ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF AMERICAN CALL OPTIONS

GHADA ALOBAIDI and ROLAND MALLIER

(Received 7 August 2000)

ABSTRACT. American call options are financial derivatives that give the holder the right but not the obligation to buy an underlying security at a pre-determined price. They differ from European options in that they may be exercised at any time prior to their expiration, rather than only at expiration. Their value is described by the Black-Scholes PDE together with a constraint that arises from the possibility of early exercise. This leads to a free boundary problem for the optimal exercise boundary, which determines whether or not it is beneficial for the holder to exercise the option prior to expiration. However, an exact solution cannot be found, and therefore by using asymptotic techniques employed in the study of boundary layers in fluid mechanics, we find an asymptotic expression for the location of the optimal exercise boundary and the value of the option near to expiration.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 91B28, 41A58.

1. Introduction. Recently, the financial markets have seen an explosion of “derivative products” such as options. An option is a contract that allows the holder to buy or sell a financial asset at a fixed price in the future. Options need not be exercised, the holder of the option will use it only if this is convenient. A call is an option to buy an asset and a put is an option to sell it. An option contract specifies the exercise price and the expiration date of the contract.

Such options exist on many assets (known as underlyers). Options are a special type of derivative security because their value is derived from the value of some underlying security. Most options can be grouped into either of two categories: European options which can be exercised only on their expiration date, and American options which can be exercised on or before their expiration date. In practice, most options are American. American options are much harder to deal with than European ones. The problem is that it may be optimal to use (exercise) the option before the final expiry date. This optimal exercise policy will affect the value of the option, and the exercise policy needs to be known when solving the PDE. Holders of American options have this choice of when to exercise the options.

The main problem of options is how they should be priced in equilibrium with the price and characteristics of the underlying asset. This problem was solved by Black and Scholes [1]. Some financial institutions make money by selling large number of options. They make money on some and lose money on others. This can only happen if they are selling the options at a correct price. Options can be used as a speculative medium with small, or relatively small, risk and with unlimited possible profit.

The growth in the availability of financial derivatives has led to (and in part been driven by) the development of mathematical models which are used to value these options, with the Black-Scholes model being the best known of these.

In this paper, a model for pricing American call options on dividend paying assets is presented, so we will concentrate on American call option but there are also barrier options, Asian options, and so forth. For American call options on nondividend paying assets, early exercise is never optimal, and the early exercise premium is zero. For options on dividend-paying stocks, early exercise may be optimal for some stock-price paths, making the early exercise premium positive. For the special case of one dividend payment during the life of an option, an analytical solution is available, due to Roll, Geske, and Whaley. A first formulation of an analytical call price with dividends was given by Roll [6]. This had some errors that were partially corrected in Geske [3], before Whaley [7] gave a final, correct formula. Geske and Johnson [4] used the series of Bermudan option prices to approximate the price of American options.

Valuation of American options is more complicated, since at each time we have to determine not only the option value, but also, whether or not it should be exercised and this leads to a free boundary problem, with the boundary lying between the regions, where early exercise is beneficial and where it is not. The presence of this free boundary makes the mathematics of American options more complicated than their European counterparts, and much of the work done to date on American options has been numerical.

To value American options, the idea is that we should look for a function $C(S, t)$ that satisfies the Black-Scholes equation in the region of the (S, t) -plane, where the option should not be exercised and provide additional boundary conditions along the region where the option should be exercised. To arrive at this region is to impose the additional conditions on option prices that should hold in the case of American style options. As long as exercise is not optimal, the payoff condition is $C(S, T) = \max(S - E, 0)$ but because the American option can be exercised at any time, we always have

$$C(S, t) \geq \max(S - E, 0). \quad (1.1)$$

In this case if $S > E$, then the option is in the money. If $S < E$, the option is out of the money. If $S = E$, the option is at the money. The converse is true for the put options. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we describe the analysis of the American call option using the Black-Scholes model. This analysis is based on arbitrage arguments. Also, we discuss the optimal exercise boundary $x_f(\tau)$, where $x_f(\tau)$ is not known, therefore, the problem of determining the option price is then a free boundary problem. In particular, we will discuss the optimal exercise price for an American call on a dividend-paying asset at times near expiry. We used asymptotic expansions to find the free boundary. American options have been considered previously by Jacka [5] from the perspective of optimal stopping-time problems. [Section 3](#) presents graphical results of the free boundary and the price of the call option. [Section 4](#) contains a summary for analysis and a brief discussion.

2. Black-Scholes PDE for American options. In their monograph “Option Pricing” (see [8, pages 110–119]), Wilmott, Dewynne, and Howison, lay the foundation for an asymptotic analysis of American call options near to expiration. However, they only take the analysis to first order in order to verify their numerical results, and do not

pursue it further. In this section, we take their analysis to higher orders. Our x_1 and κ_0 were the end result of their analysis. The foundation of our analysis therefore follows the one in “Option Pricing” very closely.

From “Option Pricing,” an American call option on an underlying that pays a continuous dividend obeys the following (Black-Scholes) PDE:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2} + (r - D_0)S \frac{\partial C}{\partial S} - rC = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where

t : time

σ : volatility of the underlying asset

S : price of underlying stock

C : price of call option

r : interest rate

D_0 : dividend yield.

Because this option can be exercised at any time, we also have the constraint

$$C(S, t) \geq \max(S - E, 0). \quad (2.2)$$

To facilitate our analysis, we make the following change of variables:

$$S = Ee^x, \quad t = T - \frac{\tau}{(1/2)\sigma^2}, \quad C(S, t) = S - E + Ec(x, \tau). \quad (2.3)$$

After transformation we get

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2} + (k_2 - 1) \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} - k_1 c + f(x) \quad (2.4)$$

for $-\infty < x < \infty$ and $\tau > 0$, where

$$f(x) = (k_2 - k_1)e^x + k_1 = k_1(1 - e^{x-x_0}), \quad x_0 = \log\left(\frac{k_1}{k_1 - k_2}\right). \quad (2.5)$$

The two parameters k_1 and k_2 are given by

$$k_1 = \frac{r}{(1/2)\sigma^2}, \quad k_2 = \frac{r - D_0}{(1/2)\sigma^2}, \quad k_1 > k_2 > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

We must solve these equations together with the boundary condition that

$$c(x, 0) = \max(1 - e^x, 0) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^x, & x < 0, \\ 0, & x \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

and the constraint on c that

$$c(x, \tau) \geq \max(1 - e^x, 0). \quad (2.8)$$

Because of this, there will be a free boundary, which we suppose to be located at $x = x_f(\tau)$, where

$$c(x_f(\tau), \tau) = \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}(x_f(\tau), \tau) = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

that is to say, both c and $\partial c / \partial x$ vanish at the free boundary. The location of the free boundary is given by $x_f(\tau)$, where x_f is an unknown function. The purpose of this study is to find an asymptotic expression for x_f . At expiration, we know that

$$x_f(0) = x_0, \quad (2.10)$$

where x_0 is defined in (2.5) and $f(x_0) = 0$. Near to expiration, we expand x_f in τ

$$x_f(\tau) = x_0 + x_1 \tau^{1/2} + x_2 \tau + x_3 \tau^{3/2} + x_4 \tau^2 + x_5 \tau^{5/2} + \dots \quad (2.11)$$

We perform a local analysis in the vicinity of $x = x_0$ and $\tau = 0$, and introduce the rescaled coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} x - x_0 &= \nu X, & \tau &= \mu \xi, & c(x, \tau) &= \varepsilon y(X, \xi), \\ f(x) &\sim -k_1 \left(\nu X + \frac{\nu^2 X^2}{2!} + \frac{\nu^3 X^3}{3!} + \frac{\nu^4 X^4}{4!} + \dots \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where $\nu \ll 1$, $\mu \ll 1$, and $\varepsilon \ll 1$ are small parameters. With these rescaled variables, the PDE becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \mu^{-1} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} &= \varepsilon \nu^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial X^2} + \varepsilon \nu^{-1} (k_2 - 1) \frac{\partial y}{\partial X} - \varepsilon k_1 y \\ &\quad - k_1 \left(\nu X + \frac{\nu^2 X^2}{2!} + \frac{\nu^3 X^3}{3!} + \frac{\nu^4 X^4}{4!} + \dots \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

with $y(X, \xi = 0) = 0$ at expiration. If we consider the balance of terms in (2.13), to leading order we must have

$$\varepsilon \mu^{-1} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \sim \varepsilon \nu^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial X^2} - \nu k_1 X. \quad (2.14)$$

This gives us a relationship between ε , μ , and ν , since we require that each term in (2.14) be of the same order of magnitude. Therefore we must have $\mu = \nu^2$ and $\varepsilon = \nu^3$, so that (2.12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} x - x_0 &= \nu X, & \tau &= \nu^2 \xi, & c(x, \tau) &= \nu^3 y(X, \xi), \\ f(x) &\sim -k_1 \left(\nu X + \frac{\nu^2 X^2}{2!} + \frac{\nu^3 X^3}{3!} + \frac{\nu^4 X^4}{4!} + \dots \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

and (2.13) becomes

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial X^2} + \nu (k_2 - 1) \frac{\partial y}{\partial X} - \nu^2 k_1 y - k_1 \left(X + \frac{\nu X^2}{2!} + \frac{\nu^2 X^3}{3!} + \frac{\nu^3 X^4}{4!} + \dots \right). \quad (2.16)$$

Next, we shall expand y as a series in ν ,

$$y \sim y_0 + \nu y_1 + \nu^2 y_2 + \dots \quad (2.17)$$

Substituting this expansion into the governing equation (2.16) yields at successive powers of ν

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \gamma_0}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{\partial^2 \gamma_0}{\partial X^2} - k_1 X, \\ \frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{\partial^2 \gamma_1}{\partial X^2} + (k_2 - 1) \frac{\partial \gamma_0}{\partial X} - \frac{k_1 X^2}{2!}, \\ \frac{\partial \gamma_2}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{\partial^2 \gamma_2}{\partial X^2} + (k_2 - 1) \frac{\partial \gamma_1}{\partial X} - k_1 \gamma_0 - \frac{k_1 X^3}{3!}, \\ \frac{\partial \gamma_3}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{\partial^2 \gamma_3}{\partial X^2} + (k_2 - 1) \frac{\partial \gamma_2}{\partial X} - k_1 \gamma_1 - \frac{k_1 X^4}{4!},\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

subject to the condition that at expiration

$$\gamma_0(X, \xi = 0) = \gamma_1(X, \xi = 0) = \gamma_2(X, \xi = 0) = \dots = 0.\tag{2.19}$$

Condition (2.9) on the free boundary at $x = x_f(\tau)$, where both c and $\partial c / \partial x$ vanish, must also be tackled. We can also rewrite the expansion of x_f near expiration (2.11) as follows:

$$x_f(\tau) = x_0 + \nu x_1 \xi^{1/2} + \nu^2 x_2 \xi + \nu^3 x_3 \xi^{3/2} + \dots.\tag{2.20}$$

Thus the free boundary is located at

$$X_f(\xi) = \nu^{-1} (x_f(\tau) - x_0) = x_1 \xi^{1/2} + \nu x_2 \xi + \nu^2 x_3 \xi^{3/2} + \dots,\tag{2.21}$$

and the boundary condition that c vanish at the free boundary becomes

$$\gamma_0(X_f(\xi), \xi) + \nu \gamma_1(X_f(\xi), \xi) + \nu^2 \gamma_2(X_f(\xi), \xi) + \dots = 0.\tag{2.22}$$

Similarly, the boundary condition that $\partial c / \partial x$ vanish becomes

$$\gamma_{0X}(X_f(\xi), \xi) + \nu \gamma_{1X}(X_f(\xi), \xi) + \nu^2 \gamma_{2X}(X_f(\xi), \xi) + \dots = 0.\tag{2.23}$$

At leading order $\mathcal{O}(\nu^0)$, we have from (2.18)

$$\frac{\partial \gamma_0}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial^2 \gamma_0}{\partial X^2} - k_1 X,\tag{2.24}$$

while substituting the expansion (2.21) into the conditions on c at the free boundary (2.22), (2.23) yields at leading order

$$\gamma_0(x_1 \xi^{1/2}, \xi) = \gamma_{0X}(x_1 \xi^{1/2}, \xi) = 0.\tag{2.25}$$

Since (2.24) is the diffusion equation together with a nonhomogeneous term, this suggests introducing the similarity variable

$$\eta = X \xi^{-1/2}.\tag{2.26}$$

Accordingly, we write $\gamma_0 = \xi^{3/2} \kappa_0(\eta)$, and substituting this into (2.24) gives

$$\frac{3}{2\kappa_0} - \frac{1}{2\eta\kappa_{0\eta}} = \kappa_{0\eta\eta} - k_1 \eta,\tag{2.27}$$

together with the conditions at the free boundary

$$\kappa_0(x_1) = \kappa_{0\eta}(x_1) = 0. \quad (2.28)$$

This has the solution

$$\kappa_0(\eta) = -k_1\eta + C_1^{(0)}(\eta^3 + 6\eta) + C_2^{(0)}\left(e^{-\eta^2/4}(\eta^2 + 4) + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}(\eta^3 + 6\eta)\operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\right). \quad (2.29)$$

We also need to apply condition (2.19) that y_0 vanish at expiration. Since we set $\eta = X\xi^{-1/2}$, and $X < 0$, the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to the limit $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$. Taking this limit, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_0(\eta) &\rightarrow -k_1\eta + C_1^{(0)}(\eta^3 + 6\eta), \\ y_0 = \xi^{3/2}\kappa_0(\eta) &\rightarrow -k_1\xi X + C_1^{(0)}(X^3 + 6\xi X) \rightarrow C_1^{(0)}X^3, \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

and thus the condition that y_0 vanishes in this limit tells us that $C_1^{(0)} = 0$, and the solution (2.29) becomes

$$\kappa_0(\eta) = -k_1\eta + C_2^{(0)}\left(e^{-\eta^2/4}(\eta^2 + 4) + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}(\eta^3 + 6\eta)\operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\right). \quad (2.31)$$

The condition (2.28) at the free boundary enables us to find x_1 and $C_2^{(0)}$, where x_1 is given implicitly by the equation

$$(4 - 2x_1^2) = \sqrt{\pi}x_1^3e^{x_1^2/4}\operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{x_1}{2}\right). \quad (2.32)$$

Numerically, we find

$$x_1 = 0.9034465979, \quad C_2^{(0)} = 0.07536083707k_1. \quad (2.33)$$

Thus $C_2^{(0)}$ is proportional to the constant k_1 . x_1 and κ_0 were found by Wilmott et al. [8], however, their analysis stopped there, whilst we shall proceed to higher orders.

At the next order $\mathcal{O}(\nu)$, we get an equation for y_1 ,

$$\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial^2 y_1}{\partial X^2} = (k_2 - 1)\frac{\partial y_0}{\partial X} - \frac{k_1 X^2}{2!}. \quad (2.34)$$

Again we make use of the similarity variable (2.26) and write $y_1 = \xi^2\kappa_1(\eta)$. Substituting this into (2.34) yields,

$$2\kappa_1 - \frac{1}{2}\eta\kappa_{1\eta} - \kappa_{1\eta\eta} = (k_2 - 1)\kappa_{0\eta} - \frac{k_1}{2}\eta^2, \quad (2.35)$$

which has the solution

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1(\eta) = & -\frac{1}{2}k_1(k_2 + \eta^2) + C_1^{(1)}(\eta^4 + 12\eta^2 + 12) \\ & + C_2^{(0)}(1 - k_2)\left(e^{-\eta^2/4}\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\eta^2}{4}\right)\eta + \sqrt{\pi}\left(\frac{\eta^4}{8} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\right) \\ & + C_2^{(1)}\left(e^{-\eta^2/4}(20\eta + 2\eta^3) + \sqrt{\pi}(\eta^4 + 12\eta^2 + 12)\operatorname{erfc}\left(-\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

where again $C_1^{(1)}$ must vanish because of the condition at expiry.

The boundary conditions at the free boundary are

$$\kappa_1(x_1) = 0, \quad \kappa_{1\eta}(x_1) + x_2 \kappa_{0\eta\eta}(x_1) = 0. \quad (2.37)$$

Applying these boundary conditions to κ_0 and κ_1 enables us to find x_2 and $C_2^{(1)}$,

$$x_2 = -\frac{x_1^2 k_2}{x_1^2 + 2}, \quad C_2^{(1)} = \frac{x_1^3 e^{x_1^2/4} k_1 (x_1^2 + 2 + x_1^2 k_2)}{96(x_1^2 + 2)}. \quad (2.38)$$

Using the value of x_1 found earlier, these become

$$x_2 = -0.2898271391k_2, \quad C_2^{(1)} = 0.009420104644k_1 + 0.002730201979k_1 k_2. \quad (2.39)$$

At the next order $\mathcal{O}(v^2)$, we find an equation for y_2 ,

$$\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial^2 y_2}{\partial X^2} = (k_2 - 1) \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial X} - \frac{k_1 X^3}{3!} - k_1 y_0. \quad (2.40)$$

Using $y_2 = \xi^{5/2} \kappa_2(\eta)$ in (2.40), we get

$$\frac{5}{2} \kappa_2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \kappa_{2\eta} - \kappa_{2\eta\eta} = (k_2 - 1) \kappa_{1\eta} - \frac{1}{6} k_1 \eta^3 - k_1 \kappa_0. \quad (2.41)$$

The solution κ_2 is given in the appendix.

The boundary conditions on κ_2 at the free boundary are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x_2^2}{2} \kappa_{0\eta\eta}(x_1) + \kappa_2(x_1) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} x_2^2 \kappa_{0\eta\eta\eta}(x_1) + x_3 \kappa_{0\eta\eta}(x_1) + \kappa_{2\eta}(x_1) + x_2 \kappa_{1\eta\eta}(x_1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

which enable us to find x_3 and $C_2^{(2)}$. Numerically, we find

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 &= 0.08352705033k_2 - 0.1670541006k_1 \\ &\quad - 0.01960251625 + 0.0965932214k_2^2, \\ C_2^{(2)} &= 0.0008901468022k_1 k_2 + 0.001931411733k_1 \\ &\quad - 0.0001421724195k_1^2 - 0.0004594870885k_1 k_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

At $\mathcal{O}(v^3)$, y_3 obeys the equation

$$\frac{\partial y_3}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial^3 y_3}{\partial X^2} = (k_2 - 1) \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial X} - \frac{k_1 X^4}{4!} - k_1 y_1. \quad (2.44)$$

Writing $y_3 = \xi^3 \kappa_3(\eta)$, we get

$$3\kappa_3 - \frac{1}{2} \eta \kappa_{3\eta} - \kappa_{3\eta\eta} = (k_2 - 1) \kappa_{2\eta} - \frac{1}{24} k_1 \eta^4 - k_1 \kappa_1(\eta). \quad (2.45)$$

The solution κ_3 is given in the appendix. The boundary conditions on κ_3 at the free

boundary are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{6}x_2^3\kappa_{0\eta\eta\eta}(x_1) + x_2x_3\kappa_{0\eta\eta}(x_1) + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2\kappa_{1\eta\eta}(x_1) \\
 & \quad + x_3\kappa_{1\eta}(x_1) + x_1\kappa_3(x_1) + x_2\kappa_{2\eta}(x_1) = 0, \\
 & \frac{1}{6}x_2^3\kappa_{0\eta\eta\eta\eta}(x_1) + x_2x_3\kappa_{0\eta\eta\eta}(x_1) + x_4\kappa_{0\eta\eta}(x_1) + \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2^2\kappa_{1\eta\eta\eta}(x_1) \\
 & \quad + x_3\kappa_{1\eta\eta}(x_1) + x_2\kappa_{2\eta\eta}(x_1) + \kappa_{3\eta}(x_1) = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

Using these boundary conditions, we can find x_4 and $C_2^{(3)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_4 &= 0.004173449415k_2 - 0.03134069092k_2^2 \\
 & \quad + 0.002104860402k_2^3 + 0.06268138183k_1k_2, \\
 C_2^{(3)} &= 0.0001887470540k_1k_2 - 0.00004739080649k_1^2 \\
 & \quad + 0.0003298004233k_1 - 0.0001854489478k_1k_2^2 \\
 & \quad + 0.00006457317010k_1k_2^2 + 0.0000553581598k_1k_2^3.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

Following the same procedure at $\mathcal{O}(v^4)$ and after applying the boundary conditions, the value of x_5 and $C_4^{(2)}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_5 &= -0.003558807857k_2 + 0.007117615715k_1 + 0.01940343468k_1^2 \\
 & \quad + 0.005720713541k_2^2 - 0.01940393468k_1k_2 - 0.003010435594k_1k_2^2 \\
 & \quad - 0.0001404406593k_2^4 + 0.0007732477173 + 0.001505467797k_2^3, \\
 C_2^{(4)} &= 0.00003122771752k_1k_2 - 0.000009566207702k_1^2 - 0.0000006665142604k_1^3 \\
 & \quad - 0.00004508455720k_1k_2^2 + 0.00002372836074k_1^2k_2 - 0.00001481303829k_1^2k_2^2 \\
 & \quad - 0.000005222446464k_1k_2^4 + 0.00004808832947k_1 + 0.00002717729053k_1k_2^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

Thus we have an asymptotic expression (2.11) for the location of the free boundary $x_f(\tau)$, with the coefficients $x_0, \dots, x_5$ given by (2.5), (2.33), (2.38), (2.43), (2.47), and (2.48). We also have a local expression for the value $c(x, \tau)$ of the option when we are both near to expiry and near to the optimal exercise boundary. This is given by (2.15) and (2.17) together with the expressions for $y_0, \dots, y_3$ contained in the text.

3. Graphics. In Figures 2.1 and 2.2 we plot the location of the free boundary for several values of r (0.102, 0.104, 0.108, and 0.110) and of the dividend yield D_0 (0.02, 0.021, and 0.025). The shape of all the curves appears to be very similar. Figure 2.3 shows the solution of the price option $c(X, \tau)$. The solution increases as long as we move away from the free boundary. Figures 2.1 and 2.2 were produced by including terms up to x_6 and Figure 2.3 by including terms up to y_5 .

FIGURE 2.1. Location of the free boundary for $r = 0.1$, $D_0 = 0.02$. FIGURE 2.2. Location of the free boundary for several values of r and D_0 . FIGURE 2.3. Solution of the price option $c(X, \tau)$.

4. Summary and conclusions. In the previous sections, we have presented an asymptotic analysis of the valuation of an American call option on a dividend-paying asset, using as a starting point the Black-Scholes model, which expresses the price of a call option as a function of the underlying asset price, exercise price, the time to expiration, the interest rate, and the volatility of the underlying asset price.

The Black-Scholes model applies to both European and American options as long as no dividends are paid. When dividends are paid, the possibility of early exercise exists to obtain the dividend payment for a call option. (Cox et al. [2] developed some arbitrage conditions for call option.)

The aim of this paper was to use the techniques outlined by Wilmott et al. [8] to solve the free boundary problem arising from early exercise. Using asymptotic techniques, we obtained a series solution for the location of this free boundary near to expiry, and this solution is plotted in Figures 2.1 and 2.2 for several values of r , the risk free rate, and D_0 , the dividend yield on the underlying. Using similarity solutions, we were also able to solve a series of partial differential equations to find a local solution for the value $c(x, \tau)$ of the option when we are both near to expiration and near to the optimal exercise boundary and a sample solution was plotted in Figure 2.3. Wilmott et al. [8] had begun this analysis, but stopped at first order, whereas our analysis is pursued to higher orders. This analysis allows for valuation of American call options near to expiry at much lower computational cost than numerical solution of the full problem, and our solution could probably even be programmed into a financial calculator, allowing traders to obtain reasonable valuations quickly.

Appendix

Details of the analysis. The solution to (2.41) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa_2(\eta) = & \frac{1}{2}k_1^2\eta - \frac{1}{2}k_2k_1\eta - \frac{1}{6}k_1\eta^3 + C_1^{(2)}\eta(\eta^4 + 20\eta^2 + 60) \\
 & + \left(\frac{96}{5}k_2C_2^{(1)} - \frac{6}{5}k_2C_2^{(0)} - \frac{96}{5}C_2^{(1)} + \frac{3}{5}C_2^{(0)} + 64C_2^{(2)} - \frac{12}{5}k_1C_2^{(0)} \right. \\
 & + \frac{3}{5}k_2^2C_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{10}\eta^2k_2^2C_2^{(0)} + \frac{4}{5}k_2C_2^{(1)}\eta^2 - \frac{1}{10}k_1\eta^2C_2^{(0)} \\
 & + \frac{3}{5}k_2^2C_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{10}\eta^2k_2^2C_2^{(0)} + \frac{4}{5}k_2C_2^{(1)}\eta^2 - \frac{1}{10}k_1\eta^2C_2^{(0)} \\
 & + \frac{1}{5}\eta^2k_2C_2^{(0)} - \frac{4}{5}C_2^{(1)}\eta^2 + 36C_2^{(2)}\eta^2 - \frac{1}{10}\eta^2C_2^{(0)} + \frac{2}{5}\eta^4C_2^{(1)} \\
 & + \frac{1}{20}\eta^4C_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{10}\eta^4k_2C_2^{(0)} + 2C_2^{(2)}\eta^4 - \frac{2}{5}\eta^4k_2C_2^{(1)} \\
 & \left. + \frac{1}{20}\eta^4k_2^2C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{20}\eta^4k_1C_2^{(0)} \right) e^{(-1/4\eta^2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sqrt{\pi} \left(-\frac{3}{2} k_1 C_2^{(0)} \eta + 12 k_2 C_2^{(1)} \eta - 12 C_2^{(1)} \eta + \frac{1}{5} \eta^5 C_2^{(1)} \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{40} \eta^5 k_2^2 C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{40} \eta^5 C_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{5} \eta^5 k_2 C_2^{(1)} - \frac{1}{20} \eta^5 k_2 C_2^{(0)} \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{40} \eta^5 k_1 C_2^{(0)} + C_2^{(2)} \eta (\eta^4 + 20 \eta^2 + 60) \right) \operatorname{erfc} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \eta \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

The condition at expiration tells us that $C_1^{(2)} = 0$.

The solution to (2.45) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_3(\eta) = & \frac{1}{3} k_2 k_1^2 - \frac{1}{6} k_1 k_2^2 - \frac{1}{4} k_1^2 k_1 \eta^2 + \frac{1}{4} k_1^2 \eta^2 - \frac{1}{24} k_1 \eta^4 \\
& + C_1^{(3)} (\eta^6 + 30 \eta^4 + 180 \eta^2 + 120) \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{20} \eta^3 k_2 C_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{60} \eta^3 C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{10} C_2^{(0)} \eta + \frac{4}{5} C_2^{(1)} \eta \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{2}{15} C_2^{(1)} \eta^3 - 56 C_2^{(2)} \eta - \frac{2}{3} C_2^{(2)} \eta^3 + 56 C_2^{(3)} \eta^3 \\
& \quad + 264 C_2^{(3)} \eta - \frac{3}{10} k_2 C_2^{(0)} \eta - \frac{1}{10} \eta k_2^3 C_2^{(0)} - \frac{2}{15} k_1 C_2^{(1)} \eta^3 \\
& \quad - \frac{56}{5} k_1 C_2^{(1)} \eta - \frac{1}{20} \eta^3 k_2^2 C_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{30} \eta^3 k_1 C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{5} k_1 \eta C_2^{(0)} \\
& \quad + \frac{4}{15} \eta^3 k_2 C_2^{(1)} + 56 k_2 C_2^{(2)} \eta - \frac{1}{5} k_2 k_1 \eta C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{30} k_2 \eta^3 k_1 C_2^{(0)} \\
& \quad - \frac{8}{5} k_2 C_2^{(1)} \eta + \frac{2}{3} k_2 C_2^{(2)} \eta^3 + \frac{4}{5} k_2^2 C_2^{(1)} \eta - \frac{2}{15} \eta^3 k_2^2 C_2^{(1)} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{60} \eta^3 k_2^3 C_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{3} \eta^5 k_2 C_2^{(2)} - \frac{1}{40} \eta^5 k_2 C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{60} \eta^5 k_1 C_2^{(0)} \\
& \quad + \frac{3}{10} \eta k_2^2 C_2^{(0)} - \frac{2}{15} \eta^5 k_2 C_2^{(1)} + \frac{1}{15} \eta^5 k_1 C_2^{(1)} + \frac{1}{40} \eta^5 k_2^2 C_2^{(0)} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{120} \eta^5 k_2^2 C_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{120} \eta^5 k_2^3 C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{15} \eta^5 k_2^2 C_2^{(1)} - \frac{1}{60} \eta^5 k_2 k_1 C_2^{(0)} \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{120} \eta^5 C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{15} \eta^5 C_2^{(1)} + \frac{1}{3} \eta^5 C_2^{(2)} + 2 C_2^{(3)} \eta^5 \right) e^{(-1/4 \eta^2)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sqrt{\pi} \left(-8k_2 C_2^{(1)} + k_1 C_2^{(0)} + 4C_2^{(1)} - 40C_2^{(2)} - 30C_2^{(2)} \eta^2 \right. \\
& \quad + C_2^{(3)} (\eta^6 + 30\eta^4 + 180\eta^2 + 120) + \frac{1}{240} \eta^6 C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{30} \eta^6 C_2^{(1)} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{15} \eta^6 k_2 C_2^{(1)} + \frac{1}{120} \eta^6 k_1 C_2^{(0)} - \frac{1}{80} \eta^6 k_2 C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{80} \eta^6 k_2^2 C_2^{(0)} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{240} \eta^6 k_2^3 C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{30} \eta^6 k_2^2 C_2^{(1)} + \frac{1}{30} \eta^6 k_1 C_2^{(1)} - \frac{1}{6} \eta^6 k_2 C_2^{(2)} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{120} \eta^6 k_2 k_1 C_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{6} \eta^6 C_2^{(2)} + 40k_2 C_2^{(2)} + 4k_2^2 C_2^{(1)} \\
& \quad \left. - k_2 k_1 C_2^{(0)} - 8k_1 C_2^{(1)} - 6k_1 C_2^{(1)} \eta^2 + 30k_2 C_2^{(2)} \eta^2 \right) \operatorname{erfc} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \eta \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

The condition at expiration tells us that $C_1^{(3)} = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Black and M. Scholes, *The pricing of options and corporate liabilities*, J. Political Economy **81** (1973), 637–659.
- [2] J. Cox, S. Ross, and M. Rubinstein, *Option pricing: a simplified approach*, J. Fin. Econ. **7** (1979), 229–264.
- [3] R. Geske, *A note on an analytical valuation formula for unprotected American call options on stocks with known dividends*, J. Financial Econ. **7** (1979), 375–380.
- [4] R. Geske and H. E. Johnson, *The American put valued analytically*, J. Finance **39** (1984), 1511–1524.
- [5] S. D. Jacka, *Optimal stopping and the American put*, Mathematical Finance **1** (1991), 1–14.
- [6] R. Roll, *An analytical formula for unprotected American call options on stocks with known dividend*, J. Financial Economics **5** (1977), 251–258.
- [7] R. E. Whaley, *On the valuation of American call options on stocks with known dividends*, J. Financial Economics **9** (1981), 207–211.
- [8] P. Wilmott, J. Dewynne, and S. Howison, *Option Pricing: Mathematical Models and Computation*, Financial Press, Oxford, 1995. [Zbl 844.90011](#).

GHADA ALOBAIDI: DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA N6A 5B7

E-mail address: alobaidi@math.u Regina.ca

ROLAND MALLIER: DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA N6A 5B7

E-mail address: mallier@uwo.ca