Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
VOL. 12 NO. 3 (1989) 463-466

A NOTE ON RINGS WITH CERTAIN VARIABLE IDENTITIES

HAZAR ABU-KHUZAM

Department of Mathematical Sciences
King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals
Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

(Paper received on June 30, 1987 and in revised form on October 29, 1988)

ABSTRACT. It is proved that certain rings satisfying generalized-commutator constraints of the form $[x^m, y^n, y^n, \ldots, y^n] = 0$ with m and n depending on x and y, must have nil commutator ideal.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Commutator ideal, periodic ring.
1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 16A70.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $[x_1, x_2]$ denote $x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1$, and for $k > 2$, let $[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k] = [[x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}], x_k]$. For $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = x_3 = \ldots = x_k = y$, denote $[x, y, \ldots, y]$ by $[x,y]_k$. A result of Herstein [1] and of Anan'in and Zyabko [2] asserts that if for any x and y in a ring R, there exist positive integers $m = m(x,y)$, $n = n(x,y)$ such that $x^m y^n = y^n x^m$, then the commutator ideal of R is nil. Recently, Herstein [3] proved that a ring R in which for any $x, y, z \in R$ there exists positive integers $m = m(x, y, z)$, $n = n(x, y, z)$, and $q = q(x, y, z)$ such that $[[x^m, y^n], z^q] = 0$ must have nil commutator ideal. More recently Klein, Nada and Bell [4] raised the following conjecture which arises naturally from the above mentioned work.

CONJECTURE. Let $k > 1$. If for each $x, y \in R$, there exists positive integers m and n such that $[x^m, y^n]_k = 0$, then the commutator ideal of R is nil.

In [4], Klein, Nada and Bell proved the conjecture for rings with identity 1. Given the complexity of [1] and [3], it would appear that no proof of this conjecture is in sight. Our objective is to prove the conjecture for certain classes of rings and to generalize a result of Herstein in [3] and some results in [4] and [5].

A ring R is called periodic if for each x in R, there exists distinct positive integers m and n for which $x^m = x^n$. In preparation for the proofs of our main theorems, we start with the following lemma which is known [5] and we omit its proof.

LEMMA 1. If R is a periodic ring, then for each x in R, there exists a positive integer $k = k(x)$ such that x^k is idempotent.

2. MAIN RESULTS.

The following theorem shows that the conjecture is true for Artinian rings.

THEOREM 1. Let $k > 1$, and let R be an Artinian ring such that for each x, y in R, there exists positive integers m and n such that $[x, y]_k = 0$. Then the commutator ideal of R is nil.

PROOF. To prove that the commutator ideal of R is nil it is enough to show that if R has no nonzero nil ideals then it is commutative. So we suppose that R has no nonzero nil ideals. Since R is Artinian, the Jacobson radical J of R is nilpotent. So $J = 0$, and hence R is semisimple Artinian. This implies that R has an identity element and now, R is commutative by Theorem 3 of [4].

Next, we prove Theorem 2 which shows that the conjecture is true for periodic rings. This result generalizes a result of Bell in [5].

THEOREM 2. Let $k > 1$ and let R be a periodic ring such that for each x, y in R there exists positive integers m and n such that $[x^m, y^n]_k = 0$. Then the commutator ideal of R is nil.

PROOF. If $k = 2$, then the result follows by the theorem in [1]. So assume $k > 2$ and let x be any element of R and let e be any idempotent of R. By hypothesis, there exists integers m and n such that $[x^m, e^n]_k = 0$. This implies that $[x^m, e]_k = 0$, and hence

$$[x^m, e]_{k-1}e = e[x^m, e]_{k-1} .$$

Multiplying by e from the right and using the fact that $e[x^m, e]_{k-1} e = 0$ we obtain $[x^m, e]_{k-1} e = 0$. Hence $0 = ([x^m, e]_{k-2} e - e[x^m, e]_{k-2})e = [x^m, e]_{k-2} e$. Continuing this way we get $[x^m, e]e = 0$ which implies that $x^m e = ex^m e$. Similarily, we can get $ex^m = ex^m e$. This implies that

$$x^m e = ex^m , \ x \in R, \text{ e any idempotent and } m = m(x, e). \tag{2.1}$$

Let y be any element of R. Since R is periodic, Lemma 1 implies that y^p is idempotent for some positive integer $p = p(y)$. So (2.1) implies that for each x, y in R there exists positive integers m and p such that $x^m y^p = y^p x^m$. Now, the result follows by the well-known theorem in [1] or [2].

THEOREM 3. Let $k > 1$. If R is a prime ring having a nonzero idempotent element such that for each x, y in R there exists positive integers m and n such that $[x^m, y^n]_k = 0$. Then R is commutative.

PROOF. The argument used in Theorem 2 to reach statement (2.1) in the proof shows that a ring satisfying the generalized commutator constraint $[x^m, y^n]_k = 0$ must have its idempotent elements in the center. For let e_1 and e_2 be idempotent elements in R. (2.1) implies that $e_1 e_2 = e_2 e_1$ and hence the idempotents of R commute. This implies that the idempotents of R are central in R [6, Remark 2]. Let e be a nonzero

idempotent of R. Then e is a nonzero central idempotent in the prime ring R. Hence e is an identity element of R since it can not be a zero divisor. Now R is commutative by Theorem 3 of [4].

The proof of Theorem 4 below was done by Kezlan in the proof of his main theorem in [7]. So we omit its proof here.

THEOREM 4. Let $k > 1$. If R is a prime ring with a nontrivial center such that for each x, y in R there exists positive integers m and n such that $[x^m, y^n]_k = 0$, then R is commutative.

The following result generalizes Theorem 1 of [4].

THEOREM 5. Let R be a ring and let M be a fixed positive integer. Suppose that for each $x, y \in R$ there exist positive integers $m = m(x, y) < M$ and $n = n(x, y)$ such that $[x^m, y^n, y^n]$ belongs to the center of R. Then the commutator ideal of R is nil.

PROOF. Again, we suppose that R has no nil ideals and hence R is a subdirect product of prime rings satisfying the above hypothesis of R. So we may assume that R is prime. Let Z be the center of R. If $Z = 0$, then for each $x, y \in R$, $[x^m, y^n, y^n] = 0$ where $m = m(x, y) < M$, and $n = n(x, y)$. This implies that R is commutative by Theorem 1 of [4]. So we may assume that R has a nontrivial center, and hence R is commutative by Theorem 4 above.

The following result generalizes Theorem 8 in [3].

THEOREM 6. Let R be a ring in which, for each $x, y, z \in R$, there exists positive integers $m = m(x, y, z)$ $n = n(x, y, z)$ and $q = q(x, y, z)$ such that $[x^m, y^n, z^q]$ belongs to the center of R. Then the commutator ideal of R is nil.

PROOF. Again, we may assume that R is a prime ring satisfying the above hypothesis. Let Z be the center of R. If $Z = 0$, then for each $x, y, z \in R$, $[[x^m, y^n], z^q] = 0$, where $m = m(x, y, z)$, $n = n(x, y, z)$ and $q = q(x, y, z)$. This implies that R is commutative by Theorem 8 of [3]. So we may assume that R has a nontrivial center. For any x, y in R, $[[x^m, y^n], y^q] \in Z$ where m, n, q are each functions of the variables x and y. So $[[[x^m, y^n], y^q], y] = 0$, which implies that $[[[x^m, y^{nq}], y^{nq}], y^{nq}] = 0$. Hence R is commutative by Theorem 4 above.

REMARK. The result in Theorem 6 can be generalized as follows. Let R be a ring such that for each $x, y, z \in R$, there exists positive integers $m = m(x, y, z)$, $n = n(x,y,z)$ and $q = q(x,y,z)$ such that $[x^m, y^n, z^q, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k] = 0$ for all elements $r_1, \ldots, r_k$ in R. Then the commutator ideal of R is nil. This can be done by induction on k and using the argument in Theorem 6. We omit the details of the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research is supported by King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals.

REFERENCES

1. HERSTEIN, I.N., A Commutativity Theorem, J. Algebra, 38(1976), 112-118.
2. ANAN'IN, A.Z. & ZYABKO, E.M., On a Question of Faith, Algebra i Logica 13(1974), 125-131.
3. HERSTEIN, I.N., On Rings with a Particular Variable Identity, J. Algebra 62(1980), 346-357.
4. KLEIN, A., NADA, I. & BELL, H., Some Commutativity Results for Rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 22(1980), 285-289.
5. BELL, H.E., Some Commutativity Results for Periodic Rings, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 28(1976), 279-283.
6. HIRANO, Y., TOMINAGA, H. & YAQUB, A., On Rings Satisfying the Identity $(x + x^2 \ldots + x^n)^{(n)} = 0$, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 25(1983), 13-18.
7. KEZLAN, T.P., On Generalized Commutators and Nil Commutator Ideals, Arch. Math. 43(1984), 21-24.
8. HERSTEIN, I.N., Rings with Involution, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976.