Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
VOL. 13 NO. 2 (1990) 223-226

ON PERVIN'S EXAMPLE CONCERNING THE CONNECTED-OPEN TOPOLOGY

T.B.M. McMASTER

Pure Mathematics Department
Queen's University
Belfast BT7 1NN
Northern Ireland

(Received May 5, 1988)

ABSTRACT. Irudayanathan and Naimpally [1] introduced a topology for function spaces (called the "connected-open" topology) which has the property that the connected functions form a closed set provided that the codomain is completely normal. Pervin [2] gave an example showing that the proviso cannot be weakened to normality. The purpose of this note is to point out a lacuna in his demonstration, and to re-establish the validity of the example.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Function space, connected-open topology, complete normality.*
1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 54C35, 54D15.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let X and Y denote topological spaces, and F the set of all mappings from X to Y. For each connected subset K of X and each pair U, V of open subsets of Y denote by W(K; U, V) the subset

$$\{f \in F : f(K) \subseteq U \cup V,\ f(K) \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq f(K) \cap V\}$$

of F. The collection $\mathcal{S}$ of all these sets W(K; U, V) is a subbase for the connected-open topology T on F, introduced by Irudayanathan and Naimpally in [1] where it is proved that the collection C^{-2} of all connected (Darboux) functions is T-closed if Y is completely normal.

To show that normality of Y is not sufficient for this result, Pervin [2] took Y as a modification of the Tychonoff plank, with an open interval of reals interpolated between each ordinal and its successor in the construction; appealed to cardinality to obtain a function f from the unit interval X = [0,1] onto a subset $A^* \cup B^*$ of Y, where A^* and B^* were separated but had no disjoint neighbourhoods in Y, and where $f^{-1}(\{y\})$ was dense in X for every y in $A^* \cup B^*$; and proved that any member W(K; U, V) of $\mathcal{S}$ which contained f must also contain a connected function. However, this does not suffice to establish that the (non-connected) function f belongs to the T-closure of C^{-2}, it being perfectly possible for every subbasic neighbourhood

of a point to intersect a set without every basic neighbourhood doing so. We shall show that f is, nevertheless, a limit of connected (indeed, of continuous) functions.

2. PERVIN'S EXAMPLE REVISITED.

Let J denote the connected, compact T_2 space formed from the second uncountable ordinal $\overline{W}_\Omega$ by interpolating a copy of (0,1) between each element (other than the maximum) and its successor, and imposing the order topology on the resulting chain; and consider the product space $Y = J\times[0,1]$. (The space $\overline{W}^*_\omega$ used here by Pervin instead of $[0,1]$ is homeomorphic to $[0,1]$.) Denote by a and b (respectively) the least and greatest elements of J, and by A* and B* the following subsets of Y:

$$A^* = [a,b)\times\{1\},\ B^* = \{b\}\times[0,1).$$

(Pervin's definition of these sets is incompatible with his assertion that they are connected; the above is presumably what was intended.) Considerations of cardinality establish the existence of a mapping f from $[0,1]$ onto $A^* \cup B^*$ such that the preimage of each singleton is dense. It will now be shown that every neighbourhood of f contains a connected function.

Consider a typical basic T-neighbourhood

$$G = \cap\{W(K_i;\ U_i,\ V_i) : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$$

of f, where (for each i) K_i is a connected subset of $[0,1]$, U_i and V_i are open in Y, and $f(K_i)$ is contained in the union of U_i and V_i and meets them both. No loss of generality will be incurred by assuming that the sets K_i are distinct, since

$$W(K;\ U,\ V) \cap W(K;\ U',\ V') \supseteq W(K;\ U \cap U',\ V \cap V').$$

Denoting by j the number of degenerate intervals amongst the K_i, where $0 \le j \le n$, we can arrange the labelling so that K_i is a singleton for $i \le j$ and is non-degenerate for $i > j$. The strategy of the proof is to determine a subset Z of Y of the form suggested by $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\varepsilon\beta$ in the diagram below (which see), where x is chosen to ensure that Z is contained in $U_i \cup V_i$ for all $i > j$, and z is selected so that Z includes at least one point of $U_i \cap V_i$ for each i; a path-connectedness argument within Z will then produce a continuous function belonging to G.

For $i > j$, $f(K_i)$ is the whole of $A^* \cup B^*$ and is contained in $U_i \cup V_i$. Thus for each positive integer n the product of compact sets

$$\{b\}\times[0,1-2^{-n}]$$

is contained in $U_i \cup V_i$, and a lemma of A.D. Wallace (see [3], p.142) allows us to find $x_{i,n} \in [a,b)$ such that

$$(x_{i,n},b]\times[0,1-2^{-n}] \subseteq U_i \cup V_i.$$



Figure 1.

Now $[a,b)$ inherits from its cofinal subset $\overline{W}_\Omega \setminus \{b\}$ the property that each countable subset is bounded above: choosing then a strict upper bound $x_i < b$ for the sequence $(x_{i,n})$ we see that

$$[x_i,b]\times[0,1) \subseteq U_i \cup V_i;$$

and so if x denotes the maximum of the elements x_i here chosen, we have

$$[x,b]\times[0,1) \subseteq U_i \cup V_i \qquad \text{for all } i > j. \tag{2.1}$$

(In the event that $j = n$, i.e. that all the K_i are degenerate, (2.1) may be obtained by an arbitrary choice of $x < b$.)

Still considering the case $i > j$, we see from (2.1) that the connected set $A^* \cup (x,b]\times[0,1)$ is contained in the union of U_i and V_i and intersects them both; so it must be possible to choose a point $t(i) = (t(i)_1, t(i)_2)$ of $U_i \cap V_i$ such that either $t(i) \in A^*$, or else $t(i) \in (x,b]\times[0,1)$: and in the latter case, the observations that $U_i \cap V_i$ is a neighbourhood of $t(i)$ and that b is not isolated in J will allow us to assume that $x < t(i)_1 < b$. Turning now to the case $i \leq j$, $f(K_i)$ is here a single point of $(A^* \cup B^*) \cap U_i \cap V_i$; if this point lies in A^* we denote it

by $t(i) = (t(i)_1, t(i)_2)$, while if it belongs to B^*, an argument like that above will yield $t(i) = (t(i)_1, t(i)_2)$ in $U_i \cap V_i$ satisfying $x < t(i)_1 < b$. Lastly let z denote the maximum of $t(1)_1, t(2)_1, \ldots, t(n)_1$: the consequence of the choices of x and of z is that the set

$$Z = [a,z]\times\{1\} \cup [x,z]\times[0,1]$$

includes the point $t(i)$ of $U_i \cap V_i$ for every i, and is contained in $U_i \cup V_i$ for those values of i (if any) for which K_i is non-degenerate.

Now since $z < b$, the interval (x,z) contains only countably many elements of $\overline{W}_\Omega$ and only countably many interpolated real intervals or parts thereof, so it possesses a countable dense subset. It is routine to verify that it contains a supremum and an infimum for each of its bounded subsets, and it has no least nor greatest element and no gaps. Thus by a well-known characterization due to Hausdorff ([4], p. 54) it is homeomorphic to the real line. Then $[x,z]$ and, similarly, $[a,z]$ are homeomorphic to bounded closed real intervals; and Z, being essentially the unit square in the real plane with a line segment attached to one corner, is path-connected. Choosing distinct elements k_i in K_i for each i, which will be possible since the K_i are themselves distinct intervals, this guarantees the existence of a continuous (and therefore connected) function $g : [0,1] \to Z$ such that $g(k_i) = t(i)$ for each i. Regarding g as a mapping into Y, we see that it is common to all the sets $W(K_i; U_i, V_i)$ and the demonstration is complete.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to express his gratitude to Mr. Patrick O'Hanlon for bringing the problem to his attention, and for many valuable conversations.

REFERENCES

1. IRUDAYANATHAN, A. and NAIMPALLY, S. Connected-open topology for function spaces, Indag. Math., 28 (= Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. v. Wetensch., A69) (1966), 22-24.
2. PERVIN, W.J. On the connected-open topology, Indag. Math., 29 (= Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. v. Wetensch., A70) (1967), 126-127.
3. KELLEY, J.L. General Topology, Van Nostrand, 1955.
4. HAUSDORFF, F. Mengenlehre (3rd edition), Dover Publications, 1947.