MATRIX POWERS OVER FINITE FIELDS

MARIA T. ACOSTA-DE-OROZCO

Department of Mathematics
Penn State University
Beaver Campus
Monaca, Pennsylvania 15061

and

JAVIER GOMEZ-CALDERON

Department of Mathematics
Penn State University
New Kensington Campus
New Kensington, Pennsylvania 15068

(Received May 23, 1991 and in revised form May 18, 1992)

ABSTRACT. Let $GF(q)$ denote the finite field of order $q = p^e$ with p odd. Let M denote the ring of 2×2 matrices with entries in $GF(q)$. Let n denote a divisor of $q - 1$ and assume $2 \leq n$ and 4 does not divide n . In this paper, we consider the problem of determining the number of n -th roots in M of a matrix $B \in M$. Also, as a related problem, we consider the problem of lifting the solutions of $X^2 = B$ over Galois rings.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Finite fields and matrix powers.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. Primary 15A33.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let $GF(q)$ denote the finite field of order $q = p^n$ with p odd. Let M denote the ring of 2×2 matrices with entries in $GF(q)$. Let n denote a positive divisor of $q - 1$. In this paper, we consider the problem of determining the number $N = N(n, B)$ of n -th roots in M of a matrix $B \in M$; i.e., the number of solutions in M of the equation

$$X^n = B \tag{1.1}$$

Our present work generalizes a recent paper of Donovan [1] in which the quadratic equation $X^2 = B$ is solved over the ring M .

As a related problem, we also consider the problem of lifting solutions of equation (1.1), for $n = 2$, over Galois rings. The Galois ring of order $p^r m$, denoted by $GR(p^r, m)$, can be obtained as a Galois extension of Z_{p^r} of degree m . The reader can find further details about Galois rings in the reference [4].

If B denotes a scalar matrix, a multiple of the identity matrix, then equation (1.1) is called "scalar equation". Scalar equations have been already studied by Hodges in [2]. In particular, if

$n = 2$ and B denotes the identity matrix, then the solutions of (1.1) are called "involutory matrices". Involutory matrices over either a finite field or a quotient ring of the rational integers have been extensively researched, with a detailed extension to all finite commutative rings given by McDonald in [5].

2. OVER FINITE FIELDS.

Let $GF(q)$ denote the finite field of order $q = p^e$ with p odd. Let M denote the ring of 2×2 matrices with entries in $GF(q)$ and let GL denote its group of units. For each B in M let $S(B)$ and $[B]$ denote, respectively, the stabilizer and the conjugate class of B defined by

$$S(B) = \{A \in GL: AB = BA\} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$[B] = \{ABA^{-1}: A \in GL\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus

$$|[B]| = [GL: S(B)]. \quad (2.3)$$

Now for the purpose of the present work we will need the following stabilizers:

- (i) $S\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}\right) = GL(q)$
- (ii) $S\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in GF(q), x \neq 0\right\}$
- (iii) $S\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}\right) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in GF(q), xy \neq 0\right\}, \quad (a-b)ab \neq 0$
- (iv) $S\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} x & ay \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in GF(q), x^2 - ay^2 \neq 0\right\}, \quad a \neq 0$

We now give a series of lemmas from which our main result, Theorem 6, will follow.

LEMMA 1. Assume $T^n = B$ for some T and some non-scalar B in M . Then $S(T) = S(B)$.

PROOF. Since B is non-scalar, the minimal polynomial of T is a quadratic polynomial $f_T(x) = x^2 + ax + b$. Therefore, $B = T^n = dT + eI$ for some constants e and $0 \neq d$ in $GF(q)$. Thus, $S(T) = S(B)$.

LEMMA 2. If $n \geq 2$ then the number of matrices T in M so that $T^n = 0$ is q^2 .

PROOF. $T^n = 0$ if and only if the minimal polynomial of T is either x or x^2 . Hence, $T^n = 0$ if and only if T is similar to either $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\{T \in M: T^n = 0\}| &= |[A]| + |[B]| \\ &= [GL: S(A)] + [GL: S(B)] \\ &= 1 + q(q-1)(q^2-1)/(q^2-q) \\ &= q^2. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. Let $2 \leq n$ denote a divisor of $q-1$ and assume that 4 does not divide n . For each r in $GF(q)^*$ the number of distinct matrices T in M such that $T^n = \text{diag}(r, r)$ is given by

- a) $n + (q^2 - q)(n - 1)n/2$ if $r \in GF(q)^n = \{y^n : y \in GF(q)\}$
 b) $(q^2 - q)n/2$ if $r \notin GF(q)^n$ but $r^2 \in GF(q)^n$
 c) 0 if $r^2 \notin GF(q)^n$

PROOF. Let w denote a primitive element of $GF(q)$ and write $r = w^m$ for some integer $1 \leq m \leq q - 1$. Then $T^n = \text{diag}(r, r)$ if and only if the minimal polynomial of T divides $f(x) = x^n - w^m$. Now, if $D = (n, m)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of n and m , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (x^{n/D})^D - (w^{m/D})^D \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{D-1} (x^{n/D} - w^{(q-1)i/D + m/D}) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{D-1} h_i(x) \end{aligned}$$

We also see that $w^{(q-1)i/D + m/D}$ does not belong to $GF(q)^s$ for every odd prime factor s of n/D . Therefore, by [3, ch. VIII, Th. 16], $h_i(x)$ is irreducible over $GF(q)$ for all i . Thus, $n/D = 1$, $n/D = 2$ or there are no matrices T so that $T^n = \text{diag}(r, r)$.

CASE 1: $n/D = 1$. Then n divides m and $T^n = \text{diag}(r, r)$ if and only if the minimal polynomial of T is either $x - a$ or $(x - a)(x - b)$ where a and b denote two distinct roots in $GF(q)$ of the equation $x^n = r$. Hence, $T^n = \text{diag}(r, r)$ if and only if T is similar to either $A = \text{diag}(a, a)$ or $B = \text{diag}(a, b)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\{T \in M : T^n = \text{diag}(r, r)\}| &= n|[A]| + \binom{n}{2}|[B]| \\ &= n + \binom{n}{2} \frac{q(q-1)(q^2-1)}{(q-1)^2} \\ &= n + (q^2 + q)(n-1)n/2 \end{aligned}$$

CASE 2: $n/D = 2$. Then $n/2$ divides m and $T^n = \text{diag}(r, r)$ if and only if the minimal polynomial of T is a quadratic irreducible polynomial of the form $x^2 - c$ where c denotes a root of the equation $x^{n/2} = r$. Thus, $T^n = \text{diag}(r, r)$ if and only if T is similar to $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore,

$$|\{T \in M : T^n = \text{diag}(r, r)\}| = \frac{q(q-1)(q^2-1)n}{(q^2-1)(2)}$$

if $r \notin GF(q)^n$ but $r^2 \in GF(q)^n$.

LEMMA 4. If $T^n = \text{diag}(h, k)$ with $h \neq k$, then $T = \text{diag}(r, s)$ for some r and s in $GF(q)$.

PROOF. Let $f(x) = x^2 + ax + b$ denote the minimal polynomial of T . So, $T^2 = -aT - bI$ and $cT + eI = \text{diag}(h, k)$ for some c and e in $GF(q)$. Therefore, $T = \text{diag}(r, s)$ for some r and s in $GF(q)$.

LEMMA 5. A non-scalar 2×2 diagonalizable matrix over $GF(q)$ is a n -th power in M if and only if its eigenvalues, necessarily distinct, are n -th powers in $GF(q)$.

PROOF. Assume T to be non-scalar and diagonalizable so that for some matrix P in GL , $PTP^{-1} = \text{diag}(h, k)$ where $h \neq k$ are the eigenvalues of T . If h and k are n -th powers, say $h = r^n$ and $k = s^n$, then

$$T = P^{-1} \text{diag}(h, k) P = P^{-1} (\text{diag}(r, s))^n P = (P^{-1} \text{diag}(r, s) P)^n.$$

Conversely, suppose $T = N^n$ and T is diagonalizable. Say $P^{-1}TP = \text{diag}(h, k)$ where $h \neq k$ are the eigenvalues of T . Hence

$$\text{diag}(h, k) = P^{-1}TP = P^{-1}N^nP = (P^{-1}NP)^n.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4, $P^{-1}NP = \text{diag}(r, s)$ with $r^n = h$ and $k^n = s$.

THEOREM 6. Let B denote an element of M . Let n denote a divisor of $q-1$. Assume $2 \leq n$ and 4 does not divide n . Then B has

- (a) more than $n^2 n - th$ roots in M if and only if $B = rI$ for some r in $GF(q)$ so that $r^2 \in GF(q)^n$.
- (b) exactly n^2 distinct $n - th$ roots in M if and only if B has unequal nonzero eigenvalues which are $n - th$ powers in $GL(q)$.
- (c) at most n distinct roots in M , otherwise.

PROOF. If $B = rI$ for some r in $GF(q)$, then, by Lemma 3, T has

- (i) more than $n^2 n - th$ roots if and only if $r^2 \in GF(q)^n$ and
- (ii) zero $n - th$ roots if and only if $r^2 \notin GF(q)^n$.

We now assume that T is non-scalar.

CASE 1: B diagonalizable. Then by Lemma 5, B is a $n - th$ power in M if and only if its eigenvalues, necessarily distinct, are $n - th$ powers in $GF(q)$. Therefore, B has exactly

- (iii) n^2 distinct $n - th$ roots in M if and only if B has unequal nonzero eigenvalues which are $n - th$ powers in $GF(q)$ and
- (iv) zero $n - th$ roots otherwise.

CASE 2: B non-diagonalizable. Then the minimal polynomials of both B and T are either: quadratic irreducible or quadratic perfect square polynomials. We also see that if $T^n = B$ then the minimal polynomial of T is a factor of $f_B(x^n)$ where $f_B(x)$ denotes the minimal polynomial of B . Therefore, there are at most n possible minimal polynomial $f_T(x)$. Further, $(P^{-1}TP)^n = B$ if and only if $P \in S(B)$. Therefore, since $[S(B):S(T)] = 1$ by Lemma 1, B has at most n distinct $n - th$ roots in M .

3. LIFTING SOLUTIONS.

Let $GR(p^r, m)$ denote the Galois ring of order p^{rm} with p odd. For purposes of construction and ease of implementation of Galois rings, one can construct $GR(p^r, m)$ by considering $(z_{p^r}[x]/(f))$ where f is a monic irreducible polynomial of degree $m \geq 1$ over the finite field $GF(p^m) = GF(q)$ with p prime. Further details concerning properties of Galois rings can be found in the reference [4].

In this section, we will consider a special case, $n = 2$, of lifting solutions over Galois rings. More specifically, we will prove the following

THEOREM 7. Let $M(p^{r+1}, m)$ denote the ring of all 2×2 matrices with entries in $GR(p^{r+1}, m)$. Let A denote an element of M . Assume that $\bar{A}$, the reduction of A modulo p , is a non-scalar invertible matrix in $M(p, m)$. Let $X_\circ = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M(p^r, m)$ denote a solution of $X^2 = A \pmod{p^r}$. Then $X_\circ$ can be lifted from $M(p^r, m)$ to $M(p^{r+1}, m)$ in

- (a) a unique way if $\overline{bcd} \neq 0$.
- (b) $q = p^m$ different ways if either $\bar{d} = 0$ or $\overline{cd} \neq 0$ and $\bar{b} = 0$.
- (c) $q^2 = p^{2m}$ different ways if $\bar{d} \neq 0$ and $\bar{c} = 0$.

PROOF. Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}$ where x, y, z and w are elements of the field $GR(p, m)$ to be specified presently, then

$$(X_o + Xp^r)^2 \equiv X_o^2 + (X_oX + XX_o)p^r \pmod{p^{r+1}}$$

Now, since $X_o^2 = A$ over $GR(p^r, m)$, we can write $X_o^2 = A - Cp^r$ for some 2×2 matrix C over the ring $GR(p, m)$. Hence,

$$(X_o + Xp^r)^2 \equiv A + (X_oX + XX_o - C)p^r \pmod{p^{r+1}}$$

Therefore, $(X_o + Xp^r)^2 = A$ over the ring $GR(p^{r+1}, m)$, if and only if

$$X_oX + XX_o = C$$

over the field $GR(p, m)$; i.e., if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ c_3 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$$

where $C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ c_3 & c_4 \end{pmatrix}$

Hence, we have to count the number of solutions, in $GR(p, m)$, of the linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} & y & z & w & x \\ \begin{pmatrix} c & b & 0 & 2a \\ a+d & 0 & b & b \\ 0 & a+d & c & c \\ c & b & 2d & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \equiv & \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} & y & z & w & x \\ \begin{pmatrix} c(a+d) & 0 & bc & bc \\ 0 & a+d & c & c \\ 0 & 0 & 2bcd & -2abc \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E_1 \end{pmatrix} & \equiv & \begin{pmatrix} c_1c \\ c_3 \\ (c_4 - c_1)bc \\ E_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}$$

where $E_1 = 2(a+d)(ad-bc)$ and $E_2 = c_1ad + c_1d^2 - c_2cd - bc_3d + c_4bc - c_1bc$. So, since $\bar{A}$ is non-scalar and invertible, $E_1 \neq 0$. Therefore, a straightforward inspection of the above last augmented matrix will complete the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. DONOVAN, T.P., Matrix squares over $GF(q)$, q odd, Congressus Numerantium 66 (1988), 113-122.
2. HODGES, J.H., Scalar polynomial equations for matrices over a finite field, Duke Math. J. 25 (1958), 291-296.
3. LANG, S., "Algebra", Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1971.
4. MCDONALD, B.R., "Finite Rings with Identity", Marcel Bekker, New York, 1974.
5. MCDONALD, B.R., Involutory matrices over finite local rings, Canadian Journal of Math. 24 (1972), 369-378.