

A NOTE ON COMMUTATIVITY OF NONASSOCIATIVE RINGS

M. S. S. KHAN

(Received 31 December 1998 and in revised form 16 May 1999)

ABSTRACT. A theorem on commutativity of nonassociate ring is given.

Keywords and phrases. Rings with unity, commutativity of rings, nonassociative rings.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17A30; Secondary 16Y30.

In 1968, Johnsen, Outcalt, and Yaqub [3] have established that a nonassociative ring R with identity 1 satisfying the relation $(xy)^2 = x^2y^2$ for every x and y in R , is commutative. Gupta [2] has shown that if R is a nonassociative 2-torsion free ring with unity 1 satisfying $(xy)^2 = (yx)^2$ for all x, y in R , then R is commutative. Later, Yuanchun [4] proved that a Baer-semisimple ring R is commutative if and only if $(xy)^2 - xy^2x$ is central. The existence of noncommutative ring R with $R^2 \subseteq Z(R)$, center of R , rules out the possibility that $(xy)^2 - xy^2x \in Z(R)$ might yield commutativity even in associative rings. As an example, consider $A_3 = \{(a_{ij})/a_{ij} \text{ are integers with } a_{ij} = 0, i \geq j\}$. Then A_3 is a noncommutative nilpotent ring of index 3 in which $(xy)^2 - xy^2x$ is central for all x, y in A_3 .

This naturally gives rise to the following question: what additional conditions are needed to insure the commutativity of R when R is an arbitrary ring? With this motivation, Ashraf, Quadri, and Zelinsky [1] established the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let R be an associative ring with unity 1 satisfying $(xy)^2 = yx^2y$ for all x, y in R , then R is commutative.*

They used very complicated combinatorial arguments. In this connection we prove the following results.

THEOREM 2. *Let R be a nonassociative ring with unity 1 satisfying $(xy)^2 = (xy^2)x$ for all x, y in R . Then R is commutative.*

PROOF. Replacing $y + 1$ for y in $(xy)^2 = (xy^2)x$, we obtain

$$(x(y+1))^2 = (x(y+1)^2)x, \quad \text{which yields } x(xy) = (xy)x. \quad (1)$$

Repeating this argument for $x + 1$ in place of x , equation (1) gives

$$x(xy) + xy = (xy)x + yx. \quad (2)$$

Thus equation (2) together with equation (1), shows that R is commutative.

Similarly, we can prove the following theorem. □

THEOREM 3. *Let R be a nonassociative ring with unity 1 satisfying $(xy)^2 = (yx^2)y$ for all x, y in R . Then R is commutative.*

If we drop the restriction of unity 1 in the hypothesis, R may be badly noncommutative.

EXAMPLE. Let

$$R = \left\{ \alpha I + B \mid I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & \delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in Z_p \right\}, \quad (3)$$

p is a prime such that p/n if n odd or $2p/n$ if n even, and Z_p is the ring of integers modulo p . Then $B^3 = 0$, for $n \geq 3$ and

$$(\alpha I + B)^n = \alpha^n I + n\alpha^{n-1}B + \frac{n(n-1)}{2!}\alpha^{n-2}B^2 + \dots = \alpha^n I, \quad (4)$$

because $n = 0$ and $n(n-1)/2! = 0$ in Z_p , where p/n and $2p/n(n-1)$.

However, R need not be commutative.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is thankful to the learned referee for the useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ashraf, M. A. Quadri, and D. Zelinsky, *Some polynomial identities that imply commutativity for rings*, Amer. Math. Monthly **95** (1988), no. 4, 336-339. MR 89c:16045. Zbl 643.16021.
- [2] R. N. Gupta, *A note on commutativity of rings*, Math. Student **39** (1971), 184-186. MR 48 6192. Zbl 271.17003.
- [3] E. C. Johnsen, D. L. Outcalt, and A. Yaqub, *An elementary commutativity theorem for rings*, Amer. Math. Monthly **75** (1968), 288-289. MR 37#1417. Zbl 162.33602.
- [4] G. Yuanchun, *Some commutativity theorems of rings*, Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Jilin **3** (1983), 11-18.

KHAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF LEICESTER, LEICESTER, LE1 7RH, ENGLAND, UK

