ABSTRACT. We extend F. Holland's definition of the space of resonant classes of functions, on the real line, to the space \( R(\phi_{pq}) \) \((1 \leq p, q \leq \infty)\) of resonant classes of measures, on locally compact abelian groups. We characterize this space in terms of transformable measures and establish a relationship between \( R(\phi_{pq}) \) and the set of positive definite functions for amalgam spaces. As a consequence we answer the conjecture posed by L. Argabright and J. Gil de Lamadrid in their work on Fourier analysis of unbounded measures.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

F. Holland [1] defined the space \( R(\phi_{pq}) \) \((1 \leq q \leq \infty)\) of resonant classes of functions, on the real line, relative to the space of test functions \( \phi_{pq} \), and proved that a function belongs to \( R(\phi_{pq}) \) \((2 \leq q \leq \infty)\) iff it is the Fourier transform of an unbounded measure [1, Theorem 6]. He also pointed out that the set \( P(C_c) \) of positive definite functions in Cooper's sense [2] is included in \( R(\phi_{pq}) \) [1, §1], and proved that every function in \( R(\phi_{pq}) \) has the same representation in terms of unbounded measures as the functions in \( P(C_c) \) [1, Theorems 7 and 8], [3, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2] (in fact, as we will prove here, these representations hold for a larger class of functions and they are equivalent). These results of Holland together with Bochner's theorem on positive definite functions [4] - a function is the Fourier transform of a bounded measure iff it is a linear combination of positive definite functions - lead one to speculate that any function in \( R(\phi_{pq}) \) is a linear combination of positive definite functions. In the present paper we respond to this conjecture in a more general setting. We define the space \( R(\phi_{pq}) \) \((1 \leq p, q \leq \infty)\) of resonant classes of measures (on locally compact abelian groups) relative to \( \phi_{pq} \), which includes \( R(\phi_{pq}) \) as a particular case; we characterize this space in terms of transformable measures [5], and prove that for \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), any measure in \( R(\phi_{pq}) \) \((2 \leq q \leq \infty)\) is a linear combination of positive definite functions for some amalgam space \( (L^r, L^s) \) [6], and for \( 1 \leq q < 2 \), any measure
in $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_{pq})$ can be approximated by linear combinations of positive definite functions for some amalgam $(L^r_x, L^s_y)$.

From these results we conclude that $P(C_\epsilon)$ is dense in $\mathcal{R}(\phi_{pq})$ and $\langle \phi(C_\epsilon) \rangle$, the space generated by the set of positive definite measures as defined in [5, §4], is dense in the space of transformable measures. This answers the conjecture posed in [5].

Throughout the whole paper $G$ will be a locally compact abelian group with Haar measure $m$ and dual group $\Gamma$. For an element $\hat{x}$ in $\Gamma$ we write $[x, \hat{x}]$ instead of $\hat{x}(x) \ (x \in G)$. Given two sets $A$ and $B$ we denote by $A - B$ the set $\{x - y \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$. For a function $f$ on $G$ we use $\hat{f}$ to denote its involution, i.e. $\hat{f}(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$. The space of continuous functions which vanish at infinity, with compact support, will be denoted by $C_0$, $C_c$, respectively. We endow $C_c$ with the inductive limit topology, as in [5]. By a measure (on $G$) we will mean an element of the continuous dual of $C_c(G)$.

We let $M$ be the space of measures on $G$.

A function $f$ belongs to $L^q_{loc} \ (1 \leq q \leq \infty)$ if $f$ restricted to any compact subset of $G$, belongs to $L^q$, and $f$ belongs to $L^q_c \ (1 \leq q \leq \infty)$ if $f$ has compact support and belongs to $L^q$.

The amalgam spaces $(L^p, L^q)$, $(C_0, L^q)$ $(1 \leq p, q \leq \infty)$ and the space of measures $M_s \ (1 \leq s \leq \infty)$ will be as defined in [7]. We will make constant use of the following inclusions and inequalities proven in [7].

\begin{align}
(L^p, L^{q_1}) & \subseteq (L^p, L^{q_2}) \quad q_1 \leq q_2 \\
(L^{p_1}, L^{q_1}) & \subseteq (L^{p_2}, L^q) \quad p_1 \geq p_2 \\
(L^p, L^q) & \subseteq L^p \cap L^q \quad p \geq q \\
||f||_{pq_1} & \leq ||f||_{p_1 q_2} \quad q_1 \leq q_2 \\
||f||_{pq_2} & \leq ||f||_{p_2 q_1} \quad p_1 \geq p_2
\end{align}

We will assume all the results of duality and convolution product for these spaces, the Holder and Young's inequalities, and the Hausdorff-Young theorem for amalgams as given in [8, §1, §2].

The Fourier transform (inverse of the Fourier transform) of a measure $\mu$ on $G$ (on $\Gamma$) will be denoted by $\hat{\mu} \ (\check{\mu})$. We will denote by $\{e_\alpha\}$ the approximate identity of the algebra $L^1(G)$ consisting of continuous functions with a fixed support and positive Fourier transform in $L^1(\Gamma)$.

We let $\{\psi_U \mid U \text{ a compact neighbourhood of } 0\}$ be the family of functions $\psi_U$ in $A_c$, the space of functions in $C_c$ whose Fourier transform belongs to $L^1$, with the following properties

\begin{align}
\text{supp } \psi_U & \subseteq U \\
\psi_U & = \beta_U * \eta_U \quad \text{where } \beta_U \in L^2_c \\
\psi_U & \geq 0 \quad \text{and } \hat{\psi}_U \geq 0 \\
\lim \psi_U & = 1 \quad \text{uniformly on any compact subset of } G \\
\{\psi_U\} & \text{ is an approximate identity of } L^1
\end{align}
The duality between a Banach space $B$ and its Banach dual $B'$ will be denoted by $\langle f, F \rangle$, $F \in B'$, $f \in B$. As in [5] we call a measure $\mu$ on $G$ transformable, if the linear space $C_c(G)$, generated by the set $\{f^* f \mid f \in C_c(G)\}$, is included in $L^1(\mu)$, and there exists a measure $\hat{\mu}$ on $\Gamma$ such that $\int f^* \hat{f}(x) \, d\mu(x) = \int |\hat{f}|^2(-\hat{x}) \, d\hat{\mu}(\hat{x})$ for all $f \in C_c$. We denote by $M_T$ the space of transformable measures.

2. POSITIVE DEFINITE MEASURES.

We follow the definition of positive definite measures given by Dupuis in [6], but using the Segal algebra $S_0(G)$ which is equivalent to the space of translation bounded quasi-measures [9]. The advantage is that for $\sigma \in S_0(G)'$ its Fourier transform $\hat{\sigma}$ belongs to $S_0(\Gamma)'$ [10] and for $f \in L^1$ we have, as proven in [8, §2], that
\[
(\sigma f)^\vee = \hat{\sigma} \hat{f} \quad \text{and} \quad (\sigma \hat{f})^\wedge = \sigma \hat{f} \quad (2.1)
\]
\[
\sigma = \sigma. \quad (2.2)
\]

We assume all definitions and results about the algebra $S_0(G)$ given in [8, §2]. From these it is not difficult to see that the Fourier transform of a transformable measure $\mu$ (considered as an element of $S_0(G)'$ [10]) corresponds to the measure $\hat{\mu}$ associated to $\mu$.

As in [10], an element $\sigma$ in $S_0(G)'$ is positive, $\sigma \geq 0$, if $f$ positive in $S_0(G)$ implies $\sigma(f)$ positive. In this sense a function $g$ in $S_0(G)'$ is positive iff $g(x) \geq 0$ almost everywhere. Indeed, let $\mu$ be the measure $gdm$ and suppose $g$ in $S_0(G)'$ positive. For $\phi \in C_c$ positive, the function $\phi \hat{e}_\alpha$ is a positive element of $S_0(G)$ and converges to $\hat{\phi}$ in $C_c$ [5]. So we have that $\mu(\phi) = \lim <\phi \hat{e}_\alpha, \mu> = \lim <\phi \hat{e}_\alpha, g> \geq 0$. Hence $\mu$ is a positive measure and therefore $g(x) \geq 0$ almost everywhere [11, Chp. III].

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let $E$ be a subset of functions of $S_0(G)'$. A measure $\mu$ is a positive definite measure for $E$ if
\[
(D1) \quad E \subseteq L^1(\mu)
\]
\[
(D2) \quad <h, \mu> \geq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in E \quad \text{such that} \quad \hat{h} \geq 0.
\]

We write $\rho(E)$ to denote the set of positive definite measures for $E$, and $P(E)$ to denote the set of measurable functions in $\rho(E)$. For a set $E$ as in Definition 2.1 we denote by $E^+$ the set of functions in $E$ whose Fourier transform is positive, and by $<\rho(E)>$ the linear space generated by $\rho(E)$.

Clearly, Definition 2.1 is equivalent to Dupuis' definition of positive definite measures [6]. By [10, Theorem B1] and [8, (1.9)] the set $E$ can be any amalgam space, hence any $L^p$ space [8, (1.4)].

Argabright and Gil de Lamadrid have studied the set $\rho(G)$, of measures $\mu$ such that $<\phi^* \hat{\mu}, \mu> \geq 0$ for all $\phi \in C_c$, in connection with the space of transformable measures. We use their results in [5] to prove that $\rho(G)$ is equal to $\rho(C_c)$.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** A measure $\mu$ belongs to $\rho(C_c)$ iff $<\phi^* \hat{\mu}, \mu> \geq 0$ for all $\phi$ in $C_c$.

**PROOF.** The inclusion $\rho(C_c) \subseteq \rho(G)$ is clear. Take $\mu \in \rho(G)$. Since $C_c$ is included in $M_T$ [5, Theorem 2.2] we have that a function $\phi$ in $C_c^+$ is a continuous positive definite function [5, Theorem 4.1], so $<\phi, \mu> \geq 0$ by [5, Corollary 4.2] and therefore $\mu$ satisfies condition (D2).
REMARK 2.3. It is clear that if $E_1 \subseteq E_2$ ($E_1$, $E_2$ as in Definition 2.1), then $\rho(E_1) \subseteq \rho(E_2)$, so by [5, Theorem 4.1], if $C_C$ is a subset of $E$, then $\rho(E) \subseteq M$. Dupuis defined the set $\rho$ of positive definite quasimeasures to be the set of all quasimeasures $\phi$ such that $<\phi, \phi> \geq 0$ for all $\phi$ in $A_{C}^{+}$, and characterized it as the set \{ $\hat{\phi}$ $|$ $\mu \in M$ \} [6, Proposition 11].

As in [6, Proposition II] we use the following lemma to prove Theorem 2.5.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be any of the amalgam spaces $\left( \mathbb{L}^p, \mathbb{L}^q \right)$, $(C_C, \mathbb{L}^s)$ $(1 \leq p, q < \infty, 1 \leq s \leq \infty)$. If $f \in A^+$, then there exists a net $\{f_n\}$ in $A^+$ such that $\lim f_n = f$ in $A$.

PROOF. Since $\{\psi_U\} \subseteq C_C$, we have by [8, Theorem 1.6] that the net $\{f\psi_U*\hat{e}_\alpha\}$ is included in $C_C$. Thus by (2.1) its Fourier transform $\left( f\psi_U*\hat{e}_\alpha \right) = \left( f\psi_U \right) \hat{e}_\alpha = \left( \hat{f} \psi_U \right) \hat{e}_\alpha$ is positive [8, (2.5), (2.6)], and since $e_\alpha$ belongs to $L^1$, the net $\{f\psi_U*\hat{e}_\alpha\}$ is included in $A_C$. Finally as in [6, Theorem III c)] $\lim f\psi_U*\hat{e}_\alpha = f$ in $A$ (see also [8, Proposition 1.8]).

THEOREM 2.5. Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. Then $P(\mathbb{L}^p, \mathbb{L}^q)$ is equal to $\left( \mathbb{L}^p, \mathbb{L}^q \right) \cap \rho$.

PROOF. If $\mu$ is in $\left( \mathbb{L}^p, \mathbb{L}^q \right) \cap \rho$ and $f$ is an element of $\left( \mathbb{L}^p, \mathbb{L}^q \right)^+$, then there is a net $\{f_n\}$ as stated in the previous lemma, so by [7, Theorem 3.2] we have that $<f, \mu> = \lim <f_n, \mu> \geq 0$. Therefore $\mu \in P(\mathbb{L}^p, \mathbb{L}^q)$. The other inclusion follows from [6, Proposition IV].

REMARKS 2.6. From (2.2) and Theorem 2.5 we have that if $\nu$ is a positive measure in $M$, such that $\hat{\nu} \in \left( \mathbb{L}^p, \mathbb{L}^q \right)$ $(1 \leq p, q < \infty)$, then 0 belongs to $P(\mathbb{L}^p, \mathbb{L}^q)$.

3. RESONANCE CLASSES OF MEASURES.

Bertrandias and Dupuis [12] defined the space $\Phi_{pq}$ $(1 \leq p, q \leq \infty)$ of test functions on locally compact abelian groups based on Holland's definition of the space $\Phi_{\omega q}$ $(1 \leq q \leq \infty)$ for the real line.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. The space $\Phi_{pq}(G) = \Phi_{pq}$ consists of all functions $\phi$ in $C_C(G)$ such that $\hat{\phi}$ belongs to $(C_0, \mathbb{L}^q)(F)$, endowed with the norm $\|\phi\| = \|\hat{\phi}\|$. The space $\Phi_{\omega q}$, used by Bertrandias and Dupuis for their definition of the Fourier transform is equal (as a set) to $A_C$ [13],[9]. Hence the space $C_2(G)$ is included in $\Phi_{\omega q}(G)$ [14]. We will use this in Theorem 4.2.

REMARK 3.2. i) As sets $\Phi_{pq} = \Phi_{rq}$ for $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$, and $\Phi_{pq} = C_C$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty, 2 \leq q \leq \infty$ by the Hausdorff-Young theorem.

ii) A linear functional $T$ on $\Phi_{pq}(G) (1 \leq p, q \leq \infty)$ is continuous iff there is a unique measure $\mu$ in $M_q(G)$ if $p = \infty$, in $(\mathbb{L}^p, \mathbb{L}^q)(F)$ if $p < \infty$, such that

$$T(\phi) = \int F_\hat{\phi}(\xi) d\mu(\xi)$$

for $\phi \in \Phi_{pq}$ [2, §2 c)].

The next definition extends Holland's definition of the space of resonance classes of functions [1, §5].

DEFINITION 3.3. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. A measure $\mu$ on $G$ is resonant relative to $\Phi_{pq}(G)$ if

(R1) $\Phi_{pq} \subseteq L^1(\mu)$
The map \( \phi \mapsto \langle \phi, \mu \rangle \) is continuous on \( \Phi_{pq} \), i.e., there exists a constant \( C \) such that \( |\langle \phi, \mu \rangle| \leq C \|\hat{\phi}\|_{pq} \).

We denote by \( R(\Phi_{pq}) \) the space of resonance classes of measures relative to \( \Phi_{pq} \) and by \( R(\Phi_{pq}) \) the space of functions in \( R(\Phi_{pq}) \). By (1.1) it is clear that \( R(\Phi_{pq}) \) is included in \( R(\Phi_{pq}) \) if \( 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty \), \( 1 \leq p, r \leq \infty \).

H. Feichtinger has given a more general definition of resonance classes of functions relative to the space \( \Phi_B \), where \( B \) is a Banach space of functions containing \( S_0(G) \) as a dense subspace (private communication).

**Theorem 3.4.**

1) A function \( f \) satisfies (R1) iff \( f \in L_{loc}^{1} \) and \( f(t) = \langle \phi, \mu \rangle \) on \( \Phi_{pq} \). If \( f \) is continuous, then by Remark 3.2 there exists a measure \( \nu \) as stated in the theorem such that \( \int \hat{\phi}(\xi) d\nu(\xi) = \int \hat{\phi}(x) d\mu(x) \). Since \( C_2(G) \subset \Phi_{\infty}(G) \), we conclude that \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}_T \) and \( \hat{\mu} \in L_q^p(\Gamma) \) if \( p = \infty \). Conversely if \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}_T \) and \( \hat{\mu} \in L_q^p(\Gamma) \), then for \( \phi \in \Phi_{pq} \), \( \hat{\phi} \in L_1^1(\Gamma) \) [8, Theorem 1.4]. Hence by [5, Corollary 3.1] and Young's inequality we have that \( \int |\phi(x)| d\mu(x) \leq \int |\hat{\phi}(x)| q \leq |\hat{\phi}|_q \). Therefore \( \mu \in R(\Phi_{pq}) \). The proof for \( p \) finite is the same.

Part iii) follows from the Hausdorff-Young theorem and the fact that the spaces \( (L_p^1, L_q^q) \) and \( M_q \) (\( 2 \leq p \leq \infty \)) are included in \( \mathcal{M}_T \) [15, Remark 6.25].

We conclude from Theorem 3.4 [5, Theorem 2.5] that \( R(\Phi_{pq}) = R(\Phi_{pq}) \) for \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), \( 2 \leq q \leq \infty \), \( R(\Phi_{\infty}) = L_1^{1, loc} \cap M_T \) and \( R(\Phi_{\infty}) = M_T \).

The following corollary is easily deduced from the previous theorem and the Hausdorff-Young theorem.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), \( 2 \leq q \leq \infty \). A function \( f \) belongs to \( R(\Phi_{pq}) \) iff there exists a unique \( \mu \in M_q(\Gamma) \) if \( p = \infty \), \( \mu \in (L_p^p, L_q^q)(\Gamma) \) if \( p < \infty \), such that \( f = \mu \).

Since \( \Phi_{\infty} = C_c \) if \( q \geq 2 \), Corollary 3.5 includes the results of Eberlein [16, Theorem 1] (with \( p = q = \infty \)) and Stewart [7, Theorem 4.4] (with \( p = \infty \)) as special cases.

We observe that the measure \( \mu \) in Corollary 3.5 is precisely the Fourier transform of \( f \).

We now establish the relationship between \( R(\Phi_{pq}) \) \( (2 \leq q \leq \infty) \) and the set of positive definite functions.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \( 2 \leq q \leq \infty \). The space \( R(\Phi_{pq}) \) is a subspace of \( \mathcal{P}(L_p^p, L_q^q) \) if \( 2 \leq p \leq \infty \), of \( \mathcal{P}(L_q^q, L_2^2) \) if \( 1 \leq p \leq 2 \).

**Proof.** If \( f \in R(\Phi_{pq}) \), then \( f = \psi_1 - \psi_2 + i(\psi_1 - \psi_2) \) where \( \psi_1 \geq 0, \psi_2 \in M_q \), if \( p = \infty \), and \( \psi_1 \in (L_p^p, L_q^q) \) if \( p < \infty \) \( (j = 1, \ldots, 4) \) [11, Chp. III]. By the Hausdorff-Young theorem \( \psi_1 \in L_2^{1, p} \) if \( 2 \leq p \leq \infty \), and \( \psi_2 \in L_q^q \) if \( 1 \leq p \leq 2 \) \( (j = 1, \ldots, 4) \). So by Remark 2.6 we conclude that \( \psi_1 \in \Phi_{pq} \) \( (j = 1, \ldots, 4) \) belongs to \( \mathcal{P}(L_q^q, L_p^p) \) if \( 2 \leq p \leq \infty \), to \( \mathcal{P}(L_q^q, L_2^2) \) if \( 1 \leq p \leq 2 \).
COROLLARY 3.7. \(<p(L^2, l^1) > R(\Phi_{\omega})\) and \(<p(L^2) > R(\Phi_{\omega})\).

PROOF. By Theorem 2.5, \(p(L^2, l^1) \subset (L^2, l^\infty)\) and \(p(L^2) \subset L^2\), hence if \(f\) is in \(p(L^2, l^1)\), then by [6, Theorem II] \(\hat{f} \in M_2\), so by Remark 2.3 and Theorem 3.4 we conclude that \(f \in R(\Phi_{\omega})\). Similarly if \(f \in p(L^2)\), then by Theorem 3.4, \(\hat{f} \in R(\Phi_{\omega})\) and the equalities follow from Proposition 3.6.

For the remaining cases, that is, for \(2 \leq p \leq \infty, 2 < q \leq \infty; 1 \leq p < 2, 2 \leq q \leq \infty\); and \(q = 2, 2 < p < \infty\), the inclusions in Proposition 3.6 are proper because the Fourier transforms on \((L^r, l^s)\) (\(1 < r < 2, 1 \leq s \leq 2\)), on \(M_s\) (\(1 \leq s \leq 2\)), and on \((L^2, l^8)\) (\(1 \leq s < 2\)) are not onto [17, Corollary 6.3]. Indeed if \(2 \leq p < \infty\) and \(2 < q \leq \infty\), there then exists \(f \in (L^q, l^p)\) such that \(f \notin M_2\) for all \(h \in (L^p', l^q')\), hence \(f \notin (L^p', l^q')\) [21, Remark 2.4]. So the function \(g\) defined by \(<\phi, g> = <\phi, f>_\Gamma\) on \((L^q', l^p')\) belongs to \((L^q, l^p)\) and clearly to \(p(L^q, l^p')\). But \(g \notin (L^q, l^p')\), otherwise \(f\) would be in \((L^p, l^q)\). Therefore \(g \notin R(\Phi_{\omega})\). The remaining cases are similar.

4. THE SPACE \(R(\Phi_{pq})\) FOR \(1 \leq q < 2\).

We have seen that any measure in \(R(\Phi_{pq})\) \((2 \leq q \leq \infty)\) is a linear combination of positive definite functions; we want to prove now that for \(1 \leq q < 2\), any measure in \(R(\Phi_{pq})\) is approximated by linear combinations of positive definite functions.

We endow the spaces \(\mathcal{M}\), and \(l^1_{\text{loc}}\) with the weak*-topology \(\sigma(\mathcal{M}, C_c)\), and \(\sigma(l^1_{\text{loc}}, l^1)\) respectively [18, Chp. IV]. We consider \(R(\Phi_{pq})\), and \(R(\Phi_{pq})\) as subspaces of \(\mathcal{M}\), and \(l^1_{\text{loc}}\) respectively.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let \(1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < 2\). If there exists a measure \(\nu \in \mathcal{M}, (\Gamma)\) if \(p = \infty, \nu \in (l^p, l^q)\) if \(p < \infty\), such that \(\nu\) is a measure and \(\nu = \lim \nu^{*} \Phi_{U}\) in \(\mathcal{M}\), then \(\mu \in R(\Phi_{pq})\) and \(\tilde{\mu} = \nu\). Conversely, if \(\mu \in R(\Phi_{pq})\), then \(\lim \mu^{*} \Phi_{U} = \mu\) in \(\mathcal{M}\).

PROOF. We prove the proposition for \(p = \infty\), the proof for \(p\) finite is the same.

For \(\phi \in \Phi_{\omega}\), the net \(\{\Phi_{U}\}\) converges to \(\phi\) in \(C_c\) [5], so we have that \(<\phi, \nu> = \lim <\phi, \nu^{*} \Phi_{U}> = \lim <\phi, \nu^{*} \Phi_{U}> = <\phi, \nu> = <\phi, \nu>\). Since \(C_c(\Gamma) \subset \Phi_{\omega}\) we conclude that \(\nu\) is transformable and \(\tilde{\mu} = \nu\), so by Theorem 3.4, \(\mu \in R(\Phi_{\omega})\). The converse is clear.

REMARK 4.2. If \(f \in R(\Phi_{pq})\) \((1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < 2)\), then
\[
f^{*} \Phi_{U}(x) = \int_{G} \Phi_{U}(x - t)f(t)dt = \int_{\Gamma} \Phi_{U}(\tilde{x})[x, \tilde{x}]d\tilde{f}(\tilde{x})
\]
because \(f\) is transformable and \(\Phi_{U} \in l^1(\tilde{x})\) [5, Corollary 3.1]. Hence for \(\phi \in C_c\) we have that
\[
\int_{G} \phi(x)f(x)dx = \lim \int_{G} \phi(x)\int_{\Gamma} \Phi_{U}(\tilde{x})[x, \tilde{x}]d\tilde{f}(\tilde{x})dx.
\]
This implies that \(f(x) = \lim f \Phi_{U}(\tilde{x})[x, \tilde{x}]d\tilde{f}(\tilde{x})\) where the limit exists on \(L^1\) on any compact subset of \(G\) (c.f. [1, Theorem 9] and [7, Theorem 4.2]).

THEOREM 4.3. Let \(1 \leq q < 2\). Every element in \(R(\Phi_{pq})\), hence in \(R(\Phi_{pq})\), can be approximated by elements in \(<p(L^1, l^p)\) if \(2 \leq p \leq \infty\), in \(<p(L^1, l^2)\) if \(1 \leq p \leq 2\).

PROOF. By Proposition 4.1 we only have to prove that for \(\mu \in R(\Phi_{\omega})\), the net \(\{\mu^{*} \Phi_{U}\}\) belongs to \(<p(L^1, l^p)\).

By Theorem 3.4, the measure \(\tilde{\mu}\) is a linear combination of positive measures \(\mu_j\).
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Since \( \phi_{\infty} \) is dense in \( L^1 \) [8, Proposition 25.5], we conclude that \( \mu_j \ast \psi U \in L^\infty \) (\( j = 1, \ldots, 4 \)). Now, if \( f \in L^1(G) \), then by \([8, P5]\) and the definition of the Fourier transform we have that \( \mu_j \ast \psi U \in L^1(G) \) (\( j = 1, \ldots, 4 \)), and we conclude that \( \mu \ast \psi U \in L^1(G) \). If \( \mu \in \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \), then each \( \mu_j \) (\( j = 1, \ldots, 4 \)) belongs to \( (L^{p'}, \ell^q) \) and therefore \( \mu_j \ast \psi U \in (L^{p'}, \ell^q) \) [19, §7 h]], hence by (2.1) and the Hausdorff-Young theorem we have that \( \mu_j \ast \psi U \in (L^{\infty}, \ell^p) \) if \( 2 \leq p \leq \infty \), in \( (L^1, \ell^2) \) if \( 1 \leq p \leq 2 \). For \( \phi \in \mathcal{A}^+ \) we have that

\[
\langle \phi, \mu_j \ast \psi U \rangle = \langle \hat{\phi}, \hat{\mu}_j \rangle \geq 0,
\]

this implies by Theorem 2.5 that \( \mu_j \ast \psi U \in L^1(G) \) if \( 2 \leq p \leq \infty \), in \( (L^1, \ell^2) \) if \( 1 \leq p \leq 2 \).

REMARK 4.4. By Remark 2.3, Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 2.2 we conclude that the space \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_c) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{M}_T \) (c.f. [5, §4]) and \( \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}_c) \) is dense in \( L^1_{\text{loc}} \cap \mathcal{M}_T \).

PROPOSITION 4.5. i) Let \( q \leq 2 \). The spaces \( \mathcal{P}(L^1) \) and \( \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \) are dense in \( \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \).

ii) Let \( q < 2 \). The spaces \( \mathcal{P}(L^1) \) and \( \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \) are dense in \( \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \).

PROOF. In view of Theorem 4.3, we have to prove that \( \mathcal{P}(L^1) \subset \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \) and \( \mathcal{P}(L^1) \subset \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \). First of all we recall (Remark 2.3) that \( \mathcal{P}(L^1) \subset \mathcal{M}_T \) and \( \mathcal{P}(L^1) \subset \mathcal{M}_T \).

Let \( f \in \mathcal{P}(L^1) \). By Theorem 2.5 and [6, Theorem II], its Fourier transform \( \hat{f} \) belongs to \( \mathcal{M}_T \), hence \( f \in \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \), since \( f \in L^1_{\text{loc}} \). If \( f \in \mathcal{P}(L^1) \), then again by Theorem 2.5, \( f \in (L^q, \ell^q) \) and by the Hausdorff-Young theorem, \( \hat{f} \in (L^2, \ell^2) \), hence \( f \in \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \).

We finally point out that for \( 2 < p < \infty \), the space \( \mathcal{P}(L^1) \) is not included in \( \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \), because as in [20, Theorem 5.5.1] using [21, Theorem 5] and [15, Theorems 5.6 and 15.9], we can prove that there exists a function \( f \) in \( (L^\infty, \ell^p) \) such that \( \hat{f} \) is not a measure, hence the function \( g \) defined by \( \langle \phi, g \rangle = \langle \phi, f \rangle \) \( (\phi \in (L^1, \ell^p')) \) belongs to \( \mathcal{P}(L^1, \ell^p') \) and \( \hat{g} \) is not a measure, so \( \hat{g} \notin (L^p', \ell^q') \). Also, if \( 1 \leq p < q < 2 \), then \( \mathcal{P}(L^1, \ell^q') \) is not included in \( \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \). Indeed, let \( \alpha = p'/q' + p' \). Since \( \phi \) is a inner measure there exists \( \mathcal{I} \subset \{\mathcal{I}_n\} \) such that \( m(J_n) = (1/n)^\alpha \), where \( J_n = \{x \in [n, n+1)| x \notin \mathcal{I}_n\} \). So for each integer \( n \) we define the function \( f \) to be the product of \( n \) times the characteristic function of the set \( J_n \). If \( f = \sum f_n \), then \( \|f\|_{p, q'} = \left( \sum (n^\alpha p'(1/n)^\alpha)^{p'/p} \right)^{1/p'} = \sum |f_n|_{1/n} \), therefore \( f \) is not in \( (L^p', \ell^q') \). Since each \( f_n \) belongs to \( (L^2, \ell^2) \), \( \mathcal{F} \in (L^\infty, \ell^2) \), so by the Hausdorff-Young theorem \( \mathcal{F} \) converges in \( (L^\infty, \ell^2) \) to a function \( f' \), because

\[
\|f\|_{p, q'} \leq \sum |f_n|_{1/n} \leq \sum C |f_n|_{21} = \sum C n^\alpha (1/n)^\alpha = \sum C(1/n)^\alpha - 2 < \infty \text{ since } \alpha > 3.
\]

By the Lebesgue Convergence theorem and the fact that \( S_0(\mathbb{R}) \subset (L^1, \ell^2) \), for any \( \phi \) in \( S_0(\mathbb{R}) \) we have \( \langle \phi, f' \rangle = \langle \hat{\phi}, f \rangle = \sum \langle \hat{\phi}, f_n \rangle = \sum \langle \phi, f \rangle = \langle \phi, f \rangle \), hence \( f = f' \). Let \( g \) be the function on \( (L^1, \ell^2) \) defined by \( \phi, g \) = \( |\phi, \psi| \). Clearly \( g \) is in \( P(L^1, \ell^2) \) and \( \hat{g} \) is not in \( (L^p', \ell^q') \) because for \( \phi \in S_0(\mathbb{R}) \) we have that \( \langle \phi, \hat{g} \rangle = |\phi, \hat{f}| = |\phi, \hat{f}| \), this shows that \( \hat{g} \in (L^p', \ell^q') \) if \( f \in (L^p', \ell^q') \).
Therefore \( g \notin \mathcal{R}(\phi_{pq}) \).

The construction of the function \( f \) can be extended to \( G \) using the partition of disjoint relatively compact subsets as in [7, §3]. Probably the same is true for \( 1 \leq q < p < 2 \), but we were unable to decide the matter.

5. REPRESENTATION THEOREMS.

We will prove in this section (Theorem 5.4) that the representation theorems for \( \mathcal{R}(\phi_{\infty}) \) and \( \mathcal{P}(\phi_{\infty}) \) in [1, Theorems 7 and 8] and [3, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2] respectively, hold for the space \( \mathcal{L}_{loc}^{1}(\mathcal{R}(\phi_{pq})) \) \( (1 \leq q < 2, 1 \leq p \leq \infty) \), and they are equivalent. We first give a remark easily deduced from [5, Theorem 3.3].

REMARK 5.1. Let \( f \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}^{1}(\mathcal{R}(\phi_{pq})) \) \( (1 \leq q < \infty, 1 \leq p \leq \infty) \).

i) If \( \phi \in L_{c}^{1}(G) \), then \( \hat{\phi}f \) exists and \( \hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{L}_{c}^{1}(\hat{\phi}) \). Therefore for locally almost all \( x \in G \) we have that

\[
\hat{\phi}f(x) = \int_{G} f(y)\phi(x - y) \ dy = \int_{\Gamma} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \hat{\chi}_{[x,\xi]} \ d\hat{\chi}(\xi).
\]

ii) If the integral on the left is a continuous function of \( x \) in a neighbourhood of 0, then the formula in i) is valid for \( x = 0 \). Hence under this hypothesis

\[
\int_{G} f(y)\phi(-y) \ dy = \int_{\Gamma} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \ d\hat{\chi}(\xi).
\]

The next theorem includes [1, Theorem 3] as a particular case.

THEOREM 5.2. Let \( 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty \). If \( f \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}^{q}(\mathcal{R}(\phi_{pq})) \), then

\[
\int_{\Gamma} f(x)\phi(x) \ dx = \int_{\Gamma} \hat{\phi}(\xi) \ d\hat{\chi}(\xi)
\]

for all \( \phi \in L_{c}^{q'} \) such that \( \hat{\phi} \in (L^{p}, L^{q}) \) if \( p < \infty \), \( \hat{\phi} \in (C_{c}, L^{q}) \) if \( p = \infty \).

PROOF. It is clear that the convolution \( \hat{\phi}f \) exists for \( \hat{\phi} \in L_{c}^{q'} \) and \( f \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}^{q} \). If \( \hat{\phi} \) is in either \( (L^{p}, L^{q}) \) or \( (C_{c}, L^{q}) \), then \( \hat{\phi} \in L_{c}^{1}(\hat{\phi}) \). So by our previous remark we have to prove that \( \hat{\phi}f \) is continuous on a neighbourhood \( U \) of \( 0 \). Let \( E \) be the support of \( \phi \), and \( s \in U \). If \( 1 < q \leq \infty \), then the map \( x \rightarrow \phi_{x} \), where \( \phi_{x}(y) = \phi(x - y) \), is continuous on \( G \). So given \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a neighbourhood \( V \) of \( 0 \) such that for all \( x \in V \) we have that

\[
|\phi_{x} - \phi_{y}||_{q'} < \varepsilon / ||f_{X_{U-E}}||_{q}
\]

where \( X_{U-E} \) is the characteristic function of \( U - E \). So for \( x \in U \cap V \) we have that

\[
|f\phi(x) - f\phi(s)| \leq \int_{U-E} |f(y)||\phi_{x}(y) - \phi_{s}(y)| \ dy \leq ||f_{X_{U-E}}||_{q}||\phi_{x} - \phi_{s}||_{q'} < \varepsilon.
\]

Therefore \( f\phi \) is continuous at \( s \).

If \( q = 1 \), then the map \( x \rightarrow (f_{X_{U-E}})_{x} \) is continuous on \( G \), and as before, there exists a neighbourhood of \( 0 \) such that for \( x \in U \cap V \) we have that

\[
|f\phi(x) - f\phi(s)| \leq ||\phi||_{1}||f_{X_{U-E}}||_{1} < \varepsilon. \text{This ends the proof.}
\]

We need now to introduce Simon's generalization of Cesàro summability on locally compact abelian groups [22]. This consists of a family of functions \( \{\phi_{U}\} \) \( (U \) being a compact neighbourhood of \( 0 \)) in \( (C_{c}, L^{1}) \) with the following properties

\[
\phi_{U} \geq 0, \quad ||\phi_{U}||_{1} \leq 1 \tag{5.1}
\]

\[
\{\phi_{U}\} \text{ is an approximate identity for } L^{1} \tag{5.2}
\]

\[
\hat{\phi}_{U} \in C_{c} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{U} \hat{\phi}_{U}(\xi) = 1 \text{ for all } \xi \in \Gamma. \tag{5.3}
\]

The following representation theorem is an extension of [1, Theorem 7] (c.f. [3,
Theorem 4.1]).

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( 1 \leq q < 2, 1 \leq p \leq \infty \). If \( f \in L^q_{\text{loc}} \cap R(\Phi_{pq}) \), then

\[
(C.1) \int_G h(x) f(x) \, dx = \lim_{\Phi \to \infty} \int_G \Phi(x) h(x) f(x) \, dx = \int_G h(-\xi) \, d\tilde{f}(\xi)
\]

for all \( h \in (L^p, \ell^q)(\Gamma) \) if \( p < \infty \), \( h \in (C_0, \ell^q)(\Gamma) \) if \( p = \infty \).

Furthermore if \( r = 2q/(2q - 1) \) and \( 2 \leq p \leq \infty \), then

\[
\left\| \int f(x - y) \phi(x) \bar{\psi}(y) \, dx \, dy \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\Phi} \left\| \int \tildes\phi(\xi) \tildes\psi(\xi) \, d\tilde{f}(\xi) \right\|
\]

for all \( \phi, \psi \in (L^r, \ell^p') \). The double integral exists not necessarily as a Lebesgue integral but as the sum of the convergent series

\[
\sum \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{\alpha} \int_{\beta} f(x - y) \phi(x) \bar{\psi}(y) \, dx \, dy
\]

where \( V_\alpha, V_\beta \) are finite union of the sets \( L_\alpha \), as defined in [7, 53].

**Proof.** Suppose \( p < \infty \). If \( h \in (L^p, \ell^q)(\Gamma) \), then \( h \) belongs to either \( (L^q, \ell^{p'})(G) \) if \( 1 \leq p \leq 2 \), or \( (L^q, \ell^{p'})(G) \) if \( p > 2 \). Since \( \{\hat{\phi}_\xi\} \subset C(G) \), we have that \( h\hat{\phi}_\xi \in L^q \) and therefore \( \hat{h}\hat{\phi}_\xi = (h\hat{\phi}_\xi)^\wedge \). So by Theorem 5.2 we conclude that

\[
\int_G \hat{\tilde{\Phi}}(\xi) \tilde{\psi}(\xi) \, d\tilde{f}(\xi).
\]

Since \( \hat{f} \in (L^p, \ell^{p'}) \) and \( \lim \hat{h}\hat{\phi}_\xi = h \) in \( (L^p, \ell^q) \) [8, Proposition 1.8] the integral on the right converges to \( \int \hat{f}(\xi) \, d\tilde{f}(\xi) \) and this proves the first part of the theorem.

Let \( \phi, \psi \in L^p_c \). Since \( r = 2q/(2q - 1) \) and \( 1 \leq q < 2 \), we have that \( 1/r = 1 - 1/2q \), hence \( 1/2 \leq 1/r \leq 3/4 \) and therefore \( 1 < r < 2 \). If \( \phi \in L^p_c \), then \( \hat{\phi} \in C(G, \ell^{p'}) \) and \( (\phi|\psi) \in (C_0, \ell^q) \) because \( r' = 2q \) [12, 57]. So by Theorem 5.2

\[
\int \int f(x - y) \phi(x) \bar{\psi}(y) \, dx \, dy = \int \hat{\tilde{\Phi}}(\xi) \tilde{\psi}(\xi) \, d\tilde{f}(\xi).
\]

Set \( B(\phi, \psi) \) to be equal to the left side of (5.4). So by Holder's inequality

\[
|B(\phi, \psi)| \leq |\hat{\xi}| |p| \cdot |\psi| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\phi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\psi}| \cdot |p| = C \cdot |\psi| \cdot |\hat{\phi}| \cdot |\hat{\psi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\psi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\phi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\psi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\phi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\psi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\phi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\psi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\phi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\psi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\phi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\psi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\phi}| \cdot |p| \cdot |\hat{\psi}| \cdot |p| = C
\]

where \( C \) is a constant depending on \( f, p \) and \( q \).

If \( g \in (L^r, \ell^{p'}) \), then \( |g|_{1/p'} = (\sum |g|_{1/p'}^p)^{1/p} \) where \( g_{1/p'} = g_{1/p} \). So for \( \phi, \psi \) in \( (L^r, \ell^{p'}) \) we have that \( |B(\phi, \psi)| \leq C \cdot |\phi|_{1/p'} \cdot |\psi|_{1/p'} \). So \( \sum B(\phi, \psi) \) is absolutely convergent and the left side of (5.4) exists as stated in the theorem.

Finally, since \( \sum g_{1/p'} \) converges in the norm of \( (L^r, \ell^{p'}) \) to \( g \) we have that

\[
\sum B(\phi, \psi) = f(\hat{\phi}(\xi) \tilde{\psi}(\xi) \, d\tilde{f}(\xi).
\]

The proof for \( 2 < p \leq \infty \) is similar.

**Theorem 5.4.** If \( 1 \leq q < 2, 1 \leq p \leq \infty \) and \( f \in L^q_{\text{loc}} \), then the following are equivalent

1) \( f \in R(\Phi_{pq}) \)

2) There exists a unique \( \psi \in M_q(\Gamma) \) if \( p = \infty \), \( (L^p', \ell^{q'})(\Gamma) \) if \( p < \infty \), such that for all \( \phi, \psi \in (L^q, \ell^p')(G) \), where \( r = 2q/(2q - 1) \),

\[
\int \int f(x - y) \phi(x) \bar{\psi}(y) \, dx \, dy = \int \hat{\tilde{\Phi}}(\xi) \tilde{\psi}(\xi) \, d\tilde{f}(\xi)
\]

the double integral exists as in Theorem 5.3.

3) There exists a unique measure \( \mu \) as in part ii) such that
\[ f(x) = \lim_{\Gamma} \int \hat{\psi}_U(\hat{x}) \{x, \hat{x}\} d\mu(\hat{x}) \]

where the limit exists as in Remark 4.2.

**Proof.** By Theorem 5.3 part i) implies part ii) and by Proposition 4.1 part iii) implies part i).

Suppose that ii) holds. Since \( \psi_U = \beta_U \ast \hat{\beta}_U \) and \( \beta_U \in L^2_c \), for all \( x \) we have

\[
\int f(x-y) \beta_U(x-t) \hat{\beta}_U(y) \ dx \ dy = \int \{t, \hat{x}\} \hat{\beta}_U(\hat{x}) \beta_U(\hat{x}) d\mu(\hat{x}).
\]

The left side is equal to

\[
\int f(x) \beta_U(t-x) dx = \int f(x) \psi_U(t-x) dx = f \ast \psi_U(t),
\]

the right side is equal to \( \int \hat{\psi}_U(\hat{x}) \{t, \hat{x}\} d\mu(\hat{x}) \), and \( \psi_U \ast f \) converges to \( f \) in the sense of part iii). Hence we conclude that ii) implies iii).

6. **FURTHER RESULTS.**

In this last section we want to give a characterization of the set of Fourier multipliers from the space \( \Phi_{\text{eq}} \) (\( 1 \leq q \leq \infty \)) to \( L^1 \) and \( M_1 \). This will allow us to extend Dupuis' characterization theorem [6, Theorem III].

Following the notation in [23] we denote by \( M(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) \) (\( M(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) \)) the space of Fourier multipliers from \( \Phi_{\text{eq}} \) to \( L^1(M_1) \), that is, \( M(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) \) (\( M(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) \)) is the space of all functions (measures) \( f \) on \( \Gamma \) such that \( f \hat{\Phi} \) is in \( L^1(M_1) \) for all \( \Phi \in \Phi_{\text{eq}}(G) \).

Since \( \Phi_{\text{eq}} = C_c \) for \( 2 \leq q \leq \infty \), we consider the characterization of these spaces for \( 1 \leq q \leq 2 \).

**Theorem 6.1.** Let \( 1 \leq q \leq 2 \). Then \( M(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) = (L^1, L^q') \) and \( M(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) = M_q, \).

**Proof.** By the Young's inequality \( (L^1, L^q') \subset M(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) \) and \( M_q \subset M(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) \).

Let \( \mu \in M(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) \) and suppose that \( \lim n \to \infty \) and \( \lim n \to \infty \), so for \( f \in C_c \) we have that

\[
|\hat{f} \ast h| = |\hat{f} \ast (\hat{\mu} - \hat{\phi})| + |f \ast (\hat{\mu} - \hat{\phi})| + |f \ast (\hat{\mu} - \hat{\phi})| \leq |\hat{f} \ast (\hat{\mu} - \hat{\phi})| + ||f||_{L^q} ||\mu - \hat{\phi}||_{L^q} \leq C \leq ||f||_{L^q} ||\mu - \hat{\phi}||_{L^q}
\]

where \( C \) is a constant depending on the support of \( f \). Since \( C_c \) is dense in \( C \), we conclude by the Closed Graph theorem that the map \( \Phi \to \hat{\Phi} \) from \( \Phi_{\text{eq}} \) to \( M_q \) is continuous. Hence by Remark 3.2, \( \mu \in M_q(G) \). If \( f \in M(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) \), then the measure \( |f|dm \) belongs to \( M_q(G) \) and therefore \( f \in (L^1, L^q') \).

From Theorem 6.1 we see that \( M(C_c) = (L^1, L^2) \), as proven in [23], and \( M(C_c) = M_2 \).

We write \( F(\Phi_{\text{eq}}) \) to denote the set \( \{\hat{\Phi} | \Phi \in \Phi_{\text{eq}}\} \).

**Theorem 6.2.** Let \( 1 \leq q \leq 2 \). Then \( P(F(\Phi_{\text{eq}})) = (L^1, L^q) \cap \rho \) and \( P(F(\Phi_{\text{eq}})) = M_q \cap \rho \).

Hence \( P(F(\Phi_{\text{eq}})) = P(C_0, L^q) \) and \( \rho(F(\Phi_{\text{eq}})) = \rho(C_0, L^q) \).

**Proof.** By [6, Proposition IV] and Theorem 6.1, \( P(F(\Phi_{\text{eq}})) \subset (L^1, L^q) \cap \rho \) and \( \rho(F(\Phi_{\text{eq}})) \subset C_0, L^q \cap \rho \).

Take \( \mu \in M_q \cap \rho \) and \( \hat{\phi} \in (F(\Phi_{\text{eq}})) \). Since \( \{\hat{\phi} \ast e_q\} \) converges to \( \hat{\phi} \) in \( (C_0, L^q) \), \( \hat{\phi} \ast e_q \in C \) and \( \{\hat{\phi} \ast e_q\} \to \hat{\phi} \) in \( L^q \), we have that \( \hat{\phi} \ast e_q \to \hat{\phi} \) in \( L^q \), \( \hat{\phi} \ast e_q \to \hat{\phi} \) in \( L^q \). Therefore \( \hat{\phi} \ast e_q \to \hat{\phi} \) in \( L^q \). Since \( (L^1, L^q) \) is included in \( M_q \), [8, (1.9)] we conclude that \( (L^1, L^q) \cap \rho \subset P(F(\Phi_{\text{eq}})) \). The last equality follows from Remark 2.3 and an argument like that of Theorem 2.5.
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