EXPONENTIAL STABILITY FOR ABSTRACT LINEAR AUTONOMOUS FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH INFINITE DELAY

JIN LIANG

Teaching and Research Section of Mathematics
Kunming University of Science and Technology
Kunming 650093, P.R. CHINA

FALUN HUANG

Department of Mathematics
Sichuan University
Chengdu 610064, P.R. CHINA

TIJUN XIAO

Department of Mathematics
Yunnan Normal University
Kunming 650092, P.R. CHINA

(Received May 5, 1994 and in revised form September 27, 1996)

ABSTRACT. Based on our preceding paper, this note is concerned with the exponential stability of the solution semigroup for the abstract linear autonomous functional differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = L(x_t) \quad (*)$$

where L is a continuous linear operator on some abstract phase space B into a Banach space E . We prove that the solution semigroup of $(*)$ is exponentially stable if and only if the fundamental operator $(*)$ is exponentially stable and the phase space B is an exponentially fading memory space.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Exponentially stable, solution semigroup, abstract linear autonomous functional differential equation, fundamental operator, fading memory space.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: Primary 34K20, 34G20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be a Banach space. For an E -valued function x defined on $(-\infty, a]$, by x_t we denote the function $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$, $-\infty < \theta \leq 0$. We consider the abstract linear autonomous functional differential equation with infinite delay

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = L(x_t), & t > 0, \\ x(\theta) = \phi(\theta), & \theta \in (-\infty, 0] \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where L is a continuous linear operator on an abstract phase space B into E .

In [1], we have discussed some problems on (1.1), and have given a definition of the fundamental operator for (1.1) corresponding to the fundamental matrix [2,3] of (1.1) in the case of finite-dimensional space, and some sufficient and necessary conditions of the fundamental operator of (1.1) being exponentially stable. In the present note, we investigate the exponential stability of the solution semigroup of (1.1) and set up a sufficient and necessary condition for it. It is shown that the solution semigroup of (1.1) is exponentially stable if and only if the fundamental operator of (1.1) is exponentially stable and the phase space B is an exponentially fading memory space.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let $U(Y)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators from space Y to Y . $\chi_{[u,v]}(\cdot)$ denotes the characteristic function of interval $[u,v]$. Suppose B is a Banach space of E -valued functions on $(-\infty, 0]$ with a norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ having the following properties:

(H1). If $x : (-\infty, \alpha] \rightarrow E$, $\alpha > \sigma$, is continuous on $[\sigma, \alpha]$ and $x_0 \in B$, then x_t is continuous in $t \in [\sigma, \alpha]$.

(H2). $\|\phi(0)\| \leq K\|\phi\|_B$ for all ϕ in B and some constant K .

(H3). $\|\phi\|_B \leq \|\phi\|_\beta + \|\phi\|_{(\beta)}$ for any $\beta > 0$, $\phi \in B$, where $\|\phi\|_\beta = \inf\{\|\psi\|_B : \psi \in B \text{ and } \psi(\theta) = \phi(\theta) \text{ for } \theta \in (-\infty, -\beta]\}, \|\phi\|_{(\beta)} = \inf\{\|\psi\|_B : \psi \in B \text{ and } \psi(\theta) = \phi(\theta) \text{ for } \theta \in [-\beta, 0]\}$.

(H4). $\|\phi\|_\beta \leq K_1 \sup\{\|\phi(\theta)\|, -\beta \leq \theta \leq 0\}$ for any $\phi \in B$ and some constant K_1 ; $\sup_{\|\phi\|_B=1} \|\phi\|_\beta$ is a locally bounded function of $\beta \geq 0$.

(H5). $\|x(\cdot)\|_B \leq M_1\|y(\cdot)\|_B$ for any $x, y \in B$ with $\|x(\theta)\| \leq \|y(\theta)\|$ for $\theta \in (-\infty, 0]$ and some constant M_1 .

(H6). $\chi_{[-t,0]}(\cdot)b, \chi_{(-t,0]}(\cdot)b \in B$ for any $t \geq 0$ and $b \in E : \|\chi_{[0,0]}(\cdot)b\|_B \leq M\|b\|$ for $b \in E$ and some constant M .

B is called an *admissible phase space*. (H4) and (H5) stem from (α_3) , (β_1) and (β_2) in [4]. It is clear that [1, H(3)] follows from (H4) and (H5). A typical example of the space B could be found in [1]. It is known that for each $\phi \in B$, the solution $x(t) = x(t, \phi)$ of (1.1) exists uniquely for $t \in [0, \infty)$. For every $\phi \in B$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, define

$$(T(t)\phi)(\theta) = x_t(\theta) = x_t(\theta, \phi) = \begin{cases} \phi(0) + \int_0^{t+\theta} L(x_s)ds, & t+\theta > 0, \\ \phi(t+\theta), & t+\theta \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad \theta \in (-\infty, 0].$$

$\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is called the solution semigroup of (1.1). If $L = 0$, we denote by $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ the solution semigroup, i.e.,

$$(S(t)\phi)(\theta) = \begin{cases} \phi(0), & t+\theta > 0, \\ \phi(t+\theta), & t+\theta \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad t \in [0, \infty), \phi \in B, \theta \in (-\infty, 0].$$

It is also called a translation semigroup. By virtue of (H1), $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ are C_0 semigroups on B . Set

$$(S_0(t)\phi)(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0, & t+\theta > 0, \\ \phi(t+\theta), & t+\theta \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad t \in [0, \infty), \phi \in B, \theta \in (-\infty, 0].$$

An admissible phase space B is called to be an exponentially fading memory space, if there are constants $C, \alpha > 0$ such that $\|S_0(t)\phi\|_t \leq Ce^{-\alpha t}\|\phi\|_B$ for any $\phi \in B, t > 0$. By (H1) and (H6), $S_0(t)\phi \in B(t > 0)$. Therefore, B is an exponentially fading memory space if and only if $\|S_0(t)\phi\|_B \leq Ce^{-\alpha t}\|\phi\|_B$ for any $\phi \in B, t > 0$ and some positive constants C, α , since by (H5),

$$\|S_0(t)\phi\|_t \leq \|S_0(t)\phi\|_B \leq M_1\|S_0(t)\phi\|_t \quad \text{for any } \phi \in B, t > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

LEMMA 1. Let $x(t, \phi)$ be the solution of (1.1). Then

$$x(t, \phi) = \begin{cases} X(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t X(t-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau, & t > 0, \\ \phi(t), & t \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

or for $\theta \in (-\infty, 0]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(T(t)\phi)(\theta) &= \begin{cases} X(t+\theta)\phi(0) + \int_0^{t+\theta} X(t+\theta-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau, & t+\theta > 0, \\ \phi(t+\theta), & t+\theta \leq 0, \end{cases} \\
&= (S_0(t)\phi)(\theta) + X(t+\theta)\phi(0) + \int_0^{t+\theta} X(t+\theta-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau,
\end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $X(t)$ is the fundamental operator of (1.1) (see [1]).

PROOF. Let

$$\|T(t)\phi\|_B, \quad \|S(t)\phi\|_B \leq Me^{\eta t}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \phi \in B, \quad (2.4)$$

where $M > 0$, $\eta > \omega$ (the constant in [1, Lemma 2.2]) are constants. For $\phi \in B$, set

$$y(t) = y(t, \phi) = \begin{cases} 0, & t > 0 \\ \phi(t), & t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and $z(t) = z(t, \phi) = x(t, \phi) - y(t, \phi)$, where $x(t, \phi)$ is the solution of (1.1). Then we have

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = L(z_t + S_0(t)\phi) = L(z_t) + L(S_0(t)\phi), \quad t > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

By virtue of (H5) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\|z_t\|_B, \quad \|S_0(t)\phi\|_B \leq M_1 Me^{\eta t}, \quad t > 0, \quad \phi \in B. \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover, according to (H2) or (2.5),

$$\|z(t)\| < M_2 e^{\eta t}, \quad t > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where $M_2 > 0$ is a constant. Thus, taking Laplace transform on two sides of the equality (2.5), we have that for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \eta$,

$$-\phi(0) + \lambda \hat{z}(\lambda) = L(e^{\lambda \cdot})z(\lambda) + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} L(S_0(t)\phi)dt,$$

that is

$$\hat{z}(\lambda) = (\lambda I - L(e^{\lambda \cdot}))^{-1} \phi(0) + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda I - L(e^{\lambda \cdot}))^{-1} L(S_0(t)\phi)dt,$$

where $\hat{z}(\lambda)$ is a Laplace transform of z . Accordingly, the formula (2.2) follows from the definition of $X(t)$, the convolution property and the uniqueness property of Laplace transform.

THEOREM 1. The solution semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of (1.1) is exponentially stable if and only if the fundamental operator $X(t)$ of (1.1) is exponentially stable and the phase space B is an exponentially fading memory phase.

PROOF. Necessity. Let

$$\|T(t)\phi\|_B \leq M_0 e^{-\sigma t} \|\phi\|_B \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \phi \in B, \quad (2.8)$$

where $M_0, \sigma > 0$ are constants. Then, by (H5)

$$\|S_0(t)\phi\|_t = \|T_0(t)\phi\|_t \leq \|T(t)\phi\|_B \leq M_0 e^{-\sigma t} \|\phi\|, \quad t \geq 0, \phi \in B, \quad (2.9)$$

that is, B is an exponentially fading memory space.

According to [1, Lemma 3.1], for every $b \in E$, $X(t)b$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t)b = L(X_t b), & t > 0 \\ X(\theta)b = \chi_{[0,0]}(\theta)b, & \theta \in (-\infty, 0). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(T(t)\chi_{[0,0]}(\cdot)b)(\theta)|_{\theta=0} = b + \int_0^t L(X_\tau b) d\lambda = X(t)b, \quad b \in E.$$

Consequently, it follows from (H2), (2.8) and (H6) that for any $b \in E$,

$$\|X(t)b\| \leq K\|T(t)\chi_{[0,0]}(\cdot)b\| \leq KM_0Me^{-\sigma t}\|b\|, \quad t \geq 0.$$

This shows that $X(t)$ is exponentially stable.

Sufficiency. Obviously, we can suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(t)\| &\leq M_0e^{-\sigma t} (t \geq 0), \\ \|S_0(t)\phi\|_B &\leq M_0e^{-\sigma t}\|\phi\|_B \quad (t > 0, \phi \in B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (H2),

$$\sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta < 0} \|X(t+\theta)\phi(0)\| \leq M_0e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t}\|\phi(0)\| \leq M_0e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t}\|\phi\|_B. \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, for $\theta \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^{t+\theta} X(t+\theta-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau \right\| &\leq \int_0^{t+\theta} M_0e^{-\sigma(t+\theta-\tau)}\|L\|M_0e^{-\sigma\tau}\|\phi\|_B d\tau \\ &\leq M_0^2\|L\|(t+\theta)e^{-\sigma(t+\theta)}\|\phi\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly,

$$\sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq 0} \left\| \int_0^{t+\theta} X(t+\theta-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}M_0^2\|L\|te^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t}\|\phi\|_B. \quad (2.11)$$

(H4), Lemma 1, (2.10) and (2.11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)\phi\|_{(\frac{1}{2})} &\leq K_1 \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq 0} \|(T(\tau)\phi)(\theta)\| \leq K_1 \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq 0} \|X(t+\theta)\phi(0)\| + \\ &K_1 \sup_{-\frac{1}{2} \leq \theta \leq 0} \left\| \int_0^{t+\theta} X(t+\theta-\tau)L(S_0(\tau)\phi)d\tau \right\| \leq \left(K_1M_0 + \frac{1}{2}M_0^2\|L\|t \right) e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t}\|\phi\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, there is a constant K_2 such that

$$\|T(t)\phi\|_{(\frac{1}{2})} \leq K_2e^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}t}\|\phi\|_B, \quad (2.12)$$

taking into account $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} te^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}t} = 0$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)\phi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left\| T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\left(T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\phi\right) \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\| S_0\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\left(T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\phi\right) \right\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left\| S_0\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\left(T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\phi\right) \right\| \leq M_0e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t}\left\| T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\phi \right\|_B. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore, it follows from (H4), (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$\|T(t)\phi\|_B \leq \|T(t)\phi\|_{(\frac{1}{2})} + \|T(t)\phi\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq K_2e^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}t}\|\phi\|_B + M_0e^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}t}\left\| T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\phi \right\|_B.$$

Consequently,

$$\|T(t)\| \leq Ce^{-\frac{\sigma}{4}t}\left(1 + \left\| T\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \right\| \right), \quad (2.14)$$

where $C = K_2 + M_0$.

We say that $\|T(t)\|$ is bounded on $[0, \infty]$. In fact, if it is false, then as shown in [5],

$$\omega_L = \inf_{t>0} \frac{\ln\|T(t)\|}{t} \geq 0, \quad (2.15)$$

that is, $\|T(t)\| \geq 1$. Hence, by (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ln\|T(t)\|}{t} &\leq -\frac{\sigma}{4} + \frac{\ln C \left(1 + \|T(\frac{t}{2})\|^{-1}\right)}{t} + \frac{\ln\|T(\frac{t}{2})\|}{t} \\ &\leq -\frac{\sigma}{4} + \frac{\ln 2C}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln\|T(\frac{t}{2})\|}{\frac{t}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\omega_L \leq -\frac{\sigma}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_L,$$

i.e., $\omega_L \leq -\frac{\sigma}{2}$, which contradicts (2.15). So, $\|T(t)\|$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$. Accordingly, (2.14) implies that $T(t)$ is exponentially stable.

REMARK 1. (i) If $L(S_0(t)\phi)$ is well defined for each $t > 0$, $\phi \in B$, and

$$L\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_0(t)\phi dt\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} L(S_0(t)\phi) dt$$

for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega$ (ω is a constant), then Lemma 1 still holds when the hypothesis (H6) on B is taken off

(ii) If the assumptions of (i) hold, $\|L(S_0(t)\phi)\| \leq M\|S_0(t)\phi\|_t$ for any $t > 0$, $\phi \in B$ and some constant $M > 0$, and E is a finite-dimensional space, then Theorem 1 is still true when the hypothesis (H6) on B is taken off.

PROOF. (i) and the "sufficiency" of (ii) are clearly true according to the proof of Lemma 1 and that of the "sufficiency" of Theorem 1 respectively.

Let us look at the "Necessity" of (ii) now. Obviously, by (2.9), B is an exponentially fading memory space. From (2.8), $\omega_L = \inf_{t>0} \frac{\ln\|T(t)\|}{t} \leq -\sigma$. Accordingly, for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > -\sigma$, $b \in E$, $e^{\lambda\theta}b \in B$ and $\det(\lambda - L(e^{\lambda\cdot})) \neq 0$, as shown in [3]. Taking a $\sigma_0 \in (0, \sigma)$, we have by (H5) that $\|e^{\lambda\cdot}b\|_B \leq M_1\|e^{-\sigma_0\cdot}b\|_B$ and $\|L(e^{\lambda\cdot}b)\| \leq \|L\|M_1\|e^{-\sigma_0\cdot}b\|_B$ for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq -\sigma_0$. Thanks to the resonance theorem, we get $\sup\{\|L(e^{\lambda\cdot})\| : \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq -\sigma_0\} < \infty$. Therefore, $X(t)$ is exponentially stable by virtue of [1, Theorem 3.5].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. This work was supported by the National NSF of China and the ABSF of Yunnan Province. The authors are very grateful to the referee for his careful reading and valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] LIANG, J. and XIAO, T.J., Functional differential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces, *Inter. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* **14** (1991), 497-508.
- [2] CORDUNEANU, C. and LAKSHMIKANTHAM, V., Equations with unbounded delay: A survey, *Nonlinear Analysis Theory Method and Application* **4** (1980), 831-877.
- [3] NAITO, T., On linear autonomous retarded equations with an abstract phase space for infinite delay, *J. Diff. Eqns.* **33** (1979), 74-91.
- [4] HALE, J.K. and KATO, J., Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay, *Funci. Ekvacijs.* **21** (1978), 11-41.
- [5] YOSIDA, K., *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1965.