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ABSTRACT. In this note we extend the complete convergence for randomly indexed sums given by Klesov (1989) to nonidentical distributed random variables.
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1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The following concept of complete convergence was given by Hsu and Robbins [1].

DEFINITION 1.1. A sequence \( \{X_n, n \geq 1\} \) of random variables converges completely to the constant \( C \) if

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[|X_n - C| \geq \varepsilon] < \infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.
\]

The main result of Hsu and Robbins [1] states that for a sequence \( \{X_n, n \geq 1\} \) of i.i.d. random variables with zero expectation and \( EX_1^2 < \infty \), we have

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[|S_n| \geq n\varepsilon] < \infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,
\]

(1.1)

where \( S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k \), i.e. the sequence of arithmetic means \( S_n/n, n \geq 1 \), completely convergence to 0. Erdős [2] proved the converse statement.

Extensions and generalizations of those results were summarized by A. Gut [3]. Extensions of (1.1) to randomly indexed sums of i.i.d. random variables one can find in Szynal [4], Gut
Some results concerning complete convergence for randomly indexed sums of nonidentically distributed random variables were given by Kuczmaszewska and Szynal \([9], [10]\).

In this note we extend results on the complete convergence for randomly indexed sums in spirit of Gut \([5]\) and Klesov \([8]\) to nonidentical distributed random variables.

We use the following concept of regular cover of \((\text{the distribution of})\) a random variable.

**DEFINITION 1.2.** (See Pruss \([11]\)). Let \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\) be random variables and let \(\xi\) be a random variable possible defined on a different probability space. Then \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\) are said to be a regular cover of \((\text{the distribution of})\) \(\xi\) provided we have

\[
E[G(\xi)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} E[G(X_k)],
\]

for any measurable function \(G\) for which both sides make sense. If \(X_1, \ldots, X_n\) are in addition independent, then we say they form an independent regular cover of \(\xi\).

**2. RESULTS.**

The following theorem contains as a particular case the main result of Klesov \([8]\).

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let \(\{X_{nk}, n \geq 1, k \geq 1\}\) be an array of rowwise independent random variables with \(EX_{nk} = 0, E|X_{nk}|^r < \infty\), for some \(r \geq 1\), and \(n \geq 1, k \geq 1\), such that 

\(X_{n1}, X_{n2}, \ldots, X_{nk}, n \geq 1, k \geq 1\), form an independent regular cover of a random variable \(\xi\) with \(E\xi = 0, E|\xi|^r < \infty\), for some \(r \geq 1\). Suppose that \(\{\nu_k, k \geq 1\}\) is a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables. Then for \(S_{\nu_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu_n} X_{nk}\) we have:

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[|S_{\nu_n}| \geq \epsilon \nu_n^\alpha] < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,
\]

for \(\alpha > 1/2, \alpha r > 1\) and \(\beta \geq 1\), whenever

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[\nu_n < n^\beta] < \infty,
\]

and (2.1) holds true for \(\alpha > 1/2, \alpha r > 1\), and \(0 < \beta < 1\), whenever additionally with (2.2) the condition

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[\max_{k \leq \nu_n} |X_{nk}| \geq \epsilon \nu_n^\alpha] < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,
\]

is satisfied.

**PROOF.** Firstly we prove that (2.2) and (2.3) with \(\alpha > \frac{1}{2}, \alpha r > 1\), and \(\beta > 0\) imply (2.1). Taking into account

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[|S_{\nu_n}| \geq \epsilon \nu_n^\alpha] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[|S_{\nu_n}| \geq \epsilon \nu_n^\alpha, \nu_n \geq n^\beta] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[\nu_n < n^\beta]
\]

we see that we need only to show that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[|S_{\nu_n}| \geq \epsilon \nu_n^\alpha, \nu_n \geq n^\beta] < \infty.
\]
Let $\delta > \frac{1}{(ar-1)}$, $\frac{1}{(ar)} < \gamma < 1$ and $q$ be a positive integer such that $q > \frac{1+\delta}{(ar-1)}$. Define the sets (cf. Klesov [8]):

\[ B_n^{(1)} = \{3k \leq \nu_n : |X_{nk}| \geq \frac{\epsilon \nu_n^\alpha}{q}\} , \]
\[ B_n^{(2)} = \{3 \text{ at least } q \text{ indices } k \leq \nu_n : |X_{nk}| \geq \nu_n^{\alpha} \} , \]
\[ B_n^{(3)} = \{ \sum_{k \leq \nu_n} X_{nk}I[|X_{nk}| < \nu_n^{\alpha}] \geq \frac{\epsilon \nu_n^\alpha}{q}\} , \]

where $I[A]$ is the indicator function of an event $A$. Taking into account that

\[ |\nu_n| \geq \epsilon \nu_n^\alpha \subseteq B_n^{(1)} \cup B_n^{(2)} \cup B_n^{(3)} \]

we note that (2.4) will be proved if we show that

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[\nu_n \geq n^\beta] < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2.5) \]

For $i = 1$ we have

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[\nu_n \geq n^\beta] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[\exists k \leq \nu_n : |X_{nk}| \geq (\epsilon \nu_n^\alpha)/q] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[\max_{k \leq \nu_n} |X_{nk}| \geq \epsilon' \nu_n^\alpha], \quad \epsilon' = \epsilon/q. \]

In the case $i = 2$ we state that

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} P[\nu_n \geq n^\beta] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P[\nu_n = j, \nu_n \geq n^\beta] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \ldots \leq k_q \leq j} P[\nu_n = j, \nu_n \geq n^\beta] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2-\beta \delta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \ldots \leq k_q \leq j} E|X_{nk1}|^\gamma \ldots E|X_{nkq}|^\gamma I[\nu_n = j, \nu_n \geq n^\beta] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2-\beta \delta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \sum_{k_q=q}^{k_q=q-1} \sum_{k_{q-1}=q-1}^{k_{q-1}=q-1} \sum_{k_1=1}^{k_2-1} E|X_{nk1}|^\gamma \ldots E|X_{nk_{k-1}}|^\gamma \ldots E|X_{nk_k}|^\gamma. \]

Now using the assumption (1.2) we get

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2-\beta \delta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_q=q}^{k_q=q-1} \sum_{k_{q-1}=q-1}^{k_{q-1}=q-1} \sum_{k_1=1}^{k_2-1} E|X_{nk1}|^\gamma \ldots E|X_{nk_{k-1}}|^\gamma \ldots E|X_{nk_k}|^\gamma \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2-\beta \delta} E|X|^\gamma \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_q=q}^{k_q=q-1} \sum_{k_{q-1}=q-1}^{k_{q-1}=q-1} \sum_{k_1=1}^{k_2-1} E|X_{nk1}|^\gamma \ldots E|X_{nk_{k-1}}|^\gamma \ldots E|X_{nk_k}|^\gamma. \]
To prove (2.5) for \( i = 3 \) we write

\[
Y^n_{kj} = X_{nk} I[|X_{nk}| < j^\gamma_0] - E X_{nk} I[|X_{nk}| < j^\gamma_0],
\]

\( 1 \leq k \leq j \geq 1 \) and \( n \geq 1 \).

Then we see that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} \sum_{j \geq [n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} E \sum_{k \leq j} X_{nk} I[|X_{nk}| < j^\gamma_0]^s
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} \sum_{j \geq [n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} E \sum_{k \leq j} E X_{nk} I[|X_{nk}| < j^\gamma_0]^s
\]

for every \( s > 0 \) and a positive constant \( c \).

We note that the second term in the last inequality is finite as

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{ar-2} \sum_{j \geq [n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} \sum_{k \leq j} E X_{nk} I[|X_{nk}| < j^\gamma_0]^s
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-\alpha s + \gamma_0 (r-1)s + \epsilon} (E |\xi|^r)^s \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-s(a + \alpha r\gamma - \gamma_0 - 1)} < \infty
\]

for \( s > \frac{c}{\alpha (1 - \gamma_0) + \gamma_0 r - 1} \).

Now we can write

\[
E|\sum_{k \leq j} Y^n_{kj}|^s = \int_0^{\infty} z^{s-1} P[|\sum_{k \leq j} Y^n_{kj}| \geq z] \, dz
\]

\[
= \int_0^{j^{\gamma_0}} z^{s-1} P[|\sum_{k \leq j} Y^n_{kj}| \geq z] \, dz + \int_{j^{\gamma_0}}^{\infty} z^{s-1} P[|\sum_{k \leq j} Y^n_{kj}| \geq z] \, dz
\]

\[
\leq j^{\gamma_0 s} + \int_{j^{\gamma_0}}^{\infty} z^{s-1} P[|\sum_{k \leq j} Y^n_{kj}| \geq z] \, dz.
\]

But the Fuk-Nagaev inequality (cf. Fuk and Nagaev [12]):

\[
P[|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i| \geq z]
\]
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} P[X_i \geq \eta z] + \frac{1}{(\eta z)^t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\eta z} |u|^t dF_{X_i}(u) + \exp\left(-\frac{(1-\eta)^2 z^2}{2e^t \sum_{i=1}^{n} EX_i^2}\right),
\]

where \( t \geq 2, \eta = \frac{1}{t+2} \), allows us to show that

\[
\int_{j^{\gamma n}}^\infty z^{s-1} P\left[ \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k_j}^n \right) \geq z \right] dz \quad (2.9)
\]

\[
\leq 2 \left( \sum_{j} \int_{j^{\gamma n}}^\infty z^{s-1} P[|Y_{k_j}^n| \geq \eta z] dz \right) + \frac{2}{\eta t} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{j^{\gamma n}}^\infty z^{s-1-1} \int_{0}^{\eta z} |u|^t dF_{Y_{k_j}}(u)
\]

\[
+ \int_{j^{\gamma n}}^\infty z^{s-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(1-\eta)^2 z^2}{2e^t \sum_{k=1}^{n} E(Y_{k_j}^n)^2}\right) dz.
\]

Now we see that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j\geq[n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{j^{\gamma n}}^\infty z^{s-1} P[|Y_{k_j}^n| \geq \eta z] dz \quad (2.10)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{\eta} \right)^{s-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j\geq[n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} \sum_{k=1}^{j} E|Y_{k_j}^n| \leq \text{const} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} j^{-\alpha s+c} j(j^{\gamma n})^s < \infty
\]

for \( s > \frac{c+2}{\alpha (1-\gamma)} \).

Moreover, using the assumption on a regular cover (cf. Definition 1.2), we have

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j\geq[n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{j^{\gamma n}}^\infty z^{s-1-1} (\int_{0}^{\eta z} |u|^t dF_{Y_{k_j}}(u)) dz \quad (2.11)
\]

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j\geq[n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{j^{\gamma n}}^\infty z^{s-1-1} (E|Y_{k_j}^n| I[|Y_{k_j}^n| < z]) dz
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j\geq[n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} \sum_{k=1}^{j} E|X_{n_k}| I[|X_{n_k}| < j^{\gamma n}] j^{\gamma n(s-t)}
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j\geq[n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s+c+\gamma n(s-r)} \sum_{k=1}^{j} E|X_{n_k}|
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} E|\xi|^s \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} j^{-\alpha s+c+\gamma n(s-r)+1} < \infty
\]

for \( s > \frac{c+2-\gamma r}{\alpha (1-\gamma)} \).

Further on, we note that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j\geq[n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} \int_{j^{\gamma n}}^\infty z^{s-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(1-\eta)^2 z^2}{2e^t \sum_{k=1}^{n} E(Y_{k_j}^n)^2}\right) dz \quad (2.12)
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j\geq[n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j} E(Y_{k_j}^n)^2 \right)^{s/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{s/2-1} e^{-y} dy
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j\geq[n^\theta]} j^{-\alpha s} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j} E(Y_{k_j}^n)^2 \right)^{s/2}.
\]
Assume now that \( r > 2 \). Then we have

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j \geq [n^p]} j^{-\alpha s} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j} E(Y_k^n)^2 \right)^{s/2} \leq \text{const} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j \geq [n^p]} j^{-\alpha s} (jE[\xi]^2)^{s/2} \leq \text{const} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-\alpha s+c+s/2} < \infty \tag{2.13}
\]

for \( s > \frac{c+1}{\alpha-1/2} \).

Similarly it can be proved that for \( r < 2 \)

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} \sum_{j \geq [n^p]} j^{-\alpha s} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{j} EY_k^2 \right)^{s/2} \leq \text{const} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-s[\alpha-1/2-\gamma(2-\gamma)/2]+c} < \infty \tag{2.14}
\]

whenever \( s > \frac{\alpha-1/2+\gamma(2-\gamma)/2}{\alpha-1/2} \) and \( \gamma \) is such that \( \gamma < \frac{2\alpha-1}{2-\gamma} \).

Collecting the estimates (2.7) - (2.14) we see that the series in (2.6) converges which completes the proof of (2.1) for \( \beta > 0 \).

But for the stronger requirement \( \beta \geq 1 \) we note that the condition (2.3) is fulfilled under the assumption \( E|X_{nk}|^r < \infty, r \geq 1, k \geq 1, n \geq 1 \).

Indeed, we see that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} P \left[ \max_{k \leq v_n} |X_{nk}| \geq \nu_n^a \right] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} P \left[ \nu_n < \nu_n^a \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} P \left[ \max_{k \leq v_n} |X_{nk}| \geq \nu_n^a, \nu_n \geq \nu_n^a \right],
\]

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} P \left[ \max_{k \leq v_n} |X_{nk}| \geq \nu_n^a, \nu_n \geq \nu_n^a \right] \leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha r-1} P \left[ \max_{k \leq v_m} |X_{2^m k}| \geq \nu_{2^m}^a, \nu_{2^m} \geq (2^m)^a \right]
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha r-1} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} P \left[ \max_{k \leq v_m} |X_{2^m k}| \geq \nu_{2^m}^a, (2^j)^a \leq \nu_{2^m} \leq (2^{j+1})^a \right]
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{(2^m)^{\alpha r-1}} P \left[ \max_{k \leq (2^m)^{\alpha r-1}} |X_{2^m k}| \geq \nu_{(2^m)^{\alpha r-1}} \right] (2^m)^{\alpha r-1}
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{(2^m)^{\alpha r-1}} P \left[ \max_{k \leq (2^m)^{\alpha r-1}} |X_{2^m k}| \geq (2^m)^{\alpha r-1} \right]
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{(2^m)^{\alpha r-1}} P \left[ |X_{2^m k}| \geq (2^m)^{\alpha r-1} \right] (2^m)^{\alpha r-1}
\]

\[
\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha r-1} \delta(2^m)^{\alpha r-1} \leq \text{const} E|\xi|^r \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha r-1-\beta(\alpha r-1)} < \infty
\]
for $\beta \geq 1$, which gives (2.3) and ends the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Now we note that the condition (2.3) ($0 < \beta < 1$) is fulfilled under a stronger moment condition than that of Theorem 2.1.

**COROLLARY.** Let $\{X_{nk}, n \geq 1, k \geq 1\}$ be an array of rowwise independent random variables such that $X_{n1}, X_{n2}, \ldots, X_{nk}, n \geq 1, k \geq 1$, form an independent regular cover of a random variable $\xi$, and assume that $E X_{nk} = 0$, $E|X_{nk}|^{\alpha \frac{1}{1-\beta}} < \infty$, $n \geq 1, k \geq 1$, $E\xi = 0$, and $E|\xi|^{\alpha \frac{1}{1-\beta}} < \infty$ for $r \geq 1, \alpha > 1/2, \alpha r > 1, 0 < \beta < 1$.

If $\{\nu_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} P[\nu_n < n^\beta] < \infty,$$

then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[|S_{\nu_n}| \geq \varepsilon \nu_n^\alpha] < \infty.$$

**PROOF.** It is enough to see that under the considered case the condition (2.3) is satisfied. Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} P[\max_{k \leq \nu_n} |X_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon \nu_n^\alpha]$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} P[\nu_n < n^\beta] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} P[\max_{k \leq \nu_n} |X_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon \nu_n^\alpha, \nu_n \geq n^\beta],$$

then we need only to note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r-2} P[\max_{k \leq \nu_n} |X_{nk}| \geq \varepsilon \nu_n^\alpha, \nu_n \geq n^\beta]$$

$$\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha r-1} P[\max_{k \leq \nu_{2m}} |X_{2m,k}| \geq \varepsilon \nu_{2m}^\alpha, \nu_{2m} \geq (2^m)^\beta]$$

$$\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha r-1} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} P[\max_{k \leq \nu_{2^j m}} |X_{2^j m,k}| \geq \varepsilon \nu_{2^j m}^\alpha, (2^j)^\beta \leq \nu_{2^j m} \leq (2^{j+1})^\beta]$$

$$\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha r-1} \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} P[\max_{k \leq (2^{j+1})^\beta} |X_{2^j m,k}| \geq \varepsilon (2^j)^\alpha \beta]$$

$$\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P[\max_{k \leq (2^{m+1})^\beta} |X_{2^m k}| \geq \varepsilon (2^m)^\alpha \beta]$$

$$\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha r-1} P[|X_{2^m k}| \geq \varepsilon (2^m)^\alpha \beta]$$

$$\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha r-1} \sum_{k \leq (2^{m+1})^\beta} P[|X_{2^m k}| \geq \varepsilon (2^m)^\alpha \beta]$$

$$\leq \text{const} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha r-1+\beta} P[|\xi|^{\alpha \frac{1}{1-\beta}} \geq \varepsilon (2^m)] \leq \text{const} E|\xi|^{\alpha \frac{1}{1-\beta}} < \infty.$$

Note that the moment condition of Corollary is close to optimal which shows the following statement.
**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( \{X_{nk}, n \geq 1, k \geq 1\} \) be an array of rowwise independent random variables such that \( X_{n1}, X_{n2}, \ldots, X_{nk}, n \geq 1, k \geq 1, \) form an independent regular cover of a random variable \( \xi, \) and assume that \( EX_{nk} = 0. \)

Then for \( r \geq 1, \alpha > 1/2, \beta > 1, \) the convergence of the series

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r - 2} P[|S_{(n\alpha^2)}| \geq \varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta}] < \infty
\]  

implies \( E|\xi|^{\alpha r + 1 + \beta} < \infty. \)

**Proof.** Let \( \mu_n \) be a median of \( S_n, \) i.e. \( \mu_n = \{t : P[S_n < t] \geq 1/2\}. \) By the standard symmetrization inequalities (cf. Loève [13]) we have

\[
P[|S_{(n\alpha^2)}| \geq \varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta}] \
\geq \frac{1}{2} P[|S_{(n\alpha^2)}| \geq 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta}] \
\geq \frac{1}{4} P[|S_{(n\alpha^2)}| - \mu_{(n\alpha^2)}| \geq 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta}] \
\geq \frac{1}{4} P[|S_{(n\alpha^2)}| - \mu_{(n\alpha^2)} \geq 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta}],
\]

which by (2.15) gives

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha r - 2} P[|S_{(n\alpha^2)}| - \mu_{(n\alpha^2)} \geq 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta}] < \infty.
\]  

We note that \( \tau_n = \sup\{\tau : P[\xi \geq \tau] \geq \frac{1}{4n^\alpha}\}. \) We note that \( \tau_n \geq \tau_{n-1}, \) and

\[
P[\xi \geq \tau_n] \geq \frac{1}{4n^\alpha}, \quad P[\xi \leq \tau_n] \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4n^\alpha}.
\]  

If the \( \tau_n \) are all negative then \( P[\xi < 0] = 1 \) so \( E(\xi^+)^{\frac{\alpha r + 1 + \beta}{\alpha \beta}} = 0 < \infty. \) Thus, assume that for \( n \) sufficiently large we have \( \tau_n \geq 0. \) Moreover, we note that by (2.17)

\[
P[X_{nk} > \tau_n] \leq P[X_{n1} > \tau_1] + \ldots + P[X_{n[n\alpha^2]} > \tau_n]
\]  

\( \leq n^\beta P[\xi > \tau_n] = n^\beta (1 - P[\xi \leq \tau_n]) \leq \frac{1}{4}. \)

Furthermore, for \( k \in \{1, \ldots, [n^\alpha]\} \) define \( \{\rho_{nk}, 1 \leq k \leq [n^\alpha]\} \) with

\[
\rho_{nk} = \sup\{\rho : P[S_{(n\alpha^2)}] - X_{nk} \geq \rho \geq \frac{1}{3}\}.
\]

Then we have

\[
P[S_{(n\alpha^2)}] - X_{nk} \geq \rho_{nk}] \geq \frac{1}{3}, \quad P[S_{(n\alpha^2)}] - X_{nk} \leq \rho_{nk}] \geq \frac{2}{3}.
\]

Using the independence \( S_{(n\alpha^2)} - X_{nk} \) and \( X_{nk}, \) (2.18) and (2.19) we get

\[
P[S_{(n\alpha^2)}] \leq \tau_n + \rho_{nk}] \geq P[X_{nk} \leq \tau_n, S_{(n\alpha^2)} - X_{nk} \leq \rho_{nk}] = P[X_{nk} \leq \tau_n] P[S_{(n\alpha^2)} - X_{nk} \leq \rho_{nk}]
\]

\( = (1 - P[X_{nk} > \tau_n]) P[S_{(n\alpha^2)} - X_{nk} \leq \rho_{nk}] \geq \frac{1}{2}. \)

Now using

\[
T_{nk} := [X_{nk} > 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_n], \quad R_{nk} := [S_{(n\alpha^2)} - X_{nk} \geq \rho_{nk}]
\]
we see that
\[ P[S_{[n^\beta]} \geq 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \mu_{[n^\beta]}] \]
(2.20)
\[ \geq P[S_{[n^\beta]} > 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_n + \rho_{nk}] \geq P\left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{[n^\beta]} (T_{nk} \cap R_{nk}) \right) \]
\[ \geq \sum_{k=1}^{[n^\beta]} P\left[(T_{n1} \cap R_{n1}) \cap \ldots \cap (T_{nk-1} \cap R_{nk-1}) \cap (T_{nk} \cap R_{nk}) \right) \]
\[ \geq \sum_{k=1}^{[n^\beta]} \left( P[T_{nk} \cap R_{nk}] - P[(T_{n1} \cup \ldots \cup T_{nk-1}) \cap R_{nk}] \right) \]
\[ \geq \sum_{k=1}^{[n^\beta]} P[T_{nk}] \{ P[R_{nk}] - \sum_{k=1}^{[n^\beta]} P[T_{nk}] \} \]
Having \( \tau_n \geq 0 \) for sufficiently large \( n \) we get
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{[n^\beta]} P[T_{nk}] = \sum_{k=1}^{[n^\beta]} P[X_{nk} \geq 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_n] \]
\[ < n^\beta P[\xi > 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_n] = n^\beta \left( 1 - P[\xi \leq 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_n] \right) \leq \frac{1}{4}, \]
where we have used the covering identity (1.1) as well as (2.17).
Thus, (2.20) implies that
\[ P[S_{[n^\beta]} \geq 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \mu_{[n^\beta]}] \geq \frac{1}{12} [n^\beta]P[\xi > 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_n] \]
for \( n \) sufficiently large.
Hence, by (2.16) we conclude that
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha \tau - 2 + \beta} P[\xi > 2\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_n] < \infty \]
which is equivalent to
\[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m)^{\alpha \tau - 1 + \beta} P[\xi > 2\varepsilon (2^m)^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_{2m}] < \infty. \]
(2.21)
Similarly as in Pruss [11] (cf. Lemma 4) we can show that for \( m \) sufficiently large we have
\[ \tau_{2m+1} \leq 2^m \varepsilon + \tau_{2m}. \]
Assume that \( M \) is a positive integer number such that
\[ \tau_{2m+1} \leq 2\varepsilon (2^m)^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_{2m} \quad \text{for} \quad m \geq M. \]
Iterating this inequality for \( m \geq M \) we obtain
\[ \tau_{2m} < 2\varepsilon (2^m)^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_{2m}. \]
which gives \( 2\varepsilon (2^m)^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_2 \mu < 4\varepsilon (2^m)^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_2 \mu \).

Therefore, using (2.21), we have

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha - 2 + \beta} P[\xi > 4\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_2 \mu] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha - 2 + \beta} \frac{E(\xi^+)}{n^{\alpha \beta}} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha - 2 + \beta} P[\xi > (4\varepsilon + \tau_2 \mu)n^{\alpha \beta}] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha - 2 + \beta} \frac{E(\xi^+)}{n^{\alpha \beta}}.
\]

which proves that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha - 2 + \beta} P[\xi > 4\varepsilon n^{\alpha \beta} + \tau_2 \mu] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha - 2 + \beta} \frac{E(\xi^+)}{n^{\alpha \beta}} < \infty,
\]

Similarly one can show that \( E(\xi^-) \frac{\alpha - 1 + \beta}{\alpha \beta} < \infty, \) which completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.
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