MONOTONE-ITERATIVE TECHNIQUE OF LAKSHMIKANTHAM FOR THE INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH A STEP FUNCTION

S. G. HRISTOVA and M. A. RANGELOVA

Received 28 January 2001 and in revised form 18 June 2001

The initial value problem for a special kind of differential equations with a step function is studied. The monotone-iterative technique of Lakshmikantham for approximate finding of the solutions of the given problem is well grounded.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34E05, 34A45.

1. Introduction. Many evolutionary processes can be described with the help of differential equations. At the same time, the solutions of a small number of linear differential equations can be found as well-known functions. That is why it is necessary to prove some approximate methods for solving different kinds of differential equations. One of the most practically used methods is the monotone-iterative technique of Lakshmikantham [1, 2, 3].

In this note, this method is well grounded for a special type of differential equations. We studied the case when the right part of the equation depends on a piecewise constant function. We note that some qualitative properties of the solutions of differential equations with a piecewise constant function (DEPCF) such as uniqueness, oscillation, and periodicity are investigated in [4]. Research in this direction is motivated by the fact that DEPCF represent a hybrid of continuous and discrete dynamical systems and combine the properties of both differential and difference equations.

2. Preliminary notes and definitions. Let $T > 0$ and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_p < t_{p+1} = T$ be fixed numbers.

DEFINITION 2.1. The function $g(t) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a step function if $g(t) = g_n$ for $t_n \leq t < t_{n+1}$ where $g_n = \text{const}$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, p$.

Consider the initial value problem (IVP) for the differential equation with a step function

$$x' = f(x(t), x(g(t))) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], \quad x(0) = c_0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, c_0 is an arbitrary constant, $g(t)$ is a step function.

We denote by $PC^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ the set of all functions $u \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ for which the derivative $u'(t)$ exists and is piecewise continuous in $[0, T]$ with points of discontinuity of first kind at the points t_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots, p$, $u'(t_n) = u'(t_n + 0)$.

DEFINITION 2.2. The function $x(t)$ is a solution of the IVP (2.1) in the interval $[0, T]$ if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1) $x(t) \in PC^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$.
- (2) The function $x(t)$ turns (2.1) into identities for $t \in [0, T]$.

DEFINITION 2.3. The function $v(t) \in PC^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ is called a lower (upper) solution of the IVP (2.1) if

$$v'(t) \leq (\geq) f(v(t), v(g(t))), \quad v(0) \leq (\geq) c_0. \quad (2.2)$$

DEFINITION 2.4. The function $u(t)$ is called a minimal (maximal) solution of the IVP (2.1) if it is a solution of the IVP (2.1) and, for any other solution $x(t)$ of the IVP (2.1), the inequality $u(t) \leq (\geq) x(t)$ holds.

LEMMA 2.5. Let the following conditions be satisfied:

- (1) the function $g(t) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a step one and $0 \leq g(t) \leq t_n$ for $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, p$;
- (2) M and N are positive constants such that $(M + N)T \leq 1$;
- (3) the function $p(t) \in PC^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the inequalities

$$p'(t) \geq -Mp(t) - Np(g(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], \quad p(0) \geq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Then $p(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$.

PROOF

CASE 1. Let $p(0) > 0$. Suppose that there exists a point $t \in (0, T]$ such that $p(t) < 0$. And let

$$\xi = \inf \{t \in [0, T] : p(t) \leq 0\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Then $\xi \in (0, T]$.

We consider the following two cases.

CASE 1.1. Let $\xi \neq T$. Denote $\lambda = \max_{0 \leq t \leq \xi} p(t)$, $\lambda > 0$. Then there exists a point $\eta \in [0, \xi)$ such that $p(\eta) = \lambda$. It follows from the mean value theorem that there exists $\xi_0 \in (\eta, \xi)$ for which $p(\xi) - p(\eta) = p'(\xi_0)(\xi - \eta)$. On the other hand, $p(\xi) - p(\eta) \leq 0 - \lambda = -\lambda = \lambda_1 < 0$. Then

$$\lambda_1 \geq p'(\xi_0)(\xi - \eta). \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from condition (3) of Lemma 2.5 that $p'(\xi_0) \geq -Mp(\xi_0) - Np(g(\xi_0))$. Since $g(\xi_0) \leq \xi_0 < \xi$, the inequalities $p(\xi_0) \leq \lambda$, $p(g(\xi_0)) \leq \lambda$ hold. Then

$$-Mp(\xi_0) - Np(g(\xi_0)) \geq \lambda_1(M + N). \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from inequalities (2.5) and (2.6) that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_1(M + N)(\xi - \eta)$ which is equivalent to $1 \leq (M + N)(\xi - \eta)$. Since $(\xi - \eta) < T$, the inequality $1 < (M + N)T$ holds. The last inequality contradicts condition (2) of Lemma 2.5. Therefore, the inequality $p(t) > 0$ holds for $t \in [0, T]$.

CASE 1.2. Let $\xi = T$. Then $p(t) > 0$ for $t \in [0, T)$ and $p(T) = 0$, that is, $p(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$.

CASE 2. Let $p(0) = 0$. Suppose there exists a point $t \in (0, T]$ such that $p(t) < 0$. And let

$$\zeta = \sup \{t \in [0, T] : p(s) = 0 \text{ for } s \in [0, t]\}. \quad (2.7)$$

We consider the following two cases.

CASE 2.1. Let $\zeta = 0$.

CASE 2.1.1. There exists a point $\tau > 0$ for which $p(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, \tau]$. If we consider the point τ instead of the point 0 and follow the proof of [Case 1](#), we get $p(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [\tau, T]$, that is, $p(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$.

CASE 2.1.2. There exists a point $\tau \in (0, t_1)$ such that $p(\tau) < 0, p'(\tau) < 0$. According to condition (3) of [Lemma 2.5](#), the inequality $p'(\tau) \geq -Mp(\tau) - Np(g(\tau))$ holds. From condition (1) of [Lemma 2.5](#) and the inequality $\tau < t_1$, it follows that $g(\tau) = g_0 = 0$, that is, $p(g(\tau)) = 0$. Then $p'(\tau) \geq -Mp(\tau) > 0$ which leads to a contradiction. Hence the inequality $p(t) \geq 0$ holds for $t \in [0, T]$.

CASE 2.2. Let $\zeta > 0$. If we consider the point ζ instead of the point 0 and follow the proof of [Case 2.1](#), we get $p(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [\tau, T]$, that is, $p(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$. $\square$

Consider the initial value problem for the linear differential equation with a step function

$$x'(t) = ax(t) + bx(g(t)), \quad x(0) = c_0, \quad (2.8)$$

where a, b, c_0 are constants.

LEMMA 2.6. Let a, b, c_0 be constants and the function $g(t) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a step one such that $0 \leq g_n \leq t_n$ for $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, p$. Then the initial value problem for the linear differential equation (2.8) has a unique solution for $t \in [0, T]$.

The proof of [Lemma 2.6](#) is trivial. From [Lemma 2.6](#), the validity of the following result follows.

COROLLARY 2.7. Let $c_0 = 0$, then the IVP (2.8) has a unique solution $x(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$.

Consider the IVP

$$x'(t) = ax(t) + bx(g(t)) + f(t, g(t)), \quad x(0) = c_0, \quad (2.9)$$

where a, b, c_0 are constants, $f : [0, T] \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

THEOREM 2.8. Let the function $f \in C([0, T] \times [0, T], \mathbb{R})$ and the function $g(t)$ be a step one such that $0 \leq g(t) \leq t_n$ for $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, p$. Then the initial value problem for the linear differential equation (2.9) has a unique solution for $t \in [0, T]$.

PROOF

CASE 1. Let $a \neq 0$.

Let $t \in [t_0, t_1)$. Consider the IVP

$$x'(t) = ax(t) + bs_0 + f(t, 0), \quad x(0) = c_0, \quad (2.10)$$

where $s_0 = x(g_0) = c_0$.

The solution of the IVP (2.10) exists for $t \geq 0$ and satisfies the equality

$$x_0(t) = e^{at} \left(\int_0^t e^{-a\tau} f(\tau, 0) d\tau + c_0 \right) + (e^{at} - 1)ba^{-1}c_0. \quad (2.11)$$

Let $t \in [t_1, t_2)$. Consider the IVP

$$x'(t) = ax(t) + bs_1 + f(t, g_1), \quad x(t_1) = c_1, \quad (2.12)$$

where $s_1 = x(g_1) = x_0(g_1)$, $c_1 = x_0(t_1)$. The solution of the IVP (2.12) exists for $t \geq t_1$ and satisfies the equality

$$x_1(t) = e^{a(t-t_1)} \left(x_0(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t e^{-a(\tau-t_1)} f(\tau, g_1) d\tau \right) + (e^{a(t-t_1)} - 1)ba^{-1}x_0(g_1). \quad (2.13)$$

Let $t \in [t_2, t_3)$. Consider the IVP

$$x'(t) = ax(t) + bs_2 + f(t, g_2), \quad x(t_2) = c_2, \quad (2.14)$$

where $s_2 = x(g_2)$, $c_2 = x_1(t_2)$. Since $g_2 \leq t_2$, then $s_2 = x_k(g_2)$ where

$$k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } g_2 \in [0, t_1], \\ 1 & \text{for } g_2 \in (t_1, t_2]. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

The solution of the IVP (2.14) exists for $t \geq t_1$ and satisfies the equality

$$x_2(t) = e^{a(t-t_2)} \left(x_1(t_2) + \int_{t_2}^t e^{-a(\tau-t_2)} f(\tau, g_2) d\tau \right) + (e^{a(t-t_2)} - 1)ba^{-1}x_k(g_2). \quad (2.16)$$

With the help of the solution $x_{n-1}(t)$ in the interval $[t_{n-1}, t_n)$ and the steps method, we construct a solution $x_n(t)$ of the IVP

$$x'(t) = ax(t) + bs_n + f(t, g_n), \quad x(t_n) = c_n \quad \text{for } t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}), \quad (2.17)$$

where $s_n = x_{n-i}(g_n)$, $c_n = x_{n-1}(t_n)$ and $i \leq n$. The solution of the IVP (2.17) exists for $t \geq t_n$ and satisfies the equality

$$x_n(t) = e^{a(t-t_n)} \left(x_{n-1}(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^t e^{-a(\tau-t_n)} f(\tau, g_n) d\tau \right) + (e^{a(t-t_n)} - 1)ba^{-1}x_{n-i}(g_n). \quad (2.18)$$

CASE 2. Let $a = 0$. Consider the following two cases.

CASE 2.1. Let $b \neq 0$. Using the steps method, we construct the functions $x_n(t)$, $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p$ as solutions of the IVP

$$x'(t) = bs_n + f(t, g_n), \quad x(t_n) = c_n, \quad (2.19)$$

where $s_n = x_{n-i}(g_n)$, $c_n = x_{n-1}(t_n)$ for $0 < n \leq p$ and $i \leq n$.

Therefore,

$$x_n(t) = \int_{t_n}^t f(\tau, g_n) d\tau + bx_{n-i}(g_n)(t - t_n) + x_{n-1}(t_n). \quad (2.20)$$

CASE 2.2. Let $b = 0$. Using the steps method, we construct the functions $x_n(t)$, $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p$ as solutions of the IVP

$$x'(t) = f(t, g_n), \quad x(t_n) = c_n, \quad (2.21)$$

where $c_n = x_{n-1}(t_n)$.

Therefore,

$$x_n(t) = \int_{t_n}^t f(\tau, g_n) d\tau + x_{n-1}(t_n). \quad (2.22)$$

Define the function

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} x_0(t) & \text{for } t \in [0, t_1), \\ x_1(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_1, t_2), \\ \vdots & \\ x_p(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_p, t_{p+1}]. \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

The function $x(t)$ is a solution of the IVP (2.9) in $[0, T]$. Suppose there exist two different solutions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ of the IVP (2.9). Define the function $q(t) = x(t) - y(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$. The function $q(t)$ satisfies the IVP (2.8), where $c_0 = 0$. By the Corollary 2.7, it follows that $q(t) = 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$. Therefore the IVP (2.9) has a unique solution. $\square$

3. Main results. We will apply the monotone-iterative technique to find an approximate solution of the initial value problem for a nonlinear differential equation with a step function.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let the following conditions be fulfilled:*

- (1) *the function $g(t) \in ([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ is a step one such that $0 \leq g(t) \leq t_n$ for $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1})$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, p$;*
- (2) *M and N are positive constants such that $(M + N)T \leq 1$;*
- (3) *the function $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and for $x_1 \geq x_2$, $y_1 \geq y_2$, the inequality*

$$f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_2) \geq -M(x_1 - x_2) - N(y_1 - y_2) \quad (3.1)$$

holds;

- (4) *the functions $v_0(t)$ and $w_0(t)$ are lower and upper solutions of the IVP (2.1) and $v_0(t) \leq w_0(t)$ for $t \in [0, T]$.*

Then there exist two sequences of functions $\{v_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ and $\{w_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ such that

- (a) *the sequences are increasing and decreasing, respectively;*
- (b) *the functions $v_n(t)$, $w_n(t)$ are lower and upper solutions of the IVP (2.1);*
- (c) *the sequences are uniformly convergent in the interval $[0, T]$;*
- (d) *the limits $v(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n(t)$, $w(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n(t)$ are minimal and maximal solutions of the IVP (2.1), respectively.*

PROOF. Let the function $\eta(t) \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$, $v_0(t) \leq \eta(t) \leq w_0(t)$, be fixed. Consider the initial value problem for the linear differential equation with a step function

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= f(\eta(t), \eta(g(t))) - M(x(t) - \eta(t)) - N(x(g(t)) - \eta(g(t))), \\ x(0) &= c_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

By [Theorem 2.8](#), the IVP (3.2) has a unique solution $x(t)$ for $t \in [0, T]$. Define the mapping A by the equality $A\eta(t) = x(t)$ where $x(t)$ is the unique solution of the IVP (3.2). We prove that the operator A satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $v_0(t) \leq Av_0(t)$, $w_0(t) \geq Aw_0(t)$;
- (ii) for any function $u_1(t), u_2(t) \in PC^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ such that $v_0(t) \leq u_1(t) \leq u_2(t) \leq w_0(t)$, the inequality $Au_1(t) \leq Au_2(t)$ holds.

Indeed, let $Av_0(t) = v_1(t)$. The function $v_1(t)$ is continuous and it is the solution of the IVP (3.2) for $\eta(t) = v_0(t)$. Set $p(t) = v_1(t) - v_0(t)$. Then $p'(t) = v_1'(t) - v_0'(t) \geq v_1'(t) - f(v_0(t), v_0(g(t))) = -Mp(t) - Np(g(t))$ and $p(0) = v_1(0) - v_0(0) \geq 0$.

By [Lemma 2.5](#) the function $p(t)$ is nonnegative in $[0, T]$, that is, $Av_0(t) \geq v_0(t)$. Let $Aw_0(t) = w_1(t)$. The function $w_1(t)$ is continuous and it is a solution of (3.2) for $\eta(t) = w_0(t)$. Set $p(t) = w_0(t) - w_1(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t) &\geq f(w_0(t), w_0(g(t))) - f(w_0(t), w_0(g(t))) \\ &\quad + M(w_1(t) - w_0(t)) + N(w_1(g(t)) - w_0(g(t))) \\ &= -Mp(t) - Np(g(t)), \quad p(0) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By [Lemma 2.5](#) the function $p(t)$ is nonnegative in $[0, T]$, that is, $Aw_0(t) \leq w_1(t)$. Therefore, property (i) is satisfied.

Let $u_1, u_2 \in PC^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ and $v_0(t) \leq u_1(t) \leq u_2(t) \leq w_0(t)$. If $x_1(t) = Au_1(t)$ and $x_2(t) = Au_2(t)$, then the function $p(t) = x_2(t) - x_1(t)$ satisfies the equality

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t) &= f(u_2(t), u_2(g(t))) - M(x_2(t) - u_2(t)) - N(x_2(g(t)) - u_2(g(t))) \\ &\quad - f(u_1(t), u_1(g(t))) + M(x_1(t) - u_1(t)) + N(x_1(g(t)) - u_1(g(t))). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Due to [Theorem 3.1\(3\)](#), we get $p'(t) \geq -M(x_2(t) - x_1(t)) - N(x_2(g(t)) - x_1(g(t))) = -Mp(t) - Np(g(t))$ and $p(0) = 0$. By [Lemma 2.5](#) the inequality $p(t) \geq 0$ holds, that is, $Au_1(t) \leq Au_2(t)$. Therefore, property (ii) is satisfied.

Define the sequences $\{v_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ and $\{w_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ with the help of the equalities $v_n(t) = Av_{n-1}(t)$, $w_n(t) = Aw_{n-1}(t)$, $n \geq 1$. By the proof of [Theorem 2.8](#) we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_n^{(0)}(t) &= e^{-Mt} \left(c_0 + \int_0^t e^{M\tau} \varphi_{n-1}^{(0)}(\tau, 0) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + (e^{-Mt} - 1)NM^{-1}c_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, t_1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_n^{(m)}(t) &= e^{-M(t-t_m)} \left(v_n^{(m-1)}(t_m) + \int_{t_m}^t e^{M(\tau-t_m)} \varphi_{n-1}^{(m)}(\tau, g_m) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + (e^{-M(t-t_m)} - 1)NM^{-1}v_n^{(m-i)}(g_m) \\ &\quad \text{for } t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad i \leq m, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$w_n^{(0)}(t) = e^{-Mt} \left(c_0 + \int_0^t e^{M\tau} \psi_{n-1}^{(0)}(\tau, 0) d\tau \right) + (e^{-Mt} - 1)NM^{-1}c_0 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, t_1), \quad (3.7)$$

$$w_n^{(m)}(t) = e^{-M(t-t_m)} \left(w_n^{(m-1)}(t_m) + \int_{t_m}^t e^{M(\tau-t_m)} \psi_{n-1}^{(m)}(\tau, g_m) d\tau \right) + (e^{-M(t-t_m)} - 1)NM^{-1}w_n^{(m-i)}(g_m) \quad (3.8)$$

for $t \in [t_m, t_{m+1})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, p$, $i \leq m$,

$$v_n(t) = \begin{cases} v_n^{(0)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [0, t_1), \\ v_n^{(1)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_1, t_2), \\ \vdots \\ v_n^{(p)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_p, t_{p+1}], \end{cases} \quad w_n(t) = \begin{cases} w_n^{(0)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [0, t_1), \\ w_n^{(1)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_1, t_2), \\ \vdots \\ w_n^{(p)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_p, t_{p+1}], \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

where, for $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{n-1}^{(m)}(t, g_m) &= Mv_{n-1}^{(m)}(t) + Nv_{n-1}^{(m)}(g_m) + f(v_{n-1}^{(m)}(t), v_{n-1}^{(m)}(g_m)), \\ \psi_{n-1}^{(m)}(t, g_m) &= Mw_{n-1}^{(m)}(t) + Nw_{n-1}^{(m)}(g_m) + f(w_{n-1}^{(m)}(t), w_{n-1}^{(m)}(g_m)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By properties (i) and (ii) of the operator A , the following inequalities hold:

$$v_0(t) \leq v_1(t) \leq \dots \leq v_n(t) \leq w_n(t) \leq \dots \leq w_1(t) \leq w_0(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.11)$$

The sequences $\{v_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ and $\{w_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ are equicontinuous and uniformly bounded in the intervals $[t_m, t_{m+1})$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$. Therefore, they are uniformly convergent on $[t_m, t_{m+1})$. We denote $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n^{(m)}(t) = v^{(m)}(t)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n^{(m)}(t) = w^{(m)}(t)$.

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ into equalities (3.6) and (3.8), we obtain that the functions $v^{(m)}(t)$ and $w^{(m)}(t)$ are solutions of the integral equations,

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(m)}(t) &= e^{-M(t-t_m)} \left(v^{(m-1)}(t_m) + \int_{t_m}^t e^{M(\tau-t_m)} \varphi^{(m)}(\tau, g_m) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + (e^{-M(t-t_m)} - 1)NM^{-1}v^{(m-i)}(g_m) \quad \text{for } t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}), i \leq m, \\ w^{(m)}(t) &= e^{-M(t-t_m)} \left(w^{(m-1)}(t_m) + \int_{t_m}^t e^{M(\tau-t_m)} \psi^{(m)}(\tau, g_m) d\tau \right) \\ &\quad + (e^{-M(t-t_m)} - 1)NM^{-1}w^{(m-i)}(g_m) \quad \text{for } t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}), i \leq m, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where, for $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{(m)}(t, g_m) &= Mv^{(m)}(t) + Nv^{(m)}(g_m) + f(v^{(m)}(t), v^{(m)}(g_m)), \\ \psi^{(m)}(t, g_m) &= Mw^{(m)}(t) + Nw^{(m)}(g_m) + f(w^{(m)}(t), w^{(m)}(g_m)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Define the functions

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} v^{(0)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [0, t_1], \\ v^{(1)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_1, t_2], \\ \vdots & \\ v^{(p)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_p, t_{p+1}], \end{cases} \quad w(t) = \begin{cases} w^{(0)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [0, t_1], \\ w^{(1)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_1, t_2], \\ \vdots & \\ w^{(p)}(t) & \text{for } t \in [t_p, t_{p+1}]. \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

From equalities (3.12) it follows that the functions $v(t)$ and $w(t)$ are solutions of the IVP (2.1). We prove that $v(t)$ and $w(t)$ are, respectively, minimal and maximal solutions of the IVP (2.1). Let $x(t)$ be an arbitrary solution of the IVP (2.1) in $[0, T]$ such that $v_0(t) \leq x(t) \leq w_0(t)$.

Assume that $v_n(t) \leq x(t) \leq w_n(t)$ in $[0, T]$ for some n . Set $p(t) = x(t) - v_{n+1}(t)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t) &= f(x(t), x(g(t))) - f(v_n(t), v_n(g(t))) + M(v_{n+1}(t) - v_n(t)) \\ &\quad + N(v_{n+1}(g(t)) - v_n(g(t))) \\ &\geq -Mp(t) - Np(g(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T], \\ p(0) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

By Lemma 2.5 the inequality $p(t) \geq 0$ holds, that is, $x(t) \geq v_{n+1}(t)$ for $t \in [0, T]$. By arguments analogous to those above, we get $x(t) \leq w_{n+1}(t)$ for $t \in [0, T]$.

By induction we obtain that $v_n(t) \leq x(t) \leq w_n(t)$ for any $n \in N \cup \{0\}$. After passing to the limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $v(t) \leq x(t) \leq w(t)$, that is, $v(t)$ is a minimal solution of the IVP (2.1) and $w(t)$ is a maximal solution of the IVP (2.1). $\square$

REMARK 3.2. If the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled and the IVP (2.1) has a unique solution $x(t) \in [0, T]$, then there exist two sequences $\{v_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ and $\{w_n(t)\}_0^\infty$ that are uniformly convergent to the unique solution $x(t)$ in the interval $[0, T]$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham, and A. S. Vatsala, *Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Pitman, Massachusetts, 1985.
- [2] V. Lakshmikantham, *Monotone iterative technology for nonlinear differential equations*, Differential Equations: Qualitative Theory, Vol. I, II (Sieged, 1984), Colloque. Math. Soc. Jeans Boyish, vol. 47, North-Holland publishing, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 633–647.
- [3] V. Lakshmikantham and S. Leela, *Existence and monotone method for periodic solutions of first-order differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **91** (1983), 237–243.
- [4] J. Wiener and V. Lakshmikantham, *Differential equations with piecewise constant argument and impulsive equations*, Nonlinear Stud. **7** (2000), no. 1, 60–69.

S. G. HRISTOVA: PLOVDIV UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, PLOVDIV 4000, BULGARIA

E-mail address: snehri@hotmail.com

M. A. RANGELOVA: PLOVDIV UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, PLOVDIV 4000, BULGARIA

E-mail address: rmarinast@hotmail.com