m$ be arbitrary fixed real numbers satisfying the condition
(m,M) ∈ D^* = D_1 ∪ D_2 and

\[ D_1 = \{(m,M) \mid \frac{1}{2} < m < 1, \ \ 1-m < M \leq m\} \]

\[ D_2 = \{(m,M) \mid m \geq 1, \ \ m-1 \leq M \leq m\} \]

and also u, v be real numbers such that 0 < u < 1 and |v| ≤ \frac{\pi}{2}. In 1971, Jakubowski [7] introduced the class \( S^*_{m,M}(u,v) \) of regular functions \( f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \) defined in \( E = \{z \mid |z| < 1\} \) and satisfying the condition

\[ \left| \frac{e^{iu}zf'(z)}{f(z)} - iv - \frac{u}{\cos v} \right| < M, \]

for all \( z \in E \).

Recently, Mogra and Juneja (\[9\], \[18\], \[17\]) introduced a new class of starlike functions of order \( \alpha \) and type \( \beta \) which they denoted by \( S^*(\alpha,\beta) \). Let \( S = \{f \mid f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \ \text{and} \ f \ \text{is analytic in} \ E\} \). They put \( f \in S \) in \( S^*(\alpha,\beta) \) if and only if

\[ 1 > \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \left| \frac{2\beta(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha) - \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right)}{2\beta(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha)} \right|, \]

for all \( \alpha,\beta \) real, \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \).

Mogra and Juneja proposed that this class enables simple definition, and that thinking of this class helps in studying other classes of starlike functions.

Lakshminarasimhan [3] introduced the class \( M(\alpha,\beta) \), containing \( f \in S \) with

\[ \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right|, \]

for \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1 \) and \( z \in E \).

Mokowka [19] generalized \( S^*(\alpha,\beta) \) into \( S^*(\lambda,\alpha,\beta) \) containing, for \( |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2} \), those \( f \in S \) with
Yet another class is investigated by Gopalakrishna and Shetiya [6]. They named this class by $S_{\lambda}(\alpha, \rho, \eta)$ and $f \in S_{\lambda}(\alpha, \rho, \eta)$ if and only if $f \in S$ with

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 < \beta \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 + 2(1-\omega)\cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}. \quad (1.4)$$

for $|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{4}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\alpha + \rho > 1$.

But we now show that these classes are not new and some of the results of the above authors are also not new. In fact, we have

**THEOREM 1.**

(a) $S^*(\alpha, \beta) = S_{M,M}(\alpha, 0); \ M = 1/2(1-\beta)$

(b) $S_{\lambda}(\alpha, \beta) = S_{1+M,M}(\alpha, \lambda); \ M = 2\beta^2/(1-\beta^2)$

(c) $M(\alpha, \beta) = S_{m,M}(0, 0); \ m = (1+\alpha\beta^2)/(1-\alpha^2\beta^2)$ and $M = (1+\alpha)\beta/(1-\alpha^2\beta^2)$

(d) $S_{\lambda}(\alpha, \rho, \eta) = S_{M,M}(\alpha, \lambda); \ M = \rho/(1-\alpha)$.

**PROOF.** Suppose $X = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$. Then $f \in S^*(\alpha, \beta)$ satisfies (1.2). Hence, we have

$$\left|\frac{X-1}{\beta(X-\alpha)-(X-1)}\right| < 1. \quad (1.6)$$

The inequality (1.6) is true if and only if

$$\beta|X-\alpha|^2 > \text{Re}((X-\alpha)(\overline{X}-1)).$$

This last inequality is equivalent to

$$|X|^2 - \left\{\frac{1+(1+2\alpha\beta)}{1-\beta}\right\}\text{Re}(X) + \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha\beta)}{(1-\beta)} < 0.$$
Hence, if \( M = \frac{1}{2(1-\beta)} \), \( \mu = \alpha \) with \( \nu = 0 \) then \( f \in S^*(\alpha, \beta) \) implies \( f \in S_{M,M}^*(\alpha,0) \) and conversely. This proves (a).

For proving (b), we let \( Y = \left\{ X \right\} e^{i\lambda} - i\sin \lambda - \alpha \cos \lambda \right\} / \left( 1 - \alpha \right) \cos \lambda \) and \( X = \frac{zf(z)}{f(z)} \). Then, as before \( f \in S^*(\alpha, \beta) \) if and only if

\[
\left| Y - 1 \right| < \beta \left| Y + 1 \right|.
\]

(In (1.8), if \( \lambda = 0 = \alpha \), then this class is due to Caplinger and Causey [3]). But simple calculations show that (1.8) is equivalent to

\[
\left| \frac{e^{i\lambda} zf'(z) - i\sin \lambda - \alpha \cos \lambda}{f(z)} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \cos \lambda} - 1 - \frac{2 \beta^2}{1-\beta^2} \right| < \frac{2 \beta^2}{1-\beta^2}.
\]  

Thus, a comparison of (1.9) to (1.1) implies (b) and conversely. The proof for (c) is similar. For (d) we observe that \( f \in S_{\lambda}(\alpha, \rho, \eta) \) if and only if

\[
\left| \frac{e^{i\lambda} zf'(z) - i\sin \lambda - \alpha \cos \lambda}{f(z)} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha) \cos \lambda} - \frac{\rho}{1-\alpha} \right| < \frac{\rho}{1-\alpha}.
\]

Again a comparison of (1.10) to (1.1) gives (d). Hence the proof of the theorem is complete.

We note as a consequence of theorem 1, that the coefficients estimates obtained in the series of papers ([1]-[5], [6]-[11], [13]-[27], [29], [30]) are contained in [7]. But some of the coefficients estimates obtained in [16], [6], [12] are not contained in the theorem 1 of [7]. We also note that the class introduced in [28], is a very general one but appears unnatural in the presentation. Therefore, next section, we shall consider the natural generalization of the class \( S_{m,M}^*(\mu, \nu) \) by admitting \( m \) to be complex. This we do as follows.
2. The class $S_{m,M}^*(\mu,\nu,\alpha,t)$.

We define the class $S_{m,M}^*(\mu,\nu,\alpha,t)$ to denote the class of $f \in S$ with

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\nu}zf'(z) - isin\nu - \mu cos\nu}{f(z)(1-m)cos\nu} - m - \alpha - it \right| < M, \quad (2.1)$$

where $m,\alpha, t$ and $M$ are real numbers such that $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \nu < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\mu < 1$, $\frac{1}{2} < m$, $0 < M$, $-\infty < \alpha < 1$, $-\infty < t < \infty$ and $M^2 = 1+2m+2\alpha-2ma-m^2-\alpha^2-t^2 > 0$.

The following lemma shows that when $\nu = 0$, the utmost class of Szynal et al [28] and the class introduced by Libera and Livingston [21] are obtained.

**LEMMA 1.** $f \in S_{m,M}^*(\mu,\nu,\alpha,t)$ if and only if there exists some $\omega(z)$ regular in $E$, $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ such that

$$zf'(z) = \frac{1 + (De^{-i\nu} - B)\omega(z)}{1 - B \omega(z)},$$

where $D = (A+B)(1-\mu)cos\nu$; $A+B > 0$.

$$A = \frac{1}{M} \left[ M^2 - m^2 + m(1-2\alpha)+\alpha(1-\alpha)+it^2 \right]$$

and

$$B = \frac{1}{M} \left[ -1 + m + \alpha - it \right].$$

**PROOF.** Let us write

$$L(z) = \frac{1}{M} \left[ g(z) - m - \alpha - it \right]$$

$$g(z) = e^{i\nu}zf'(z) - isin\nu f(z) - \mu cos\nu f(z)$$

and

$$h(z) = (1-\mu) cos\nu f(z).$$

Then, we have $|L(z)| < 1$, $L(0) = \frac{1}{M}[1-m-\alpha-it]$. Hence, there exists a $\omega(z)$ which is regular in $E$ and which can be written in the form...
Clearly, we have \( \omega(0) = 0 \) and \( |\omega(z)| < 1 \). Solving the equation (2.3), we have

\[
L(z) = \frac{1}{M} \left[ \frac{\alpha(z)}{\beta(z)} - m^2 - it \right] = \frac{L(0) + \omega(z)}{1 + L(0) \omega(z)},
\]

and this in turn on solving gives the lemma.

Using this lemma, we prove the following theorem which generalizes the results in [28], [12] and [5].

**THEOREM 2.** For \( |z| < 1 \), let \( f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \) and \( f \in S_{m,M}(\mu,v,\alpha,t) \).

Also, let \( q_o \) be a natural number such that \( q_o \in [k_o^{-1}, K_o] \) where

\[
K_o = D \left[ \text{Re}(\text{Re}e^{i\nu}) + \sqrt{1 - |B|^2 + (\text{Re}(\text{Re}e^{i\nu}))^2} \right]^{-1}. \]

Then

(i) If, either \( \text{Re}(\text{Re}e^{i\nu}) \geq 0 \) and \( M(1-\mu) \cos v \leq n^2 \) or, \( \text{Re}(\text{Re}e^{i\nu}) \leq 0 \) and \( D(1-\mu) \cos v > n^2 \) then we have

\[
(\frac{p+1}{p}) \text{sn} \leq \left( k-1 \right)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq D^2; \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{2.4}
\]

(ii) If \( \text{Re}(\text{Re}e^{i\nu}) > 0 \) and \( (1-\mu)M \cos v \left[ D + 2n \text{Re}(\text{Re}e^{i\nu}) \right] > n^2 \) then we have

\[
(\frac{q+1}{q}) \text{sn} \leq \left( k-1 \right)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq \left\{ \frac{n}{(q-1)} \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} \left| \frac{D+mn\text{Re}e^{i\nu}}{n} \right|^2 \right\}^2, \tag{2.5}
\]

for \( q = 1, 2, \ldots, q_o + 1 \) and \( qn + 1 \leq k \leq (q+1)n \).

(iii) If \( \text{Re}(\text{Re}e^{i\nu}) > 0 \) and \( (1-\mu)M \cos v \left[ D + 2n \text{Re}(\text{Re}e^{i\nu}) \right] > n^2 \) then for \( q = q_o + 2, \ldots \), we have

\[
(\frac{q+1}{q}) \text{sn} \leq \left( k-1 \right)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq \left\{ \frac{n}{(q_o-1)} \sum_{m=0}^{q_o-1} \left| \frac{D+mn\text{Re}e^{i\nu}}{n} \right|^2 \right\}^2, \tag{2.6}
\]

for \( qn + 1 \leq k \leq (q+1)n \).
The estimates (2.4) are sharp for all $k$ and the estimates in (2.5) are sharp for $k = qn + 1, q = 1, 2, \ldots$ because equality holds for the functions

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{z}{(1-Bz^k)} e^{-i\nu/kB} & \text{for } B \neq 0 \\
B^{-i\nu/k} & \text{for } B = 0 
\end{cases} \quad (A)$$

**PROOF.** Under the conditions of theorem 2, we have

$$\omega(z) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

for which (2.2) is true. Then simple calculations lead to

$$e^{i\nu} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k (k-1) z^k = \left[ Dz + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (D+B(k-1) e^{i\nu}) a_k z^k \right] \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k z^k. \quad (2.7)$$

Equating the coefficients of $z^k$ from both sides of (2.7) for $k = n+1, \ldots, 2n$, we have

$$e^{i\nu} (k-1) a_k = D b_{k-1}. \quad (2.8)$$

This immediately gives us,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq D^2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k|^2 \leq D^2. \quad (2.9)$$

But, for $p \geq n+1$, (2.7) can be written in the form

$$G(z) = H(z) \omega(z), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$G(z) = e^{i\nu} \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+p} (k-1) a_k z^k \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d_k z^k$$

and

$$H(z) = Dz + \sum_{k=n+1}^{p} (D+B(k-1) e^{i\nu}) a_k z^k.$$

Then (2.10) gives us
From the hypothesis of (i) of theorem 2, we have
\[ |D^{\pm}(k-1)Be^{iv}|^2 \leq (k-1)^2 \]
and so
\[ \sum_{k=p+1}^{p+n} (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq D^2; \quad p \geq n+1. \]  
(2.12)

Replacing \( p \) by \( pn \) in (2.12) then we have
\[ \sum_{k=pn+1}^{(p+1)n} (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq D^2 \quad \text{for } p = 2, 3, \ldots \]  
(2.13)

Combining (2.13) with (2.9), we get (i).

If \( \text{Re}\{Be^{iv}\} > 0 \) then the function
\[ \left( \frac{1}{k-1} \right)^2 \left[ |D^{\pm}(k-1)Be^{iv}|^2 - (k-1)^2 \right] \]
is always a decreasing function of \( k-1 \).

On using this fact, we get, by (2.11) for \( p \geq n+1 \).
\[ \sum_{k=p+1}^{p+n} (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq D^2 + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{D^2(n!^2)}{n^2} \left[ \frac{D+Be^{iv}}{n} \right]^2 (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2. \]  
(2.14)

Let \( p = 2n \). Then (2.14) gives us
\[ \sum_{k=2n+1}^{(2+1)n} (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq \left\{ \frac{D+Be^{iv}}{n} \right\}^2 \]
by (2.9),
(2.15)

Under the conditions of (ii) of theorem 2, we intend to prove the following.
\[ \sum_{k=qn+1}^{(q+1)n} (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq \left\{ \frac{D+mnBe^{iv}}{n} \right\}^2. \]  
(2.16)
The relation (2.16) is true for \( q = 1 \) and 2, so let us assume (2.16) to be true for \( 1, 2, \ldots, q-1 \) and let us prove it for \( q \) if \( q \leq q_0 + 1 \). By (2.14) we have

\[
\sum_{k=qn+1}^{(q+1)n} |a_k|^2 (k-1)^2 \leq D^2 + \sum_{k=n+1}^{qn} \left| D + (k-1)\beta e^{i\nu} \right|^2 (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2
\]

\[
eq D^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} \sum_{k=mn+1}^{(m+1)n} \left| D + (k-1)\beta e^{i\nu} \right|^2 (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2
\]

\[
\leq D^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{q-1} \left| D + m\beta e^{i\nu} \right|^2 \left( \frac{n}{(m-1)!} \prod_{m=0}^{m-1} \left| \frac{D + m\beta e^{i\nu}}{n} \right| \right)^2
\]

The last inequality also follows by induction. This proves (ii) of theorem 2.

Also, if \( k > (q_o+2)n \) then

\[
\sum_{k=qn+1}^{(q+1)n} (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq D^2 + \sum_{k=n+1}^{(q_o+1)n} \sum_{k=(q_o+1)n+1}^{qn} \left| D + (k-1)\beta e^{i\nu} \right|^2 (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2
\]

\[
\leq D^2 + \sum_{k=n+1}^{(q+1)n} \left| D + (k-1)\beta e^{i\nu} \right|^2 (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2
\]

\[
= D^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{q_o} \sum_{k=mn+1}^{(m+1)n} \left| D + (k-1)\beta e^{i\nu} \right|^2 (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2
\]

\[
\leq \left( \frac{n}{q_0} \prod_{m=0}^{q_0} \left| \frac{D + m\beta e^{i\nu}}{n} \right| \right)^2
\]

This proves (iii) of theorem 2. Hence the proof of the theorem is complete.

COROLLARY. From (2.11) we obtain

\[
\sum_{k=n+1}^{P} \left( (k-1)^2 - \left| D + (k-1)\beta e^{i\nu} \right|^2 \right) |a_k|^2 \leq D^2.
\]

Our theorem 2 generalizes the results of [6], [28], [12], [7] and hence the results obtained in (13-73) are contained in theorem 2 for the different choices of the parameters, \( m, M, \mu, \nu, \alpha \) and \( t \).
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