ALMOST COMPLEX SURFACES IN THE NEARLY KAEHLER S^6

SHARIEF DESHMUKH

Department of Mathematics
College of Science
King Saud University
P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh-11451
Saudi Arabia

(Received March 14, 1990 and in revised form May 20, 1991)

ABSTRACT: It is shown that a compact almost complex surface in S^6 is either totally geodesic or the minimum of its Gaussian curvature is less than or equal to $1/3$.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Almost complex surfaces, nearly Kaehler structure, totally geodesic submanifold, Gaussian curvature.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 53C40

1. INTRODUCTION.

The six dimensional sphere S^6 has almost complex structure J which is nearly Kaehler, that is, it satisfies $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) = 0$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection on S^6 corresponding to the usual metric g on S^6 . Sekigawa [1] has studied almost complex surfaces in S^6 and has shown that if they have constant curvature K , then either $K = 0$, $1/6$ or 1 . Under the assumption that the almost complex surface M in S^6 is compact, he has shown that if $K > 1/6$, then $K = 1$ and if $1/6 \leq K < 1$, then $K = 1/6$. Dillen et al [2-3] have improved this result by showing if $1/6 \leq K \leq 1$, then either $K = 1/6$ or $K = 1$ and if $0 \leq K \leq 1/6$, then either $K = 0$ or $K = 1/6$. However, using system of differential equations (1) (cf. [5], p. 67) one can construct examples of almost complex surfaces in S^6 whose Gaussian curvature takes values outside $[9, 1/6]$ or $[1/6, 1]$. The object of the present paper is to prove the following:

THEOREM 1. Let M be a compact almost complex surface in S^6 and K_0 be the minimum of the Gaussian curvature of M . Then either M is totally geodesic or $K_0 \leq 1/3$.

2. MAIN RESULTS. Let M be a 2-dimensional complex submanifold of S^6 and g be the induced metric on M . The Riemannian connection $\bar{\nabla}$ of S^6 induces the Riemannian connection ∇ on M and the connection $\nabla^\perp$ in the normal bundle ν . We have the Gauss and Weingarten formulae

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad N \in \nu, \quad (2.1)$$

where h , A_N are the second fundamental forms satisfying $g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y)$ and $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is the Lie-algebra of vector fields on M . The curvature tensors $\bar{R}$, R and $R^\perp$ of the connections $\bar{\nabla}$,

∇ and $\nabla^\perp$ respectively satisfy

$$R(X, Y; Z, W) = \bar{R}(X, Y; Z, W) + g(h(Y, Z), h(X, W)) - g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\bar{R}(X, Y; N_1, N_2) = R^\perp(X, Y; N_1, N_2) - g([A_{N_1}, A_{N_2}](X), Y) \quad (2.3)$$

$$[\bar{R}(X, Y)Z]^\perp = (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) - (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(X, Z), \quad X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{F}(M), \quad N_1, N_2 \in \nu, \quad (2.4)$$

where $[\bar{R}(X, Y)Z]^\perp$ is the normal component of $\bar{R}(X, Y)Z$, and

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp h(Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

The curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of S^6 is given by

$$\bar{R}(X, Y; Z, W) = g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W). \quad (2.5)$$

LEMMA 1. Let M be a 2-dimensional complex submanifold of S^6 . Then $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(Y) = 0$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$.

PROOF. Take a unit vector field $X \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$. Then $\{X, JX\}$ is orthonormal frame on M . Since S^6 is nearly Kaehler manifold we have $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) = 0$, and $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(JX) = 0$. Also

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(JX) = -J(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) = 0 \text{ and } (\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) = -(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(JX) = 0.$$

Now for any $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$, we have $Y = aX + bJX$ and $Z = cX + dJX$, where a, b, c and d are smooth functions. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_Y J)(Z) &= a(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(Z) + b(\bar{\nabla}_{JX} J)(Z) = -a(\bar{\nabla}_Z J)(X) - b(\bar{\nabla}_Z J)(JX) \\ &= -ac(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) - ad(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) - bc(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(JX) - bd(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(JX) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. For a 2-dimensional complex submanifold M of S^6 , the following hold

- (i) $h(X, JY) = h(JX, Y) = Jh(X, Y), \quad \nabla_X JY = J \nabla_X Y,$
- (ii) $JA_N X = A_J N X, \quad A_N JX = -JA_N X,$
- (iii) $(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(JY, Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, JZ),$
- (iv) $R(X, Y)JZ = JR(X, Y)Z, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{F}(M), N \in \nu.$

PROOF. (i) follows directly from Lemma 1 and equation (2.1). The second part of (ii) follows from (i). For first part of (ii), observe that for $N \in \nu$ and $X \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$, $g((\bar{\nabla}_X J)(N), Y) = -g(N, (\bar{\nabla}_X J)(Y)) = 0$ for each $Y \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$, that is, $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(N)$ is normal to M . Hence expanding $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(N)$ using (2.1) and equating the tangential parts we get the first part of (ii).

From equations (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(X, Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_Z h)(X, Y), \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{F}(M). \quad (2.6)$$

Also from (i) we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(JY, Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, JZ), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{F}(M). \quad (2.7)$$

Thus from (2.6) and (2.7), we get that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(JY, Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, JZ) = (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(X, JZ) = (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(JX, Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z),$$

this together with (2.7) proves (iii). The proof of (iv) follows from second part of (i).

The second covariant derivative of the second fundamental form is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(X, Y, Z, W) &= \nabla_X^\perp (\bar{\nabla} h)(Y, Z, W) - (\bar{\nabla} h)(\nabla_X Y, Z, W) \\ &\quad - (\bar{\nabla} h)(Y, \nabla_X Z, W) - (\bar{\nabla} h)(Y, Z, \nabla_X W), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\bar{\nabla} h)(X, Y, Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z)$, $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Let $\Pi: UM \rightarrow M$ and UM_p be the unit tangent bundle of M and its fiber over $p \in M$ respectively. Define the function $f: UM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(U) = \|h(U, U)\|^2$.

For $U \in UM_p$, let $\sigma_U(t)$ be the geodesic in M given by the initial conditions $\sigma_U(0) = p$, $\dot{\sigma}_U(0) = U$. By parallel translating a $V \in UM_p$ along $\sigma_U(t)$, we obtain a vector field $V_U(t)$. We have the following Lemma (cf. [5]).

LEMMA 3. For the function $f_U(t) = f(V_U(t))$, we have

- (i) $\frac{d}{dt} f_U(t) = 2g((\bar{\nabla} h)(\dot{\sigma}_U, V_U, V_U), h(V_U, V_U))(t)$,
- (ii) $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} f_U(0) = 2g((\bar{\nabla}^2)(U, U, V, V), h(V, V)) + 2\|(\bar{\nabla} h)(U, V, V)\|^2$.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1. Since UM is compact, the function f attains maximum at some $V \in UM$. From (i) of Lemma 2, $\|h(V, V)\|^2 = \|h(JV, JV)\|^2$ and thus we have $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} f_V(0) \leq 0$ and $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} f_{JV}(0) \leq 0$. Using (iii) of Lemma 2 in (2.8) we get that

$$(\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(JV, JV, V, V) = (\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(JV, V, JV, V).$$

The above equation together with the Ricci identity gives

$$\begin{aligned} &(\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(JV, JV, V, V) - (\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(JV, V, JV, V) \\ &= (\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(JV, V, JV, V) - (\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(V, JV, JV, V) \\ &= R^\perp(JV, V)h(JV, V) - h(R(JV, V)JV, V) - h(JV, R(JV, V)V). \end{aligned}$$

Taking inner product with $h(V, V)$ and using (iv) of Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &g((\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(JV, JV, V, V) - (\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(V, JV, JV, V), h(V, V)) \\ &= R^\perp(JV, V; h(JV, V), h(V, V)) - 2g(h(R(JV, V)JV, V), h(V, V)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Now using (i) of Lemma 2, we find that $g(h(U, U), h(U, JU)) = 0$, that is, $g(A_{h(U, U)}, JU) = 0$ for all $U \in UM_p$. Since $\dim M = 2$, it follows that $A_{h(U, U)} = \lambda U$. To find λ , we take inner inner product with U and obtain $\lambda = \|h(U, U)\|^2$. Thus, $A_{h(U, U)} = \|h(U, U)\|^2 U$. From equations (2.2) and (2.5) we obtain

$$R(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + A_{h(Y, Z)}X - A_{h(X, Z)}Y,$$

which gives

$$R(JV, V)JV = -V + A_{h(V, JV)}JV - A_{h(JV, V)}JV = -V + 2A_{h(V, V)}V = -V + 2\|h(V, V)\|^2 V. \quad (3.2)$$

Also from (2.3) and (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} R^\perp(JV, V, h(JV, V), h(V, V)) &= g([A_{h(JV, V)}, A_{h(V, V)}](JV), V) \\ &= -2g(A_{h(V, V)}V, A_{h(V, V)}V) \\ &= -2\|h(V, V)\|^4. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.2) and (3.3) in (3.1) we get

$$g((\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(JV, JV, V, V) - (\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(V, JV, JV, V), h(V, V)) = 2f(V)(1 - 3f(V)). \quad (3.4)$$

From (iii) of Lemma 2, it follows that

$$(\bar{\nabla} h)(JV, JV, V) = (\bar{\nabla} h)(J^2 V, V, V) = -(\bar{\nabla} h)(V, V, V),$$

this together with $\nabla_X JY = J\nabla_X Y$ of (i) in Lemma 2, gives

$$(\nabla^2 h)(V, JV, JV, V) = -(\bar{\nabla}^2 h)(V, V, V, V).$$

Using this and (ii) of Lemma 3 in (3.4), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} f_V(0) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} f_{JV}(0) = 2f(V)(1 - 3f(V)) + 2\|(\bar{\nabla} h)(V, V, V)\|^2 + 2\|(\bar{\nabla} h)(JV, V, V)\|^2 \leq 0$$

Thus either $f(V) = 0$, that is, M is totally geodesic or $1/3 \leq f(V)$. Since an orthonormal frame of M is of the form (U, JU) , the Gaussian curvature K of M is given by

$$K = 1 + g(h(U, U), h(JU, JU)) - g(h(U, JU), h(U, JU)) = 1 - 2\|h(U, U)\|^2.$$

Thus $K:UM \rightarrow R$, is a smooth function, and UM being compact, K attains its minimum $K_0 = \min K$ and we have $K_0 = 1 - 2\max\|h(U, U)\|^2$, from which for the case $1/3 \leq f(V)$, we get $K_0 \leq 1/3$. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

As a direct consequence of our Theorem we have

COROLLARY. Let M be a compact almost complex surface in S^6 . If the Gaussian curvature K of M satisfies $K > 1/3$, then M is totally geodesic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The author expresses his sincere thanks to Prof. Abdullah M. Al-Rashed for his inspirations, and to referee for many helpful suggestions. This work is supported by the Research Grant No. (Math/1409/04) of the Research Center, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

REFERENCES

1. SEKIGAWA, K., Almost complex submanifolds of a 6-dimensional sphere, Kodai Math. J. 6(1983), 174-185.
2. DILLEN, F., VERSTRAELEN, L. and VARNCKEN, L., On almost complex surfaces of the nearly Kaehler 6-sphere II, Kodai Math. J. 10 (1987), 261-271.
3. DILLEN, F., OPOZDA, B., VERSTRAELEN, L. and VRANCKEN, L., On almost complex surfaces of the nearly Kaehler 6 sphere I, Collection of scientific papers, Faculty of Science, Univ. of Kragujevac 8(1987), 5-13.
4. SPIVAK, M., A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry, vol. IV, Publish or perish, Berkeley 1979.
5. ROS, A., Positively curved Kaehler submanifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93(1985), 329-331.