

ON DEDEKIND'S CRITERION AND MONOGENICITY OVER DEDEKIND RINGS

M. E. CHARKANI and O. LAHLOU

Received 29 November 2002

We give a practical criterion characterizing the monogenicity of the integral closure of a Dedekind ring R , based on results on the resultant $\text{Res}(P, P_i)$ of the minimal polynomial P of a primitive integral element and of its irreducible factors P_i modulo prime ideals of R . We obtain a generalization and an improvement of the Dedekind criterion (Cohen, 1996) and we give some applications in the case where R is a discrete valuation ring or the ring of integers of a number field, generalizing some well-known classical results.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11Y40, 13A18, 13F30.

1. Introduction. Let K be an algebraic number field and let O_K be its ring of integers. If $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ for some number θ in O_K , we say that O_K has a power basis or O_K is monogenic. The question of the existence of a power basis was originally examined by Dedekind [5]. Several number theorists were interested in and attracted by this problem (see [7, 8, 9]) and noticed the advantages of working with monogenic number fields. Indeed, for a monogenic number field K , in addition to the ease of discriminant computations, the factorization of a prime p in K/\mathbb{Q} can be found most easily (see [4, Theorem 4.8.13, page 199]). The main result of this paper is [Theorem 2.5](#) which characterizes the monogenicity of the integral closure of a Dedekind ring. More precisely, let R be a Dedekind domain, K its quotient field, L a finite separable extension of degree n of K , α a primitive element of L integral over K , $P(X) = \text{Irrd}(\alpha, K)$, m a maximal ideal of R , and O_L the integral closure of R in L . Assume that $\bar{P}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{P}_i^{e_i}(X)$ in $(R/m)[X]$ with $e_i \geq 2$, and let $P_i(X) \in R[X]$ be a monic lifting of $\bar{P}_i(X)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then we prove that $O_L = R[\alpha]$ if and only if, for every maximal ideal m of R and $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $v_m(\text{Res}(P_i, P)) = \deg(P_i)$, where v_m is the m -adic discrete valuation associated to m . This leads to a necessary and sufficient condition for a simple extension $R[\alpha]$ of a Dedekind ring R to be Dedekind. At the end, we give two illustrations of this criterion. In the second example, we give the converse which was not known yet.

2. Monogenicity over a Dedekind ring. Throughout this paper R is an integral domain, K its quotient field, L is a finite separable extension of degree n of K , α is a primitive element of L integral over R , $P(X) = \text{Irrd}(\alpha, K)$, m is

a maximal ideal of R , and O_L is the integral closure of R in L . Let f and g be two polynomials over R ; the resultant of f and g will be denoted by $\text{Res}(f, g)$ (see [11]).

DEFINITION 2.1. If $O_L = R[\theta]$ for some number $\theta \in O_L$, then O_L has a power basis or O_L is monogenic.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let R be an integrally closed ring and let α be an integral element over R . Then $(R[\alpha])_p = R_p[\alpha]$ for every prime ideal p of R . In particular, $O_L = R[\alpha]$ if and only if $R_p[\alpha]$ is integrally closed for every prime ideal p of R if and only if $R[\alpha]$ is integrally closed.

PROOF. We obtain the result from the isomorphism $R[\alpha] \simeq R[X]/\langle P(X) \rangle$, the properties of an integrally closed ring and its integral closure, and the properties of a multiplicative closed subset of a ring R , notably, $S^{-1}(R[X]) = (S^{-1}R)[X]$ (see [1]). \square

DEFINITION 2.3. Let R be a discrete valuation ring (DVR), $p = \pi R$ its maximal ideal, and α an integral element over R . Let P be the minimal polynomial of α , and $\bar{P}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{P}_i^{e_i}(X)$ the decomposition of \bar{P} into irreducible factors in $(R/p)[X]$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} f(X) &= \prod_{i=1}^r P_i(X) \in R[X], \\ h(X) &= \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{e_i-1}(X) \in R[X], \\ T(X) &= \frac{P(X) - \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{e_i}(X)}{\pi} \in R[X], \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $P_i(X) \in R[X]$ is a monic lifting of $\bar{P}_i(X)$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. We will say that $R[\alpha]$ is p -maximal if $(\bar{f}, \bar{T}, \bar{h}) = 1$ in $(R/p)[X]$ (where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the greatest common divisor (gcd)). If R is a Dedekind ring and p is a prime ideal of R , then we say that $R[\alpha]$ is p -maximal if $R_p[\alpha]$ is pR_p -maximal.

REMARKS 2.4. (1) If π is unramified in $R[\alpha]$, that is, $e_i = 1$ for all i , then $\bar{h} = \bar{1}$ and therefore $R[\alpha]$ is p -maximal.

(2) Let π be ramified in $R[\alpha]$, that is, there is at least one i such that $e_i \geq 2$. Let $S = \{i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \mid e_i \geq 2\}$ and $f_1(X) = \prod_{i \in S} P_i(X) \in R[X]$. Then $(\bar{f}_1, \bar{T}) = (\bar{T}, \bar{f}, \bar{h})$ in $(R/p)[X]$ since $\bar{f}_1 = (\bar{f}, \bar{h})$. In particular, if every $e_i \geq 2$, then $(\bar{f}, \bar{T}) = (\bar{T}, \bar{f}, \bar{h})$, because \bar{f} divides \bar{h} in this case.

(3) Definition 2.3 is independent of the choice of the monic lifting of the \bar{P}_i . More precisely, let

$$\bar{P}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{P}_i^{e_i}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{Q}_i^{e_i}(X) \quad \text{with } \bar{P}_i(X) = \bar{Q}_i(X) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ in } (R/p)[X]. \tag{2.2}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(X) &= \prod_{i=1}^r Q_i(X) \in R[X], & k(X) &= \prod_{i=1}^r Q_i^{e_i-1}(X) \in R[X] \\
 U(X) &= \pi^{-1} \left(P(X) - \prod_{i=1}^r Q_i^{e_i}(X) \right) \in R[X].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.3}$$

Then $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{T}, \tilde{h}) = 1$ in $(R/\mathfrak{p})[X]$ if and only if $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{k}) = 1$ in $(R/\mathfrak{p})[X]$. Indeed, we may assume that R is a DVR and $\mathfrak{p} = \pi R$. Let $V_1 = (g - f)/\pi$ and $V_2 = (k - h)/\pi$. Then $\pi T = \pi U + gk - fh$. Replacing g by $\pi V_1 + f$ and k by $\pi V_2 + h$, we find that $\tilde{T} = \tilde{U} + \tilde{V}_1 \tilde{h} + \tilde{V}_2 \tilde{f}$ and therefore $(\tilde{T}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{h}) = (\tilde{U}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{h}) = (\tilde{U}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{k})$ since $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{h} = \tilde{k}$.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let R be a Dedekind ring. Let P be the minimal polynomial of α , and assume that for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , the decomposition of \bar{P} into irreducible factors in $(R/\mathfrak{p})[X]$ verifies:*

$$\bar{P}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{P}_i^{e_i}(X) \in (R/\mathfrak{p})[X]
 \tag{2.4}$$

with $e_i \geq 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $P_i(X) \in R[X]$ be a monic lifting of the irreducible factor \bar{P}_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $O_L = R[\alpha]$ if and only if $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Res}(P_i, P)) = \deg(P_i)$ for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R and for every $i = 1, \dots, r$, where $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the \mathfrak{p} -adic discrete valuation associated to \mathfrak{p} .

For the proof we need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $\mathfrak{p} = uR + vR$ be a maximal ideal of a commutative ring R . Then $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = vR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if and only if there exist $a, b \in R$ such that $u = au^2 + bv$.*

PROOF. If $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = vR_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then there exist $s \in R$ and $t \in R - \mathfrak{p}$ such that $tu = vs$. Since \mathfrak{p} is maximal in R , so there exists $t' \in R$ such that $tt' - 1 \in \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $u - utt' = u - vst' \in \mathfrak{p}^2$ and there exist $a, b \in R$ such that $u = au^2 + bv$. Conversely, $u^2R + vR \subseteq vR + \mathfrak{p}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. If there exist $a, b \in R$ such that $u = au^2 + bv$, then $\mathfrak{p} = u^2R + vR$ and therefore $vR + \mathfrak{p}^2 = \mathfrak{p}$. Localizing at \mathfrak{p} and applying Nakayama's lemma, we find that $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = vR_{\mathfrak{p}}$. \square

LEMMA 2.7. *Let R be a commutative integral domain, let K be its quotient field, and consider $P, g, h, T \in R[X]$. If g is monic and $P = gh + \pi T$, then $\text{Res}(g, P) = \pi^{\deg(g)} \text{Res}(g, T)$. In particular, if $\mathfrak{m} = \pi R$ is a maximal ideal of R and if $\bar{P}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{P}_i^{e_i}(X)$ is the decomposition of \bar{P} into irreducible factors in $(R/\mathfrak{m})[X]$, with $P_i(X) \in R[X]$ a monic lifting of $\bar{P}_i(X)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $T(X) = \pi^{-1} (P(X) - \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{e_i}(X)) \in R[X]$, then*

$$\text{Res}(P_i, P) = \pi^{\deg(P_i)} \text{Res}(P_i, T)
 \tag{2.5}$$

and $(\bar{P}_i, \bar{T}) = 1$ in $(R/m)[X]$ if and only if

$$\text{Res}(P_i, T) = \frac{\text{Res}(P_i, P)}{\pi^{\deg(P_i)}} \in R - m. \tag{2.6}$$

PROOF. Let x_1, \dots, x_m be the roots of g in the algebraic closure \bar{K} of K . It is then easy to see (see [11]) that $\text{Res}(g, P) = \prod_{i=1}^m P(x_i) = \pi^{\deg(g)} \text{Res}(g, T)$ because $P(x_i) = \pi T(x_i)$. The second result follows from $\text{Res}(\bar{P}_i, \bar{P}) = \overline{\text{Res}(P_i, P)}$ and [2, Corollary 2, page 73]. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5. By Proposition 2.2, we may assume that R is a DVR. Let p be a prime ideal of R and $(O_L)_{(p)}$ the integral closure of R_p in L . Let $\bar{P}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{P}_i^{e_i}(X)$ in $(R_p/pR_p)[X]$ with $e_i \geq 2$ and $P_i(X) \in R_p[X]$ a monic lifting of $\bar{P}_i(X)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let

$$T(X) = \frac{P(X) - \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{e_i}(X)}{\pi} \in R_p[X] \tag{2.7}$$

with $\pi R_p = pR_p$.

(a) We prove that if $(\bar{P}_i, \bar{T}) = 1$ in $(R_p/pR_p)[X]$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$, then $(O_L)_{(p)} = R_p[\alpha] = A$. Indeed, $\bar{P}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{P}_i^{e_i}(X)$ in $(R_p/pR_p)[X]$ and R_p is a local ring, so by [14, Lemma 4, page 29] (see also [3]) the ideals $\mathcal{B}_i = \pi A + P_i(\alpha)A$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) are the only maximal ideals of A , so A is integrally closed if and only if $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is integrally closed for every $i = 1, \dots, r$. More generally, we prove that every $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is a DVR. Since R_p is Noetherian, so $R_p[\alpha] \simeq R_p[X]/\langle P(X) \rangle$ is Noetherian, hence $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is Noetherian since $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is a local integral domain with maximal ideal $\mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$. It remains to show that $\mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is principal. Indeed, $(\bar{P}_i, \bar{T}) = 1$ in $(R_p/pR_p)[X]$, hence there exist polynomials $U_1, U_2, U_3 \in R_p[X]$ such that $1 = U_1(X)P_i(X) + U_2(X)T(X) + \pi U_3(X)$. Now $P(\alpha) = 0 = \prod_{j=1}^r P_j^{e_j}(\alpha) + \pi T(\alpha)$, hence $\prod_{j=1}^r P_j^{e_j}(\alpha) = -\pi T(\alpha)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \pi U_1(\alpha)P_i(\alpha) + \pi^2 U_3(\alpha) - \prod_{j=1}^r P_j^{e_j}(\alpha)U_2(\alpha) \\ &= \pi^2 U_3(\alpha) + P_i(\alpha)U_4(\alpha) \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

with $U_4 = \pi U_1 - P_i^{e_i-1}(\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^r P_j^{e_j})U_2 \in R_p[X]$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that $\mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i} = P_i(\alpha)\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$, in other words, $\mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is principal. We conclude that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is a DVR and therefore an integrally closed ring, and $(O_L)_{(p)} = R_p[\alpha]$.

(b) We will now prove that $(\bar{P}_i, \bar{T}) = 1$ in $(R_p/pR_p)[X]$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$ if $(O_L)_{(p)} = R_p[\alpha]$. We first show that the ring $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is a DVR, for every i . Indeed, R_p is a Dedekind ring and L is a finite extension of K , and it follows from [10, Theorem 6.1, page 23] that $(O_L)_{(p)} = R_p[\alpha] = A$ is a Dedekind ring, so $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is a DVR. Let us show next that $T(\alpha)$ is a unit in every $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$. Indeed, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is a DVR and so its maximal ideal $\mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i} = \pi \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i} + P_i(\alpha)\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ is principal. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ be a generator of $\mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$. Then there exist $u, v \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i}$ such that $\lambda = \pi u + P_i(\alpha)v \in \mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i} - (\mathcal{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_i})^2$. Now R_p is a DVR, $P = \text{Irrd}(\alpha, R_p)$, $\bar{P} = \prod_{j=1}^r \bar{P}_j^{e_j}$

in $(R_p/\pi R_p)[X]$, $\pi R_p \in \text{Spec } R_p$, and $(O_L)_{(p)} = R_p[\alpha] = A$ is the integral closure of R_p in $L = K(\alpha)$ with $K = \text{Fr}(R_p)$, and we find that $\pi A = \prod_{j=1}^r \mathfrak{B}_j^{e_j}$. Hence $\pi \in \mathfrak{B}_i^2$ because $e_i \geq 2$. Now $\lambda \notin (\mathfrak{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})^2$, hence $P_i(\alpha) \notin (\mathfrak{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})^2$, because $\lambda = u\pi + P_i(\alpha)v$. It then follows that $P_i(\alpha)$ is a generator of $\mathfrak{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i} = P_i(\alpha) \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i}$ since $\pi \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i} = (\mathfrak{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})^{e_i} = P_i^{e_i}(\alpha) \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i}$, and $\pi = P_i^{e_i}(\alpha)\epsilon_1$ with $\epsilon_1 \in U(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})$. We now show that $P_j(\alpha) \in U(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})$ for every $j \neq i$. Indeed, if $P_j(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i}$, then there exists $a_i \in \mathfrak{B}_i$ and $b_i \in A - \mathfrak{B}_i$ such that $P_j(\alpha) = a_i/b_i$. Then $a_i = P_j(\alpha)b_i \in \mathfrak{B}_i$. Now, \mathfrak{B}_i is a prime ideal of A , hence $P_j(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{B}_i$. As $\mathfrak{B}_j = \pi A + P_j(\alpha)A$, so $\mathfrak{B}_j \subset \mathfrak{B}_i$. The ideal \mathfrak{B}_j is a maximal ideal of A , so $\mathfrak{B}_i = \mathfrak{B}_j$. This is impossible because the \mathfrak{B}_i are distinct, and it follows that $P_j(\alpha) \in U(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})$ for every $j \neq i$. Thus there exists $\epsilon_2 \in U(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})$ such that $\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^r P_j^{e_j}(\alpha) = \epsilon_2$. Since $\prod_{j=1}^r P_j^{e_j}(\alpha) = -\pi T(\alpha)$, $\pi = P_i^{e_i}(\alpha)\epsilon_1$, and $\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^r P_j^{e_j}(\alpha) = \epsilon_2$, then $T(\alpha) = -\epsilon_2 \epsilon_1^{-1} \in U(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})$. So $T(\alpha) \in U(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})$ for every i , and $T(\alpha) \in U(A)$; otherwise, Krull's theorem implies the existence of a maximal ideal \mathfrak{B}_i of A such that $T(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{B}_i$, and $T(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i} - U(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})$, which is impossible. We conclude that $T(\alpha)$ is a unit in $R_p[\alpha]$, and, by [2, Corollary 1, page 73], there exist $U_1, V_1 \in R_p[X]$ such that $1 = U_1(X)P(X) + V_1(X)T(X)$. Consequently $\bar{1} = \bar{U}_1(X)\bar{P}(X) + \bar{V}_1(X)\bar{T}(X)$ in $(R_p/\pi R_p)[X]$, which is principal. Hence $(\bar{P}, \bar{T}) = 1$ in $(R_p/\pi R_p)[X]$ since $\bar{P} = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{P}_i^{e_i}$ in $(R_p/\pi R_p)[X]$ then $(\bar{P}_i, \bar{T}) = 1$ in $(R_p/\pi R_p)[X]$ for every i . Our result now follows from Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 2.7. □

REMARKS 2.8. (1) Let π be ramified in $R[\alpha]$, $S = \{i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \mid e_i \geq 2\}$, and $f_1(X) = \prod_{i \in S} P_i(X) \in R[X]$. It follows from Lemma 2.7 that the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(\bar{f}_1, \bar{T}) = 1$ in $(R/p)[X]$;
- (ii) $v_p(\text{Res}(f_1, P)) = \deg(f_1)$;
- (iii) for every $i \in S$, we have $v_p(\text{Res}(P_i, P)) = \deg(P_i)$, where v_p is the p -adic discrete valuation associated to p .

(2) It follows from the above equivalence and Remark 2.4(2) and (3) that the condition in Theorem 2.5 is independent of the choice of the monic lifting of \bar{P}_i . More precisely, if $e_i \geq 2$ for every i , and if we take another monic lifting Q_i of \bar{P}_i , then $v_p(\text{Res}(P_i, P)) = \deg(P_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ if and only if $v_p(\text{Res}(Q_i, P)) = \deg(Q_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

(3) Theorem 2.5 states that, under the assumption that $e_i \geq 2$ for every i , $O_L = R[\alpha]$ if and only if $R[\alpha]$ is p -maximal for every prime ideal p of R .

COROLLARY 2.9. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.5, if $O_L = R[\alpha]$, then, for every prime ideal p of R , $R_p[\alpha]$ is principal and $\mathfrak{B}_i = P_i(\alpha)R_p[\alpha]$ for every i .

PROOF. Indeed, a Dedekind ring having only a finite number of prime ideals is principal. To prove the second statement, take $x \in A$ such that $\mathfrak{B}_i = xA$. Then $\mathfrak{B}_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i} = x \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i} = P_i(\alpha) \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i}$, hence $P_i(\alpha) = x\epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in U(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{B}_i})$. Then $\epsilon \in U(A)$, so $\mathfrak{B}_i = P_i(\alpha)A$. □

DEFINITION 2.10. Let R be a DVR with maximal ideal $m = \pi R$, with $f, g \in R[X]$ monic polynomials. Then f is called an Eisenstein polynomial relative to g if there exists $T \in R[X]$ and an integer $e \geq 1$ such that $f = g^e + \pi T$ and $(\bar{g}, \bar{T}) = 1$ in $(R/\pi R)[X]$.

REMARK 2.11. As in the classical Eisenstein's criterion, we have a criterion for the irreducibility of an Eisenstein polynomial relative to g , called the Schönemann criterion, see [12, page 273]; if $f = g^e + \pi T$ is an Eisenstein polynomial relative to g such that $\bar{g} \in (R/m)[X]$ is irreducible and $\deg(T) < e \deg(g)$, then f is irreducible in $K[X]$.

COROLLARY 2.12. Let R be a DVR with maximal ideal $m = \pi R$. If $\bar{P} = \bar{g}^e$ in $(R/m)[X]$ with $e \geq 2$, then $O_L = R[\alpha]$ if and only if P is an Eisenstein polynomial relative to g .

PROOF. We obtain the result using Theorem 2.5, Definition 2.10, and Lemma 2.7. □

REMARK 2.13. Corollary 2.12 generalizes [14, Propositions 15 and 17]; it integrates the two results in one statement and provides the converse.

3. Monogenicity over the ring of integers. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be a number field of degree n , $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ a minimal polynomial of α , O_K the ring of integers of K , and p a prime number.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be a number field and P the minimal polynomial of α . Then $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ if and only if for every prime number p such that p^2 divides $\text{Disc}(P)$, the prime number p does not divide $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$.

PROOF. We obtain the result from the fact that $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ if and only if $\text{Ind}(\alpha) = 1$, and $\text{Disc}(P) = (\text{Ind}(\alpha))^2 d_K$ (see [6], [4, page 166]). □

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let $\bar{P}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{P}_i^{e_i}(X)$ be the factorization of $P(X)$ modulo p in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, and put $f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r P_i(X)$ with $P_i(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ a monic lifting of $\bar{P}_i(X)$ and $e_i \geq 2$ for all i . Let $h(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a monic lifting of $\bar{P}(X)/\bar{f}(X)$ and $T(X) = (f(X)h(X) - P(X))/p \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) p does not divide $\text{Ind}(\alpha) = [O_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$;
- (ii) $(\bar{f}, \bar{T}) = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$;
- (iii) $v_p(\text{Res}(f, P)) = \deg(f)$;
- (iv) $v_p(\text{Res}(P_i, P)) = \deg(P_i)$, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

PROOF. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). Let $(O_K)_{(p)}$ be the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ in K . We first show that p does not divide $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$ if and only if $(O_K)_{(p)} = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\alpha]$. By the finiteness theorem [13, page 48], $(O_K)_{(p)} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} x_i$, and, because $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is principal, $\alpha^i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{ij} x_j$ with $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, and therefore $[(O_K)_{(p)} : \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\alpha]] = |\det(a_{ij})|$.

On the other hand, $\text{Ind}(\alpha) = [O_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]] = [(O_K)_{(p)} : (\mathbb{Z}[\alpha])_{(p)}] = [(O_K)_{(p)} : \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\alpha]]$, hence $(O_K)_{(p)} = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\alpha]$ if and only if p does not divide $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$ if and only if $\text{Ind}(\alpha) \in \cup(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} - p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Hence by the proof of [Theorem 2.5](#), p does not divide $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$ if and only if $(\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{T}) = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ (in other words, if and only if $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{T}) = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$).

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). By [\[2, Corollary 2, page 73\]](#), $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{T}) = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ if and only if $\text{Res}(\tilde{f}, \tilde{T}) = \overline{\text{Res}}(f, T) \neq \bar{0}$ in \mathbb{F}_p if and only if $\text{Res}(f, T) \in \mathbb{Z} - p\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand,

$$\text{Res}(f, T) = \frac{(-1)^{\deg(f)}}{p^{\deg(f)}} \text{Res}(f, P). \tag{3.1}$$

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). We have $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{T}) = 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ if and only if $\text{Res}(f, T) \in \mathbb{Z} - p\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, $\text{Res}(f, T) = \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Res}(P_i, T)$ and

$$\text{Res}(P_i, T) = \frac{(-1)^{\deg(P_i)}}{p^{\deg(P_i)}} \text{Res}(P_i, P). \tag{3.2}$$

□

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be a number field of degree n , $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ a monic minimal polynomial of α , and O_K the ring of integers of K . Assume $\tilde{P}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{P}_i^{e_i}(X)$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, for every prime number p such that p^2 divides $\text{Disc}(P)$, with $P_i(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ a monic lifting of $\tilde{P}_i(X)$ and $e_i \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ if and only if for every prime number p , such that p^2 divides $\text{Disc}(P)$, $v_p(\text{Res}(P_i, P)) = \deg(P_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

PROOF. It suffices to apply [Propositions 3.1](#) and [3.2](#), and [Theorem 2.5](#). □

REMARK 3.4. [Proposition 3.2](#) provides a complement to the Dedekind criterion (see [\[4, page 305\]](#)). Indeed, in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, we have $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{T}) = (\tilde{f}, \tilde{T}, \tilde{h})$ since all $e_i \geq 2$.

We finish this section giving other conditions equivalent to p not being a divisor of $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) p does not divide $\text{Ind}(\alpha) = [O_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$;
- (ii) $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] + pO_K = O_K$;
- (iii) $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cap pO_K = p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

PROOF. (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii). Consider the following map of \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces:

$$j : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \rightarrow O_K/pO_K, \quad j(x + p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]) = x + pO_K. \tag{3.3}$$

As O_K and $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ are two free groups of the same rank n , $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]/p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ and O_K/pO_K are two \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces of the same dimension n and injectivity of j is equivalent to surjectivity of j . Moreover, j is one-to-one if and only if $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cap pO_K = p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ and j is onto if and only if $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] + pO_K = O_K$.

(i)⇔(iii). If p does not divide $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$ and $p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subset \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cap pO_K$, then there exists $x \in O_K$ such that $x \notin \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ and $px \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, so the order of the subgroup generated by $x + \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ of the finite group $O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is equal to p , and, by Lagrange’s theorem, p divides $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$, which is the order of the group $O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, and this is impossible.

Conversely, assume that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cap pO_K = p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ and p divides $\text{Ind}(\alpha)$. Cauchy’s theorem implies that there exists an element of order p in $O_K/\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$; in other words, there exists $x \in O_K$ such that $x \notin \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ and $px \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. Then $px \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \cap pO_K = p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, hence $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, which is impossible. □

4. Applications

4.1. Monogenicity of cyclotomic fields

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer, ξ_n a primitive n th root of unity, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\xi_n)$, and $\phi_n(X)$ the n th cyclotomic polynomial over \mathbb{Q} . Then $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\xi_n]$.*

PROOF. We know from [15] that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(X) &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \wedge n = 1}} (X - \xi_n^i) = \text{Irrd}(\xi_n, \mathbb{Q}), \\ \text{Disc}(\phi_n) &= (-1)^{\varphi(n)/2} \frac{n^{\varphi(n)}}{\prod_{p|n} p^{\varphi(n)/(p-1)}} = (-1)^{\varphi(n)/2} \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{\varphi(n)(r_i-1/(p_i-1))}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\varphi(n)$ is the Euler φ -function and

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{r_i} = p_i^{r_i} m_i \quad \text{with} \quad m_i = \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^s p_j^{r_j}. \tag{4.2}$$

Let q be a prime number such that q^2 divides $\text{Disc}(\phi_n)$. Then there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that $q = p_i$. We have $\bar{\phi}_n(X) = (\bar{\phi}_{m_i}(X))^{\varphi(p_i^{r_i})} \pmod{p_i}$, where $\varphi(p_i^{r_i}) \geq 2$, and

$$\text{Res}(\phi_{m_i}, \phi_n) = (-1)^{\varphi(m_i)\varphi(n)} \text{Res}(\phi_n, \phi_{m_i}) = \text{Res}(\phi_n, \phi_{m_i}) = p_i^{\varphi(m_i)}, \tag{4.3}$$

and we obtain that $v_{p_i}(\text{Res}(\phi_n, \phi_{m_i})) = \text{deg}(\phi_{m_i}(X))$.

Now the result follows immediately from [Theorem 3.3](#) and [Proposition 3.2](#). □

4.2. Monogenicity of the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, with α a root of $P(X) = X^p - a$

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let α be a root of the irreducible polynomial $P(X) = X^p - a$, where a is a squarefree integer and p is a prime number.*

- (i) If p divides a , then $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ if and only if a is squarefree.
- (ii) If p does not divide a , then $O_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ if and only if a is squarefree and $v_p(a^{p-1} - 1) = 1$.

PROOF. We have $P(X) = X^p - a = \text{Irrd}(\alpha, \mathbb{Q})$ and

$$\text{Disc}(P) = (-1)^{p((p-1)/2)} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(P'(\alpha)) = (-1)^{(3p^2-p-2)/2} p(ap)^{p-1}. \quad (4.4)$$

If p is odd, the only prime numbers q such that q^2 divides $\text{Disc}(P)$ are p and the prime divisors of a . If $p = 2$, then 2 is the only prime number q such that q^2 divides $\text{Disc}(P)$.

Let q be a prime number such that q^2 divides $\text{Disc}(P)$. We have two cases:

- (1) if q does not divide a , then $\bar{P}(X) = \overline{g(X)}^p$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, with $g(X) = X - a$, and then $\text{Res}(g, P) = P(a) = a^p - a$;
- (2) if q divides a , then $\bar{P}(X) = \overline{g(X)}^p$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$, with $g(X) = X$ and then $\text{Res}(g, P) = P(0) = -a$.

In both cases, the result is deduced from [Theorem 3.3](#). □

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1969.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre*, Masson, Paris, 1981.
- [3] M. Charkani, *Structure multiplicative des idéaux d'une extension primitive d'un anneau intégralement clos*, in preparation.
- [4] H. Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 138, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [5] R. Dedekind, *Über den Zusammenhang zwischen der Theorie der Ideale und der Theorie der höheren Cyclotimy index*, Abh. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl. **23** (1878), 1-23 (German).
- [6] A. Fröhlich and M. J. Taylor, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [7] M.-N. Gras, *Sur les corps cubiques cycliques dont l'anneau des entiers est monogène*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **278** (1974), 59-62 (French).
- [8] ———, *\mathbb{Z} -bases d'entiers $1, \theta, \theta^2, \theta^3$ dans les extensions cycliques de degré 4 de \mathbb{Q} [\mathbb{Z} -bases of integers $1, \theta, \theta^2, \theta^3$ in cyclic extensions of degree 4 of \mathbb{Q}]*, Number Theory, Publ. Math. Fac. Sci. Besançon, Université de Franche-Comté, Besançon, 1981, pp. 1-14 (French).
- [9] ———, *Non monogénéité de l'anneau des entiers des extensions cycliques de \mathbb{Q} de degré premier $l \geq 5$ [Nonmonogeneity of the ring of integers of cyclic extensions of \mathbb{Q} of prime degree $l \geq 5$]*, J. Number Theory **23** (1986), no. 3, 347-353 (French).
- [10] G. J. Janusz, *Algebraic Number Fields*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [11] S. Lang, *Algebra*, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1984.
- [12] P. Ribenboim, *Théorie des Valuations*, Les presses de l'université de Montréal, Montréal, 1964 (French).
- [13] P. Samuel, *Théorie Algébrique des Nombres*, Hermann, Paris, 1971 (French).

- [14] J.-P. Serre, *Corps Locaux*, Publications de l'Université de Nancago, Hermann, Paris, 1968 (French).
- [15] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

M. E. Charkani: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Dhar-Mahraz, University of Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah, BP 1796, Fes, Morocco
E-mail address: mcharkani@excite.com

O. Lahlou: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Dhar-Mahraz, University of Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah, BP 1796, Fes, Morocco
E-mail address: l.ouafae@caramail.com



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

