S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF DISTRIBUTIONS

BOGOLJUB STANKOVIĆ

Institute of Mathematics
University of Novi Sad
Yugoslavia

(Received December 2, 1986 and in revised form April 28, 1987)

ABSTRACT. This paper contains first a definition of the asymptotic expansion at infinity of distributions belonging to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, named S-asymptotic expansion, as also its properties and application to partial differential equations.

KEYS WORDS AND PHRASES. Convex cone, distribution, behaviour of a distribution at infinity, asymptotic expansion.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. Primary 41A60, Secondary 46F99.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The basic idea of the asymptotic behaviour at infinity of a distribution one can find already in the book of L. Schwartz [1]. To these days many mathematicians tried to find a good definition of the asymptotic behaviour of a distribution. We shall mention only "equivalence at infinity" explored by Lavoine and Misra [2] and the "quasiasymptotic" elaborated by Vladimirov and his pupils [3]. Brichkov [4] introduced the asymptotic expansion of tempered distributions as a useful mathematical tool in quantum field theory. His investigations and definitions were turned just towards these applications. In [4] one can find cited literature in which asymptotic expansion technique, introduced by Brichkov, was used in the quantum field theory. This is a reason to study S-asymptotic expansion.

2. DEFINITION OF THE S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION.

In the classical analysis we say that the sequence $\{\psi_n(t)\}$ of numerical functions is asymptotic if and only if $\psi_{n+1}(t) = o(\psi_n(t))$, $t \rightarrow \infty$. The formal series $\sum_{n \geq 1} u_n(t)$ is an asymptotic expansion of the function $u(t)$ related to the asymptotic sequence $\{\psi_n(t)\}$ if

$$u(t) - \sum_{n=1}^k u_n(t) = o(\psi_k(t)), \quad t \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.1)$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and we write

$$u(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) \mid \{\psi_n(t)\}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.2)$$

When for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $u_n(t) = c_n \psi_n(t)$, c_n are complex numbers, expansion (2.2) is unique, that means the numbers c_n can be determined in only one way.

In this text Γ will be a convex cone with vertex at zero belonging to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ the set of all real valued and positive functions $c(h)$, $h \in \Gamma$. Notations for the spaces of distributions are as in the books of Schwartz [1].

DEFINITION 1. The distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ has the S-asymptotic expansion related to the asymptotic sequence $\{c_n(h)\} \subset \Sigma(\Gamma)$, we write it

$$T(t+h) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad h \in \Gamma \quad (2.3)$$

where $U_n(t,h) \in \mathcal{D}'$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h \in \Gamma$, if for every $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\langle T(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle \sim \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \langle U_n(t,h), \rho(t) \rangle \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad h \in \Gamma \quad (2.4)$$

REMARK. 1) In the special case $U_n(t,h) = u_n(t)c_n(h)$, $u_n \in \mathcal{D}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall write

$$T(t+h) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) c_n(h), \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad h \in \Gamma \quad (2.5)$$

and the given S-asymptotic expansion is unique.

2) To define the S-asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have only to suppose that in relation (2.4) T and U_n are in $\mathcal{S}'$ and ρ in $\mathcal{S}$.

Brichkov's general definition is slightly different [5].

DEFINITION 1'. The distribution $g \in \mathcal{S}'$ has the asymptotic expansion related to the asymptotic sequence $\{\psi_n(t)\}$ on the ray $\{\lambda h_0, \lambda > 0\}$, $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$g(\lambda h_0 - t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{c}_n(t, \lambda) \mid \{\psi_n(\lambda)\}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.6)$$

where $\hat{c}_n(t, \lambda) \in \mathcal{S}'$ for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 > 0$, if for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\langle g(\lambda h_0 - t), \phi(t) \rangle \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \hat{c}_n(t, \lambda), \phi(t) \rangle \mid \{\psi_n(\lambda)\}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.7)$$

Relation 2.6 can be transformed in

$$f(x) e^{i\lambda \langle x, h_0 \rangle} \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(x, \lambda) \mid \{\psi_n(\lambda)\}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.8)$$

by the Fourier transform, if we take $f(x) = F^{-1}[g(t)]$; $\rho(x) = F^{-1}[\phi(t)]$ and $F[\hat{c}_n(t, \lambda)] = (2\pi)^n c_n(x, \lambda)$. We denote by $F[\rho]$ the Fourier transform of ρ and by $F^{-1}[g]$ the inverse Fourier transform of g . Also, for $x, t \in \mathbb{R}^n \ll x, t \gg = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i t_i$.

In his papers Bričkov considered only the asymptotic expansions (2.8) and in one dimensional case. We shall study the asymptotic expansion not in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ but in the whole $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, not only on a ray but on a cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Our results enlarge Bričkov's to be valued for the elements of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (Corollary 1), they are proved with less suppositions (Propositions 5 and 6) or give new properties of the S-asymptotic.

A distribution belonging to $\mathcal{S}'$ can have S-asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{D}'$ without having the same S-asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{S}'$. Such an example is the regular distribution f defined by the function

$$f(t) = H(t) \exp(1/(1+t^2)) \exp(-t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

where

$$H(t) = 1, t \geq 0 \text{ and } H(t) = 0, t < 0.$$

It is easy to prove that for $h \in R_+$

$$\tilde{f}(t+h) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (1+(t+h)^2)^{1-n} \exp(-t-h) | \{e^{-h} h^{2(1-n)}\}, h \rightarrow \infty.$$

But

$$U_n(t, h) = (1+(t+h)^2)^{1-n} \exp(-t-h), n \in N, h > 0$$

do not belong to $\mathcal{J}'$.

The regular distribution $\tilde{g}$ defined by the function

$$g(t) = \exp(1+(1+t^2)) \exp(t), t \in R$$

belongs to $\mathcal{D}'$ but it is not in $\mathcal{J}'$. It has S-asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{J}'$:

$$\tilde{g}(t+h) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (1+(t+h)^2)^{1-n} \exp(t+h) | \{e^h h^{2(1-n)}\}, h \rightarrow \infty$$

where $\Gamma = R_+$.

3. PROPERTIES OF THE S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $S \in \mathcal{E}'$ and $T \in \mathcal{D}'$. If

$$T(t+h) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t, h) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma$$

then the convolution

$$(S*T)(t+h) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S * U_n)(t, h) | \{c_n(h)\} \|h\| \rightarrow \infty h \in \Gamma \quad (3.1)$$

PROOF. We know that

$$\langle (S*T)(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^k \langle (S*U_n)(t, h), \rho(t) \rangle = \langle S*[T(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^k U_n(t, h)], \rho(t) \rangle.$$

It remains only to use the continuity of the convolution.

COROLLARY 1. If

$$T(t+h) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t, h) | \{c_n(h)\} \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma$$

then

$$T^{(k)}(t+h) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n^{(k)}(t, h) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma \quad (3.2)$$

where $T^{(k)} = (D_{t_1}^{k_1} \dots D_{t_n}^{k_n}) T$, $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in N_0^n$, $N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$.

PROOF. We have only to take $S = \delta^{(k)}$ in Proposition 1.

REMARK. Proposition 1. is valued as well if we suppose that $T \in \mathcal{J}'$ and $S \in \mathcal{O}_c'$.

PROPOSITION 2. Let f , $U_n(t, h)$ and $V_n(t)$, $n \in N$ and $h \in \Gamma$, be the local integrable functions such that for every compact set $K \subset R^n$

$$f(t+h) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t, h) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma, t \in K$$

and

$$|f(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^k U_n(t, h)| / c_k(h) \leq V_k(t), \quad t \in K, h \in \Gamma$$

and $\|h\| \geq r(k, K)$, then for the regular distribution $\tilde{f}$ defined by f we have

$$\tilde{f}(t+h) \stackrel{\S}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{U}_n(t, h) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. The proof is a consequence of the Lebesgue's theorem.

PROPOSITION 3. Suppose that T_1 and T_2 belong to $\mathcal{D}'$ and equal over the open set Ω which has the property: for every $r > 0$ there exists a β_0 such that the ball $B(0, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\| \leq r\}$ is in $\{\Omega - h, h \in \Gamma, \|h\| \geq \beta_0\}$. If

$$T_1(t+h) \stackrel{\S}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t, h) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma$$

then

$$T_2(t+h) \stackrel{\S}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(t, h) | \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma$$

as well.

PROOF. We have only to prove that for every $c_k(h)$

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \langle [T_1(t+h) - T_2(t+h)] / c_k(h), \rho(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \rho \in \mathcal{D} \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\text{supp } \rho \subset B(0, r)$. The distribution $T_1(t+h) - T_2(t+h)$ equals zero over $\Omega - h$. By the supposition there exists a β_0 such that the ball $B(0, r)$ is in $\{\Omega - h, h \in \Gamma, \|h\| \geq \beta_0\}$. This proves out relation (3.3).

PROPOSITION 4. Let $S \in \mathcal{D}'$ and for $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$D_{t_m} S(t+h) \stackrel{\S}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i(t, h) | \{c_i(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

If the family $\{V_i(t, h), i \in \mathbb{N}, h \in \Gamma\}$ has the properties: $D_{t_m} V_i(t, h) = U_i(t, h)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}, h \in \Gamma$ and for a $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, $\int_R \rho_0(\tau) d\tau = 1$, and for every $\rho_m \in \mathcal{D}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \langle [S(t+h) - \sum_{i=1}^k V_i(t, h)] / c_k(h), \rho_0(t_m) \lambda_m(t) \rangle = 0$$

where $\lambda_m(t) = \int_R \rho(t_1, \dots, t_m, \dots, t_n) dt_m$, then

$$S(t+h) \stackrel{\S}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i(t, h) | \{c_i(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. If $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$ then $\rho(t) = \rho_0(t_m) \lambda_m(t) + \psi(t)$ where $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\int_R \psi(t_1, \dots, t_m, \dots, t_n) dt_m = 0$.

Now we have the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [S(t+h) - \sum_{i=1}^k V_i(t, h)], \rho(t) \rangle &= \langle [S(t+h) - \sum_{i=1}^k V_i(t, h)], \rho_0 \lambda_m(t) \rangle \\ &- \langle [D_{t_m} S(t+h) - \sum_{i=1}^k U_i(t, h)], \int_{-\infty}^{t_m} \psi(t_1, \dots, u_m, \dots, t_n) du_m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It remains only to use the limit in it and Corollary 1.

PROPOSITION 5. Suppose that $S \in \mathcal{D}'$, $\Gamma = \{h \in \mathbb{R}^n, h = (0, \dots, h_m, \dots, 0)\}$, where m is fixed, $1 \leq m \leq n$ and

$$(D_{t_m} S)(t+h) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i(t, h) \mid \{c_i(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma$$

If there exists $V_i(t, h)$, $D_{h_m} V_i(t, h) = U_i(t, h)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and if $c_i(h)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ are local integrable in h_m and such that

$$\hat{c}_i(h) = \int_1^{h_m} c_i(u) du \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad h_m \rightarrow \infty$$

then

$$S(t+h) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i(t, h) \mid \{\hat{c}_i(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. By L'Hospital's rule with the Stolz's improvement we have for every $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \frac{\langle S(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle - \langle \sum_{i=1}^k V_i(t, h), \rho(t) \rangle}{\hat{c}_k(h)} \\ = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \frac{\langle (D_{t_m} S)(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle - \langle \sum_{i=1}^k U_i(t, h), \rho(t) \rangle}{c_k(h)}. \end{aligned}$$

These five propositions give how is related the S-asymptotic with convolution, derivative, classical expansion and the primitive of a distribution. The next proposition gives the analytical expression of $U_n(t, h) = u_n(t) c_n(h)$.

PROPOSITION 6. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{D}'$, Γ with nonempty interior,

$$T(t+h) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) c_n(h), \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

If $u_m \neq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then u_m has the form

$$u_m(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k^m(t_1, \dots, t_n) \exp(\langle a^k, t \rangle), \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.4)$$

where $a^k = (a_1^k, \dots, a_n^k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and P_k^m are polynomials, the power of them less of k in every t_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$: $\langle x, t \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i t_i$.

PROOF. By Definition 1 and our supposition

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} T(t+h)/c_1(h) = u_1(t) \neq 0 \quad (3.5)$$

From relation (3.5) follows that u_1 satisfies the equation

$$u_1(t+h_0) = d(h_0) u_1(t), \quad h_0 \in \Gamma \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$d(h_0) = \lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} c_1(h+h_0)/c_1(h)$$

If h_0 is an interior point of Γ and e_k is such element from R^n for which all the coordinates equal zero except the k -th which is 1. Then

$$u_1(t+h_0+\epsilon e_k) - u_1(t+h_0) = [d(\epsilon e_k) - d(0)]u_1(t+h_0).$$

Hence the existence of $D_{h_k} d(h)_{h=0} = a_k^1$ and

$$D_{t_k} u_1(t+h_0) = a_k^1 u_1(t+h_0), \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.7)$$

We know that all the solutions of equation (3.7) are of the form $u_1(t) = C_1 \exp(\langle a^1, t \rangle)$, where C_1 is a constant and $a^1 = (a_1^1, \dots, a_n^1)$.

The following limit gives u_2

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \frac{\langle T(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle - \langle u_1(t), \rho(t) \rangle c_1(h)}{c_2(h)} = \langle u_2, \rho \rangle$$

By Corollary 1 follows for $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \frac{\langle (D_{t_i} - a_i^1)T(t+h), \rho(t) \rangle}{c_2(h)} = \langle (D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2(t), \rho(t) \rangle$$

Two cases are possible. a) If $(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2 = 0$, $i=1, \dots, n$, then $u_2(t) = C_2 \exp(\langle a^1, t \rangle)$.

b) If $(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2 \neq 0$ for some i , then $(D_{t_i} - a_i^1)u_2(t) = c \exp(\langle a^2, t \rangle)$ and u_2 has the form $C_2 \exp(\langle a^1, t \rangle) + P_2^2(t_1, \dots, t_n) \exp(\langle a^2, t \rangle)$, where P_2^2 is a polynomial of the power less of 2 in every t_i , $i=1, \dots, n$.

In the same way we prove for every u_m .

PROPOSITION 7. Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ and $\Omega \in R^n$ be an open set with the property: for every $r > 0$ there exists a β_r such that the ball $B(h, r) \subset \Omega$ for all $h \in \Gamma$, $\|h\| \geq \beta_r$.

Suppose

$$T(t+h) \stackrel{s}{=} \sum_{n=1}^m U_n(t+h) \mid \{c_1(h), \dots, c_m(h)\}, \quad \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad h \in \Gamma$$

for any function $c_m(h)$ from $\Sigma(\Gamma)$, then $T = \sum_{n=1}^m U_n$ over Ω .

PROOF. The statement of this Proposition can be obtained from a proposition proved in [6]. However, for completeness, we shall give the proof on the whole.

First we shall prove that if for every $c_m(h) \in \Sigma(\Gamma)$

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma} \langle \frac{T(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^m U_n(t+h)}{c_m(h)}, \rho(t) \rangle = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

then there exists a $\beta(\rho)$ such that

$$\langle [T(t+h) - \sum_{n=1}^m U_n(t+h)], \rho(t) \rangle = 0, \quad h \in \Gamma, \quad \|h\| \geq \beta(\rho).$$

Suppose the opposite. We would have a sequence $h_n \in \Gamma$, $\|h_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\langle [T(t+h_n) - \sum_{n=1}^m U_n(t+h_n)], \rho(t) \rangle = p_n \neq 0, \quad n \in N$$

then we choose $c_m(h)$ in such a way that $c_m(h_n) = p_n$ and relation (3.8) would be false.

We denote by $\beta_0(\rho) = \inf \beta(\rho)$. We shall prove that the set $\{\beta_0(\rho), \rho \in \mathcal{D}_K\}$ for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded. Let us suppose the opposite; then there exists a sequence $\{h_k\}$, $h_k \in \Gamma$, $\|h_k\| \rightarrow \infty$ and the sequence $\{\phi_k(t)\}$, $\phi_k \in \mathcal{D}_K$ such that

$$\langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \phi_p(t) \rangle = A_{k,p} = \begin{cases} a_k \neq 0, & p = k \\ 0, & p < k \end{cases}; \quad \bar{T} = T - \sum_{n=1}^m U_n.$$

The construction of the sequence $\{h_k\}$ and ϕ_k can be the following. Let $\phi_k \in \mathcal{D}_K$ be such that $\beta_0(\phi_k)$ is a strict monotone sequence which tends to infinity, then there exist $\{h_k\} \subset \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon_k > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta_0(\phi_{k-1}) + \varepsilon_k \leq \|h_k\| \leq \beta_0(\phi_k) - \varepsilon_k$. Now, we shall construct the sequence $\{\psi_p(t)\}$, $\psi_p \in \mathcal{D}_K$ for which we have

$$\langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi_p(t) \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & p \neq k \\ a_k, & p = k \end{cases}.$$

Let $\psi_p(t) = \phi_p(t) - \lambda_1^p \phi_1(t) - \dots - \lambda_{p-1}^p \phi_{p-1}(t)$, $p > 1$. The numbers λ_i^p we can find in such a way that $\psi_p(t)$ satisfies the sought property.

It is easy to see that $\langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi_k(t) \rangle = a_k$ and $\langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi_p(t) \rangle = 0$, $k > p$. For a fixed p and $k < p$ we can find λ_i^p , $i=1, \dots, p-1$ so that for $k=1, \dots, p-1$

$$0 = \langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi_p(t) \rangle = A_{k,p} - \lambda_1^p A_{k,1} - \dots - \lambda_{p-1}^p A_{k,p-1}$$

Hence

$$\lambda_1^p A_{k,1} + \dots + \lambda_{p-1}^p A_{k,p-1} = A_{k,p}, \quad k=1, \dots, p-1, \quad p > 1.$$

As $A_{k,k} \neq 0$ for every k , this system has always a solution.

We introduce now a sequence of numbers $\{b_k\}$, $b_k = \sup\{2^k |\psi_k^{(i)}(t)|, i < k\}$.

Then the function

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \psi_p(t)/b_p \in \mathcal{D}_K$$

and this series converges in $\mathcal{D}_K$, thus in $\mathcal{D}$ as well. With this

$$\langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi(t) \rangle = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \langle \bar{T}(t+h_k), \psi_p(t)/b_p \rangle = a_k/b_k$$

If we choose $c(h)$ such that $c(h_k) = a_k/b_k$ then $\langle [\bar{T}(t+h)/c(h)], \psi(t) \rangle$ does not converge to zero when $\|h\| \rightarrow \infty$, $h \in \Gamma$. This is in contradiction with (3.8). Hence, for every compact set K there exists a $\beta_0(K)$ such that $\langle \bar{T}(t+h), \phi(t) \rangle = 0$, $\|h\| \geq \beta_0(K)$, $h \in \Gamma$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_K$. That means that $\bar{T}(t+h) = 0$ over $B(0, r)$, $\|h\| \geq \beta(r)$, $h \in \Gamma$ and $\bar{T}(t) = 0$ over $B(h, r)$, $\|h\| \geq \beta(r)$, $h \in \Gamma$.

4. APPLICATION OF THE S-ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION TO PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS.

As we mentioned in [4], one can find cited literature in which asymptotic expansion technique (in $\mathcal{S}'$ and in one dimensional case) was used in the quantum field theory. We show how the S-asymptotic expansion in $\mathcal{D}'$ can be applied to solutions of partial differential equations.

PROPOSITION 8. Suppose that E is a fundamental solution of the operator

$$L(D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} a_\alpha D^\alpha, \quad a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup 0)^n; \quad L(D) \neq 0 \quad (4.1)$$

such that

$$E(t+h) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t,h) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma. \quad (4.2)$$

Then there exists a solution X of the equation

$$L(D) X = G, G \in \mathcal{E}' \quad (4.3)$$

which has S -asymptotic expansion

$$X(t+h) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (G * u_n(t,h)) \mid \{c_n(h)\}, \|h\| \rightarrow \infty, h \in \Gamma.$$

PROOF. The well-known Malgrange-Ehrenpreis theorem (see for example [7], p. 212) asserts that there exists a fundamental solution of the operator (4.1) belonging to $\mathcal{D}'$. The solution of equation (4.3) exists and can be expressed by the formula $X = E * G$. To find the S -asymptotic of X we have only to apply Proposition 1.

REMARKS. If we denote by $A(L(D), E)$ the collection of those $T \in \mathcal{D}'$ for which the convolution $E * T$ and $L(D)\delta * E * T$ exist in $\mathcal{D}'$, then the solution $X = E * G$ is unique in the class $A(L(D), E)$ ([7], p. 87).

We can enlarge the space to which belongs G ([7], p. 216).

The fundamental solutions are known for the most important operators $L(D)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This material is based on work supported by the U.S.-Yugoslavia Joint Fund for Scientific and Technological Cooperation, in cooperation with the NSF under Grant (JFP) 544.

REFERENCES

1. SCHWARTZ, L. Théorie des distributions, T. I, II, Herman, Paris, 1957-1951.
2. LAVOINE, J. and MISRA, O.P. Théorèmes Abéliens pour la transformation de Stieltjes des distributions, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. T. 279 (1974), 99-102.
3. VLADIMIROV, V.S., DROŽŽINOV, Yu.N., and ZAVJALOV, B.I. Multidimensional Theorems of Tauberian Type for Generalized Functions, Moskva, "Nauka", 1986.
4. BRICHKOV, Yu.A. Asymptotical Expansions of the Generalized Functions I, Theoret. Mat. Fiz. T. 5 (1970), 98-109.
5. ZEMANIAN, A.H. Generalized Integral Transformations, Appendix I in the Russian translation, Moskva "Nauka", 1974.
6. STANKOVIĆ, B. Characterization of Some Subspaces of $\mathcal{D}'$ by S -asymptotic, Publ. Inst. Mat. Beograd 41 (1987), in print.
7. VLADIMIROV, V.S. Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics, Mir Publishers, Moscow, 1979.