ON THE STATIONARY VIBRATIONS OF A RECTANGULAR PLATE SUBJECTED TO STRESS PRESCRIBED PARTIALLY AT THE CIRCUMFERENCE
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ABSTRACT. The stationary periodical problem of a vibrating rectangular plate, stressed at a segment while fixed elsewhere at one of its edges, is considered. Using the finite Fourier transformation, the problem is converted to a singular integral equation that in turn can be reduced to an infinite system of algebraic equations. The truncation of the algebraic system is justified.
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1. CONVERSION OF THE GOVERNING EQUATIONS INTO A SINGULAR INTEGRAL EQUATION

The boundary conditions considered in this problem are

\[ \sigma_r(x,0;t) = -P e^{i\omega t}, \quad (P = \text{const.}), \quad |x| < c \] (1.1)

\[ \bar{\nu}(x,0;t) = 0, \quad c \leq |x| < \pi \] (1.2)

\[ \tau_r(x,0;t) = 0, \quad |x| < \pi \] (1.3)

\[ \bar{u}(x,-1;t) = 0, \quad |x| < \pi \] (1.4)

\[ \tau_r(x,-1;t) = 0, \quad |x| < \pi \] (1.5)

Expressed in terms of the longitudinal and transversal potentials \( \Phi \) and \( \psi \), respectively, the stresses and displacements satisfy (Nowacki [1]) the following equations

\[ \sigma_r = 2\mu \left( \frac{v}{1 - 2v} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \right), \] (1.6)

\[ \bar{\nu} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}, \] (1.7)

\[ \tau_r = \mu \left( 2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right). \] (1.8)

where \( v \) is the Poisson's ration, and \( \mu \) and \( \lambda \) are the Lamé's constants. The functions \( \Phi \) and \( \psi \) satisfy the equation
\[ \nabla^2 \phi = \frac{1}{c_1^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} \] (1.9)

\[ \nabla^2 \psi = \frac{1}{c_2^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} \] (1.10)

where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are the propagation velocities of longitudinal and transversal waves respectively.

The stationary solutions of the problem can be expressed in the form

\[ \phi(x, y; t) = e^{i\omega t} \phi(x, y), \quad \psi(x, y; t) = e^{i\omega t} \psi(x, y) \] (1.11)

where

\[ \phi = e^{i\omega t} \phi, \quad \psi = e^{i\omega t} \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = e^{i\omega t} \tau \]

Applying the finite Fourier transform

\[ F_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} \, dx, \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_n e^{inx} \] (1.14)

to the equations (1.12) and solving the resulting equations, we get

\[ \Phi_n = A_n e^{\sqrt{n^2 - k_1^2}x} + B_n e^{-\sqrt{n^2 - k_1^2}x} \]
\[ \Psi_n = C_n e^{\sqrt{n^2 - k_2^2}x} + D_n e^{-\sqrt{n^2 - k_2^2}x} \] (1.15)

The mixed boundary conditions (1.1) and (1.2) can be written in the form

\[ \sigma_\tau(x, 0) = -P^* + G_\tau(x) \] (1.16)
\[ u(x, 0) = G_u(x) \] (1.17)

where

\[ G_\tau(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & |x| < c \\ \text{undetermined} & c < |x| < \pi \end{cases} \] (1.18)

and

\[ G_u(x) = \begin{cases} \text{undetermined} & |x| < c \\ 0 & c < |x| < \pi \end{cases} \] (1.19)

The application of the Fourier transform to the completed conditions (1.16) and (1.17) whose left-hand sides are given explicitly by (1.6) and (1.7), together with (1.15) yields

\[ -2\mu \left[ -n^2(A_n + B_n) + in\sqrt{n^2 - k_1^2(C_n - D_n)} \right] - (\lambda + 2\mu)k_1^2(A_n + B_n) = -P_{n+}^* + G_{n+} \] (1.20)

\[ \sqrt{n^2 - k_1^2}(A_n - B_n) - in(C_n + D_n) = G_{n-} \] (1.21)

The Fourier components \( P_{n-} \) belong to the extension \( P^*(x) \) of the constant \( P^* \) by defining it to be zero outside \( |\theta| < c \). Carrying out procedures similar to those used in obtaining equations (1.20) and (1.21),
the uniform conditions (1.3)-(1.5) lead to an additional three equations of the same type. Solving the system which consists of these additional three equations together with eq. (1.21) for the coefficients $A_n, B_n, C_n$ and $D_n$, and then substituting their values in (1.20), we get

$$Q'(\{n\}, k_1, k_2) G_n = -P_n + G_n.$$  

where

$$Q'(\{n\}, k_1, k_2) = \frac{2\mu n^2 - (\lambda + 2\mu) k_1^2}{k_1^2 \sqrt{n^2 - k_1^2}} \coth \sqrt{n^2 - k_1^2} + \frac{4\mu n^2 n^2 - k_2^2}{k_2^2} \coth \sqrt{n^2 - k_2^2}$$

Finally, the discrete problem (1.22) can be written in the standard form

$$|n| G_n + Q_{|n|} G_n = -P_n + G_n.$$  

where

$$Q_{|n|} = \frac{k_2^2}{2\mu(k_2^2 - k_1^2)} Q'(\{n\}, k_1, k_2) - |n|,$$

$$P_n = \frac{k_2^2}{2\mu(k_2^2 - k_1^2)} P_n,$$

and

$$G_n = \frac{k_2^2}{2\mu(k_2^2 - k_1^2)} G_n.$$  

2. REDUCTION OF THE DISCRETE PROBLEM TO AN ALGEBRAIC SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS

Applying the inverse Fourier transform to eq. (1.24), we get

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \frac{d}{dx} \int_\infty^{\infty} G(x) dt e^{itx} + \sum_{n=\infty} Q_{|n|} G_n e^{i\alpha x} = -P G(x)$$  

where

$$P = \frac{k_2^2}{2\mu(k_2^2 - k_1^2)} P_n,$$

and

$$G_n = \frac{k_2^2}{2\mu(k_2^2 - k_1^2)} G_n.$$  

Recalling that $G_n(x) = 0$ for $-c < x < c$ and integrating eq. (2.1) with respect to $\theta$, we obtain over the interval $-c < x < c$

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_\infty^{\infty} G(x) dt e^{i(\theta - x)} + Q_0 G_0 + \sum_{n=\infty} Q_{|n|} G_n e^{i\alpha x} = -P \sum_{n=\infty} Q_{|n|} G_n e^{i\alpha x} + \alpha$$  

where $\alpha$ is constant and the primes over the summation symbols mean that the value $n = 0$ is not included. Here we have used the expansion

$$x = \sum_{n=\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{ni} e^{i\alpha x}.$$  

The singular integral equation with the Cauchy kernel (2.3) possesses a bounded solution $G_n(x)$ which can be written in the form (Eckhardt and El-Sheikh [2])

$$G_n(x) = -R(x) \left[ Q_0 G_0 + \sum_{n=\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} I_n(x) + \sum_{n=\infty} Q_{|n|} G_n I_n(x) + P \sum_{n=\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} I_n(x) - i\alpha I_0(x) \right]$$  

where
The integrals $I_n(x)$ are to be understood in the sense of the principal value. For $n > 0$, [3, p. 215], we have

$$I_n(x) = -e^{i(k-1)x} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e^{-ix}}{2^j} \frac{1}{m!(j-m)!e^{ij(j-m)c}}, \quad n > 0$$

and

$$I_0(x) = 0.$$  \tag{2.9}

Further, it has already been shown (Muskhelishvili [4]) that

$$I_{-n}(x) = e^{-in}I_n(-x).$$  \tag{2.10}

In relation (2.8), the convention $0!! = (-1)!! = 1$ is adopted. By virtue of (2.9) and the fact that $\frac{G_0}{n}$ is odd, the solution (2.5) can be written in the form

$$G_n(x) = -R(x) \left[ Q_0 G_0 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \{I_n(x) - I_n(x)\} \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n \frac{G_n}{n} \{I_n(x) - I_n(x)\} + P \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \{I_n(x) - I_n(x)\}.$$  \tag{2.11}

Substituting in the formula

$$G_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} G_n e^{inx} dx$$  \tag{2.12}

according to (2.11), we get the algebraic system

$$G_n + Q_0 G_0 N_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n \frac{G_n}{n} [N_n - N_{-n}] = P N_0, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$  \tag{2.13}

where for $n = 0$ we have used the notation

$$N_0 = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\gamma} R(x)I_n(x) e^{-ix} dx$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j-n-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2m-1)!![2(j-m)-1]!!}{2m!!(2j-2m)!e^{ij(j-m)c}};$$

$$N_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j-n-2} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2m-1)!![2(j-m)-1]!!}{2m!!(2j-2m)!e^{ij(j-m)c}}.$$  \tag{2.14}

where

$$A_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{AB} \sqrt{(t-A)(t-B)} t^d dt, \quad A = e^{-ic}, \quad B = e^{ic}$$  \tag{2.15}

and the arc $AB$ is directed along the unit circle. The values of these integrals are given by the formulas [3, p. 216]
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\[ A_{-1} = e^{-t} + e^{t} - \frac{1}{2} A_{-2}, \]

\[ A_{-2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(2k - 5)!\left[ e^{-i(k-1)t} + e^{i(k-1)t} \right]}{(k - 1)!} \right. \]
\[ \left. - \sum_{m=1}^{k-2} \frac{(2m-3)!\left(2k-2m-5\right)!}{m!(k-1-m)!e^{i(k-1-2m)t}} \right], \quad k > 2. \] (2.16)

and for \( k > 0 \) we have [4]

\[ A_k = A_{-k-3}. \] (2.17)

Finally, \( N_{00} \) is given by

\[ N_{00} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \left( N_{n0} - N_{n0} \right). \] (2.18)

It has been shown [2] that \( N_{n0}(N_{10}) \) tends to zero as \( l \to \infty \) more rapidly than \( \frac{1}{l} \) and indeed, it tends to zero as \( n \to \infty \) since

\[ \frac{1}{l} N_{n0}(N_{10}) = \frac{1}{n} N_{n0}(N_{10}). \] (2.19)

This establishes not only that the coefficients of \( G_{n0}, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) in system (2.13) will tend to zero as \( n, l \to \infty \), but also that the expression of \( N_{00} \) will converge so quickly that it can be calculated through truncation at a suitable order.

3. JUSTIFICATION OF THE TRUNCATION

The infinite system (2.13) can be solved by truncating it at a suitable order \( m \). This is equivalent to reducing (2.3) to the more simple equation

\[ \hat{K} \hat{G}_l = \hat{f} \] (3.1)

where \( \hat{K} \) and \( \hat{G} \) are "approximations" to the operator \( K \) and the function \( G_{l}(x) \), respectively, in the left-hand side of the original equation (2.3)

\[ \hat{K} \hat{G}_l = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int \frac{\hat{G}_l(x)dt}{1 - e^{i(x-t)}} + Q_0 \hat{G}_0 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{ni} e^{i\pi} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{\infty} \hat{G}_n e^{i\pi n} \] (3.2)

and

\[ \hat{f} = -P \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{ni} e^{i\pi n} + \hat{a}. \] (3.3)

Equation (2.13) itself can be denoted similarly in the form

\[ K \hat{G}_l = f. \] (3.4)

We shall now outline some features about equations (3.1) and (3.4). First, eq. (3.1) has a unique bounded solution defined by eq. (2.5) truncated at the \( m \)-th order and in which \( \{ G_{n0}, n = 0, 1, \ldots, m \} \) is the solution of system (2.13) truncated at the \( m \)-th order. Second, the Banach space \( L_{\infty}[-c, c] \) is the domain and range of both the operators \( K \) and \( \hat{K} \). Finally, the operator \( \hat{K}^{-1}(K - \hat{K}) \) is bounded in \( L_{\infty}[-c, c] \) with the norm

\[ \| \hat{K}^{-1}(K - \hat{K}) \| < 1, \] (3.5)

provided \( m \) is sufficiently large. This will be established if we show that \( \| K - \hat{K} \| \) can be made arbitrarily small. We have
\[(K - \bar{K})G(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n} \frac{Q_{n}}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin n(x - t)G(t)dt\]

and consequently,

\[\|(K - \bar{K})G(x)\| \leq \int \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left( \sum_{n} \frac{Q_{n}}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(t)| \cdot |\sin n(x - t)| dt \right)^2 \right\} dx\]

\[\leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left( \sum_{n} \frac{Q_{n}}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(t)| dt \right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx\]

\[\leq \frac{2c}{\pi^2} \left( \sum_{n} \frac{Q_{n}}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(t)| dt \right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt\]

\[\leq \frac{2c}{\pi^2} \left( \sum_{n} \frac{Q_{n}}{n} \right) \left( \sum_{n} \frac{Q_{n}}{n} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(t)|^2 dt\]

\[\leq 4 \left( \sum_{n} \frac{Q_{n}}{n} \right) \|G\|^2\]

from which it follows that

\[\|K - \bar{K}\| \leq 2 \sum_{n} \frac{Q_{n}}{n}.\] (3.6)

The right-hand side in the last expression tends to zero since \(Q_{n} \to 0(\frac{1}{n})\) according to the definitions (1.25) and (1.23), and the relation (3.5) will eventually be fulfilled.

In view of the above considerations (Cherski [5]), it follows that equation (2.13) has the unique solution

\[G_\ast = \tilde{G}_\ast + [I + \bar{K}^{-1}(K - \bar{K})]\tilde{K}^{-1}(f - K\tilde{G})\] (3.7)

where \(I\) is the unit operator. Further, the resulting error due to the truncation can be estimated according to the formula

\[\|G_\ast - \tilde{G}_\ast\| \leq \frac{\|\tilde{K}^{-1}(f - K\tilde{G})\|}{1 - \|\bar{K}^{-1}(K - \bar{K})\|}.\] (3.8)
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