THE GENERAL IKEHATA THEOREM FOR H -SEPARABLE CROSSED PRODUCTS

GEORGE SZETO and LIANYONG XUE

(Received 26 March 1999)

ABSTRACT. Let B be a ring with 1, C the center of B , G an automorphism group of B of order n for some integer n , C^G the set of elements in C fixed under G , $\Delta = \Delta(B, G, f)$ a crossed product over B where f is a factor set from $G \times G$ to $U(C^G)$. It is shown that Δ is an H -separable extension of B and $V_\Delta(B)$ is a commutative subring of Δ if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$.

Keywords and phrases. Crossed products, Galois extensions, H -separable extensions.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16S35; Secondary 16W20.

1. Introduction. Let B be a ring with 1, ρ an automorphism of B of order n , $B[x; \rho]$ a skew polynomial ring with a basis $\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$ and $x^n = v \in U(B^\rho)$ for some integer n , where B^ρ is the set of elements in B fixed under ρ and $U(B^\rho)$ is the set of units of B^ρ .

In [4] it was shown that any skew polynomial ring $B[x; \rho]$ of prime degree n is an H -separable extension of B if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^ρ with Galois group $\langle \rho|_C \rangle$ generated by $\rho|_C$ of order n . This theorem was extended to any degree n [5, Theorem 1]. Recently, the theorem was completely generalized by the present authors in [8], that is, let $B[x; \rho]$ be a skew polynomial ring of degree n for some integer n . Then, $B[x; \rho]$ is an H -separable extension of B if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^ρ with Galois group $\langle \rho|_C \rangle \cong \langle \rho \rangle$. The purpose of the present paper is to generalize the above Ikehata theorem to an automorphism group of B (not necessarily cyclic) and f is a factor set from $G \times G$ to $U(C^G)$. We show that Δ is an H -separable extension of B and $V_\Delta(B)$ is a commutative subring of Δ if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$.

2. Preliminaries and basic definitions. Throughout this paper, B represents a ring with 1, C the center of B , G an automorphism group of B of order n for some integer n , B^G the set of elements in B fixed under G , $\Delta = \Delta(B, G, f)$ a crossed product with a free basis $\{U_g \mid g \in G \text{ and } U_1 = 1\}$ over B and the multiplications are given by $U_g b = g(b)U_g$ and $U_g U_h = f(g, h)U_{gh}$ for $b \in B$ and $g, h \in G$ where f is a map from $G \times G$ to $U(C^G)$ such that $f(g, h)f(gh, k) = f(h, k)f(g, hk)$, Z the center of Δ , $\bar{G}$ the inner automorphism group of Δ induced by G , that is, $\bar{g}(x) = U_g x U_g^{-1}$ for each $x \in \Delta$ and $g \in G$. We note that $f(g, 1) = f(1, g) = f(1, 1) = 1$ for all $g \in G$ and $\bar{G}$ restricted to B is G .

Let A be a subring of a ring S with the same identity 1. We denote $V_s(A)$ the

commutator subring of A in S . A ring S is called a G -Galois extension of S^G if there exist elements $\{a_i, b_i \in S, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) = \delta_{1,g}$. The set $\{a_i, b_i\}$ is called a G -Galois system for S . S is called an H -separable extension of A if there exists an H -separable system $\{x_i \in V_S(A), y_i \in V_{S \otimes_A S}(S) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for S over A for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i = 1 \otimes_A 1$.

3. The Ikehata theorem. In this section, we show that Δ is an H -separable extension of B and $V_\Delta(B)$ is a commutative subring of Δ if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$. We begin with a lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. (a) $V_\Delta(B) = \sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g$ where $J_g = \{b \in B \mid ab = bg(a) \text{ for all } a \in B\}$.
 (b) $V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta) = \{\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \mid b_{(g,h)} \in J_{gh} \text{ and } k(b_{(k^{-1}g,h)})f(k, k^{-1}g) = b_{(g,hk^{-1})}f(hk^{-1}, k) \text{ for all } g, k \in G\}$.
 (c) If $\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$, then $b_{(g,h)} U_{gh} \in V_\Delta(B)$.
 (d) If $\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$, then $b_{(g,g^{-1})} = g(b_{1,1})(f(g^{-1}, g))^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$.

PROOF. (a) Let $b \in J_g$. Then $a(bU_g) = (ab)U_g = bg(a)U_g = (bU_g)a$ for all $a \in B$. Hence $J_g U_g \subset V_\Delta(B)$. Therefore, $\sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g \subset V_\Delta(B)$. Conversely, let $\sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g \in V_\Delta(B)$. Then $a \sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g = \sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g a = \sum_{g \in G} b_g g(a) U_g$ for all $a \in B$, and so $ab_g = b_g g(a)$ for all $a \in B$ and $g \in G$, that is, $b_g \in J_g$ for all $g \in G$. Thus $V_\Delta(B) \subset \sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g$.

(b) $x = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$ if and only if $bx = xb$ and $U_k x = x U_k$ for all $a \in B$ and $k \in G$. But

$$\begin{aligned} bx &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h, \\ xb &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h b = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B h(b) U_h \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g h(b) \otimes_B U_h = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} (gh)(b) U_g \otimes_B U_h, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

so $bx = xb$ if and only if $b b_{(g,h)} = b_{(g,h)} ((gh)(b))$ for all $b \in B$ and $g, h \in G$, that is, $b_{(g,h)} \in J_{gh}$ by noting that $\{U_g \otimes_B U_h \mid g, h \in G\}$ is a basis for Δ over B . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} U_k x &= U_k \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} k(b_{(g,h)}) U_k U_g \otimes_B U_h \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} k(b_{(g,h)}) f(k, g) U_{kg} \otimes_B U_h \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} k(b_{(k^{-1}(kg), h)}) f(k, k^{-1}(kg)) U_{(kg)} \otimes_B U_h \\ &= \sum_{l \in G} \sum_{h \in G} k(b_{(k^{-1}l, h)}) f(k, k^{-1}l) U_l \otimes_B U_h \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} k(b_{(k^{-1}g, h)}) f(k, k^{-1}g) U_g \otimes_B U_h, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 xU_k &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B U_h U_k = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes_B f(h,k) U_{hk} \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g f(h,k) \otimes_B U_{hk} = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} f(h,k) U_g \otimes_B U_{hk} \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,(hk)k^{-1})} f((hk)k^{-1},k) U_g \otimes_B U_{hk} \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,lk^{-1})} f(lk^{-1},k) U_g \otimes_B U_l = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,hk^{-1})} f(hk^{-1},k) U_g \otimes_B U_h.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Hence, $U_k x = x U_k$ if and only if $k(b_{(k^{-1}g,h)})f(k,k^{-1}g) = b_{(g,hk^{-1})}f(hk^{-1},k)$ for all $g, h, k \in G$.

(c) If $\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{g,h} U_g \otimes U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$, then $b_{(g,h)} \in J_{gh}$ by (b); and so $b_{(g,h)} U_{gh} \in V_{\Delta}(B)$ by (a).

(d) If $\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)} U_g \otimes U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$, then $k(b_{(k^{-1}g,h)})f(k,k^{-1}g) = b_{(g,hk^{-1})}f(hk^{-1},k)$ for all $g, h, k \in G$ by (b). Let $k = g$ and $h = 1$. Then $b_{(g,g^{-1})}f(g^{-1},g) = g(b_{1,1})f(g,1) = g(b_{1,1})$ for all $g \in G$. This implies that $b_{(g,g^{-1})} = g(b_{1,1})(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. $\square$

THEOREM 3.2. Δ is an H -separable extension of B and $V_{\Delta}(B)$ is a commutative subring of Δ if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$.

PROOF. ($\Rightarrow$) Since Δ is an H -separable extension of B and B is a direct summand of Δ as a left B -module, $V_{\Delta}(V_{\Delta}(B)) = B$ [7, Proposition 1.2]. But $V_{\Delta}(B)$ is commutative, so $V_{\Delta}(B) \subset V_{\Delta}(V_{\Delta}(B)) = B$. Thus $V_{\Delta}(B) = C$.

Since Δ is an H -separable extension of B again, there exists an H -separable system $\{x_i \in V_{\Delta}(B), y_i \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i = 1 \otimes_B 1$. Let $y_i = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)}^{(i)} U_g \otimes_B U_h$. We claim that $\{a_i = x_i, b_i = b_{(1,1)}^{(i)} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is a G -Galois system for C . In fact, $a_i = x_i \in V_{\Delta}(B) = C$ and by Lemma 3.1(b), $b_i = b_{(1,1)}^{(i)} \in J_1 = C$. Moreover, since $y_i = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in G} b_{(g,h)}^{(i)} U_g \otimes_B U_h \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$, $b_{(g,h)}^{(i)} U_{gh} \in V_{\Delta}(B)$ by Lemma 3.1(c). But $V_{\Delta}(B) = C$, so $b_{(g,h)}^{(i)} = 0$ when $gh \neq 1$. Thus, $y_i = \sum_{g \in G} b_{(g,g^{-1})}^{(i)} U_g \otimes_B U_{g^{-1}}$. By Lemma 3.1(d), $b_{(g,g^{-1})}^{(i)} = g(b_{(1,1)}^{(i)})(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1} = g(b_i)(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1}$, so $y_i = \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i)(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1} U_g \otimes_B U_{g^{-1}}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 \otimes_B 1 &= \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i)(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1} U_g \otimes_B U_{g^{-1}} \\
 &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i)(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1} U_g \otimes_B U_{g^{-1}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i)(f(g^{-1},g))^{-1} = \delta_{1,g}$, so $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) = \delta_{1,g}$, that is $\{a_i, b_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is a G -Galois system for C . Therefore, C is a Galois algebra over C_G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$.

($\Leftarrow$) Since C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group with $G|_C \cong G$, there exists a G -Galois system $\{a_i, b_i \in C \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for some integer m such that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) = \delta_{1,g}$. Let $x_i = a_i$ and $y_i = \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_{g^{-1}}$. We claim that $\{x_i \in$

$V_\Delta(B)$, $\mathcal{Y}_i \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is an H -separable system for Δ over B . In fact, $x_i = a_i \in C \subset V_\Delta(B)$. Noting that $U_g^{-1} = f(g, g^{-1})^{-1} U_{g^{-1}}$, we have $U_g^{-1} b = f(g, g^{-1})^{-1} U_{g^{-1}} b = f(g, g^{-1})^{-1} g^{-1}(b) U_{g^{-1}} = g^{-1}(b) f(g, g^{-1})^{-1} U_{g^{-1}} = g^{-1}(b) U_g^{-1}$ for any $b \in B$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} b y_i &= b \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) b U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g g^{-1}(b) \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B g^{-1}(b) U_g^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} b = y_i b. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for any $h \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_h y_i &= U_h \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) U_h U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) f(h, g) U_{hg} \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) U_{hg} \otimes_B f(h, g) U_g^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) U_{hg} \otimes_B U_{hg}^{-1} U_{hg} f(h, g) U_g^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) U_{hg} \otimes_B U_{hg}^{-1} U_h U_g U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} (hg)(b_i) U_{hg} \otimes_B U_{hg}^{-1} U_h \\ &= \sum_{k \in G} k(b_i) U_k \otimes_B U_k^{-1} U_h = y_i U_h. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Thus $\mathcal{Y}_i \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta)$. Moreover, $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \sum_{g \in G} g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i g(b_i) U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} \delta_{1,g} U_g \otimes_B U_g^{-1} = 1 \otimes 1$. This implies that $\{x_i \in V_\Delta(B), \mathcal{Y}_i \in V_{\Delta \otimes_B \Delta}(\Delta) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ is an H -separable system for Δ over B . Thus, Δ is an H -separable extension of B . Moreover, B is a direct summand of Δ as a left B -module, so $V_\Delta(V_\Delta(B)) = B$ [7, Proposition 1.2]. But then, the center of Δ , $Z \subset B$; and so $Z = C^G$. Clearly, $V_\Delta(B)^G = Z = C^G$ and $C \subset V_\Delta(B)$, so $V_\Delta(B)$ is a G -Galois algebra over C^G with the same Galois system as C . Therefore, $V_\Delta(B) = C$ which is commutative. The proof is completed. $\square$

The Ikehata theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 by the fact that any Galois algebra with a cyclic Galois group is a commutative ring [1, Theorem 11].

COROLLARY 3.3 (the Ikehata theorem). *Let ρ be an automorphism of B of order n and $B[x; \rho]$ a skew polynomial ring of degree n with $x^n = v \in U(B^\rho)$ for some integer n . Then, $B[x; \rho]$ is an H -separable extension of B if and only if C is a Galois algebra over C^ρ with Galois group $\langle \rho \mid c \rangle \cong \langle \rho \rangle$.*

PROOF. It is easy to check that if ρ has order n , then $x^n = v \in U(C^\rho)$. Let $B[x; \rho]$ be an H -separable extension of B . Then $V_{B[x; \rho]}(B)$ is a Galois algebra over C^ρ with cyclic Galois algebra group $\langle \bar{\rho} \rangle$ generated by $\bar{\rho}$ [6, Theorem 3.2]; and so $V_{B[x; \rho]}(B)$ is a commutative ring by [1, Theorem 11]. On the other hand, $B[x; \rho]$ is a crossed product $\Delta(B, \langle \rho \rangle, f)$ where $f: \langle \rho \rangle \times \langle \rho \rangle \rightarrow U(C^\rho)$ by $f(\rho^i, \rho^j) = 1$ if $i + j < n$, $f(\rho^i, \rho^j) = v$ if $i + j \geq n$, and $U_{\rho^i} = x^i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Thus the corollary is immediate from Theorem 3.2. $\square$

Next we prove more characterizations of the ring B as given in Theorem 3.2.

THEOREM 3.4. *Assume Δ is an H -separable extension of B . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $V_\Delta(B)$ is a commutative subring of Δ .
- (2) $V_\Delta(B) = C$.
- (3) $V_\Delta(C) = B$.
- (4) $J_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$ where $J_g = \{b \in B \mid ab = bg(a) \text{ for all } a \in B\}$.
- (5) $I_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$ where $I_g = \{b \in B \mid cb = bg(c) \text{ for all } c \in C\}$.

PROOF. We prove (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). This was given in the proof of the necessity of Theorem 3.2.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Clearly, $B \subseteq V_\Delta(C)$. Conversely, for each $\sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g$ in $V_\Delta(C)$, we have $c(\sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g) = (\sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g)c$ for each c in C , so $cb_g = b_g g(c)$, that is $b_g(c - g(c)) = 0$ for each $g \in G$ and $c \in C$. But C is a commutative G -Galois extension of C^G , so the ideal of C generated by $\{c - g(c) \mid c \in C\}$ is C when $g \neq 1$ [2, Proposition 1.2(5)]. Hence $b_g = 0$ for each $g \neq 1$. But then $\sum_{g \in G} b_g U_g = b_1 \in B$. Thus $V_\Delta(C) \subseteq B$, and so $V_\Delta(C) = B$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). By hypothesis, $V_\Delta(C) = B$ so $V_\Delta(B) \subset V_\Delta(C) = B$. But $V_\Delta(B) = \sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g$ by Lemma 3.1(a), so $\sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g = V_\Delta(B) \subset B$. Thus $J_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (5). By Lemma 3.1(a) again, $V_\Delta(B) = \sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g$, and by hypothesis, $J_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$, so $V_\Delta(B) = J_1 = C$. Hence part (2) holds; and so $V_\Delta(C) = B$ by (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Clearly, $V_\Delta(C) = \sum_{g \in G} I_g U_g$, so $\sum_{g \in G} I_g U_g = B$. Thus $I_g = \{0\}$ for each $g \neq 1$.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Since $C \subset B$, $J_g \subset I_g$ for all $g \in G$. Hence $I_g = \{0\}$ implies $J_g = \{0\}$. But then $V_\Delta(B) = \sum_{g \in G} J_g U_g = J_1 = C$ which is commutative. $\square$

COROLLARY 3.5. *C is a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$ if and only if Δ is an H -separable extension of B and anyone of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 3.4 holds.*

We conclude the present paper with two examples of crossed products Δ to demonstrate our results:

- (1) Δ is an H -separable extension of B , but $V_\Delta(B)$ is not commutative,
- (2) $V_\Delta(B)$ is commutative, but Δ is not an H -separable extension of B .

Hence C is not a Galois algebra over C^G with $G|_C \cong G$ in either example by Theorem 3.2.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $B = Q[i, j, k] = Q + Qi + Qj + Qk$ be the quaternion algebra over the rational field Q , $G = \{g_1 = 1, g_i, g_j, g_k \mid g_i(x) = ix i^{-1}, g_j(x) = jx j^{-1}, g_k(x) = kx k^{-1} \text{ for all } x \in B\}$, and $\Delta = \Delta(B, G, 1)$. Then

(1) The center of Δ , $Z = Q = C$, the center of B .

(2) Δ is a separable extension of B and B is an Azumaya Q -algebra, so Δ is an Azumaya Q -algebra. Since Δ is a free left B -module, Δ is an H -separable extension of B [3, Theorem 1].

(3) $V_\Delta(B) = Q + QiU_{g_i} + QjU_{g_j} + QkU_{g_k}$ which is not commutative, so C is not a Galois algebra over C^G with Galois group $G|_C \cong G$ by Theorem 3.2.

EXAMPLE 3.7. Let $B = Q[i, j, k] = Q + Qi + Qj + Qk$ be the quaternion algebra over the rational field Q , $G = \{g_1 = 1, g_i \mid g_i(x) = ix i^{-1} \text{ for all } x \in B\}$, and $\Delta = \Delta(B, G, 1)$.

Then

- (1) The center of B , $C = Q = C^G$.
- (2) $V_\Delta(B) = Q + QiU_{g_i}$ which is commutative.
- (3) The center of Δ , $Z = Q + QiU_{g_i} \neq C^G$. On the other hand, assume that Δ is an H -separable extension of B . Since B is a direct summand of Δ as a left B -module, $V_\Delta(V_\Delta(B)) = B$ [7, Proposition 1.2]. This implies that the center of Δ , $Z = C^G$, a contradiction. Thus Δ is not an H -separable extension of B . Therefore, C is not a G -Galois algebra over C^G with $G|_C \cong G$ by Theorem 3.2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This paper was written under the support of a Caterpillar Fellowship at Bradley University. We would like to thank Caterpillar Inc. for the support.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. R. DeMeyer, *Some notes on the general Galois theory of rings*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 117–127. MR 32#128. Zbl 143.05602.
- [2] F. R. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham, *Separable Algebras over Commutative Rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 181, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971. MR 43#6199. Zbl 215.36602.
- [3] S. Ikehata, *Note on Azumaya algebras and H -separable extensions*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **23** (1981), no. 1, 17–18. MR 82j:16012. Zbl 475.16003.
- [4] ———, *On H -separable polynomials of prime degree*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **33** (1991), 21–26. MR 93g:16043. Zbl 788.16022.
- [5] S. Ikehata and G. Szeto, *On H -separable polynomials in skew polynomial rings of automorphism type*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **34** (1992), 49–55 (1994). MR 95f:16033. Zbl 819.16028.
- [6] ———, *On H -skew polynomial rings and Galois extensions*, Rings, Extensions, and Cohomology (Evanston, IL, 1993) (New York), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 159, Dekker, 1994, pp. 113–121. MR 95j:16033. Zbl 815.16009.
- [7] K. Sugano, *Note on semisimple extensions and separable extensions*, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1967), 265–270. MR 37#1412. Zbl 199.0790.
- [8] G. Szeto and L. Xue, *On the Ikehata theorem for H -separable skew polynomial rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., to appear.

SZETO: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRADLEY UNIVERSITY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61625, USA
E-mail address: szeto@bradley.bradley.edu

XUE: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRADLEY UNIVERSITY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61625, USA
E-mail address: lxue@bradley.bradley.edu