ON A NONLINEAR WAVE EQUATION IN UNBOUNDED DOMAINS

CARLOS FREDERICO VASCONCELLOS

Instituto de Matemática
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
P.O. Box 68530 CEP 21944, Rio de Janeiro, RJ
Brazil

(Received October 16, 1986)

ABSTRACT. We study existence and uniqueness of the nonlinear wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + M(x, \int |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx + \int |u(x, t)|^2 dx)(-\Delta u + u) = 0$$

in unbounded domains. The above model describes nonlinear wave phenomenon in non-homogeneous media. Our techniques involve fixed point arguments combined with the energy method.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Nonlinear equation, unbounded domain, energy method, fixed point theorems.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 35L70.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we prove the existence and uniqueness of a local solution for the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + M(x, \|u(t)\|^2)Au = 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $M: \mathbb{R}^n_x \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|u(t)\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(x, t) \right|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^2 dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

and

$$Au = -\Delta u + u = - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2} + u.$$

Since the above problem is considered in unbounded domain we can not use the same method of existence of solutions used, for example, by P.H. Rivera ([1]), in which he studied the problem (1.1) when x runs in a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. He found a weak local solution for the problem using Galerkin method and the discrete spectrum of the Laplacian operator in bounded domains.

In the other hand, since that the mapping M depends explicitly on x we can not use Fourier transform as was done, for example, by G.P. Menzala ([2]) in which he studied the problem (1.1) when $M(x, \lambda) = M_0(\lambda)$, that is when the mapping M is independent of x .

Our assumptions about the mapping M are described below.

There exist functions $\varphi, \psi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $m_0 > 0$ such that $\varphi(x) \geq m_0 > 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\psi(x) \geq 0$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $M(x, \lambda) = \varphi(x) + f(\lambda)\psi(x)$, $(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ where $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable with $f(\lambda) \geq 0$ for $\lambda \geq 0$.

Here $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n): \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), j=1, \dots, n\}$. We also consider the usual Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}(x) \right|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^2 dx.$$

Our main result in this paper will be:

There exists a unique local solution for problem (1.1) with the following properties:

There exists $T_2 > 0$ and a function $u: \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which (1.2) belongs to $C_w^2([0, T_2]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0, T_2]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C([0, T_2]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

For each $t < T_2$ $u(\cdot, t) \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\cdot, t) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. (1.3)

Here $C_w^2([0, T_2]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \{u: [0, T_2] \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : t \mapsto (u(t)|v) \text{ is twice continuously differentiable in } [0, T_2], \forall v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ where $(\cdot|\cdot)$ denotes the usual inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also denote by $H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the usual Sobolev space of order two.

The basic idea in order to obtain our result will be to use fixed point arguments together with the energy method in appropriate Banach spaces.

It is important to observe that our main result holds also in any open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Before concluding this introduction we would like to make a few comments on the literature. J.L. Lions ([3]) considered the problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - M\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = 0 & \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $M(\lambda) \geq m_0 > 0$ and Ω denotes a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Arosio-Spagnolo ([4]) solved the problem (1.4) when $M(\lambda) \geq 0, \forall \lambda \geq 0$ in the analytic case. Recently, Ebihara-Miranda-Medeiros ([5]) studied problem (1.4) when $M(\lambda) \geq 0, \forall \lambda \geq 0$ for more general cases. Others authors like Andrade ([6]), Ball ([7]), Bernstein ([8]), Dickey ([9]), Greenberg-Ilu ([10]), Medeiros ([11]), Menzala ([12]), Nishida ([13]), Nishihara ([14]), Pohozaev ([15]), Rivera ([16]), Ribeiro ([17]) and Yamada ([18, 19]) also studied related problems.

2. A PRELIMINARY RESULT

In this section we prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution of the following "linearized" problem: Let $T > 0$. Let $v \in C^1([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + M(x, \|v(t)\|^2)Au = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x) \end{cases} \quad (2.0)$$

From now on we shall denote by H the usual space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in which we consider the norm $|u|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^2 dx$ and inner product $(u|v)$. Let us consider the linear operator $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ defined by $Au = -\Delta u$, with $D(A) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Clearly A is self-adjoint and satisfies:

$$(Au|u) \geq |u|^2, \quad u \in D(A). \quad (2.1)$$

All functions we consider in this paper will be real valued. The square root of A , denoted by $A^{1/2}$ has domain $V = D(A^{1/2}) = H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The inner product in V is defined by:

$$(u|v) = (A^{1/2}u|A^{1/2}v) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)v(x)dx$$

with norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined in §1.

For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $B(\lambda): H \rightarrow H$ by $B(\lambda)u = M(\cdot, \lambda)u$. Because of our assumptions on $M(x, \lambda)$ the operator $B(\lambda)$ has the following properties:

For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $B(\lambda)$ is a linear bounded symmetric operator on H . (2.2)

For each $\lambda \geq 0$ $(B(\lambda)u|u) \geq m_0|u|^2$, $u \in H$ (2.3)

For each $\lambda \geq 0$ $B(\lambda): V \rightarrow V$ is a linear continuous bijective operator (2.4)

$\forall T > 0 \exists \alpha_T > 0$ such that $\|B(\lambda_1) - B(\lambda_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(V)} \leq \alpha_T |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|$ if $|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2| \leq T$. Here $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is the space of linear continuous operators on V (2.5)

$\forall T > 0 \exists \beta_T > 0$ such that if $(u, v) \in D(A) \times V$ and $|\lambda| \leq T$ (2.6)
 $|(B(\lambda)Au|v) - (B(\lambda)A^{1/2}u|A^{1/2}v)| \leq \beta_T \|u\| \|v\|$

$B: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ is continuously differentiable. (2.7)

Here $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is the space of linear continuous operators on H .

LEMMA 1. Let v belonging to $C^1(\mathbb{R}; V)$, then

$\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad N(t) = A^{1/2} B(\|v(t)\|^2) A^{1/2}$ is a self adjoint operator in H with domain $D(N(t)) = D(A)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. (2.8)

$(N(t)u|u) \geq m_0|u|^2 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall u \in D(A)$ (2.9)

$\forall T > 0$ there is m_T such that (2.10)

$$\|N(t)N^{-1}(0) - N(s)N^{-1}(0)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq m_T |t-s|$$

whenever $|t|, |s| \leq T$.

PROOF: By (2.4) we can show that $D(N(t)) = D(A)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ and that the image of $N(t)$ is H . Hence since $A^{1/2}$ and $B(\|v(t)\|^2)$ are symmetric we obtain (2.8).

In the other hand if $u \in D(A)$ we obtain by (2.1) and (2.3) that $(N(t)u|u) = (B(\|v(t)\|^2)A^{1/2}u|A^{1/2}u) \geq m_0|A^{1/2}u|^2 \geq m_0|u|^2$ therefore (2.9) follows.

To prove (2.10) we observe by (2.8) and the closed graph theorem, that $N(t)[N(0)]^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

We consider $u \in H$ and $T > 0$, then by (2.5) we obtain, $|N(t)[N(0)]^{-1}u - N(s)[N(0)]^{-1}u| \leq \alpha_T \|B(\|v(0)\|^2)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(V)} |u| |t-s|$ $|t|, |s| \leq T$ which proves (2.10).

PROPOSITION 1. Let $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^n) = D(A^{3/2})$, $u_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; V)$.

Then there is a unique function $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that:

$$u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; D(A)) \quad (2.11)$$

$$\begin{cases} u'' + B(\|v(t)\|^2)Au(t) = 0 & \text{in } V \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(0) = u_0 \quad u'(0) = u_1 \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

PROOF: By Lemma 1 and a result due to J. Goldstein (see Theorem 2.2. in [20]) there is a unique function $w: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$w \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; H) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}; V) \quad (2.13)$$

$$\begin{cases} w'' + N(t)w(t) = 0 & \text{in } H \times \mathbb{R} \\ w(0) = A^{1/2}u_0 \quad w'(0) = A^{1/2}u_1 \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

Let us consider $u(t) = A^{-1/2}w(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ then $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (2.11) and (2.12).

Therefore it follows that u is the unique solution of (2.12) which satisfies (2.11).

PROPOSITION 2. Let T be a positive real number. Then given $v \in C^1(0, T; V)$, $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^n) = D(A^{3/2})$ and $u_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There is a unique function $u = u(v): [0, T] \rightarrow H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that:

$$u \in C^2(0, T; V) \cap C^1(0, T; D(A)) \quad (2.15)$$

$$\begin{cases} u'' + B(\|v(t)\|^2)Au(t) = 0 \\ u(0) = u_0 \quad u'(0) = u_1 \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

PROOF: We define

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} v(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq T \\ v'(T)(t-T) + v(t) & \text{if } t > T \\ v'(0)t + v(0) & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

$w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; V)$ and hence there is $u = u(w): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H$ which satisfies the Proposition 1, in particular u satisfies (2.15) and (2.16), with $u: [0, T] \rightarrow H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remains to prove the uniqueness. Suppose that we have another solution z of (2.16) which satisfies (2.15).

Then $\sigma(t) = u(t) - z(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \sigma''(t) + B(\|v(t)\|^2)A\sigma = 0 & \text{in } V \times [0, T] \\ \sigma(0) = 0 & \sigma'(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

We consider $\tau(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{ |\sigma'(t)|^2 + (B(\|v(t)\|^2)A^{1/2}\sigma | A^{1/2}\sigma) \}$ then by (2.17) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau'(t) = & -(B(\|v(t)\|^2)A\sigma | \sigma') + (B(\|v(t)\|^2)A^{1/2}\sigma | A^{1/2}\sigma') + \\ & + [v(t) | v'(t)] (B'(\|v(t)\|^2)A^{1/2}\sigma | A^{1/2}\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (2.6) and (2.7)

$$\tau'(t) \leq \frac{\beta_T}{2} (\|\sigma(t)\|^2 + |\sigma'(t)|^2) + C_T \|\sigma(t)\|^2$$

where

$$C_T = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\|B'(\|v(t)\|^2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|v(t)\| \|v'(t)\|).$$

Now, using (2.3) we obtain that there exists $\eta_T > 0$ such that:

$$\tau'(t) \leq \eta_T \tau(t), \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Hence, since $\tau(0) = 0$, it follows that $\tau(t) = 0$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$ which proves the Proposition 2.

COROLLARY 1. Let $v \in V^1(0, T; V(\mathbb{R}^n))$, $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there is a unique $u: [0, T] \rightarrow H^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that:
 $u \in C^2([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1([0, T]; H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and satisfies (2.0).

3. SOLUTION OF PROBLEM (1.1)

We consider $T > 0$ and we denote by $X_T = \{u: [0, T] \rightarrow H : u \in C^1(0, T; V) \cap C(0, T; D(A))\}$. Clearly X_T is a Banach space with the norm $\|u\|_{X_T} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{\|u'(t)\| + |Au(t)|\}$. Now, we consider $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^n) = D(A^{3/2})$ and $u_1 \in D(A) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We observe that given $v \in X_T$ there is a unique $u = S(v) \in X_T$ which satisfies (2.15) and (2.16). Let us call $\|u_1\|^2 + |Au_0| = C$ and consider $E_{T,C} = \{v \in X_T : \|v(0)\|^2 \leq C\}$.

LEMMA 2. There are $r = r(C) > 0$ and $T_0 = T_0(C) > 0$ such that if $v \in E_{T_0, C}$ and $\|v\|_{X_{T_0}} \leq r$, then $\|S(v)\|_{X_{T_0}} \leq r$.

PROOF: We consider $T > 0$ and $u = S(v)$ where $v \in X_T$ and we define $z(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{\|u'(t)\|^2 + (B(\|v(t)\|^2)Au | Au)\}$. Thus, since u satisfies (2.16) we obtain that $z'(t) = [v'(t) | v(t)] (B'(\|v(t)\|^2)Au | Au)$. Therefore, by (2.3)

$$z'(t) \leq \frac{2}{m_0} \|v\|_{X_T}^2 \|B'(\|v(t)\|^2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} z(t). \quad (3.1)$$

Let

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{4C(1 + \|B'(\|v(0)\|^2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)})}{\min(1, m_0)}}.$$

Therefore if $\|v\|_{X_T} \leq r$ then $\|B'(\|v(t)\|)\| \leq \gamma_C$, $0 \leq t \leq T$. Thus, by (3.1) we obtain that:

$$z(t) \leq z(0) \exp\left(\frac{2}{m_0} r^2 \gamma_C t\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (3.2)$$

We choose $T_0 = \min\{T, \mu\}$, where $\mu = \frac{m_0}{2r^2} \log 2$ then for each $0 \leq t \leq T_0$, we conclude that:

$$z(t) \leq 2 z(0) \leq C(1 + \|B(\|v(0)\|^2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)})$$

and so, by (2.3)

$$(\|u'(t)\| + |Au(t)|)^2 \leq r^2, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T_0.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Now, we define the space $Y_T = \{u: [0, T] \rightarrow H: u \in C(0, T; V) \cap C^1(0, T; H)\}$ with the norm:

$$\|u\|_{Y_T} = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{\|u(t)\| + |u'(t)|\}.$$

Clearly Y_T is a Banach space.

LEMMA 3. We consider r and $T_0 > 0$ as in Lemma 2. Then there are $0 < T_1 \leq T_0$ and $0 < \theta < 1$ such that

$$\|S(u) - S(v)\|_{Y_{T_1}} \leq \theta \|u - v\|_{Y_{T_1}} \quad \text{for every } u \text{ and } v \text{ in } E_{T_1, C} \quad (3.3)$$

with $\|u\|_{X_{T_1}} \leq r$ and $\|v\|_{X_{T_1}} \leq r$.

PROOF: Let us consider u and v in $E_{T_0, C}$. Then $\sigma(t) = S(u)(t) - S(v)(t)$ satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma''(t) + B(\|u(t)\|^2)A\sigma + [B(\|u(t)\|^2) - B(\|v(t)\|^2)]AS(v) = 0 \\ \sigma(0) = 0 = \sigma'(0) \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

If we define

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{ |\sigma'(t)|^2 + (B(\|u(t)\|^2)A^{1/2}\sigma |A^{1/2}\sigma) \}$$

by (2.6) and (3.4), then we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= \beta_{T_0} \|\sigma(t)\| |\sigma'(t)| + \\ &+ \|B(\|u(t)\|^2) - B(\|v(t)\|^2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} |AS(v)| |\sigma'(t)| + \\ &+ \|u'(t)\| |Au(t)| \|B'(\|u(t)\|^2)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \|\sigma(t)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $\|u\|_{X_{T_0}} \leq r$ and $\|v\|_{X_{T_0}} \leq r$, then by (2.3), (2.5) and Lemma 2 above we obtain that

$$y'(t) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{m_0}} \beta_{T_0} + \frac{2}{m_0} \gamma_c r^2 \right) y(t) + 2\alpha_{T_0} r^2 \|u - v\|_{Y_{T_0}} \|\sigma\|_{Y_{T_0}}. \quad (3.5)$$

Let us consider $\Gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} \beta_{T_0} + \frac{1}{m_0} \gamma_c r^2$. Then, since that $y(0) = 0$, we obtain by (3.5) that

$$y(t) \leq \frac{\alpha_{T_0} r^2}{\Gamma} (e^{2\Gamma t} - 1) \|u - v\|_{Y_{T_0}} \|\sigma\|_{Y_{T_0}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0. \quad (3.6)$$

Now, we choose $T_1 < \min\{T_0, \frac{1}{2\Gamma} \log \left(\frac{\min(1, m_0)\Gamma}{4\alpha_{T_0} r^2} + 1 \right)\}$.

If we repeat the proof for $0 \leq t \leq T_1 \leq T_0$ follows, by (3.6), that:

$$(|\sigma'(t)| + \|\sigma(t)\|)^2 \leq \theta \|u - v\|_{Y_{T_1}} \|\sigma\|_{Y_{T_1}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_1 \quad (3.7)$$

where $\theta = \frac{\alpha_{T_0} r^2}{\Gamma} (e^{2\Gamma T_1} - 1) \frac{4}{\min(1, m_0)}$.

THEOREM 1. Given $u_0 \in D(\Lambda^{3/2})$ and $u_1 \in D(\Lambda)$. Then there exists $T_1 > 0$ and a unique function $u: [0, T_1] \rightarrow D(\Lambda)$ such that:

$$u \in C_0^2([0, T_1]; H) \cap C^1([0, T_1]; H) \cap C([0, T_1]; V) \quad (3.8)$$

$$u'(t) \in V, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_1 \quad (3.9)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (u'(t)|v) + (B(\|u(t)\|^2)Au(t)|v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in H \quad (3.10)$$

$$u(0) = u_0 \quad u'(0) = u_1 \quad (3.11)$$

Moreover, there is $r = r(c) > 0$ such that $\|u\|_{X_{T_1}} \leq r$.

PROOF: Let T_1 be defined in Lemma 3.

We define $u \equiv 0$, $u \in E_{T_1, C}$ and consider $u_{\nu+1} = S(u_\nu)$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ where $u_0 = u \equiv 0$.

We note that $u_\nu \in X_{T_1} \subset Y_{T_1}$, $\forall \nu$. Furthermore, by Lemma 1 (§3), we have that $\|u_\nu\|_{X_{T_1}} \leq r \quad \forall \nu$. Thus by Lemma 3 we obtain that

$$\|u_{\nu+1} - u_\nu\|_{Y_{T_1}} \leq \theta^\nu \|u_1\|_{X_{T_1}}. \quad \text{Therefore for } \nu \geq \mu$$

$$\|u_\nu - u_\mu\| \leq \frac{\theta^\mu}{1-\theta} \|u_1\|_{Y_{T_1}}.$$

Which implies that there is $u \in Y_{T_1}$ such that:

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} u_\nu = u \quad \text{in } Y_{T_1} \quad (3.12)$$

By Lemma 2, (3.12) and (2.5), we obtain that:

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} B(\|u_\nu(t)\|^2) = B(\|u(t)\|^2) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}(V) \quad (3.13)$$

uniformly for $0 \leq t \leq T_1$.

By (3.12) and Lemma 2 we conclude that:

$$u(t) \in D(\Lambda) \quad \forall t \in [0, T_2] \quad \text{and} \quad |Au(t)| \leq r, \quad t \in [0, T_1].$$

Moreover, for each v in V , $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} (Au_{\nu+1}(t)|v) = (Au(t)|v)$ uniformly in $[0, T_1]$ hence

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} (Au_{\nu+1}(t)|v) = (Au(t)|v) \quad \text{uniformly in } [0, T_1] \quad \forall v \in H, \quad (3.14)$$

because V is dense in H .

Now, we have that

$$(u_{\nu+1}''(t)|v) = -(B(\|u_\nu(t)\|^2)Au_{\nu+1}(t)|v), \quad \forall v \in H$$

consequently, by using (3.13) and (3.14) we obtain, that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} (u_{\nu+1}''(t)|v) = -(B(\|u(t)\|^2)Au(t)|v), \quad \forall v \in H \quad \text{uniformly} \quad (3.15)$$

in $[0, T_1]$

Therefore, by (3.12), then $u \in C_w^2([0, T_1]; H)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt} (u'(t)|v) = -(B(\|u(t)\|^2)Au(t)|v), \quad t \in [0, T_1] \quad \forall v \in H.$$

By Lemma 2 and (3.12) we obtain that $u'(t) \in V \quad t \in [0, T_2]$ and $\|u'(t)\| \leq r, \quad t \in [0, T_2]$.

It remains to prove uniqueness. We consider u and v satisfying the Theorem 1. We note that $|Au(t)| + \|u(t)\| \leq r \quad \forall t \in [0, T_1]$

and $|Av(t)| + \|v(t)\| \leq r \quad \forall t \in [0, T_1]$ then if we consider $\sigma(t) = u(t) - v(t)$ and using a similar proof of Lemma 3 we obtain that $\|\sigma\|_{Y_{T_1}} \leq \theta \|\sigma\|_{Y_{T_1}} < \|\sigma\|_{Y_{T_1}}$ and therefore $\sigma(t) = 0 \quad \forall t, t \in [0, T_1]$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This paper is dedicated to Professor P.H. Rivera (in memoriam) friend and adviser. The author would like to thank Professor G.P. Menzala for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. RIVERA, P.H. Existence of solutions of the equation $u_{tt} - M(x, |\nabla u|^2) \Delta u = F$ (in Spanish), Proc. 10th Brazilian Seminar of Analysis (S.B.M.), Rio de Janeiro (1979), Brazil.
2. MENZALA, G.P. On classical solutions of a quasi-linear hyperbolic equations, Nonlinear Anal. 3 (1979), 613-627.
3. LIONS, J.L. On some questions in boundary value problems of Mathematical Physics, in: International Symposium on Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations, Rio de Janeiro 1977, North-Holland, 1978.
4. AROSIO, A., SPAGNOLO, S. Global solutions of the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear hyperbolic equation, Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Matematica, Roma 1982.
5. EBIHARA, Y., MIRANDA, M., MEDEIROS, L.A. Local solutions for a nonlinear degenerate hyperbolic equation, Nonlinear Anal. theory Appl. and Math. (to appear).
6. ANDRADE, N.G. On a nonlinear system of Partial Differential Equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. 91 n° 1 (1983), 119-130.
7. BALL, J.M. Initial boundary value problems for extensible beam, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 42 (1973), 61-90.
8. BERNSTEIN, I.N. On a class of functional differential equations (in Russian), Izv. Acad. Nauk. SSSR, Math. 4 (1960), 17-26.
9. DICKEY, R.W. The initial value problem for a nonlinear semi infinite string, Proc. Roy. Soc. 82 A (1978), 19-26.
10. GREENBERG, J.M., HU, S.C. The initial value problem for a stretched string, Quartely Appl. Math. (1980), 289-311.
11. MEDEIROS, L.A. On a new class of nonlinear wave equations, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. vol. 69 n° 1 (1979), 252-262.
12. MENZALA, G.P. On global classical solutions of a nonlinear wave equation, Applicable Analysis 10 (1980), 179-195.
13. NISHIDA, T. A note on nonlinear vibrations of the elastic string, Mem. Fac. Eng. Kyoto Univ. 34 (1971), 329-341.
14. NISHIHARA, K. On a global solution of some quasilinear hyperbolic equation, Tokyo J. Math., vol. 7, (2), 1984.
15. POHOZAEV, S.I. On a class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations, Math. USSR Sbornik 25, (1975), 145-158.
16. RIVERA, P.H. On local strong solutions of a nonlinear partial differential equation, Applicable Analysis 10 (1980), 93-104.
17. RIBEIRO, N.M. Sobre uma equação diferencial parcial não linear da física matemática, An. Acad. Bras. Cienc. (1981), 53 (2), 251-253.
18. YAMADA, Y. On a quasilinear wave equation, Suiken, Kokyuroku, 386, Kyoto Univ. (1980).
19. YAMADA, Y. On some quasilinear wave equations with dissipative term, Nagoya Univ. J., 87 (1982), 17-39.
20. GOLDSTEIN, J. Time dependent hyperbolic equation, J. Functional Analysis 4, (1969), 31-49.