ON THE AFFINE WEYL GROUP OF TYPE $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$

MUHAMMAD A. ALBAR

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Petroleum and Minerals
Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

(Received April 4, 1985 and in revised form March 26, 1986)

ABSTRACT. We study in this paper the affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$, [1]. Coxeter [1] showed that this group is infinite. We see in Bourbaki [2] that $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is a split extension of S_n , the symmetric group of degree n , by a group of translations and of a lattice of weights. $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is one of the crystallographic Coxeter groups considered by Maxwell [3], [4].

We prove the following:

THEOREM 1. $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$, $n \geq 3$ is a split extension of S_n by the direct product of $(n-1)$ copies of Z .

THEOREM 2. The group $\tilde{A}_2$ is soluble of derived length 3, $\tilde{A}_3$ is soluble of derived length 4. For $n > 4$, the second derived group $\tilde{A}_{n-1}''$ coincides with the first $\tilde{A}_{n-1}'$ and so $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is not soluble for $n > 4$.

THEOREM 3. The center of $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is trivial for $n \geq 3$.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Presentation, Reidemeister-Schreier method, Coxeter group.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 20F05.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Consider the presentation

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n-1} &= \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \mid y_i^2 = e \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ &\quad y_i y_{i+1} y_i = y_{i+1} y_i y_{i+1} \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ &\quad y_i y_j = y_j y_i \text{ if } 1 \leq i < j-1 < n \text{ and } (i, j) \neq (1, n), \\ &\quad y_1 y_n y_1 = y_n y_1 y_n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $n \geq 3$.

This is an irreducible Coxeter group whose graph is a polygon with n vertices. Using some geometrical methods Coxeter showed that $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is infinite [4]. This group is also a Weyl group [1]. It is the affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$. We see in Bourbaki [2] that $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is a split extension of S_n , the symmetric group of degree n , by a

group of translations and of a lattice of weights. This group was also considered by Maxwell [3], [4].

The purpose of this paper is to prove that $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is a split extension of S_n by a direct product of $(n-1)$ copies of Z . The method depends on presentations of group extension [5]. We also find that $\tilde{A}_3$ is soluble of derived length 3, $\tilde{A}_4$ is soluble of derived length 4 and that the second derived group $\tilde{A}_{n-1}''$ coincides with the first $\tilde{A}_{n-1}'$ if $n > 4$ and hence $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is not soluble in this case. We finally show that the center of $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is trivial.

2. THE STRUCTURE OF $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$.

We show in this section that $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is a split extension of S_n by the direct product of $(n-1)$ copies of Z . We achieve this by using the method in [5] as follows. We find an epimorphism $\theta: \tilde{A}_{n-1} \rightarrow S_n$ such that the extension

$$1 \rightarrow \ker \theta \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{n-1} \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow 1 \quad (2.1)$$

splits. It will be required to find a presentation for $\ker \theta$. We guess that it will be isomorphic to $A = Z^{X(n-1)}$ (given by generators and relations). We then construct a new short exact sequence (2.3), where A is embedded as normal subgroup of a group E in such a way that A is the kernel of an epimorphism $\theta': E \rightarrow G$.

$$1 \rightarrow \ker \theta \rightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\theta} G \rightarrow 1 \quad (2.2)$$

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\theta'} G \rightarrow 1 \quad (2.3)$$

Then we use Tietze transformations to identify E with $\tilde{G}$, i.e., to find an isomorphism $\phi: E \rightarrow \tilde{G}$, which makes the right-hand square commute. It then follows that $A = \ker \theta$. A presentation for the symmetric group of degree $n \geq 2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} S_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \mid & x_i^2 = e \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ & x_i x_{i+1} x_i = x_{i+1} x_i x_{i+1} \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ & x_i x_j = x_j x_i \text{ if } 1 \leq i < j-1 \leq n-1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We define the mapping $\theta: \tilde{A}_{n-1} \rightarrow S_n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: y_i &\rightarrow x_i \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ y_n &\rightarrow x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-2} x_{n-1} x_{n-2} \dots x_2 x_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then θ is an epimorphism. If α is the mapping from S_n to $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ defined by

$$\alpha: x_i \rightarrow y_i \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

then α is a homomorphism and $\alpha\theta = 1_{S_n}$.

Thus the extension

$$1 \rightarrow \ker \theta \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{n-1} \xrightleftharpoons[\alpha]{\theta} S_n \xrightarrow{\theta} 1 \text{ splits.}$$

We construct the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow 1.$$

A presentation of E will be

$$E = \langle \text{generators of } A, \text{ generators of } S_n |, \\ \text{relations of } A, \text{ relations of } S_n, \\ \text{action of } S_n \text{ on } A \rangle [6].$$

$$\text{Let } A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} | a_i a_k = a_k a_i \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq k < n-1 \rangle \quad (2.4)$$

We define the action of S_n on A as follows:

$$a_1^{x_1} = a_1^{-1} \quad (2.5)$$

$$a_i^{x_1} = a_1^{-1} a_i \text{ if } 2 \leq i \leq n-1 \quad (2.6)$$

$$a_i^{x_k} = \begin{cases} a_{k+1} & \text{if } i = k+1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ a_{k-1} & \text{if } i = k, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ a_k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\quad (2.8)$$

$$\quad (2.9)$$

NOTATION. We let $\Delta_i = x_2 x_3 \dots x_i$. We also denote the relations $xyx = yxy$ and $ab = ba$ by (x, y) and $[a, b]$ respectively.

To reduce the relations of E to a manageable form we consider the following lemma and proposition.

LEMMA 1. In the group S_n the following identities hold:

- (i) $\Delta_k x_i = x_{i+1} \Delta_k$ if $2 \leq i < k$
- (ii) $\Delta_k x_i = \Delta_{k-1}$ if $i = k$
- (iii) $\Delta_k x_i = \Delta_{k+1}$ if $i = k+1$
- (iv) $\Delta_k x_i = x_i \Delta_k$ if $i > k+1$
- (v) $\Delta_k \Delta_i = x_3 \dots x_{i+1} \Delta_k$ if $2 \leq i < k$
- (vi) $\Delta_i^2 = x_3 \dots x_i \Delta_{i-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PROOF. (i)} \quad \Delta_k x_i &= x_2 x_3 \dots x_{i-1} x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_k x_i \\ &= x_2 \dots x_{i-1} x_i x_{i+1} x_i \dots x_k \\ &= x_2 \dots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_k \\ &= x_{i+1} \Delta_k. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) to (iv) obvious.

(v) and (vi) application of (i).

PROPOSITION 1. In the group E , relations (2.4) to (2.9) become the following:

- (i) Relation (2.5) is equivalent to $(a_1 x_1)^2 = e$.
- (ii) Relation (2.7) is equivalent to $a_i = a_1^{\Delta_i}$ $2 \leq i \leq n-1$.
- (iii) Relation (2.6) is equivalent to $(a_1 x_1, x_2)$.
- (iv) Relation (2.8) follows from (ii).
- (v) Relation (2.9) is equivalent to $[a, x_i]$ for $3 \leq i \leq n-1$.
- (vi) Relation (2.4) is equivalent to $(x_2 a_1)^2 = (a_1 x_2)^2$.

PROOF. (i) Obvious

(ii) Easy by induction on i .

(iii) Using part (ii) relation (2.6) becomes

$$x_1 \Delta_i^{-1} a_1 \Delta_i x_1 = a_1^{-1} \Delta_i^{-1} a_1 \Delta_i.$$

Using relation (2.9) it reduces to $(a_1 x_1, x_2)$.

(iv) Obvious by using part (ii).

(v) Using part (ii) relation (2.9) becomes

$$\Delta_k x_i \Delta_k^{-1} a_1 = a_1 \Delta_k x_i \Delta_k^{-1}, \quad i \neq k, \quad i \neq k+1.$$

If $i > k+1$, then by Lemma 1 (iv) we get

$$[x_i, a_1] \quad \text{for } 3 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

If $i < k$ then by Lemma 1 (i), we get

$$[x_{i+1}, a_1] \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Therefore relation (2.9) is equivalent to $[a_1, x_i]$ for $3 \leq i \leq n-1$.

(vi) Using part (ii) relation (2.4) becomes

$$\Delta_k \Delta_i^{-1} a_1 \Delta_i \Delta_k^{-1} a_1 = a_1 \Delta_k \Delta_i^{-1} a_1 \Delta_i \Delta_k^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq i < k \leq n-1.$$

Using Lemma 1 (v) and relation (2.9), we get

$$(x_2 a_1)^2 = (a_1 x_2)^2.$$

THEOREM 1. The group E is isomorphic to $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ and so $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is a split extension of S_n by A where $n \geq 3$.

PROOF. In Proposition 1, we let $a_1 x_1 = b$. Then E has the following generators:

$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, b$. Relations of E are:

Relations of S_n ,

$$b^2 = e, \tag{2.10}$$

$$(b, x_2) \tag{2.11}$$

$$[bx_1, x_i] \quad \text{for } 3 \leq i \leq n-1 \tag{2.12}$$

$$(x_2 bx_1)^2 = (bx_1 x_2)^2. \tag{2.13}$$

We change relation (2.13) to the form

$$(b, x_1 x_2 x_1). \tag{2.14}$$

$$\text{We change relation (2.12) to } [b, x_i] \quad \text{for } 3 \leq i \leq n-1 \tag{2.15}$$

We let $c = \Delta_{n-1}^{-1} b \Delta_{n-1}$. Then $c^2 = e$.

Using relation (2.11) and Lemma 1 (i), we get (c, x_1) .

$$x_{n-1} c x_{n-1} = \Delta_{n-1}^{-1} b \Delta_{n-1}.$$

Using Lemma 1 (ii) and (v) and (2.15)

$$cx_{n-1}c = \Delta_{n-1}^{-2}b\Delta_{n-1}$$

Using Lemma 1 (vi)

$$cx_{n-1}c = \Delta_{n-2}^{-1}b\Delta_{n-2}^2 = x_{n-1}cx_{n-1}.$$

Therefore (c, x_{n-1}) .

Using Lemma 1 (i) and (2.15) we get

$$[c, x_i] \text{ for } 2 \leq i < n-1.$$

Thus E has the following presentation

$$\begin{aligned} E = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, c \mid & x_i^2 = e \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ & c^2 = e, \\ & (x_i, x_{i+1}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ & [x_i, x_k] \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k-1 < n-1, \\ & (x_{n-1}, c), (x_1, c), \\ & [x_i, c] \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq n-1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c = x_n$. Then it is clear that E is the same as $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ and the theorem is proved.

REMARK 1. We notice the special cases $\tilde{A}_0 = S_2 = Z_2$.

$$\tilde{A}_1 = S_3.$$

$$\tilde{A}_2 = \Delta(3, 3, 3) \text{ the triangle group } \Delta(3, 3, 3) [6].$$

REMARK 2. We used the Reidemeister-Schreier process to find $A = \ker \theta$ for $n=3, 4$. From the computations involved we found the action of S_n on A . For $n \geq 5$, we guessed that $A = Z^{x(n-1)}$ and the action is a generalization for the case when $n=3, 4$. We then proved this guess by the method in [6].

3. THE DERIVED SERIES OF $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$.

We prove in this section the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. The group $\tilde{A}_3$ is soluble of derived length 3, $\tilde{A}_4$ is soluble of derived length 4. For $n > 4$, the second derived group $\tilde{A}_{n-1}''$ coincides with the first $\tilde{A}_{n-1}'$ and so $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is not soluble for $n > 4$.

To prove the theorem we consider the derived series of A_{n-1} . We notice that $\frac{\tilde{A}_{n-1}}{\tilde{A}_{n-1}'} = \langle y_1 | y_1^2 \rangle$. Hence $\{e, y_1\}$ is a transversal for $\tilde{A}_{n-1}'$ in $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$. Using the Reidemeister-Schreier process we find the following presentation for $\tilde{A}_{n-1}'$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{n-1}' = \langle b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1} \mid & b_i^3 = b_i^2 = b_{n-1}^3 \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ & (bib_{i+1}^{-1})^3 = e \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \\ & (bib_j^{-1})^2 = e \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq j-1 < n-1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the following cases:

- i) If $n = 3$, $\frac{\tilde{A}_2'}{\tilde{A}_2''} = \langle b_1, b_2 | b_1^3 = b_2^3 = [b_1, b_2] = e \rangle$.

Using the Reidemeister-Schreier process we find that $A_3'' = z \times z$.

Therefore $\tilde{A}_2'$ is soluble of derived length 3.

- ii) If $n = 4$, $\frac{\tilde{A}_3'}{\tilde{A}_3''} = \langle b_1 | b_1^3 = e \rangle$. We use the Reidemeister-Schreier process to find the following presentation for $\tilde{A}_4''$

$$\tilde{A}_4'' = \langle x, y, z, t | x^2 = y^2 = z^2 = t^2 = [x, z] = [y, t] = e$$

$$xytz \, xtzy = e \rangle.$$

$$\frac{\tilde{A}_4''}{\tilde{A}_4'''} = \langle x, y, z, t | x^2 = y^2 = z^2 = t^2 = [x, y] = [x, z] = [x, t] = [y, x] = [y, t] = [z, t] = e \rangle.$$

We use the Reidemeister-Schreier process to find that $\tilde{A}_4''' = z \times z$. Therefore $\tilde{A}_4$ is soluble at derived length 4.

- iii) If $n > 4$, $\frac{\tilde{A}_{n-1}'}{\tilde{A}_{n-1}''}$ is trivial. So the second derived group $\tilde{A}_{n-1}''$ coincides with first derived group $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$. Hence $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is not soluble for $n > 4$.

4. THE CENTER OF $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$.

We prove in this section that the center of $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is trivial for $n \geq 3$.

LEMMA 2. The identity of A is the only element fixed by S_n .

PROOF. We let w be an element of A . We can write w in the form

$a_1^{m_1} a_2^{m_2} \dots a_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}}$ where $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Let $w^{x_i} = w$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

We therefore get the equation

$$\left[\begin{matrix} m_1 & m_2 & \dots & m_{n-1} \\ a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n-1} \end{matrix} \right]^{x_i} = a_1^{m_1} a_2^{m_2} \dots a_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} \quad (4.1)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Using the action of S_n on A [in Section 2] equation (4.1) for $i = 1$ implies

$$a_1^{2m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{n-1}} = e.$$

Since A is free abelian this equation gives

$$2m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{n-1} = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Using the action of S_n on A , equation (4.1) for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ implies

$$a_{i-1}^{m_i - m_{i-1}} = a_i^{m_i - m_{i-1}}.$$

Since A is free abelian this gives

$$m_i - m_{i-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq n-1. \quad (4.3)$$

From (4.2) and (4.3) we get $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_{n-1} = 0$. Therefore $w = e$ as required.

PROOF. We know that

$$1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \tilde{A}_{n-1} \longrightarrow S_n \longrightarrow 1.$$

REMARK 3. From Remark 1 we notice that $Z(\tilde{A}_0) = Z$ and $Z(\tilde{A}_1) = Z(S_3) = \{e\}$.

REMARK 5. One way to view $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is as a subgroup of the wreath product $Z \wr S_n$ defined as follows: Let Z^{X_n} be the free abelian group with base $P_0, \dots, P_{n-1}$ on which S_n acts by permuting the basis, $x_i = (i-1, i)$, exchanges P_{i-1} and P_i and fixes the others. The subgroup $\{P_0^{k_0} \dots P_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}} \mid \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} k_j = 0\} = H$ is S_n -invariant, and has basis $\{a_i = P_i - P_0 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$, and $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is just this split extension of S_n by H . Therefore $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ is the subgroup of the natural wreath product of $Z \wr S_n$ consisting of those elements in which the component from the base group has exponent sum zero.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & F & \rightarrow & Z^{X(n-1)} \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & B_n & \rightarrow & A_{n-1} \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & \rightarrow & U_n & \rightarrow & B_n & \rightarrow & S_n \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & &
 \end{array}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I would like to thank Dr. David L. Johnson for his helpful suggestions. I also thank the University of Petroleum and Minerals for support I get for conducting research.

REFERENCES

1. CARTER, R.W. Simple Groups of Lie Type, Wiley, London-New York, 1972.
2. BOURBAKI, N. Groupes et algebres de Lie Type, Chap. IV-VI, Herman, Paris, 1968.
3. MAXWELL, G. The Crystallography of Coxeter Groups, J. Algebra 35(1975), 159-177.
4. MAXWELL, G. The Crystallography of Coxeter Groups II, J. Algebra 44(1977), 290-318.
5. ALBAR, MUHAMMAD. On Presentation of Group Extensions, Comm. in Algebra 12(1984), 2967-2975.
6. JOHNSON, D.L. Topics in the Theory of Group Presentations, Cambridge University Press, 1980.
7. ALBAR, M.A., JOHNSON, D.L. Circular Braids, Arab Gulf J. Scient. Res. 2(1), pp. 137-145 (1984).
8. MAGNUS, W. Braid Groups: a Survey, Proc. Second Internat. Conf. On Theory of Groups, Canberra, 1973, pp. 463-487; Lecture Notes in Mathematics 372. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1974.
9. COXETER, H.S.M. Discrete Groups Generated by Reflections, Ann. of Math. 35(1934), 588-681.