ABSTRACT. The main result is that a square matrix \( D \) is convergent (\( \lim_{n \to \infty} D^n = 0 \)) if and only if it is the Cayley transform \( C_A = (I-A)^{-1}(I+A) \) of a stable matrix \( A \), where a stable matrix is one whose characteristic values all have negative real parts. In passing, the concept of Cayley transform is generalized, and the generalized version is shown closely related to the equation \( AG + GB = D \). This gives rise to a characterization of the non-singularity of the mapping \( X \to AX + XB \). As consequences are derived several characterizations of stability (closely related to Lyapunov's result) which involve Cayley transforms.
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Both Taussky and Stein [Stein, 1965] have written on the connection between stable matrices and convergent matrices. The link joining the two is the Cayley transform: a matrix is convergent \( \iff \) it is the Cayley transform of a stable matrix (Theorem 8).

Cayley transforms are introduced by considering the matrix equation \( AX + XB = C \). But first a lemma:

Lemma 1. Over field \( F \) let matrix \( A \) be \( n \times n \) and let \( x \) be either indeterminate over \( F \) or in \( F \) but not a characteristic value of \( A \). Then

\[
(xI-A)^{-1}(xI+A) = (xI+A)(xI-A)^{-1}.
\]  
(1)

If either expression in (1) is denoted by \( C_{Ax} \), then \( C_{Ax}^{-1} = C_{Ax} \). If \( x \neq 0 \), then

\[
A = x(C_{Ax}^{-1})(C_{Ax}+I)^{-1}.
\]  
(2)

Proof: Since \( x \) is not a characteristic value of \( A \), \( (xI-A)^{-1} \) exists. (1) follows from

\[
(xI+A)(xI-A) = (xI-A)(xI+A).
\]  
(3)

Before (2) can be derived, the non-singularity of \( C_{Ax} + I \) must be proven. This equation holds:
\[ C_{A,A} + 1 = (xI+A)(xI-A)^{-1} + (xI-A)(xI-A)^{-1} \]
\[ = 2x(xI-A)^{-1}. \]

Therefore, \(|C_{A,A} + 1| = 2x|\text{det}(xI-A)^{-1}| \neq 0\) since \(x \neq 0\) and \(|xI-A| \neq 0\) (for \(xI-A\) is non-singular); hence, \(C_{A,A} + 1\) is non-singular. (2) then follows directly. QED

\(C_{A,A}\) of (1) is the **generalized Cayley transform** of \(A\). If \(x = 1\) is not a characteristic value of \(A\), then \(C_{A,1}\) is the **Cayley transform** of \(A\); it will be denoted \(C_{A}\). Note that the mapping \(A \rightarrow C_{A}\) is bijective from the set of matrices having no characteristic value \(= 1\) onto those having no characteristic value \(= -1\), the inverse transformation being determined by (2).

**Theorem 2**: Let matrix \(A\) be \(m \times m\), \(G\) and \(D\) be \(m \times n\), and \(B\) be \(n \times n\), all with entries in field \(F\).

\[ AG + GB = D \iff G \cdot C_{A,G} \cdot G C_{B,X} = -2x(xI-A)^{-1}D(xI-B)^{-1}, \tag{4} \]

where \(x\) is either indeterminate over \(F\) or in \(F\) but \(\neq 0\) and a characteristic value of neither \(A\) nor \(B\).

**Proof**: \(x\) satisfies the requirements for \(C_{A,G}\) and \(C_{B,X}\) to exist, according to the lemma, and the dimensions of \(C_{A,G}\), \(C_{B,X}\), \((xI-A)^{-1}\), and \((xI-B)^{-1}\) are such that the expression on the right of (4) is well-defined.

\[ AG + GB = D \iff (xG-AG)(xI-B) - (xG+AG)(xI+B) = -2xD \]
\[ (xI-A)G(xI-B) - (xI-A)G(xI+B) = -2xD \]
\[ G \cdot (xI-A)^{-1}(xI+A)G(xI+B)(xI-B)^{-1} = -2x(xI-A)^{-1}D(xI-B)^{-1} \]
\[ G \cdot C_{A,G} \cdot G C_{B,X} = -2x(xI-A)^{-1}D(xI-B)^{-1} \]

QED

One consequence of the preceding theorem is the celebrated result that every properly orthogonal' matrix \(P\) can be expressed as \(P = (I+K)(I-K)\), where \(K\) is a real skew matrix. To derive it, in the theorem let \(F\) real number field, \(G = I\), \(D = 0\), \(x = -1\), and \(B = A^*\).

Then it follows that \(A + A^* = 0 \iff PP^* = I\), where \(P = (I-A)^{-1}(I+A) = (I+A)^{-1}(I-A)\), the relationship between \(P\) and \(A\) being determined by (1) and (2) of the lemma (cf. the remark on the bijective character of \(A \rightarrow C_{A}\)). Likewise the Cayley parametrization of unitary matrices follows [Gantmacher, Vol. I; p. 279 (95)].

Over a field \(F\) let \(A\) be an \(m \times m\) matrix, \(X\) an \(m \times n\) matrix and \(B\) an \(n \times n\) matrix. Let \(\xi_{A,B} = AX + XB\). Clearly the mapping \(\xi_{A,B}: X \rightarrow AX + XB\) is a linear transformation on the

---

'This theorem generalizes a lemma of Weyl's [Weyl: p. 57, lemma (2.10.A)].

"An orthogonal matrix is proper if none of its characteristic values = -1.
linear space of $m \times n$ matrices. Denote $\mathcal{L}_{AA^*}$ by $\mathcal{L}_A$: $\mathcal{L}_a(X) = AX + XA^*$, where all matrices are of the same dimension.

**Corollary 3:** Let $A$, $B$, $G$, $x$, and $F$ be as in theorem 2. Then the mapping $G \mapsto G - C^a.xG$ is linear from the set of all $m \times n$ matrices into itself. This mapping is non-singular $\iff \mathcal{L}_{AB}$ is non-singular.

**Proof:** The linearity of the mapping is obvious. $\mathcal{L}_{A,B}$ is non-singular $\iff$ for every $D$ there exists a solution of $AX + XB = D \iff$ for every $E$ there exists a solution of $X - CA.xX = E$ (theorem 2 and the non-singularity of $xI - A$ and $xI - B$) the mapping $G \mapsto G - C^a.xG$ is non-singular. QED

In the rest of this article, let $F$ be the field of complex numbers and let all matrices be square.

The inertia of an $n \times n$ matrix $X$ is the ordered triple of integers $(\pi(X), \nu(X), \delta(X)) = (n(X), \nu(X), \delta(X))$, where $\pi(X)$ is the number of characteristic values of $X$ whose real parts are positive, $\nu(X)$, the number whose real parts are negative, and $\delta(X)$ the number whose real parts are 0.

**Corollary 4:** If $A$ has no characteristic value $= 1$, then $\text{In}(I - C^a.G) = \text{In}(-2(A + A^*))$.

**Proof:** $C^a_A = C^a_A$ by a slight modification of lemma 1. In theorem 2, let $B = A^*$, $G = I$, and $x = 1$; then $D = A + A^*$. Therefore, $I - C^a_A.G = I - C^a_A.I = -2(I - A)^{-1}(A + A^*)(I - A)^{-1} = (I - A)^{-1}(-2(A + A^*))[(I - A)^{-1}]$. Since the last expression is congruent to $-2(A + A^*)$, their inertias are the same, and $\text{In}(-2(A + A^*)) = \text{In}(-2(A + A^*))$. QED

A square matrix is stable $\iff$ all its characteristic values have negative real parts. $S$ denotes the set of all stable $n \times n$ matrices, $\Pi$ denotes the set of all positive-definite hermitian matrices and $\Pi$ denotes the set of all negative-definite hermitian matrices.

**Theorem 5:** $A \in S \iff$ for any $G \in \Pi$ there exists $G \in \Pi : G - C^a.GA = G_1$

$\iff$ there exists $G \in \Pi : G - C^a.GA = G_1$ for some $G \in \Pi$.

**Proof:** In theorem 2, let $B = A^*$, $x = 1$ (for 1 is not characteristic of a stable matrix and $C^a_A$ presupposes that $x \neq 1$), and $D = -\frac{1}{2}(I - A)G(I - A^*)$. Then the last term of (4) is $G$, and (4) becomes

$$\begin{align*}
AG + GA^* &= D \iff G - C^a.GA^* = G \\
D \text{ is hermitely congruent to } -\frac{1}{2}G, \text{ and so } \text{In}(D) &= \text{In}(-\frac{1}{2}G). \text{ Therefore, } G_1 \in \Pi \iff D \in \Pi.
\end{align*}$$

First equivalence: Assume $A \in S$. For any $G \in \Pi$, $D \in \Pi$. Therefore, $\exists G \in \Pi : AG + GA^* = D [\text{Taussky}], \text{ so } G - C^a.GA^* = G$. Conversely, if for any $G \in \Pi$ there exists $G \in \Pi$:

$G - C^a.GA^* = G$, then $AG + GA^* = D$; since $G_1$ is arbitrary, so is $D$, for $I - A$ and $I - A^*$ are non-
singular, otherwise $C_A$ and $C_A^* = C_A$ would not be defined. Since $D \in \mathbb{N}$, $A \in S$ [Taussky].

Second equivalence: Assume $A \in S$. Then $\exists G \in \mathbb{N}: AG + GA^* = D$ for some $D \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $G - C_A^*GC_A^* = G; G \in \mathbb{N}$ as above. Conversely, if, for some $G \in \mathbb{N}$, $G - C_A^*GC_A^* = G_G$ for some $G \in \mathbb{N}$, then $AG + GA^* = D$ and $D \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $A \in S$.

Corollary 6: $A \in S \iff \exists G \in \mathbb{N}: I = \text{diag}(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$, where $\{g_i\}$ are the roots of $|\lambda G - C_A^*GC_A^*| = 0$; furthermore, $g_i$ is real $(i=1, \ldots, n)$.

Proof: Assume $A \in S$. By the first equivalence of the preceding theorem $G \in \mathbb{N}$:

$G - C_A^*GC_A^* = I$. Since both $G$ and $C_A^*GC_A^*$ are hermitian and $G \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists R: R$ is non-singular and $R^*GR = I$, $R^*G - C_A^*GC_A^*R = \text{diag}(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ where $\{g_i\}$ are the roots of $|\lambda G - C_A^*GC_A^*| = 0$.


Therefore, $I = \text{diag}(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in \mathbb{N}$. By the second equivalence of the preceding theorem, $A \in S$.

$g_i$ is real $(i=1, \ldots, n)$ [Gantmacher, Vol. I; p. 338, thm. 22]. QED

Corollary 7: $A \in S \iff \exists G \in \mathbb{N}: g < 1 (i=1, \ldots, n)$ where $\{g_i\}$ are the characteristic values of $G - C_A^*GC_A^*$.

Proof: In the preceding corollary, G is non-singular since $G \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\{g_i\}$, the roots of $|\lambda G - C_A^*GC_A^*| = 0$, are the characteristic values of $G - C_A^*GC_A^*$, for $|\lambda G - C_A^*GC_A^*| = 0 \Rightarrow |G| \cdot |\lambda I - G - C_A^*GC_A^*| = 0$. $I = \text{diag}(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in \mathbb{N}$ is equivalent to $1-g > 0 (i=1, \ldots, n)$. QED

The algebraic properties of the Cayley transform previously developed will be applied to prove theorems about convergent matrices.

The $n \times n$ matrix $A$ is convergent $\iff \lim_{m \to \infty} A^m = 0$.

Theorem 8: $D$ is convergent $\iff \exists A \in S: D = C_A$.

Proof: $D$ is convergent $\iff D^* is convergent.

Assume that $D$ is convergent. Then $D^*$ is convergent. By Stein's theorem [Stein, 1952; p. 82, thm. 1] ($\exists G \in \mathbb{N}) (\exists G_1 \in \mathbb{N})$: $G - DGD^* = G_1$. Define $A$ by $A = (D-I)(D+1)^{1/2}$; then

$D = C_A$. By theorem 2, $AG + GA^* = \frac{1}{2}(I-A)G_G(I-A^*)$. Since $\frac{1}{2}(I-A)G_G(I-A^*)$ is hermitely congruent to $-G_G$, $AG + GA^* \in \mathbb{N}$ and by [Taussky] $A \in S$.

Assume that $A \in S$. Then by theorem 5, ($\exists G \in \mathbb{N}) (\exists G_1 \in \mathbb{N})$: $G - C_A^*GC_A^* = G_1$. By
Stein's theorem, $C_\lambda^*$ is convergent, and so $C_\lambda$ is convergent.

**Corollary 9:** $D$ is convergent $\iff (\forall G \in \Pi)(\exists G \in \Pi): G - DGD^* = G
\iff (\exists G \in \Pi)(\exists G \in \Pi): G - DGD^* = G

**Proof:** By the preceding theorem, $D$ is convergent $\iff D = C_\lambda$, where $A \in \Sigma$. The two equivalences follow from this fact and theorem 5.

The preceding corollary is a theorem of Taussky's [Taussky; p. 7, thm. 5], which is itself a strengthening of Stein's theorem.
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