ON THE ASYMPTOTIC BIEBERBACH CONJECTURE

MAURISO ALVES and ARMANDO J.P. CAVALCANTE

Department of Mathematics
Universidade Federal de Pernambuco
Recife, Pe, 50.000 BRASIL

(Received December 11, 1981)

ABSTRACT. The set S consists of complex functions f , univalent in the open unit disk, with $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. We use the asymptotic behavior of the positive semidefinite FitzGerald matrix to show that there is an absolute constant N_0 such that, for any $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S$ with $|a_3| \leq 2.58$, we have $|a_n| < n$ for all $n > N_0$.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Univalent functions.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 30A32, 30A34.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let S denote the class of all normalized univalent functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ in the open unit disc D . The Bieberbach conjecture states that, for functions in S , one has $|a_n| \leq n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is known to be true for $n \leq 6$. The best known estimate for all coefficients is $|a_n| \leq (1.066)n$ (Horowitz [1]). On the other hand, Hayman's Regularity Theorem (Hayman [2]) states that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n} \leq 1$ for each $f \in S$, and that equality holds only for the Koebe function $K(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$, $|\eta| = 1$, for which $|a_n| = n$. This implies that $|a_n| \leq n$ for $n \geq n_0(f)$.

Hayman [3] also proved that A_n/n tends to a limit, where A_n is the maximum of $|a_n|$ for all $f \in S$. It is still an open question as to whether this limit is equal to 1. The asymptotic Bieberbach conjecture asserts that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n/n = 1$, where

$$A_n = \max_{f \in S} |a_n|.$$

Ehrig [4] has proved via the FitzGerald Inequality [5] that if $f \in S$ and $|a_3| \leq C < 2.43$, then $|a_n| < n$ for all $n \geq N_0$, where N_0 depends only on C and not (as in Hayman's Regularity Theorem) on f . This result is a proof of the Asymptotic Bieberbach Conjecture for a subclass of S .

In this paper, we apply the Asymptotic FitzGerald Inequalities to get, by elementary means, an improvement of Ehrig's result (Theorem 1) and the result in [6], (see Remark 2).

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS.

THEOREM A. (FitzGerald Inequality, [5]). Let

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k(f) z^k$$

be in S and define

$$q_{mn}(f) = q_{nm}(f) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+m-1} \beta_j(m,n) b_j^2(f) \right) - b_m^2(f) b_n^2(f)$$

where $b_j(f) = |a_j(f)|$; $\beta_j(m,n) = \beta_j(n,m)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and for $m < n$:

$$\beta_j(m,n) = \begin{cases} m-|j-n| & \text{for } |j-n| < m \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the FitzGerald matrix

$$Q(f) = (q_{mn}(f))_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is positive semi-definite.

THEOREM B. (Asymptotic FitzGerald Inequalities [7]). Let $\{f_n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of functions in S , such that

- a) f_n converges locally uniformly to $f \in S$
- b) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n(f_n)/n \leq \beta \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n(f_n)/n$
- c) $\alpha(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n(f)/n$
- d) $d = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(f_n)$.

Then $A = Q(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{r-1}, \alpha(f), \dots, \alpha(j), \beta, d, \dots, d)(f)$, defined below, is a positive semi-definite matrix.

Denote by E_{mn} the $m \times n$ matrix whose elements are all equal to one. Moreover,

let $H_{mn}(f)$ be the $m \times n$ matrix defined by its elements $h_{st}(f) = j_t^2 = b_{j_t}^2(f)$. We use the notation

$$Q(j_1, \dots, j_{r-1})(f) = (q_{j_s j_t}(f))_{1 \leq s, t \leq p}, M_p(x) = (m_{st}(x))_{1 \leq s, t \leq p}$$

where

$$m_{st}(x) = \begin{cases} 7x^2/6 - x^4 & \text{for } s = t \\ x^2(1 - x^2) & \text{for } s \neq t \end{cases}$$

and $\delta = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n$ where $\delta_n = \sup_k b_n(f_k)/n$. Then matrix A has the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q(j_1, \dots, j_{r-1})(f), \alpha(f)H_{r-1, q-r}(f), \beta^2 H_{r-1, 1}(f), d^2 H_{r-1, p-q}(f) \\ \alpha(f)H_{r-1, q-r}^T(f), M_{q-r}(\alpha(f)), \beta^2(1 - \alpha^2(f))E_{q-r, 1}, d^2(1 - \alpha^2(f))E_{q-r, p-q} \\ \beta^2 H_{r-1, 1}^T(f), \beta^2(1 - \alpha^2(f))E_{1, q-r}, (7\delta^2/6 - \beta^4)E_{1, 1}, d^2(1 - \beta^2)E_{1, p-q} \\ d^2 H_{r-1, p-q}^T(f), d^2(1 - \alpha^2(j))E_{p-q, q-r}, d^2(1 - \beta^2)E_{p-q, 1}, M_{p-q}(d) \end{bmatrix}$$

where H^T is the transposed matrix of H .

THEOREM C. [6]. Let $f \in S$; if $|a_3| \leq 2.042$, then $|a_n| < n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

3. MAIN RESULTS.

For the proof of the Theorem 1, we need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. Suppose that $n > 1$ and that

$$\alpha_n(H) = \sup_{f \in H} |a_n|,$$

where H is a compact subclass of S . Let $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$ be in H with

$|a_n| = \alpha_n(H)$. Then $f(z)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$z^2 \{f'(z)\}^2 \frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{v=2}^n a_n^{(v)} f(z)^{-v-1} = n-1 + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{va_v}{a_n} z^{-n+v} + \frac{\sqrt{a_v}}{a_n} z^{n-v} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Here, $a_n^{(v)}$ are the coefficients of $f(z)^v$, where

$$f(z)^v = \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} a_n^{(v)} z^n.$$

The proof of this lemma is completely similar to that of Theorem 1 in Schaeffer and Spencer ([8], p. 612).

As an application of the Lemma 1, we have the following:

LEMMA 2. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H$ is the extremal function maximizing $|a_3|$ such that $a_3 > 0$, then $2a_3 = a_2^2 + 2$.

The proof of this lemma is completely similar to that in Garabedian and Schiffer ([9], p. 118).

Hayman [2] showed that for each $f \in S$, the limits

$$\alpha(f) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (1-r)^2 M_{\infty}(r, f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_n(f)|}{n}$$

exist, where $M_{\infty}(r, f)$ is the maximum of $|f(z)|$ on $|z| = r$. The number α ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$) is called the Hayman index of f .

LEMMA 3. [10]. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S$, and $|a_2|$ is given, then

$$\alpha(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_n(f)|}{n} \leq 4b^{-2} \exp(2-4b^{-1})$$

where $b = 2 - (2 - |a_2|)^{1/2}$, and this inequality is sharp for $0 \leq |a_2| \leq 2$.

LEMMA 4. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ satisfies the conditions of the Lemma 2 with $|a_3| > 1$, then

$$\alpha(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_n(f)|}{n} \leq 4C^{-2} \exp(2 - 4C^{-1})$$

where $C = 2 - \left[2 - \sqrt{2}(|a_3| - 1)^{1/2}\right]^{1/2}$.

PROOF. By Lemma 3, we have

$$\alpha(f) \leq 4b^{-2} \exp(2 - 4b^{-1})$$

where $b = 2 - (2 - |a_2|)^{1/2}$. Since we may assume a_3 real positive (otherwise, we consider $e^{-i\theta} f(e^{i\theta} z) \in H$, where $0 \leq \theta = -\frac{\arg a_3}{2} \leq 2\pi$), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} b &= 2 - (2 - |a_2|)^{1/2} = 2 - \left[2 - \sqrt{2}(|a_3| - 1)^{1/2}\right]^{1/2} \\ &= 2 - \left[2 - \sqrt{2}(|a_3| - 1)^{1/2}\right]^{1/2} = C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\alpha(f) \leq 4C^{-2} \exp(2 - 4C^{-1}).$$

LEMMA 5. [7]. Let $\{f_n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of univalent functions in S ,

that converges locally uniformly to a function f in S and suppose that $\alpha(f) > 0$.

Then $7\delta^2 \alpha^2(f) \geq 6\beta^4$, where β and δ are chosen as in theorem B.

PROOF. Consider the $(q - r + 1) \times (q - r + 1)$ principal minor

$$Q(\alpha(f), \dots, \alpha(f), \beta) = \begin{bmatrix} M_{q-r}(\alpha(f)) & \beta^2(1-\alpha^2(f))E_{q-r,1} \\ \beta^2(1-\alpha^2(f))E_{1,q-r} & (7\delta^2/6-\beta^4)E_{1,1} \end{bmatrix}$$

of the matrix A in theorem B. A well-known result about positive semidefinite quadratic form is that all principal minor determinants of the matrix of the coefficients of the quadratic form are non-negative. Let $\alpha = \alpha(f)$ and $n = q-r$. If we use induction, we obtain:

$$\text{Det } Q(\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta) = (\alpha^2/6)^n (1-\alpha^2) \left[n(7\delta^2-6\beta^4/\alpha^2) \right] + \alpha^{2n} 6^{-(n+1)} (7\delta^2-6\beta^4/\alpha^2) \geq 0;$$

hence, for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$

$$(7\delta^2 \alpha^2 - 6\beta^4) + \frac{6(1-\alpha^2)\beta^4}{6n(1-\alpha^2)+1} \geq 0.$$

Since n is arbitrary, the result follows. The case $\alpha = 1$ is immediate.

THEOREM 1. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ be in S . If $1 \leq |a_3| \leq 2.58$, then there is an absolute constant N_0 (independent of f), such that $|a_n| < n$ for all $n > N_0$.

PROOF. Suppose the contrary and take a sequence $\{g_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, of univalent functions in S such that

- i) $\{g_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, converges locally uniformly to a function $g_0 \in S$,
- ii) $1 \leq b_3(g_k) = |a_3(g_k)| \leq 2.58$,
- iii) $2a_3(g_k) = a_2(g_k)^2 + 2$,
- iv) $b_{n_k}(g_k) \geq n_k$ for sequence n_k going to infinity.

REMARK. The functions g_k are the extremal functions maximizing $|a_3(g_k)|$ in the compact subclass $H_k = \{g \in S; 1 \leq b_3(g) \leq 2.58 \text{ and } b_{n_k}(g) \geq n_k\}$ of S . Applying Lemma 2 to the subclass H_k , we obtain condition (iii).

We pick for each n_k one of the functions of

$$\{g_j\}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

which maximizes b_{n_k} and denote it by f_{n_k} ; precisely, let $\{f_{n_k}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence

of the functions in

$$\{g_j\}, j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

such that

$$\sup_j b_{n_k}(g_j) = b_{n_k}(f_{n_k}).$$

We may assume that $\{f_{n_k}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, converges locally uniformly to a function $f \in S$.

Otherwise, we pick a subsequence of $\{f_{n_k}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Evidently, $1 \leq b_3(f) \leq 2.58$ and $2a_3(f) = a_2(f)^2 + 2$. For this sequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\delta_{n_k} = \sup_j b_{n_k}(f_{n_k})/n_k = b_{n_k}(f_{n_k})/n_k.$$

Thus

$$\delta = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_{n_k}(f_{n_k})/n_k > 1.$$

We take $\beta = \delta$ in Theorem B. First we show that $\alpha(f) > 0$. In fact, the determinant of the 2×2 submatrix $Q(\alpha(f), \delta)$ of $A = Q(j_1, \dots, j_{r-1}, \alpha(f), \dots, \alpha(f), \beta, d, \dots, d)(f)$ is

$$(7\alpha^2(f) - 6\alpha^4(f))(7\delta^2 - 6\delta^4)/36 - \delta^4(1 - \alpha^2(f))^2 \geq 0.$$

This excludes $\alpha(f) = 0$ because $\delta \geq 1$. By Lemma 5, we have

$$7\alpha^2(f)\delta^2 - 6\delta^4 \geq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha^2(f) \geq 6\delta^2/7 \geq 6/7.$$

This implies, by Lemma 4, that $b_3(f) > 2.58$ which contradicts the assumptions.

COROLLARY. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ be in S . If $|a_3| \leq 2.58$, then there is an absolute constant N_0 (independent of f), such that $|a_n| < n$ for all $n > N_0$.

PROOF. The proof of corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1 and Theorem C.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research was supported in part by FINEP and CNPQ.

REFERENCES

1. HOROWITZ, D. A further refinement of coefficients estimates of univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1978), 217-221.
2. HAYMAN, W.K. "The asymptotic behaviour of p -valent functions", Proc. London Math. Soc. 5 (1955), 257-284.
3. HAYMAN, W.K. Bounds for the large coefficients of univalent functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI, No. 250 (1958), 13pp.
4. EHRIG, G. Coefficient estimates concerning the Bieberbach Conjecture, Math. Z. 140 (1974), 111-126.

5. POMMERENKE, Ch. Univalent functions, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, 1975.
6. ALVES, MAURISO. Bieberbach's Conjecture with $|a_3|$ Restricted - Notas e Comunicações de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil, (1978).
7. BSHOUTY, D. and HENGARTNER, W. Asymptotic FitzGerald Inequalities, Comment. Math. Helvetici 53 (1978), 228-238.
8. SCHAEFFER, A.C. and SPENCER, D.C. The coefficients of Schlicht Functions, Duke Mathematical Journal 10 (1943), 611-635.
9. GARABEDIAN, P.R. and SCHIFFER, M. A coefficient inequality for Schlicht Functions, Annals of Mathematics 61 (1) (1955), 116-136.
10. JENKINS, J.A. On a Problem of Gronwall, Annals of Mathematics 59 (3) (1954), 490-504.